AN ANALYSIS OF THE PERCEPTIONS OF TELECOURSE STUDENTS, FACULTY, AND ADMINISTRATORS AT TULSA JUNIOR COLLEGE

Ву

WILLIAM F. SUTTERFIELD

Bachelor of Science in Education Northeastern Oklahoma State University Tahlequah, Oklahoma 1960

Master of Teaching Northeastern Oklahoma State University Tahlequah, Oklahoma 1964

> Master of Science Teaching University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona 1970

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF EDUCATION December, 1981 Thesis 1981D 5967a cop.2



AN ANALYSIS OF THE PERCEPTIONS OF TELECOURSE STUDENTS, FACULTY, AND ADMINISTRATORS AT TULSA JUNIOR COLLEGE

Thesis Approved:

Haynne B. James Thesis Adviser
(Thesis JA dviser
Ereig w. Dugge
• -
Jacof Duda
Area Maria
Jalen I Bourd
Morman M Duchan Dean of the Graduate College
Dean of the Graduate College

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researcher is indeed grateful to so many people in the past who have provided the necessary encouragement and support that is so vital for this type of project.

I am tremendously appreciative of the support given by Dr. Waynne

James, dissertation adviser. Without this support completion of the dissertation and program would have been next to impossible. To the other committee
members, Chairman, Dr. Cecil Dugger, and members, Dr. Jack Zucker and
Dr. John Baird, I express my sincere appreciation. Their knowledge and
assistance will long be remembered.

A note of appreciation goes to the students, faculty and administration who participated in the study. With their help the future of adult learning will be hopefully enhanced.

A special thank you to a fantastic office staff: Janetha Fleetwood,

Dean's secretary, and Jane Fleming, Assistant to the Dean. Their encouragement and assistance contributed much to this study.

Thanks so much to Vivian Marston who provided the final typing for this thesis.

A note of thanks to two great guys, Chris and Mike, my sons, who provided the spark that was needed for the researcher to pursue his goal.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Statement of the Problem	2
	Purpose of the Study	2
	Limitations	3
	Assumptions	3
	Definitions	4
	Organization of the Study	5
11.	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	7
	Community/Junior College	7
	General Overview	7
	Tulsa Junior College	9
	Instructional Media	10
	Television as a Medium of Instruction	10
	Instructional Television	10
	Telecourses in General	13
	Telecourses Offered on Cable	18
	Summary	19
Ш.	METHODOLOGY	21
	Selection of the Subjects	21
	Development of the Instruments	22
	Collection of the Data	24
	Analysis of the Data	24
IV.	PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS .	26
•	Return Rates	26
	Telecourse Completer Questionnaire	26
	Telecourse Non-Completers Questionnaire.	26
	Instructor Questionnaire	28
	Administrator Questionnaire	28
	Profile of Students	29

Chapter		page
	Analysis of Telecourse Student Questionnaire	31
	Completers • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	31
	Non-Completers	40
	Grade Analysis	45
•	Instructor Evaluation of Telecourses	5 1
	Further Instructor Evaluation of Telecourse	64
	Administrative Evaluation	66
٧.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS .	69
	Summary	69
	Conclusions	71
•	How Do Students (Both Completers and	<i>,</i> ,
	Non-Completers) View the	
	Telecourse Experience?	71
	How Do Tulsa Junior College	, ,
	Telecourse Instructors View the	
		71
	Telecourse Experience? How Do Tulsa Junior College Adminis-	/ 1
	trators View the Telecourse	
	Experience?	72
	How Do the Grades of Students Enrolled	12
	in Telecourse Compare to the Grades of Students Enrolled in the Same On–	
		72
	Campus Courses?	/ 2
	What Are the Perceived Advantages	70
	of Telecourses?	72 72
	Implications for Research and Practice	73
	Implications for Practice	<i>7</i> 3
	Course Revisions	73 70
	Communication	73
	Scheduling	74
	What Type of Students Have	
	the Greatest Success in	
	Telecourses?	74
	What Does the Demographic	
	Data Reveal About	
	Students Enrolled in	
	Telecourses?	74
	What Are the Recommendations	
	for the Future Regarding	
	Telecourses?	<i>7</i> 5

Chapter	Page
Implications for Further Research	75
Grades	75
Communication Follow-Up National Junior College Telecour	
Study	75
Telecourse Content	76
Student Follow-Up	76
BIBLIOGRAPHY	••
APPENDICES	80
APPENDIX A - TELECOURSE COMPLETER QUESTIONNAI	RE. 81
APPENDIX B - TELECOURSE NON-COMPLETER	
QUESTIONNAIRE	84
APPENDIX C - TELECOURSE INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONNA	IRE 86
APPENDIX D - ADMINISTRATOR QUESTIONNAIRE	89
APPENDIX E - STUDENT PROFILE ANALYSIS	91
APPENDIX F - STUDENT TRASCRIPT	96
APPENDIX C - CPADE ANALYSIS	00

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Response of Telecourse Completers by Course	27
11.	Response of Telecourse Non-Completers by Course	28
III.	Telecourse Students by Majors	30
IV.	Method of Registration of Telecourse Completers	31
٧.	Responses of Telecourse Completers to Question Concerning T.V. Programs Being Interesting	32
VI.	Responses to Questions Concerning Informative Programs.	33
VII.	Responses to Question Concerning Understandability of Programs	34
VIII.	Responses to Question Concerning Appropriateness of Textbook	35
IX.	Responses to Question Concerning Helpfulness of Study Guide	36
×.	Responses to Question Concerning Helpfulness of Study Guide Exercises and Readings	36
XI.	Responses to Question Concerning Helpfulness of Instructor	37
XII.	Responses to Question Concerning Examinations	37
XIII.	Responses to Question Concerning Helpfulness of Hotline	38
XIV.	Responses to Question Concerning Overall Course Satisfaction	38
XV.	Responses to Question Concerning Mastery and Grade Reflection	39

Table		Page
XVI.	Responses to Question Concerning Why Enrolled in a Telecourse	40
XVII.	Responses to Question Concerning What was Liked About the Telecourse	41
XVIII.	Responses to Question Concerning What was Disliked About This Course	42
XIX.	Responses to Question Concerning What Ways the Telecourse Could Be More Effective	43
xx.	Responses to Question Concerning What Time of Day was Most Convenient for Viewing Telecourses	43
XXI.	Responses to Question Concerning Comments, and/or Suggestions for Improving the Course Overall	44
XXII.	Student Status of Telecourse Non-Completers	44
XXIII.	Method of Registration for Telecourse Non-Completers	45
XXIV.	Reasons for Not Completing Telecourse by Telecourse Non-Completers	46
XV.	Responses to Question Concering Why Enrolled in the Telecourse	47
XXVI.	Responses to Question Concerning Other Reasons Why Course Not Completed	48
XXVII.	Responses to Question Concerning Enrolling in a Telecourse in the Future	49
XVIII.	Comparison of BUS 1053 Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	50
XXIX.	Comparison of ECO 1353 Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	. 51
XXX.	Comparison of ENG 1113 Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	52

Table		Page
XXXI.	Comparison of ENG 1213 Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	53
XXXII.	Comparison of HIS 1483 Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	54
XXXIII.	Comparison of HIS 1493 Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	55
XXXIV.	Comparison of POS 1113 Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	56
XXXV.	Comparison of PSY 1113 Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	57
XXXVI.	Comparison of Total Telecourse and On-Campus Grades	58
XXXVII.	Instructor Perceptions of Telecourse Non-Completion Rate	59
XXXVIII.	Instructor Perceptions of Telecourse Comprehensiveness .	59
XXXIX.	Instructor Perceptions of Study Guide Helpfulness	60
XL.	Instructor Perceptions of Textbook Material	60
XLI.	Instructor Perceptions of Helpfulness of Outside Assignments	61
XLII.	Instructor Perceptions of Helpfulness of Optional Study Lessons	61
XLIII.	Instructor Perceptions of Usefulness of Periodic Newsletters	62
XLIV.	Instructor Perceptions of Helpfulness of Hotline	62
XLV.	Instructor Perceptions of Adequacy of Testing Procedure.	63
XLVI.	Instructor Perceptions of Adequacy of Make-Up Test Procedure	63

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Although instructional television has existed in the formal sense for the past 30 years, its involvement with cable television has been limited. During the recent past, telecourses have been developed to serve as another mode of instruction to meet the needs of some adult learners. These courses are credit courses, which for the most part satisfy general education requirements for an Associate in Arts or Associate in Science Degree. The development of telecourses along with the cooperation of Tulsa Cable Television has enabled Tulsa Junior College to offer this innovative avenue of instruction to Tulsa County residents. Tulsa Cable Television provides Channel 19 for the access of Tulsa Junior College telecourses and serves the metropolitan Tulsa area.

The need for the study developed as a result of the production of telecourses, increased telecourse offerings by Tulsa Junior College, and the expansion of cable television both locally and nationally. Tulsa Cable Television has grown from 45,000 subscribers in the Fall of 1979 when telecourses were first offered at Tulsa Junior College to over 100,000 subscribers in the Fall of 1981. Nationally, cable television has grown from 70 franchises in January 1952 to 4,225 franchises in January 1980.

Additionally, adults are now demanding different modes of instruction to meet their needs. Another factor which is affecting the increased use of cable television is that adults are now looking for convenient access to higher education and cable television reaches a large population.

Statement of Problem

Since telecourses are relatively new to Tulsa Junior College and the national education scene, it was important to evaluate and analyze the experience by students, faculty and administrators at Tulsa Junior College.

There has been very little or no information regarding the analysis and evaluation of telecourses offered via cable television.

Purpose of Study

The purpose of this study was to evaluate and analyze the perceptions of those involved with telecourses at Tulsa Junior College for future decision—making purposes to improve telecourse offerings and student services. The study sought to answer the following questions:

- 1. How do students (both completers and non-completers) view the telecourse experience?
- 2. How do Tulsa Junior College telecourse instructors view the telecourse experience?
- 3. How do Tulsa Junior College administrators view the telecourse experience?

- 4. How do the grades of students enrolled in telecourses compare to the grades of students enrolled in the same on-campus course?
 - 5. What are the perceived advantages of telecourses?
 - 6. What type of students have the greatest success in telecourses?
- 7. What does the demographic data reveal about students enrolled in telecourses?
 - 8. What are the recommendations for the future regarding telecourses?

Limitations

The study had the following limitations:

- 1. The study did not critique the content of telecourses.
- 2. The study was limited to telecourses offered in the Tulsa Cable Television service area during the Spring Semester, 1981.

Assumptions

The study made the following assumptions:

- Accurate information was obtained from all response groups of the study.
 - 2. The quality of the content of telecourse offerings was high.

Definitions

The following is a list of terms that are used throughout this study:

Administrator — persons with the titles of Assistant to the Dean, Director, Chairman, Dean, Vice-President, or President.

Cable Television/Community Antenna Television/CATV

A redistribution system, now in widespread use, that receives television programs from regular broadcasting stations by means of a common antenna and then relays them via an educational television, closed-circuit system to cable service subscribers in a particular area. CATV systems initiate television programs on magnetic tape film, or live for local viewing. CATV is characterized by high quality and reliable reception, but most importantly, cable service can transmit as many as thirty separate programs simultaneously (Association of Educational Communication and Technology, 1979, p. 246).

Community College, Junior College, Community-Junior College

These terms are used interchangeably to designate institutions of higher education authorized to offer courses no higher than sophomore level. These two-year programs would normally include transfer, vocational, remedial, adult and continuing education (Price, 1981, p. 6).

Completer -- a student who completes a telecourse and earns a grade of A,

B, C, D, F, Audit, or Satisfactory.

<u>Delivery</u> -- the set of support activities associated with offering telecourses.

Educational Television

Any television programming, broadcast or closed-circuit, designed to cover a broad range of educational and cultural subjects for information enrichment (Association of Educational Communication and Technology, 1979, p. 251).

<u>Grade Analysis</u> — a computer printout listing grades of students by course for a given semester.

Instructional Television

Any television program, broadcast or closed-circuit, developed specifically for instructional purposes; usually in conjunction with a specific course or set of lessons (Association of Educational Communication and Technology, 1979, p. 257).

<u>Non-Completer</u> — a student who registers but does not complete a telecourse and is assigned a WN (administrative withdrawal, non-attendance), W (withdrawal), WP (withdraw passing), WF (withdraw failing, or I (incomplete).

Student -- any person enrolled in one or more telecourses.

<u>Student Enrollment</u> — an enrollment in a class. For example, if a student is enrolled in 3 classes this is 3 student enrollments.

Student Profile Analysis — a computer generated printout that shows various data about a group of students enrolled in a particular area such as telecourses.

Telecourse

An integrated learning system that employs television and various printed materials, i.e., textbook, study guide, book of readings, etc. This process sometimes provides for interaction between students enrolled in the telecourse and the faculty supervising the course (An Administrator's Guide to Telecourses, 1979, p. 3).

<u>Telecourse Instructor</u> — a full-time faculty member who is responsible for coordinating a telecourse.

<u>Tulsa Cable Television</u> -- a local cable television company serving Tulsa and Tulsa County currently having approximately 100,000 subscribers.

Organization of the Study

Chapter I introduces the study, presents the problem, purpose, limitations, assumptions, definitions, and organization of the study. Chapter II includes a

a review of related literature focusing on the areas of (1) Community/Junior Colleges, which includes a general overview and specifically Tulsa Junior College; (2) Instructional Media, which consists of television as a medium of instruction, instructional television, telecourses in general, and telecourses offered via cable television. Chapter III reports the return rate, selection of the subjects, development of the instrument, collection of the data, and analysis of the data. Chapter IV includes the presentation of findings along with discussion of the findings. Chapter V includes a summary of the study, statement of conclusions, and implications for practice and further research.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Chapter II is organized as follows: (1) Community/Junior College, which includes general overview and Tulsa Junior College; (2) Instructional Media which contains television as a medium of instruction, instructional television, telecourses in general, and telecourses offered on cable television; and (3) Summary.

Community/Junior Colleges

General Overview

The American public community college movement has experienced phenomenal growth in the past few years after a modest start near the turn of the last century. During the post World War II years, adults who had never before considered a "college" education looked increasingly to local colleges as community centers which could provide a wide spectrum of educational and cultural activities (Medsker, 1971).

The growth of the Community/Junior Colleges in the 1960's and 1970's was particularly significant since two-year colleges began reaching more adults than ever before. Statistics indicate 2,051,493 students were enrolled in public two-year colleges in 1969. In addition, 134,779 individuals were

enrolled in private two-year colleges. This makes a grand total of 2,185,272 students enrolled in two-year colleges in 1969. In 1979 there were a total of 4,487,272 students enrolled in two-year colleges. This is a 205.37 percent increase in ten years. Included in the 1979 statistics were 2,750,013 students which were enrolled on a part-time basis (Gilbert, 1980).

One primary reason that Community/Junior Colleges have experienced growth is related to being open-door institutions.

A major contribution of the community college to maintenance of educational opportunity is its growing commitment to offer its services to all persons without regard to their previous educational experience or accomplishments who can demonstrate that the educational programs available will be of value to them (Gleazer, 1968, p. 5).

The Community/Junior College is basically seen as an open-door comprehensive institution that offers technical-occupational programs, transfer programs in various disciplines, career exploration programs, community service, continuing education, and in-service type programs. The following statement indicates some future challenges for the Community/Junior College.

As the college adapts to the learner and the community, programs will increasingly go to the people by means of alternative teaching environments (television, correspondence, dial access, meandering mini-college, go-any-where courses, etc). This will deinstitutionalize the community college and hopefully, reduce the need and cost of fixed facilities as found on a traditional campus (Pallinchak, 1973, p. 259).

The future for the Community/Junior Colleges can be summed up in the statement, "More students and greater variety." This is substantiated by the following statement:

More students and greater variety, these are the prospects. It is likely that the impressive, sometimes confusing mixtures of persons now served by community colleges will diversify even more. No educational institution will confront a broader range of human talent, not even the comprehensive high school. For what adds another dimension of variety is that the community college cuts across many high school districts and reaches an older population (Gleazer, 1973, p. 11).

Tulsa Junior College

Tulsa Junior College opened its doors in the fall of 1970. The initial enrollment was 2,796 students. Since that time, Tulsa Junior College has become the largest junior college in the state of Oklahoma and the third largest college in the state, ranking behind Oklahoma State University and The University of Oklahoma. During the Fall Semester, 1981, enrollment at Tulsa Junior College totaled 13,751 students in credit programs. The college also served another 3,000 students in the special programs, or non-credit area.

The college has two permanent campuses. The Metro Campus is located in the downtown area at 909 South Boston Avenue. The Northeast Campus is located at 3727 East Apache Avenue. Land for the Southeast Campus has already been purchased. This eighty acre parcel is located between Mingo Road and Garnett on 81st Street. The projected opening for this campus is Fall, 1983.

Tulsa Junior College currently serves 2,485 full-time students (twelve credit hours or more) and 11,266 part-time students (eleven credit hours or fewer). The average student age is currently 28.5 years (Philips, 1981).

Instructional Media

Television as a Medium of Instruction

Television as a medium of instruction offers many advantages to educational institutions. Onder (1979) cites several reasons for these advantages as follows: Television can motivate, present information, stimulate discussion, direct learner activities, conduct drill and practice, test learner progress, take the learner anywhere, be replaced by videotape, transmit live material to the classroom, present context in many forms, direct or focus attention on the subject at hand, be used for classroom follow-up, and help enlarge the training facility.

Instructional Television

The use of educational television for instruction in the United States began on a formal basis in 1953 through station KHUT in Houston, Texas (Arms, 1980). Most of the instructional television has been conducted through the avenue of Public Education Television Network (PBS). This instruction was targeted largely toward public school students and for adults who preferred an alternative to commercial television. Basically, all public instructional television was either non-credit or was used to supplement classroom credit instruction.

Another utilization of instructional television was the production of videotapes to be used in the classroom. Most of these tapes are used to supplement or augment the traditional lecture type credit class. Related to

videotape production, several important ideas need to be considered. No program, no matter how good, has any value unless it is presented in the right classroom, at the right time, every time. Every program should have the highest educational value possible. It is better to make fewer programs and be sure they are of high quality, than too many programs of doubtful value. The business of a production and playback facility is producing a service, not paper work. Every production and playback request should require minimum effort on the part of the lesson requester (Turek, 1979).

Instructional television programs at the Air University of Maxwell

Air Force Base in Alabama were developed with four basic elements in mind.

It was the Air Force's opinion that these elements would make the most effective use of television in any educational institution. These elements are as follows:

- 1. Television must be closely integrated into the basic curriculum of institutions.
- 2. People with knowledge to impart must be made available to prepare and present the video lesson.
- 3. Every lesson must be of the highest technical and artistic quality possible.
- 4. Effective means of delivering the finished product to the student is vital (Turek, 1979).

One of the challenges involved with instructional television is resistance from instructors regarding the use of television. There are several reasons why instructors have this attitude according to Gordon (1970).

First, there is the common fear of being ultimately replaced by television.

Second, on the basis of their experience with commercial television, the instructors were not convinced that an image on a tube is able to fulfill a more complex function than those involved in entertainment and salesmanship. Third, most of the television tapes they had been exposed to had been dull, confused and disorganized. Fourth, most instructors remained convinced that they did, or were doing better in their live classrooms with human interaction between students and teachers and between students themselves.

The other side of faculty fear of instructional television is brought to light with the following quote:

The teacher need have only one principal fear from using television in the classroom. When the TV program is over and the child's orientation is back to the classroom teacher, how inadequate will the classroom teacher seem by comparison? The teacher who still teaches information instead of teaching children has cause to worry about that! (Field, Hilliare, 1978, p. 11).

Very few school systems have initiated the avenue of instructional television of any magnitude into their systems. One of these efforts was undertaken in El Salvador. El Salvador from 1968 to 1972 utilized instructional television for grades seven through nine and served some 10,000 students. As part of El Salvador's educational reform it was found that students learned more under the reform with instructional television than they had under the traditional system (Mayo, Hornik, McAnary, 1976).

Telecourses in General

Several studies were reviewed on telecourses in general, and most of the studies looked at feasibility, instructor involvement, student attitudes, or perceptions.

One study (Dietrich, 1978) dealt with the potential of telecourses as offered by traditional senior colleges of higher learning and also looked at the need for establishing a national television university. The data for this study was collected from the chief administrators of senior institutions of higher learning. A survey instrument was mailed to 1,913 chief administrators. Some of the findings of the study are listed as follows:

- Credit telecourses should be transferable to other colleges and universities.
- 2. Students taking telecourses should have tutorial sessions available in local centers.
- 3. The evaluation of the courses should be controlled by the institutions offering the course for credit.
- 4. The decision of an institution to use public television programming for credit telecourses should be determined by a faculty committee.
- 5. Programs broadcast by national centers and offered for credit by individual institutions should be presented at the time that best fits the schedule of the individual institutions by using off-the air-taping.

 Telecourses produced by a national agency should be available to all institutions of higher learning as well as to state networks and area consortia.

The two conclusions that were justified by the study are as follows:

- 1. Telecourses for credit, under the auspices of individual colleges and universities, are an acceptable and worthwhile part of higher education.
- 2. A national television university should not be established (Dietrich, 1978) because the telecourses should fit in the curriculum of each local institution and each institution is accredited separately. The aforementioned study would seem to substantiate the national trend to control telecourse courses offered at the local institutional level. This allows feasibility within the individual institutions to offer and coordinate their own telecourses.

Another related telecourse study (Hegar, 1977) was the comparison of the "Introduction to Business" telecourse students with their "on-campus" counterparts. The sample included 102 "on-campus" students and 279 telecourse students.

The conclusion was that both groups showed positive attitudes toward business, believed that some control resided within themselves, and proportionally choose business careers. The telecourse group experienced a greater number of significant changes during the semester and scored a higher mean on the achievement posttest than the "on-campus" group.

Chief recommendations included the following ideas:

- 1. Offer Introduction to Business for credit in community colleges both by traditional and telecourse methods.
- Revise the course to reflect current changes that affect business operations.
 - 3. Use community resources.
 - 4. Emphasize positive attitudes toward business.
 - Share career information with students.

Another study (Frazer, 1979) that related to the attitudes and preferences of students enrolled in library-based telecourses at a community college. The purpose of the study was to determine the attitudes and achievements of students in courses offered on a self-paced, individualized basis through the use of video-cassettes in comparison to the traditional lecture approach. The population for the study included 150 randomly selected students, equally divided between telecourses and the traditional classroom approach.

The results indicated there was no significant difference in the attitudes of the two groups of students. No significant difference was found in the average test scores of the two groups. No significant difference was found in attitudes of those completing the course and those not completing the course.

Another study (Fernandez, 1976) related to the role of the campus instructor in student achievement in community college telecourses. The study looked at two groups of students. One group was exposed to the

service of a campus instructor while taking a specific class on television.

The second, or control, group were students of comparable background, who were exposed to television only.

No significant difference appeared in the achievement level and course completion rate between the two groups. Females achieved at a higher rate than males; mature students achieved a higher level than young college-age students; and, students with substantial college units completed, achieved higher than students with limited college experience.

In a recent publication by Munshi, (1980) there is a chapter entitled, "Telecourse: Benefits and Problems." This chapter spoke to the benefits and problems for both the colleges and the airing stations. One of the benefits for the colleges is that telecourses reach audiences that would not otherwise be able to attend college, that is, housewives with small children, handicapped individuals, senior citizens, or distance learners. Some other benefits are that telecourses draw students into the regular programs, offer flexibility scheduling or the ability to schedule classes at off hours, and provide ability to repeat programs for students who might miss a lecture. Telecourses also are a pacing device, offer an alternative learning approach, stretch faculty resources, and provide publicity for the institutional district.

One of the problems for colleges that offer telecourses is that telecourses threaten faculty, either in their job security or in their perception of a "quality" education. Some other problems are the inability to predict telecourse enrollments; lack of suitable courseware; difficulty in using an unfamiliar educational system for administration, faculty, and students; difficulty of scheduling in some systems; and the amount of administrative time necessary to offer telecourse relationships with stations, and supplying services to off-campus students.

Benefits for airing stations include such things as telecourses reach new audiences, perform a public service, increase subscribers, and provide diversity of programming. Reaching new audiences would include people searching for different types of programming on television. These new audiences may or may not be interested in credit. The public service a cable station performs relates to part of the station's responsibility to provide a channel for colleges and universities. Subscribers would be increased by those persons interested in telecourses for credit that may not otherwise subscribe to cable television. The diversity of programming stems from the fact that most telecourses provide additional programs that might not otherwise be shown on cable.

Some problems for the airing stations are lack of air time, telecourses preempt more rewarding programming, unreasonable scheduling requests from colleges, staff time spent in answering questions on lessons, and requests for publicity. Regarding lack of air time, the telecourses may take up a major portion of the educational channel. Telecourses at times may preempt a program that could better fit on the college and university channel. Colleges may request several repeat airings of telecourse segments for the students advantage. This would require additional time for cable television technicians to air these segments. Cable television clerical personnel may be required to handle additional inquiries about telecourses. Colleges may ask the

airing stations for an additional amount of publicity regarding telecourses.

Telecourses Offered on Cable

There are approximately 4,000 cable companies in the United States today, serving 9,000 communities and reaching some thirteen million subscribers. Twenty-two percent of all television homes now have cable, and cable saturation is constantly increasing. The 1972 "Cable Television Report and Order" required cable systems in major markets to maintain, "At least one channel each for public, educational, government, and leased access" (Munshi, p. 57, 1980).

Individuals or groups that might want to air a cable program as a public service would have access to the public channel. For example, the league of women voters may present a program urging people to get out and vote. Educational channels would be for educational institutions to use for programming such as telecourses. The airing of legislative sessions, or city commission meetings would be presented on the government channel. The leased access channel could be leased by an individual or organization for his/her own purpose as long as the guidelines of the cable systems were met. Organizations could also be accessible to this channel.

The experimental QUBE cable system in Columbus, Ohio opened new possibilities for cable television use by educators and adult learners.

QUBE is a two-way cable system that enables viewers to, "talkback" to their television sets by means of response buttons on the home control panel.

Responses are registered on a computer at the systems control center

(Munshi, 1980). Computer printouts can then be generated for the benefit of the instructor.

In the study conducted at Texas Technical University (Clay, 1977) some interesting facts were reported. This study indicated that education via cable television had been used with success from elementary schools through the college level. A literature search also revealed hundreds of studies reporting no significant difference between teaching by television or teaching by "face to face" traditional classroom methods. The study concluded that it was feasible to offer courses on the doctoral level to in-service higher education faculty via cable television.

During 1978-1979, one survey revealed that out of a total of 1,824 colleges, 163 were already offering telecourses via cable television. Of these 81 were two-year colleges, 45 were public four-year colleges and 37 were private four-year colleges (Dirr, Katz, and Pedone, 1981).

Summary

Two-year colleges are experiencing a rapid growth in serving increasing numbers of adult students. Tulsa Junior College appears to be following a similar growth pattern. In an effort to expand its service, and reach new audiences, Tulsa Junior College is providing telecourses for persons in Tulsa County.

A review of the literature had indicated that television is a viable means of instruction. Reasons for this viability are included in the following: Television reaches a number of people that may not otherwise be

reached; television provides independent study concept for students, television allows faculty to serve additional students, and television offers flexibility of scheduling for the students.

Cable television is also experiencing a phenomenal growth pattern that should continue in the future. Little, if any, research is available in the areas of telecourses that involves delivery by cable television. Producing additional quality telecourses should allow more students to be served by this mode of instruction.

CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY

The purpose of the study was to evaluate and analyze the perceptions of those involved with telecourses at Tulsa Junior College for future decision—making purposes to improve telecourse offerings and student services. Spring Semester, 1981 was used as the basis for this study. This chapter outlines the methodology used in the study presenting selection of the subjects, development of the instruments, collection of the data, and analysis of the data.

Selection of the Subjects

The population for this study was the 479 students enrolled in telecourses at Tulsa Junior College for the Spring Semester, 1981. These students were enrolled in the following telecourses:

BUS	1053	Introduction to Business
ECO	1353	Personal Finance
ENG	1113	Freshman Composition I
ENG	1213	Freshman Composition II
HIS	1483	American History, 1492-1865
HIS	1493	American History, 1865-Present
POS	1113	American Federal Government
PSY	1113	General Psychology

The population for the faculty questionnaire was the 12 telecourse instructors for the Spring Semester, 1981. For six of the telecourses only one

instructor was involved in coordinating the individual course. In Freshman Composition I and Freshman Composition II there were three instructors involved in each course.

The 10 administrators were selected by the research for their past contact and familiarization with telecourses. For example, three Division Chairmen were asked to respond because all eight telecourses were housed in these divisions. The Director of Media Services was asked to participate because of his involvement with telecourses in the dubbing of tapes and interaction with telecourse students and faculty. The Vice-President of Business and Auxiliary Services was asked to be involved because of his knowledge of telecourses for the budget and funding process.

Development of the Instruments

Questionnaires were developed both for students who completed a telecourse (completers) and for those students who registered but did not complete a telecourse (non-completers). The questionnaires for the completers (see Appendix A for the final copy of the questionnaire) and non-completers (see Appendix B for the final copy of that questionnaire) were developed using some of the same areas that were used in Tulsa Junior College's current form for student evaluation of faculty. Other areas of the questionnaires were developed from areas that were unique to telecourses. Suggestions were sought from faculty members, administrators, and telecourse students in developing the questionnaires. Two telecourse students were asked to do a trial run of both student questionnaires for clarity and ease of reading. The final copy

of the questionnaires reflected the revisions suggested by these students.

The faculty questionnaire (see Appendix C for the final copy) was developed with input from administrators and faculty. This instrument was field tested with members of the two groups. The final copy reflected the revisions suggested by both administrators and faculty.

The administrator questionnaire (see Appendix D for the final copy) was developed with input from administrators. This instrument was field tested with the administrators. Minor revisions as suggested by the administrators are reflected in the changes incorporated into the final version.

Also used in the study was a Student Profile Analysis which lists various information about telecourse students in summary form. See Appendix E for copy of a sample Student Profile Analysis. This printout includes such information as: sex, age categories, ACT scores, zip code, transfer status, day or evening student, race, admission status, hours employed per week, previous degree, etc. In addition, students transcripts were used to glean more information about telecourse students. See Appendix F for a sample copy of a student transcript. Grade Analysis printouts were also used in this study. See Appendix G for a sample of the Grade Analysis. This analysis simply provided a summary of the grade breakdown of telecourse students and regular students. This analysis also provided the number of withdrawals within a given semester.

Collection of the Data

The data for the telecourse completers was gathered at the time the students came to campus for their final examination. The data for the telecourse non-completers was gathered from two mailings. The first mailing was June 10, 1981 and the second was August 3, 1981. It was decided not to complete a third mailing because some resentment was evident from the non-completers.

The questionnaire for telecourse faculty was hand delivered and returned in person to the researcher's office. The questionnaire for administrators was also hand delivered and returned to the researcher's office. The Student Profile Analysis, the telecourse students' transcripts, and the telecourse student Grade Analysis were all computer printouts that were generated in the Computer Center at Tulsa Junior College.

Analysis of the Data

In analyzing the data for the questionnaire for the telecourse students, both completers and non-completers, a percentage method was used on the objective-type statement. This percentage method was used for the specific classes and also as a percentage summary for the total telecourse offerings.

Questions that required a written response by the students were analyzed in a narrative form. These narrative responses were grouped in common categories prior to the final written narrative form.

The questionnaire for the telecourse faculty was analyzed in

percentage form for the objective-type question and a narrative summary for the written responses. Since the administrative questionnaire required only written responses, it therefore was analyzed and reported in narrative form.

The telecourse Student Profile Analysis and the telecourse students' transcripts were used to gather specific data about the student. This data was analyzed and reported in various ways. Grade point averages were reported for all students plus an analysis of grade point averages by sex and number of students with previous degrees was gathered from this data. Comparison of grades of telecourse students with their regular on-campus counterparts enrolled in the equivalent on-campus class was accomplished by using the Grade Analysis printout.

CHAPTER IV

PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

This chapter is organized in the following manner: Return Rates, Profile of Students, Grade Analysis, Instructor Evaluation, and Administrative Evaluation.

Return Rates

There were four different types of questionnaires used in this study.

There was a telecourse completer questionnaire, telecourse non-completer questionnaire, telecourse instructor questionnaire, and an administrator questionnaire.

Telecourse Completer Questionnaire

The questionnaire was administered during the final examination period on May 1, 2, and 3, 1981 when the students were on campus. The return rates by course for the telecourse completers are presented in Table 1. The total number of respondents were 339 out of 393 telecourse student enrollments for a return rate of 86.26 percent.

Telecourse Non-Completers Questionnaire

The telecourse non-completers questionnaire was initially mailed on

June 10, 1981. The questionnaires were coded to identify those not responding. On August 3, 1981 a second mailing was completed to those who had not yet responded. As a result of both mailings, there were 86 respondents out of 224 telecourse non-completer enrollments for a return rate of 38.39 percent. A further presentation of the results as broken down by each course is listed in Table II. After two mailings it was decided not to mail out a third time because some resentment was evident from individuals after the second mailing.

TABLE I

RESPONSE OF TELECOURSE COMPLETERS BY COURSE

	e le course	Number of Telecourse Completers	Number Responding	Percent Responding
BUS	1053	46	34	73.91
ECO	1353	38	36	94.74
ENG.	1113	59	55	93.22
ENG	1213	54	37	68.52
HIS	1483	36	33	91.67
HIS	1493	46	15	32.61
POS	1113	57	48	84.42
PSY	1113	56	46	82.14
TOTA	L	393	339	86.26

TABLE II

RESPONSE OF TELECOURSE NON-COMPLETERS BY COURSE

	Telecourse	Number of Questionnaires Distributed	Responses Received	Rec ei ved Letters But Did Not Respond
BUS	1053	16	6	9
ECO	1353	19	7	. 11
ENG	1113	34	8	22
ENG	1213	42	22	16
HIS	1483	29	13	12
HIS	1493	38	12	12
POS	1113	23	10	9
PSY	1113	33	8	22
TOTA	<u>L</u>	224	86	113

Instructor Questionnaire

The instructor questionnaire was delivered personally to each of the telecourse instructors. The instructors were given one week in which to respond and return the questionnaire. Questionnaires were delivered to 12 telecourse instructors with all 12 returned for a return rate of 100 percent.

Administrator Questionnaire

The administrator questionnaire was personally delivered to administrators

who had some knowledge of telecourses. The administrators were given one week in which to respond and return the questionnaire. If they did not respond a personal call was made to the individual and the form was then returned. There were 10 administrators selected and 10 responded for a return rate of 100 percent.

Profile of Students

The Student Profile Analysis, student's transcripts, and Student Grade
Analysis were all computer generated printouts that provided information on
the profile of the telecourse students. The raw data were compiled on each
of the 479 telecourse students listing age, previous degree, transfer status,
total hours earned previous to Spring Semester, 1981, grade point average
after Spring Semester, 1981, grades in each telecourse, and whether or not
the student had taken a previous telecourse.

In the study there were 339 women and 140 men enrolled in telecourses for a total of 479 students. The mean age for the women was 30.00 years and for the men the mean age was 28.34 years. The combined mean age for all students was 29.52 years with the median age being 25 years.

This profile also indicated that 48.97 percent of the women were enrolled in telecourses only. Thirty-five percent of the men were enrolled in telecourses only. This reflects 44.89 percent of the students who were enrolled solely in telecourses. The study indicated that 30 students, or 6.26 percent, had a previous degree; of these 30 students, 23 were women and 7 were men.

It should be noted that 146 students, consisting of 108 women and 38 men, had taken at least one previous telecourse which is 74 percent. The study also indicated that telecourse students had a combined grade point average of 2.46. The women had a grade point average of 2.53 while the men earned a grade point average of 2.32. In Table III the majors of all telecourse students are presented. It should be noted that most of the telecourses that were offered met the general education requirements needed by most majors.

TABLE III
TELECOURSE STUDENTS BY MAJORS

Liberal Arts Business Administration Nursing Applicant Accounting Computer Programmer Nursing Engineering Accounting Associate Banking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary) Psychology	130 53 32 27 24 16 13 10 10 10	11.1% 6.7% 5.6% 5.0% 3.3% 2.7% 2.1% 2.1% 2.1% 1.9%	Medical Doctor Music Physical Therapy Bio-Medical Equipment Technology Electro-Mechanical Technology Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 1	. 47 . 47 . 47 . 47 . 47 . 27 . 27
Vursing Applicant Accounting Computer Programmer Nursing Engineering Accounting Associate Sanking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	53 32 27 24 16 13 10 10 10	11.1% 6.7% 5.6% 5.0% 3.3% 2.7% 2.1% 2.1% 2.1% 1.9%	Home Economics Journalism Medical Doctor Music Physical Therapy Bio-Medical Equipment Technology Electro-Mechanical Technology Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	2 2 2 2 2 1 1	. 49 . 49 . 49 . 29 . 29 . 29
Accounting Computer Programmer Nursing Engineering Accounting Associate Banking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	27 24 16 13 10 10 10	5.7% 5.6% 5.0% 3.3% 2.7% 2.1% 2.1% 2.1%	Journalism Medical Doctor Music Physical Therapy Bio-Medical Equipment Technology Electro-Mechanical Technology Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	2 2 2 2 1 1	. 49 . 49 . 29 . 29 . 29
Computer Programmer Nursing Engineering Accounting Associate Banking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	24 16 13 10 10 10 10 9 8	5. 0% 3. 3% 2. 7% 2. 1% 2. 1% 2. 1% 1. 9%	Music Physical Therapy Bio-Medical Equipment Technology Electro-Mechanical Technology Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	2 2 2 1 1	. 4° . 4° . 2° . 2° . 2° . 2°
Nursing Engineering Accounting Associate Banking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	16 13 10 10 10 10 9 8	3. 3% 2. 7% 2. 1% 2. 1% 2. 1% 1. 9%	Physical Therapy Bio-Medical Equipment Technology Electro-Mechanical Technology Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	2 1 1	. 49
Nursing Engineering Accounting Associate Banking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	13 10 10 10 10 9 8	2. 7% 2. 1% 2. 1% 2. 1% 2. 1% 1. 9%	Bio-Medical Equipment Technology Electro-Mechanical Technology Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	2 1 1	. 49
Accounting Associate Banking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	10 10 10 10 9 8	2. 7% 2. 1% 2. 1% 2. 1% 2. 1% 1. 9%	Bio-Medical Equipment Technology Electro-Mechanical Technology Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	1	. 29
Banking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	10 10 10 9 8	2.1% 2.1% 2.1% 2.1% 1.9%	Electro-Mechanical Technology Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	1	. 29
Banking Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	10 10 9 8	2. 1% 2. 1% 2. 1% 1. 9%	Electronics Technology Finance General Office Assistant	1	. 29
Legal Assistant Education (Elementary)	10 9 8	2.1% 2.1% 1.9%	Finance General Office Assistant		. 29
Education (Elementary)	9	2.1% 1.9%	General Office Assistant	1	
	8	1.9%			. 29
	8		Industrial Security	ī	. 29
Administrative Management		1.7%	Lodging/Food Service Management	i	29
Marketing	- 8		Bookkeeping	i	20
Education (Secondary)	7		Labor Studies	ì	. 29
Business Education	4		Machinist Technology	;	. 2
English	4		Medical Secretary Applicant	1	. 20
Geology	4		Medical Secretary	i	. 20
Law	4		Personnel Assistant	;	. 2
None			Professional Secretary	;	. 20
Computer Operator	. 3		Real Estate	;	. 29
Drafting/Design Technology	3		Small Business Management	;	. 29
Fire Protection Technology	3		Surveying Technology	•	. 24
Horticulture Technology	3		Transportation/Traffic Management	•	. 29
Medical Laboratory Technology	3		Safety Technology	;	. 29
Police Science	3		Drama	1	. 29
Programmer Analyst	3		Health Education	•	. 29
Purchasing/Materials Management	3		History	•	. 29
Pharmacy	3		Library Science		. 20
Physical Education	3		Mathematics	•	. 29
Physical Science	3		Oceanographic	1	. 29
Dental Assistant	2		Philosophy	1	20
Insurance	2		Political Science	1	20
Medical Lab Technology Applicant	2		Radio	1	29
Respiratory Therapy	2	47		1	20
Word Processing Technology	2		Sociology	1	. 2
Architecture	2		Speech & Drama	1	. 29
Art	2		Veterinary Medicine	1	. 29

Analysis of Telecourse Student Questionnaire

Completers

Table IV presents the information and the method of registration for the telecourse completers. It should be noted that most students (45.50%) registered by telephone. The least amount registered through the group advisement center. Tables V through XXI indicate the completers response to the individual questions on the questionnaire. These tables reflect the total responses on all eight telecourses.

TABLE IV

METHOD OF REGISTRATION

OF TELECOURSE

COMPLETERS

	N	%
Telephone	138	45.4
Self-Advised On-Campus	118	38.8
Counseling Center	17	5.6
No Answer	14	4.6
Faculty	12	3.9
Group Advisement Center	5	1.6

As shown in Table V, 82.90 percent of the students, agreed or strongly agreed that the telecourse programs were interesting. Only 11.19 percent disagreed or strongly disagreed that the telecourse programs were interesting.

TABLE V

RESPONSES OF TELECOURSE COMPLETERS
TO QUESTION CONCERNING T.V.
PROGRAMS BEING INTERESTING

	N	%
Strongly Agree	78	25.66
Agree	174	57.24
No Opinion	14	4.61
Disagree	30	9.87
Strongly Disagree	4	1.32
No Answer	4	1.32

Table VI indicated that 91.12 percent of the telecourse completer respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that telecourse programs were informative. The table also indicates that 4.61 percent of the respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed on the programs being informative.

TABLE VI

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS CONCERNING
INFORMATIVE PROGRAMS

	N	%
Strongly Agree	80	26.32
Agree	197	64.80
No Opinion	11	3.62
Disagree	11	3.62
Strongly Disagree	3	.99
No Answer	2	.66

Table VII indicates that 93.75 percent of telecourse completer respondents agreed or strongly agreed that the telecourse programs were understandable. Also, 2.63 percent disagreed that the programs were understandable.

Table VIII indicates that 83.32 percent of the telecourse completer respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that the textbook was appropriate for the course. Ten and fifty-eight hundredths percent of the respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed that the textbook was appropriate for the telecourse.

Table IX indicates that 87.17 percent of the telecourse completer respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that the study guide helped them organize their studies as they went through the telecourse. Table IX also indicated that 7.57 percent either disagreed or strongly disagreed that the

study guide was helpful.

TABLE VII

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING
UNDERSTANDABILITY OF PROGRAMS

	N	%
Strongly Agree	70	23.03
Agree	215	70.72
No Opinion	8	2.63
Disagree	8	2.63
Strongly Disagree	0	0
No Answer	3	.99

Table X indicates that 86.51 percent of the telecourse completer respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that the exercises and readings in the study guide helped them improve their knowledge of the telecourse. The table also shows that 6.25 percent either disagreed or strongly disagreed that the study guide helped them improve their knowledge of the course.

Table XI indicates that 53.29 percent of the telecourse completer respondents either agreed or strongly agreed on finding their on-campus telecourse instructor helpful. The table also shows that 5.92 percent of the respondents either disagreed or strongly disagreed that on-campus telecourse

instructors was helpful. It should be noted that 39.15 percent had no opinion on this question.

TABLE VIII

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING
APPROPRIATENESS OF TEXTBOOK

	N		%
Strongly Agree	85		27.96
Agree	168		55.26
No Opinion	16	•	5.26
Disagree	26		8.55
Strongly Disagree	7		2.03
No Answer	2		.66

Table XII indicates that 85.53 percent of the telecourse completer respondents agreed or strongly agreed that the examination covered the material in the telecourse.

Table XIII indicates that 60.53 percent of the telecourse completer respondents had no opinion on the telecourse hotline being helpful. The table also indicates that 31.25 percent either agreed or strongly agreed that the hotline is helpful. Also 5.26 percent of the respondents either disagreed of strongly disagreed on the hotline being helpful.

TABLE IX

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING
HELPFULNESS OF STUDY GUIDE

	N	%
Strongly Agree	115	37.83
Agree	150	49.34
No Opinion	13	4.28
Disagree	21	6.91
Strongly Disagree	2	.65
No Answer	3	.99

TABLE X

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING
HELPFULNESS OF STUDY GUIDE
EXERCISES AND READINGS

	N	%
Strongly Agree	103	33.88
Agree	160	52.63
No Opinion	18	5.92
Disagree	14	4.61
Strongly Disagree	5	1.64
No Answer	4	1.32

TABLE XI

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING
HELPFULNESS OF INSTRUCTOR

	N	%
Strongly Agree	60	19.74
Agree	102	33.55
No Opinion	119	39.14
Disagree	10	3.29
Strongly Disagree	8	2.63
No Answer	5	1.64

TABLE XII

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING EXAMINATIONS

	N	. %
Strongly Agree	68	22.37
Agree	192	63.16
No Opinion	20	6.58
Disagree	16	5.26
Strongly Disagree	5	1.64
No Answer	3	.99

TABLE XIII

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING
HELPFULNESS OF HOTLINE

	. N	%
Strongly Agree	27	8.88
Agree	68	22.37
No Opinion	184	60.53
Disagree	10	3.29
Strongly Disagree	6	1.97
No Answer	9	2.96

Table XIV indicates that 82.89 percent of the telecourse completer respondents agreed or strongly agreed while 9.87 percent either disagreed or strongly disagreed on being satisfied with the course overall.

TABLE XIV

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING

OVERALL COURSE SATISFACTION

	N	%
Strongly Agree	63	20.72
Agree	189	62.17
No Opinion	17	5.59
Disagree	19	6.25
Strongly Disagree	9,,, 11	3.62
No Answer	5	1.64

Table XV indicates that 17.76 percent of the telecourse completer respondents had no opinion on their current grade reflecting mastery of the course material while 12.50 percent either disagreed or strongly disagreed.

TABLE XV

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING
MASTERY AND GRADE REFLECTION

	N	%
Strongly Agree	36	11.84
Agree	154	50.66
No Opinion	54	17.76
Disagree	33	10.86
Strongly Disagree	5	1.64
No Answer	22	7.24

Table XVI indicates that 26 students enrolled primarily for the convenience of telecourses, while 16 enrolled for the reason of saving time, gas, and money.

The majority of the responses in Table XVII related to the telecourse programs being interesting, while the next highest response indicated the telecourse provided information.

Dislikes about the telecourse, as indicated in Table XVIII included testing, the study guide, and the fact that they missed conversation and explanation from the instructors.

TABLE XVI
RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING

WHY ENROLLED IN A TELECOURSE

Convenient	26
To save time, gas, and money	16
To remain at home with child (children)	10
Curriculum requirement	7
None of the courses offered on-campus fit into my schedule	7
Could not meet class on a regular basis	6
Flexible times	3
Needed for teaching certificate	3
Self-improvement Self-improvement	3
All other English classes were closed	3
Transportation not available	2
Because I enjoy studying History	1
Business often takes me out of state for lengthy periods	1
I thought it would be a different source of learning and	1
may be more helpful to me	
Investment and money management	1
Student at Tulsa University, work full-time and course is	
required at Tulsa University for graduation	1
To avoid parking difficulties at Tulsa Junior College	. 1
Wanted to assure myself I had the ability to study after	
being out of school 21 years	1

Non-Completers

As part of the analysis of the non-completers responses, three tables are utilized for the presentation of findings. Table XXII indicates the student status of the telecourse non-completer. As indicated, the majority or 80.23 percent of the non-completers are part-time students. Table XXIII indicates the method of registration of the non-completers. As the table indicates the majority of the non-completers registered by telephone. Table XXIV on the

non-completers lists the reasons why the non-completers did not finish the course. As indicated, most non-completers stated that the telecourse took more time than they had anticipated. The second most frequent answer was that the student was unable to keep up with the assignments.

TABLE XVII RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING WHAT WAS LIKED ABOUT THE TELECOURSE

			Ţ
Interesting		3	n
Information		2	
Independent Study			9
I can study at home after work			7
I learned a lot			6
The text			5
I can study at my own pace and	watch the lesson		4
more than once .			
The instructors, the attitude that	they really		4
want to help you			
I feel more confident about my	writing		2
Love the talks and workbooks			2
The author of the book doing the	e show		2
Different historian's views			1
Do not have to listen to teacher	s personal problems in class		1
I have to be honest and not say	· ·		1
I really like the way the study g	• • •		1
Interesting "on-spot" history pres			1
It has me more involved in famil			1
Learning about the stockmarket			1
Reading of the plays			1

TABLE XVIII

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING WHAT WAS DISLIKED ABOUT THIS COURSE

	N
Testing	19
Nothing	15
Study Guide	12
Miss conversation and explanations from instructor	10
Textbook	9
Too much reading	7
Lack of classroom participation	6
Not convenient	6
Each program covers subject too fast	5
No class discussion	3
Too lax, takes too much discipline, too easy to let classes go	3
Long periods between tests	2
Sometimes correct program was not shown on TV	2
It was too easy for me to skip lessons	1
Lack of personal contact	1
Not interesting	1
Poetry	1

Table XXV reveals the response to the question concerning why students enrolled in the telecourse. Responses indicated the major reasons for enrolling related to needing the telecourse credit for a degree, convenience of staying at home, and saving gas, time, and money.

Table XXVI indicates that not having time for the telecourse was the leading "other" reason for not having completed the telecourse. It should be noted that several specific individual reasons are listed in Table XXVI.

TABLE XIX

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING WHAT WAYS THE TELECOURSE COULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE

		N
Improve tests and feedback on tests		16
Better study guide and textbook		10
Have a few required sessions with on-campus	instructor	10
Improve TV programs		7
Less reading		3
More contact with instructors		3
Offer a greater selection of courses		2
Better hotline		1
Know instructor better		1

TABLE XX

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING WHAT TIME OF DAY WAS MOST CONVENIENT FOR VIEWING TELECOURSES

		N
Evening		125
Morning		42
Week-ends		18
Afternoon		. 11
Around the clock		2

TABLE XXI

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING COMMENTS, AND/OR SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE COURSE OVERALL

	N
Improve textbook	9
Improve study guide	6
Have some type of test review	5
Update TV programs	4
Make sure the tapes come on as scheduled	3
Change the music played on the introduction	2
Have homework to turn in to help improve grade	٠ . ٦
Improve orientation	ו
To have a more personable contact with the class, for example	1
study partner and better contact with the instructor	_

TABLE XXII

STUDENT STATUS OF TELECOURSE NON-COMPLETERS

	N	%
Full-Time Student (12 hours or more)	15	17.44
Part-Time Student (less than 12 hours)	69	80.23
No Answer	2	2.33

METHOD OF REGISTRATION FOR TELECOURSE NON-COMPLETERS

	N	%
Telephone	40	46.0
Self-Advised On-Campus	35	40.2
Counseling Center	6	6.9
No Answer	4	4.6
Faculty	2	2.3
Group Advisement Center	0	0

Grade Analysis

Table XXVIII through XXXV present the grade analysis comparison on each individual telecourse with the total grade analysis of the same on-campus course. Table XXXVI reflects the comparison of total telecourse offerings with the total on-campus courses.

In analyzing these findings, it was found that the telecourses had fewer completers in all cases than the on-campus counterpart. The mean indicated 13.15 percent fewer completers in the telecourses than in the same on-campus courses. This difference ranged from a low difference of 4.48 percent in ENG 1113, Freshman Composition I, to a high difference of 20.18 percent in HIS 1483, American History 1492-1865.

TABLE XXIV

REASONS FOR NOT COMPLETING TELECOURSE
BY TELECOURSE NON-COMPLETERS

	N	%
The telecourse required more time than I had anticipated	27	12.09
Was unable to keep up with assignments	25	11.19
Overall course load too heavy	19	8.47
Broadcast schedule was not convenient	13	5.89
Did not like TV course	13	5.89
Was not motivated for this type of instruction	11	4.99
Job Transfer	11	4.99
Illness (self)	10	4.49
Job difficulty	9	4.09
Grades earned were unacceptable	9	4.09
Dissatisfied with video tape programs	8	3.69
Dissatisfied with the study guide	8	3.6%
Dissatisfied with on-campus telecourse instructor	7	3.19
Dissatisfied with course assignments (quantity or quality)	7	3.19
Moved	7	3.19
Family problems	7	3.19
Exams were too difficult	7	3.19
Dissatisfied with the textbook	6	2.79
Illness (family)	6	2.79
Not interested in the course	6	2.79
Cable service not available	4	1.80
Orientation was not sufficient	2	.9%
Unable to contact telecourse instructor	2	.9%
Did not attend orientation	1	. 49
Enrolled in the wrong class	0	

Table XXVIII indicates that 21.93 percent of the on-campus students received A's as compared to 14.51 percent in the telecourse. Also, 17.74 percent of the students received F's in the telecourse as compared to 12.62 percent in the on-campus course. This table indicates that 10.86 percent more students completed the on-campus course as opposed to the telecourse.

TABLE XXV

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING
WHY ENROLLED IN THE TELECOURSE

	N
Needed credit for degree	14
Convenience of staying at home	10
Save gas, time, and money	8
Needed prerequisite for B.S. degree in nursing	4
Small children at home	4
To obtain 3 credits	4
Self-improvement	3
Interested in convenience and home study	2
Telecourses fit into schedule better than on-campus courses	2
For additional information in personal money management	1
Increase my business knowledge	1

Table XXIX reveals that 26.79 percent of the students enrolled in the on-campus class received A's as compared to 14.03 percent A's in the telecourse. Also, 33.33 percent of the students enrolled did not complete the telecourse as compared to 24.22 percent not completing the on-campus course.

Table XXX indicates that 32.25 percent of the students received B's in the telecourse as contrasted to 18.58 percent B's in the on-campus class.

Also, there were 36.55 percent non-completers in the telecourse and 32.08 percent non-completers in the on-campus course.

Reflected in Table XXXI is the fact that 8.32 percent of the on-campus course students received F's. This compares to almost the same percent (8.33) receiving F's in the telecourse. The table further indicates 23.66 percent of the on-campus students did not complete the course as compared to a 43.75

percent non-completion rate in the telecourse.

TABLE XXVI RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING OTHER REASONS WHY COURSE NOT COMPLETED

	N
Didn't have time for course	4
Hospitalized relative	3
Car accident	2
Hospitalized during final examination	2
Job load and course load too heavy	2
Making wedding preparations	2
Didn't like grading or testing system	2.
Didn't provide additional information as expected,	1
simply a review of already known information	
Divorced with a 5 year old child, work full-time	1
Needed even later TV cable service	
Out of town due to job travel	1

Table XXXII reveals that 23.82 percent of the students received B's in the on-campus course as compared to 12.30 percent receiving B's in the telecourse. The table also indicates 55.38 percent of the students completed the telecourse and 75.50 percent of the students completed the on-campus course.

Eighteen and twenty-three hundredths percent of the students enrolled in the on-campus course received A's as compared to 5.40 percent receiving A's in the History 1493 telecourse, as indicated in Table XXXIII. Table XXXIII further reveals 37.83 percent of the students enrolled in the telecourse were

non-completers and 20.50 percent of the enrollees were non-completers in the on-campus course.

TABLE XXVII

RESPONSES TO QUESTION CONCERNING ENROLLING IN A TELECOURSE IN THE FUTURE

	N
Yes	59
No	20
Maybe	1
No Answer	6

Table XXXIV indicates 8.86 percent of the on-campus students earned D's as compared to 1.25 percent D's in the telecourse. Table XXXIV further reveals that 81.28 percent of the on-campus students were completers. This compares with 71.25 percent completers in the telecourse.

Table XXXV reveals that in PSY 1113 23.26 percent of the students earned C's in the on-campus course. This compares with 15.73 percent earning C's in the telecourse. This table also shows that 7.51 percent of the on-campus students reveived F's as compared to 12.35 percent receiving F's in the telecourse.

TABLE XXVIII

COMPARISON OF BUS 1053 TELECOURSE
AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

			On-Campus		Telecourse	
Grades		Ņ	%	·	N	%
A		66	21.93	1	9	14.51
В		73	24.25		15	24.19
C	*	61	20.26	1	10	15.12
D		18	5.98		1	1.61
F	1	38	12.62		11	17.74
Audit	AU	0	0		0	0
Satisfactory	S	0	0		0	0
Total Completers		256	85.05		46	74.19
Withdrawal	w	19	6.31		4	6.45
Withdrawal (Passing)	WP	19	6.31		5	8.06
Administrative Withdrawal	WN	3	.99	i	1	1.61
Incomplete	1	2	.66		2	3.22
Withdrawal (Failing)	WF	2	.66		4	6.45
No Grade	NG	0	0	. 1	0	0
No Credit	NC	0	0		0	0
Total Non-Completers		45	14.95		16	25.80
Grand Total		301			62	

As shown in Table XXXVI, there were 76.92 percent completers in the total on-campus courses. The same table reveals that 66.11 percent of the students completed the total telecourses.

TABLE XXIX

COMPARISON OF ECO 1353 TELECOURSE

AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

			On-Campus	Telec	Telecourse	
Grades		N	%	N	%	
A		30	26.79	8	14.03	
В		24	21.43	19	33.33	
C	1	21	18.75	10	17.54	
D	l	3	2.68	0	0	
F		6	5.36	i	1.75	
Audit	AU	ĭ	.89	0	0	
Satisfactory	S	Ô	0	Ö	0	
Total Completers		85	75.89	38	66.66	
Withdrawal	w	11	9.82	8	14.03	
Withdrawal (Passing)	WD	9	8.04	9	15 <i>.7</i> 8	
Administrative Withdrawal	WN	5	4.46	0	0	
Incomplete	1	2	1.79	2	3.50	
Withdrawal (Failing)	WF	0	0	0	0	
No Grade	NG	0	0	0	0	
No Credit	NC	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-Completers		27	24.22	19	33.33	
Grand Total		112		57		

Instructor Evaluation of Telecourses

Tables XXXVII through XLVI indicates the responses of the telecourse instructors to questions 1 - 10 on the instructor questionnaire.

In Table XXXVII the instructors either agreed or strongly agreed at a rate of 66.66 percent on Question 1, which related to the non-completion

rate. In the same table, 25 percent of the instructors disagreed with the statement about the non-completion rate of students being higher with telecourses.

TABLE XXX

COMPARISON OF ENG 1113 TELECOURSE AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

		0	n-Campus	Tele	Telecourse	
Grades		N	%	N	%	
A		83	12.44	12	12.90	
В		124	18.59	30	32.25	
C		117	17.54	11	11.82	
D		ł		0	0	
F		45	6.75	1	ū	
	A 1 1		12.44	6	6.45	
Audit	AU		.15	0	0	
Satisfactory	S	0	0	0	. 0	
Total Completers		453	67.93	59	63.44	
Withdrawal	W	84	12.59	11	11.82	
Withdrawal (Passing)	WP	<i>7</i> 8	11.69	22	23.65	
Administrative Withdrawal	WN	29	4.53	1	1.07	
Incomplete	1	9	1.35	0	0	
Withdrawal (Failing)	WF	14	2.10	0	0	
No Grade	NG	0	0	0	0	
No Credit	NC	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-Completers		214	32.08	34	36.55	
Grand Total		667		67		

TABLE XXXI

COMPARISON OF ENG 1213 TELECOURSE

AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

машинатической павилания и использования быльные учение на навинатичности, и использования и использования и и		Or	n-Campus	Tele	Telecourse	
Grades		N	%	N	%	
A		109	10.04		0.00	
A			12.96	8	8.33	
В		205	24.38	25	26.05	
C		204	24.26	10	10.41	
D		54	6.42	3	3.12	
F		70	8.32	8	8.33	
Audit	ΑU	0	0	0	0	
Satisfactory	S	0	0	0	0	
Total Completers		642	76.34	54	56.25	
Withdrawal	W	79	9.39	20	20.83	
Withdrawal (Passing)	WP	75	8.92	8	8.33	
Administrative Withdrawal	WN	11	1.31	0	0	
Incomplete	1	12	1.43	0	0	
Withdrawal (Failing)	WF	22	2.62	14	14.58	
No Grade	NG	0	0	0	0	
No Credit	NC	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-Completers		199	23.66	42	43.75	
Grand Total		841		96		

As indicated in Table XXXVIII, 75 percent of the instructors either agreed or strongly agreed on the idea that telecasts present comprehensive information on the subject, while 25 percent of the instructors either disagreed, strongly disagreed, or indicated no answer.

The findings presented in Table XXXIX indicates that 91.66 percent of the instructors felt that the study guide was helpful to the student. On the same question, 8.33 percent had no opinion and not one instructor either

disagreed or strongly disagreed.

TABLE XXXII

COMPARISON OF HIS 1483 TELECOURSE
AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

		On-Campus	Te	lecourse
Grades	Ν	%	N	%
A	79	16.22	7	10 <i>.7</i> 6
В	116	23.82	8	12.30
C	<i>7</i> 8	16.02	12	18.46
D	44	9.03	4	6 .1 5
F	51	10.47	5	7.69
Audit AU	0	0	0	0
Satisfactory S	0	0	0	. 0
Total Completers	368	75 . 56	36	55 .3 8
Withdrawal W	41	8.42	13	20.00
Withdrawal (Passing) WP	35	7.19	7	10.76
Administrative Withdrawal WN	10	2.50	0	0
Incomplete	5	1.03	1	1.53
Withdrawal (Failing) WF	28	5 . 75	8	12.30
No Grade NG	0	0	0	0
No Credit NC	0	0	0	0
Total Non-Completers	119	24.44	29	44.61
Grand Total	487		65	

Eighty-three and thirty-four hundredths percent of the instructors either agreed or strongly agreed in Table XL that the textbook presents material in an understandable manner. Only 8.33 percent of the instructors disagreed on this same question.

TABLE XXXIII

COMPARISON OF HIS 1493 TELECOURSE
AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

การแบบแบบการการการการการการการการการการการการการก	-	С	n-Campus	Telecourse	
Grades		N	%	N	%
A		101	18.23	4	5.40
В		96	17.33	14	18.91
C		120	21.66	16	21.62
D		54	9.75	10	13.51
F		68	12.27	2	2.70
Audit	ΑU	2	.36	0	0
Satisfactory	S	v / 0	0	0	0
Total Completers		441	79.60	46	62.16
Withdrawal	W	48	8.66	10	13.51
Withdrawal (Passing)	WP	27	4.87	13	17.56
Administrative Withdrawal	WN	9	1.62	2	2.70
Incomplete	1	7	1.26	0	0
Withdrawal (Failing)	WF	22	3.97	3	4.05
No Grade	NG	0	0	0	0
No Credit	NC	0	0	0	0
Total Non-Completers		113	20.40	28	37.83
Grand Total		554	and a fine of the state of the	74	

Table XLI, regarding outside assignments, indicated that 58.33 percent of the instructors either agreed or strongly agreed that these assignments were helpful to the student. Thirty-three and thirty-three hundreths percent of the instructors indicated that this question was not applicable to their course.

In Table XLII on the optional study sessions, 66.66 percent of the instructors either agreed or strongly agreed that these sessions were helpful to the student. Sixteen and sixty-seven hundredths percent had no opinion and

16.67 percent of the instructors indicated that this was not applicable to their course.

TABLE XXXIV

COMPARISON OF POS 1113 TELECOURSE

AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

		Or	-Campus	Tele	Telecourse	
Grades		N	%	Ν	%	
		:				
A		221	24.47	16	20.00	
В	4"	194	21.48	20	25.00	
C		152	16.83	14	17.50	
D		80	8.86	1	1.25	
F		87	9.63	6	7.50	
Audit	AU	0	0	0	0	
Satisfactory	S	0	0	0	0	
Total Completers	1	437	81.28	57	71.25	
Withdrawal	W	42	4.68	9	11.25	
Withdrawal (Passing)	WP	74	8.19	8	10.00	
Administrative Withdrawal	WN	15	1.66	0	0	
Incomplete	1	- 11	1.22	6	7.50	
Withdrawal (Failing)	WF	0	0	0	0	
No Grade	NG	0	0	0	0	
No Credit	NC	0	0	0	0	
Total Non-Completers		169	18.72	23	28.75	
Grand Total		903		80		

COMPARISON OF PSY 1113 TELECOURSE AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

		(On-Campus		Tele	course
Grades		Ν	%		N	%
A		93	17.03	•	18	20.22
В		1				20.22
		122	22.34		- 11	12.35
C		127	23.26	17.4	14	15 <i>.7</i> 3
D		31	5.68		2	2.24
F		41	7.51		11	12.35
Audit	ΑU	0	0		0	0
Satisfactory	S	0	0		0	0
Total Completers		414	75.82		56	62.92
West-January	٠,	47	0 (1		10	10 40
	W	47	8.61		12	13.48
· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	WP	59	10.81		19	21.34
Administrative Withdrawal	MM	16	2.93		0	0
Incomplete	l	2	.37		2	2.24
Withdrawal (Failing)	WF	8	1.47		0	0
No Grade	NG	0	0		0	0
No Credit	NC	0	0		0	0
Total Non-Completers		132	24.18		33	37.07
Grand Total		546	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		89	

In Table XLIII, regarding periodic newsletters, 75 percent of the instructors indicated that they either agreed or strongly agreed the newsletter would be useful to the student. Sixteen and sixty-seven percent of the instructors had no opinion on this item.

Table XLIV presents the fact that 100 percent of the instructors either agreed or strongly agreed that the telecourse hotline is helpful to the student.

TABLE XXXVI

COMPARISON OF TOTAL TELECOURSE
AND ON-CAMPUS GRADES

		On-Campus		Tele	course	
Grades		Ν	1 %	N	%	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		700	17.70	20	10 41	
A		782	17.72	82	12.41	
В		954	21.63	142	21.48	
C		680	19. 95	97	14.67	
D		329	7.46	21	3.18	
F		444	10.07	95	14.37	
Audit	ΑU	4	.09	0	0	
Satisfactory	S	0	0	0	0	
Total Completers		3393	76.92	437	66.11	
Withdrawal	W	371	8.41	87	13.16	
Withdrawal (Passing)	WP	376	8.52	91	13.77	
Administrative Withdrawal	WN	98	2.22	4	.61	
Incomplete	1	50	1.13	13	1.97	
Withdrawal (Failing)	WF	123	2.79	29	4.39	
No Grade	NG	0	0	0	0	
No Credit	NC	. 0	0	0	0	
Total Non-Completers		1018	23.08	224	33.89	
Grand Total		4411		661		

Question 9, regarding the testing procedure and listed in Table XLV, 91.67 percent of the instructors either agreed or strongly agreed that the testing procedure was adequate for the student. On the same question, 8.33 percent of instructors strongly disagreed.

As reflected in Table XLVI, 91.66 percent of the instructors felt that the make-up test procedure was adequate for the student.

TABLE XXXVII

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF TELECOURSE NON-COMPLETION RATE

		 N	%
Strongly Agree		4	33.33
Agree		4	33.33
No Opinion		1	8.33
Disagree		3	25.00
Strongly Disagree		0	0
No Answer		0	0

TABLE XXXVIII

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF TELECOURSE COMPREHENSIVENESS

		N		%
Strongly Agree		2		16.67
Agree		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	58.33
No Opinion		0		0
Disagree		1		8.33
Strongly Disagree		J		8.33
No Answer		0		8.33

TABLE XXXIX

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF STUDY GUIDE HELPFULNESS

	N	%
Strongly Agree	4	33.33
Agree	7.	58.33
No Opinion		8.33
Disagree	0	0
Strongly Disagree	0	0
No Answer	0	0

TABLE XL

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF TEXTBOOK MATERIAL

	N	%
Strongly Agree	2	16.67
Agree	8	66.67
No Opinion	1	8.33
Disagree	1	8.33
Strongly Disagree	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0
No Answer	. , 0	0

TABLE XLI

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF HELPFULNESS
OF OUTSIDE ASSIGNMENTS

	 N ₂	%
Strongly Agree	3	25.00
Agree	4	33.33
No Opinion	1	8.33
Disagree	0	0
Strongly Disagree	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
No Answer	0	0
Not Applicable	4	33.33

TABLE XLII

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF HELPFULNESS
OF OPTIONAL STUDY SESSIONS

	N	%
Strongly Agree	1	8.33
Agree	7	58.33
No Opinion	2	16.67
Disagree	0	0
Strongly Disagree	0	0
No Answer	0	0
Not Applicable	2	16.67

TABLE XLIII

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF USEFULNESS OF PERIODIC NEWSLETTERS

	N	%
Strongly Agree	1,1	8.33
Agree	8	66.67
No Opinion	2	16.67
Disagree		8.33
Strongly Disagree	0	0
No Answer	0	0

TABLE XLIV

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF HELPFULNESS OF HOTLINE

	N	%
Strongly Agree	5	41.67
Agree	7	58.33
No Opinion	0	0
Disagree	0	0
Strongly Disagree	0	0
No Answer	0	0

TABLE XLV

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF ADEQUACY
OF TESTING PROCEDURE

	N	%
Strongly Agree	3	25.00
Agree	8	66.67
No Opinion	0	0
Disagree	0	0
Strongly Disagree	1	8.33
No Answer	0.	0

TABLE XLVI

INSTRUCTOR PERCEPTIONS OF ADEQUACY

OF MAKE-UP TEST PROCEDURE

	N	%
Strongly Agree	4	33.33
Agree	7	58.33
No Opinion	0	0
Disagree	0	0
Strongly Disagree	1	8.33
No Answer	0	0

Further Instructor Evaluation of Telecourses

Question 11 related to whether the instructor would like to continue to coordinate a telecourse. All 12 instructors indicated they would like to continue to coordinate a telecourse.

Questions 12 - 18 required a written response and are summarized for each question separately. On question 12, which was, "Of the supplemental material, which is the most helpful to the students?" The results revealed the study guide was the most frequently mentioned. Instructors also stated that review or study sessions on-campus were helpful to students. The telecourse booklet was also identified as being beneficial supplementary material for students. The telecourse syllabus was listed several times as being helpful to students. The telecourse textbook was also mentioned, but not as often as the other material.

Question 13 was, "Of the supplemental material, which is the least helpful to the student?" Most instructors felt that all the supplemental material was helpful to the student. There were, however, some comments that the textbooks may be somewhat difficult and the brochure could be improved.

There was quite a variety of responses to question 14, "What areas do you think need to be improved?" On two of the courses, Personal Finance and Freshman Composition II, the suggestion was made that these courses be revised somewhat. The telecourse orientation sessions were mentioned as still being a problem for some students. This comment would seem to relate to

the statement that communication needs to be improved. Another comment had to do with insuring that the students do their own work. Also, much more writing practice is needed. One quote was, "Much more course emphasis on how to compose, rather than how to love good writing."

Question 15 was, "What are the most frequent comments the students offer to you concerning telecourses?" These comments were widely varied. The students seemed to want closer contact with the instructors and miss the opportunity for class discussion and exchanges with other students. The students also mentioned the fact that it is hard to have enough self-discipline to stay with the course. But yet other students indicate there is no one to push them and they like it this way. Others say the time demands are too great on them, that is, working, going to school, family, and so on.

Question 16 was, "Is the grade distribution in the telecourse the same as the on-campus course?" The perception of the instructor on this question was that the grade distribution was either slightly higher or about the same in the telecourses as in their on-campus class. One observation was that the telecourse student appeared to drop the course, where on-campus students would try to hang on for a D grade.

On question 17, "What percent of the telecourse students communicate with you?" provided quite a range of answers. This question was broken down into three classifications, by phone, by mail, and in person. The range on "by phone" was from 5 percent to 33 1/3 percent for a mean of 21.89 percent. The range on "by mail" was from 1 percent to 100 percent

for a mean of 23.3 percent. The "in person" range was from 0 percent to 100 percent for a mean of 15.45 percent.

The last question, question 18 was, "What additional comments and/or suggestions for improvement do you have?" Comments related to release time for faculty to revise some courses, some type of group discussion or telephone buddy system for students, and better coordination of telecourses and the same on-campus course.

Administrative Evaluation

The presentation of findings for the Administrative Evaluation of telecourses will be summarized for each question on the questionnaire. The first
question related to the value of the telecourse experience for students. Some
of the comments were very brief but indicated in their opinion the experience
for students was excellent, very valuable and good. One commented that
the experience should be for the student with a high degree of self-motivation with the obvious drawback being the lack of interaction between student
and instructor, and student and other students. Another interesting comment
was that telecourses may be the, "Flicker of flame" that will enable many
students to keep their educational lifetime flame burning until they can
either return to the traditional learning environment or until education
develops more learning delivery systems.

The second question was, "What is the value of telecourse offerings to Tulsa Junior College?" The brief responses indicated excellent to very good.

One response suggested that telecourse added another dimension to Tulsa Junior College's instructional services and are meeting the needs of certain adults who are unable to fit into a traditional schedule because they work odd hours or have other conflicts. Another comment was that telecourses serve certain handicapped students. Another observation was that telecourses allows Tulsa Junior College to serve more students than could be accommodated in present facilities. Also, a person commented that the offering of telecourses had generated additional publicity for the college.

Question 3 considered the value of telecourses to the community.

The comment was made that telecourses are excellent enrichment of the community whether taken for credit or just viewing as a program. Telecourses are a means of bringing higher education to the home. Telecourses may be the best mode of learning for some adults and of the approximately 500 students taking telecourses, 225 would not be taking any course if not for telecourses.

Question 4 asked about the cost effectiveness of telecourses. The responses indicated telecourses were very cost effective with Tulsa Junior College having a potential cable television market of 100,000 homes. Also, it was mentioned that other areas of the college benefited cost wise from telecourses, that is, areas such as advertising and publicity.

In response to question 5 which was, "Should other colleges become involved with telecourses?" Most administrators recommended that other colleges become involved in telecourses. The indication was positive if

duplication of courses was avoided and superior quality of courses was maintained.

Question 6 was, "What has been the faculty reaction to telecourses?" According to the administrators, the faculty reaction has been quite positive after some initial apprehension. There was one response that after the apprehension was over, faculty either approved of or became apathic about telecourse offerings.

The last question, question 7, asked the administrator to comment on the offering of telecourses in the future for higher education. All of the respondents felt that telecourses would definitely be offered in the future. One person stated that telecourses would serve between 10 and 20 percent of the adults enrolled in higher education by 1990. Another indicated that telecourses are only a, "Tip of the iceberg" of the possibilities in utilizing the electronic media as an educational delivery system. One observation was that telecourses will probably be more effective at the graduate level since the motivation levels and self-directed learning skills of the advanced students should be greater.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS

Discussion of this chapter is divided into three sections. The first section presents a summary of the study. The conclusions of the study are presented next, and the implications for research and practice are discussed in the last portion of the chapter.

Summary

The purpose of this study was to evaluate and analyze the perceptions of those involved with telecourses at Tulsa Junior College for future decision—making purposes to improve telecourse offerings and student services. The study sought to answer the following questions:

- 1. How do students (both completers and non-completers) view the telecourse experience?
- 2. How do Tulsa Junior College telecourse instructors view the telecourse experience?
- 3. How do Tulsa Junior College administrators view the telecourse experience?
- 4. How do the grades of students enrolled in telecourses compare to the grades of students enrolled in the same on-campus courses?
 - 5. What are the perceived advantages of telecourses?

- 6. What type of students have the greatest success in telecourses?
- 7. What does the demographic data reveal about students enrolled in telecourses?
 - 8. What are the recommendations for the future regarding telecourses?

A comprehensive review of the literature was conducted by the researcher. This review indicated the growth of the Community/Junior College movement and more specifically Tulsa Junior College. The review also presented the growth of cable television, both locally and nationally. The study further revealed television to be a viable means of instruction. Also, it appears that not much research has been done with telecourses offered via cable television.

The subjects selected were the students enrolled in the telecourses for the Spring Semester, 1981. The faculty selected were the twelve faculty involved in the eight Spring Semester telecourses. Administrators were selected by the researcher for their past contact and familiarization with telecourses.

Questionnaires were developed and field tested for students (both completers and non-completers), faculty, and administrators. Also used in the study were a Student Profile Analysis, students' transcripts, and the Grade Analysis.

The questionnaire was administered to the telecourse completers on campus. Non-completer results were compiled as a result of two mailings. Faculty and administrators questionnaires were hand delivered and returned to the researcher's office.

Data were analyzed using the percentage concept on the objectivetype responses. The questions that required written responses were reported in narrative form.

The Student Profile Analysis and the students' transcripts were used to gather specific data about individual students. Grade point averages were reported for all students including an analysis of grade point averages by sex. Students with previous college degrees were compiled from this data. Comparison of grades of telecourse students with their on-campus counterparts was accomplished by using the Grade Analysis printout.

Conclusions

The conclusions for this study are listed following each specific question the study sought to answer.

How Do Students (Both Completers and Non-Completers) View the Telecourse Experience?

The telecourse experience for the most part was viewed as a positive experience for both completers and non-completers. This was evidenced, in part, by the fact that the majority of the non-completers indicated they would enroll in a telecourse in the future.

How Do Tulsa Junior College Telecourse

Instructors View the Telecourse Experience?

The instructors basically felt the telecourse experience was an effective

mode of instruction as long as quality control was maintained for the course.

Without exception the instructors indicated they would like to coordinate telecourses in the future.

How Do Tulsa Junior College Administrators View the Telecourse Experience?

The administrators felt very positive toward the telecourse experience for students. Like the instructors, the administrators also felt that quality must be maintained. They also felt this was an excellent mode of instruction to meet the needs of the adult learner.

How Do the Grades of Students Enrolled in Telecourses Compare to the Grades of Students Enrolled in the Same On-Campus Courses?

In the majority of the grade comparisons, the on-campus student received higher grades. The total Grade Analysis reflected the fact that 10 percent more of the students complete the on-campus course as compared to the telecourse.

What are the Perceived Advantages of Telecourses?

It was indicated in the study that some perceived advantages of telecourses were that the courses fit into a degree plan, the courses were convenient, and the student could save gas, time, and money. Another perceived advantage was the independent study aspect of the telecourse. Some of the students thought the independent study aspect was a very good approach to meet their learning style.

Implications for Research and Practice

Implications for Practice

The implications for practice from the results of this study are as follows:

Course Revisions

The study indicated that two of the telecourses might need some revision to better meet the needs of the students. This basically would include some updating of the telecourse tapes and revamping the study guides.

Communication

Another implication of the study would be to reconsider the communication with telecourse students. The study revealed that students desired not only more contact with the telecourse instructor, but also with other students. Orientation sessions should be looked at closely for possible revision. More on-campus sessions may be required. A newsletter may be desirable for all telecourse students.

Scheduling

Efforts should be made to assure that all telecourse segments be shown during the evening hours as this was by far the most desirable time for students to view telecourses.

What Type of Students Have the Greatest

Success in Telecourses?

It appears from the study that a student with an adequate grade point average in previous courses should be successful in telecourses. Also, if a student has been successful in a previous telecourse, the chance of being successful in another telecourse is good. In addition, the female student appeared to have been more successful than the male student. Further, if a student was admitted on special approval his/her chances of being successful were greater.

What Does the Demographic Data Reveal

About Students Enrolled in Telecourses?

Demographic data revealed that the majority of the students enrolled in telecourses were female. The mean age of all students enrolled in telecourses was 30 years of age. However, ages ranged from the youngest student at 17 years of age to the oldest person at 62 years of age.

What Are the Recommendations for the

Future Regarding Telecourses?

Recommendations for the future for telecourses would be to maintain high quality telecourses. There should be a continuing demand for this mode of instruction. As the growth of Tulsa Junior College and Tulsa Cable Television continues, increasing numbers of adults should be served via telecourses. Future recommendations would also seem to dictate development of additional telecourses.

Implications for Further Research

Grades

Further research would seem to be appropriate in the area of grades A study of the comparison of students taking an on-campus class of Freshman Composition I and the next semester enrolling in the Freshman Composition II telecourse would be useful.

Communication Follow-Up

A follow-up study concerning the communication with telecourse students would be in order after some of the aforementioned changes have been implemented.

National Junior College Telecourse Study

Two-year colleges throughout the nation are beginning to get in the telecourse business. A national study related to the perception of students, faculty, and administrators would be in order.

Telecourse Content

Studies could be conducted related to an evaluation of the content and quality of telecourses.

Student Follow-up

A study would be appropriate to follow-up on telecourse students completing a degree or transferring to another institution.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Almanza, Roberto R. "Student Perceptions of the Los Angeles Community College District Instructional Television College Credit Program: An Appraisal." (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California, 1976.)
- American Association of Community and Junior Colleges. Adult Learning and Publishing Broadcasting. Washington: 1980a.
- American Association of Community and Junior Colleges. 1980 Community, Junior, and Technical College Directory. Washington: 1980b.
- Arms, George. "Education is Satellite Business." Educational and Industrial Television, Vol. 12 (April, 1980), pp. 48-49.
- Association of Educational Communication and Technology. <u>Educational Technology</u>: A Glossary of Terms. Washington: 1979.
- Ausness, Claudine, William J. Bramble, and Dennis F. Goldstein. "On the Beam: The Appalachian Education Satellite Project." <u>Appalachia</u>, Vol. 9, No. 5 (April May, 1976), pp. 11-17.
- Carlisle, Robert D. B. "Is There a Satellite in Your Future?" Change, Vol. 9, (March 1977), p. 53.
- DeBloois, Michael, "Exploring New Design Models." Educational and Industrial Television, Vol. 11 (May, 1979), p. 34.
- Dietrich, Bobbie M. "The Potential of Telecourses Offered for College Credit by Traditional Senior Institutions of Higher Learning and/or a National Television University as perceived by the Chief Administrators of Public and Private Colleges and Universities in the United States." (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, East Texas State University, 1978.)
- Dirr, Peter J., Joan H. Katz, and Ronald J. Pedone. Higher Education
 Utilization Study Phase I: Final Report. Washington: Corporation for Public Broadcasting, 1981.

- Engler, Nick. "An A for AESP." <u>Appalachia</u>, Vol. 11, No. 5 (April May, 1978), pp. 26-32.
- Feldhusen, John F. "Instructional Technology and Innovation in Higher Education." Educational Technology, Vol. 20, (February, 1980), p. 55.
- Fernandez, Alfred P. "The Role of the Campus Instructor in Student Achievement in Community College Television Instruction." (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California, 1976.)
- Field, Hyman H. and Robert L. Hilliard. <u>Television and the Teacher: A</u>
 Handbook for Classroom Use. New York: Hastings House, 1976.
- Frazer, Gary W. "A Study of the Attitudes and Preferences of Students Enrolled in Library-Based Telecourses." (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Northern Illinois University, 1979.)
- Gleazer, Edmund J., Jr. Project Focus: A Forecast Study of Community Colleges. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- Gleazer, Edmund, J., Jr. This is the Community College. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1968.
- Gordon, George N. Classroom Television. New York: Hastings House, 1974.
- Hegar, Kathryn N. "A Comparison of the Career Interests, Locus of Control, Attitude, and Achievement Scores of Community College Introduction to Business "On-Campus" Students and "Open-Circuit Instructional Television Students." (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, North Texas State University, 1977.)
- Hewitt, Louise M. and Valerie L. Lee. An Administrators Guide to Telecourses. Fountain Valley, CA.: Coast Community College District, 1979.
- Hornik, Robert C., Emile G. McAnany, and John K. Mayo. Educational Reform with Television: The El Salvador Experience. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1976.
- Kistler, A. Clay II. "Cable Television and Higher Education at Texas Tech University: A Model." (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Texas Tech. University, 1977.)

- Medsker, Leland L. and Dale Tillery. <u>Breaking the Access Barriers: A Profile</u> of Two-Year Colleges. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1971.
- Munshi, Kiki S. <u>Telecourses: Reflections 1980.</u> Washington: Corporation for Public Broadcasting, 1980.
- Nugent, Gwen. "Videodiscs and ITV: The Possible Vs. the Practical."

 <u>Educational and Industrial Television</u>, Vol. 11 (August, 1979), p. 54.
- Onder, James. "Why to Use Television in Management Training." Educational and Industrial Television, Vol. 11, (March, 1979), p. 57.
- Palinchak, Robert. The Evolution of the Community College. Metuchen, N.J.: The Scarecrow Press, 1973.
- Philips, Alfred M. The President's Annual Report to the Board. A report submitted to the Tulsa Junior College Board of Regents, Tulsa, 1981.
- Price, Elizabeth B. "An Analysis of Adult Learning Principles and Practices in an Urban Junior College." (Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Oklahoma State University, 1981.)
- Takushi, Georgette and Donald G. Wylie. "The Exciting Future Technology Will Bring." New Directions for Continuing Education: Providing Continuing Education by Media and Technology, Vol. 5, (1980), pp. 85-93.
- Turek, Edward H. "Educating the Educators About and With ITV."

 <u>Educational and Industrial Television</u> Vol. 11, (May, 1979), p. 44.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

TELECOURSE COMPLETER QUESTIONNAIRE

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS EVALUATION ARE ENROLLED.	N FOR EACH TELECOURSE IN WHICH YOU	Ī
This evaluation is for the following telecou	ourse:	
() Introduction to Business () Personal Finance () Freshman Composition I () Freshman Composition II	() American History, 1492-1885 () American History, 1865-Present () Humanities I () American Federal Government () General Psychology	
Please indicate how you registered for the	e course:	
() Counseling Center () Faculty () Group Advisement Center	() Self-Advised On Campus () Telephone	

DIRECTIONS: In order to improve the course in future semesters, we are asking for your reaction of the course up to this time. Please put a check in the box telling whether you Strongly Agree, Agree, have No Opinion, Disagree, or Strongly Disagree with each of the following statements.

		Strongly Agree A	gree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	I find the T.V. programs interesting.		()			()
2.	I find the T.V. programs informative.	()	(- · ·)		()	()
3.	I find the T.V. programs understandable.	(,)	()	· · (·)	()	()
4.	The textbook is appropriate for this course.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	()	()	()	()
5.	The study guide helps me organize my studies as I go through this course.	()	()	()	. ()	. ()
6.	The exercises and readings in the study guide help me improve my knowledge of this course.	. ,()	. ()	()		()
7.	I find my on-campus telecourse instructor helpful.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	()	()	()
8.	The examinations cover the material in the course.	()	()	()	()	()
9.	The hotline is helpful.	()	()	()	() . ,	. ()
10.	I am satisfied with the course overall.	()	()	()	. ()	(,)

(OVER)

Page 2

		Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
11.	My current grade in the class accurately reflects my mastery of the course material.	()	()	. ()	()	()
DIRE	CTIONS: Please give the fo	ollowing re	quests your	thoughtful co	nsideration.	
1.	Why did you enroll in the t	elecourse?	*			
2.	What do you like about this	course?				
3.	What do you dislike about	this course	?			
4.	In what ways do you think	this course	could be m	ore effective	•	
5.	What time of day was mos	t convenien	t for viewin	g telecourses	for you?	
6.	Please list your comments overall.	s, and/or s	uggestions	for improving	the course	
7.	Would you enroll in another	r telecours	se? Yes	() No ()		
8.	Did you ever use the Hotli	ne? Yes	() No	()		
9.	Did you ever contact the o	n-campus i	nstructor:			
	by mail. Ye	es () No		•		
10.	Was the communication be order for you to successfu				ctor adequate	e in
	Ves () No ())				

•

APPENDIX B

TELECOURSE NON-COMPLETER QUESTIONNAIRE

Questionnaire For Telecourse Student

Full-Time Student (12 hours or more)	
Part-Time Student (less than 12 hours) ()	•
그는 그 그리 하는 바다 가고 그녀는 것이 되는 것이다.	
How did you register for the course:	
그래즘 다른 생님은 집에 마셨다면 얼마를 하는데 얼마를 받는다.	
() Self-Advised On Campus	3 ;
() Faculty () Telephone	••
() Group Advisement Center	
그 여번에 되었다면 그래는 얼룩 개선 본론에 어느라 작가를 보았다.	
Why did you enroll in the telecourse?	
	(
Please indicate the reason(s) why you were unable to complete the	3
telecourse:	
그는 그는 왜 한 나도 있는 것이 하셨다면 하게 말이 뭐하셨다. 하게 먹다	
Overall course load too heavy	()
The telecourse required more time than I had anticipated	()
Was not motivated for this type of instruction	()
Dissatisfied with on-campus telecourse instructor	, (), ,
Dissatisfied with video tape programs	()
Dissatisfied with the study guide	()
Dissatisfied with the textbook	()
Dissatisfied with course assignments (quantity or quality)	. (''') '''
Enrolled in the wrong class	() _,
Moved	(·)
Job Transfer	
Job difficulty	()
Family problems	()
Illness (self)	()
Illness (family)	()
Orientation was not sufficient	()
Not interested in the course	()
Was unable to keep up with assignments	()
Exams were too difficult	()
Cable service not available	()
Broadcast schedule was not convenient	()
Did not attend orientation	()
Unable to contact telecourse instructor	()
Did not like TV course	()
Grades earned were unacceptable Other	
Would you enroll in a telecourse in the future? Yes() No()	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
would you enroll in a letecourse in the luture? les() No()	
Please return to: William F. Sutterfield	
Tulsa Junior College	
909 South Boston	
Tules Oklahoma 74119	

APPENDIX C

TELECOURSE INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONNAIRE

instructor Evaluation of Telecourses

() Introduction to Business	() American History, 1492-1365
() Personal Finance	() American History, 1865-Presen
() Freshman Composition I	() Humanities, I
() Freshman Composition II	() American Federal Government
	() General Psychology
each of the following statements by	egree to which you agree or disagree with placing a check mark in the appropriate le for your particular telecourse please

Not applicable			Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
		The non-completion rate is higher in my telecourse than my on campus class.	()	()	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	()	()
	2.	The telecasts present comprehensive information on the su ject.		()	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	()	. ()
	3.	The study guide seems helpfu to the student.	ι ()	, ()	. i. i.	. ()	્ર કર્યું (ક)
	4.	The fextbook presents the maial in an understandable mann		(·)	()		(")
()	5.	The outside assignments, if r quired, seem helpful to the student.	••-	()	· .(_)	(')	(°)
(,)	, 6. .	The optional study sessions, if available, seem helpful to student.	the ()		()	(*)	()
	7.	Periodic newsletters would be useful communication contact the students.		, , (')	() :	()	(,)
	3.	The telecourse hotline is help to the student.	र्मप्रो	()	(-)	()	(,)
	9.	The testing procedure is adequate for the student.		()	()	()	()
	10.	The make-up test procedure is adequate for the student.	()	()	()	. ()	1 1

Page	2
11.	Would you like to continue coordinating a telecourse?
1 4.	Yes () No ()
12.	Of the supplemental material, which is the most helpful to the student?
13.	Of the supplemental material, which is the least helpful to the student?
14.	What areas do you think need to be improved?
13.	What are the most frequent comments the students offer to you concerning telecourses?
16.	Is the grade distribution in you telecourse (s) the same as your on-campus courses? If no, please explain.
17.	Approximately what per-cent of the students communicate
	with you by phone, by mail, in person?

18. What additional comment and/or suggestions for improvement do you have?

APPENDIX D

ADMINISTRATOR QUESTIONNAIRE

Administration Evaluation of Telecourses

1.	How would you rate the value of the telecourse experience for students?
2.	What is the value of telecourse offerings to Tulsa Junior College?
3.	What is the value of telecourse offerings to the community
4.	Comment on the cost effectiveness of offering telecourses.
5.	Would you recommend that other colleges become involved in telecourses?
6.	What has been the faculty reaction to telecourses?
7.	Comment on the offering of telecourses in the future for

APPENDIX E

STUDENT PROFILE ANALYSIS

SEPTEMBE	R 22 1981 1	5.26.26		γ ,	JUNIOR CO E ANALYSI				PAGE	1
ATTEND	DAY 279 35%1	NIGHT C	AY-NIE 59 12x1	ALL P	REPUKI		•	NO	ANSWER.	INVALID
OBJECTIVE	UNIY 318 6381		39 1241	•			•	NO	ANSVER.	INVALID .
Hours		P-1 432 86%1						•		INVALID
CAMPUS		URIHEASI'	HENGRIAL.					, NO	ANSWER,	INVALID .
AGE		62-71		42:51	32-41	22-31 242 48x1	16:21	, NO	ANSVER	INVALID ,
SEX	MALE 1		10 0241	1. 00.1	137 2041	1	11 1-41	NO	ANSWER	INVALID .
RACE			RIENTALZIA	· [ND]AN,L	ATIN Alzı	OTHER	1	NO	ANSVER	INVALID .
HRS-EMP		1-100121					•	NO	ANSWER 90 18X1	INVALID :
AD-BASIS	HS-GRAD			RE-ENTR C	OLL TRANS	COND-ENT 9 02X1	INDIY APPRE	RD BA/BS, NO	_	
AU- STATUS	6000 PI 216 43% I	ROBATION R		OT ADMIT	•	1	•			INVALID .

TULSA JUNIOR COLLEGE

SEPTEMBER 22 1981 15.26.26

PROFILE ANALYSIS

PAGE ALL REPORT GOOD PROB-ACAU SUSP-ACAD PROB-DISC SUSP-DISC NO ANSWER INVALID CUR-STAT NO ANSWER INVALID 0-27 28-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 ABOVE 69 341 6821 41 0821 43 0921 22 0421 30 0621 27 0521 HRS-ERN .0-.5 .6-1.0 1.1-1.5 1.6-1.9 2.0-2.5 2.6-2.9 3.0-3.5 3.6-4.0 NO ANSWER INVALID GR-PTS SINGLE MARRIED WIDDWED DIVORCED
150 3021 280 58%1 8 0121 53 11%1 1 1 NO ANSHER INVALID MAR-STAT NO ANSWER INVALID OVER 18 15-18 12-14 9-11 6-8 3-5 1-2 011 HRS-ENR ART-SCI BUSINESS EDUCATION FINE ARTS ENGIN HOME ECON LAW TECH NU ANSWER INVALID 184 3721 80 1621 25 0521 5 0121 15 0321 2 1021 4 0121 166 3721 3 0121 MAJOR-INT NO ANSWER INVALID 268 5321 26 0521 57 1121 58 1221 83 1621 01HER 21 CERT DEG INF AUDIT 19 0421 269 5321 197 3921 NO ANSWER INVALID FDUC DBJ. NO ANSWER INVALID SEMI SEN2 SEN3 223 44x1 245 49x1 36 07X1 1 1 1 1 SEM ENROL 0 - 6 7 - 11 12 7 16 17 - 21 22 - 26 27 - 29 OVER 29 3 01x1 NO ANSVER INVALID ACT ENG.

TULSA JUNIOR COLLEGE PROFILE ANALYSIS

SEPTEMBER 22 1981 15.26.26

ALL REPURT

0 - 6 7 - 11 12 - 16 17 - 21 22 - 26 27 - 29 UVER 29 11 0221 3/ 0/X1 66 13X1 37 0/X1 16 03X1 3 01X1 3 01X1 NO ANSWER INVALID ACT HATH NO ANSHER INVALID ACI S. S. 0 - 6 7 - 11 12 1 12 - 16 17 - 21 22 - 26 1 27 - 29 OVER 29 NO ANSWER INVALLU ACT NAL.S 0 - 6 1 - 11 12 - 16 17 - 21 22 - 26 27 - 29 UVER 29 1 0x1 NO ANSWER INVALID ACT COMP. YEIUXICHILU-VEI DIS15 VET NON VET NO ANSWER INVALID VET FALL VET CHILD-VET LIS, VET NON VET NO ANSWER INVALID VET SPG NO ANSWER INVALID VET CHILD-VET DIS. VET NON VET VEI SUMM ARIS SCIENCE ASC. TECH CERT ACHY ASC. APPL ACC APPL ACC FECH MULTIPLE NO ANSHER INVALID 9 02%1 2 02%1 1 405 92%1 1 DEGREE PRESENT 79 18 17 76 75 74 73 CLESS ND ANSHER INVALID 21 0481 4 0121 1 021 3 0121 2 021 1 1 2 021 DEG. DATE USO ON THE STATE ARKANSAS LANGSTON DIHER NO BUSHER INVALID LUOZI FUT. CUL. IUI AL 504

END PROFILE ANALYSIS - LKP

PAGE

3

052 053 054	62 605 62 605	NORTHEASTERN STATE-OK	TOTE HES ATT SEES SORH ENG PROFESTS. 8-GPA-3:32	075
036	62 PSC 62 SPE 62 SPE 62 PSP 62 PSP 62 HRS 62 HRS	1114 0002 AMER GOVT 3.0 C 1113 0002 AMER GOVT 3.0 C 1113 0002 AMER GOVT 3.0 C 1113 0003 FRESH COMP 3.0 C 1113 0003 FRESH GRIENT 3.0 C 2413 0000 BAS HVIII ATT 16.0 EARN 16.0 OPTS 50.0 GPA 3.12	2 76 HRS ATT 3.0 EARN 3.0 OPTS 12.0 GPA 4.00	900 591
ត្តទុខ	7 47 1115		1 77 ACC 1023 0123 PRIN/ACCTG 2 3.0 A 4.00 TOTL HRS ATT 58:0 EARN 58:0 OPTS191:0 GPA 3:29	592
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	AND STANS	1063 0007 MID WEST CIV 3.0 B 2413 0006 INTRO TO CIV 3.0 A 1493 0009 US TO PRES 1213 0019 FRESH COMP 3.0 C 1112 0011 FERSH COMP 3.0 C 1112 0011 FERSH COMP 3.0 C UNC 0013 FUND OF LIF 2.0 A	2 77 ACC 2313 A101 INTER/ACCTG L 3.0 A 4.00 TOTL HRS ATT 61.0 EARN 61.0 OPTS 203.0 GPA 3.32	593
638	2 62 HRS	ATT 19.0 EARN 19.0 OPTS 60.0 GPA 3.15 ATT 35.0 EARN 35.0 OPTS110.0 GPA 3.14	1 81 8US 1053 TVOL INTRO BUSINESS 3.0 A 1 81 HRS ATT 3.0 EARN 3.0 OPTS 12.0 GPA 4.00 TOTL HRS ATT 64.0 EARN 64.0 QPTS 215.0 GPA 3.35	594
069 071 072 073	63 PE 63 ENG 63 HIS 63 BSY	UNC 0014 HYGIENE OF CHI 2.0 A UNC 0015 ENGL LIT 2.0 B UNC 0016 SHAKESPEARE 3.0 C 1403 0017 US TO 1863 3.0 C 1403 0018 GEN BIOL SCI 4.0 A	25-01 EGU 1343:TV22 PERSNL FINANCE 3.0 A 2 01 HRS ATT 3.0 EARN 3.0 QPTS 12.0 GPA 4.00 TOTL HRS ATT 67.0 EARN 67.0 QPTS227.0 GPA 3.38	\$95
873		III3 00 16 GEN BIOLOGISTON 3:8 A	TJC 1185 ATT 15.0 EARN 15.0 OPTS 60.0 GPA 4.00	•

COMETA CONETA OK 5-61

05/27/01 LIBERAL ARIS METRO FEMALE
HASKELL DK 12-21-44

APPENDIX F

STUDENT TRANSCRIPT

	DTAL	79	2.5	6 93	96	65	=	9	60
101	TOTAL	25.80	33.33	36.55	43.15	19.11	37.63	28.73	10.76
PAGE	3	00.0	0.00	00.0	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.0
	2	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4	6. 45	0.00	0.00	14.58	12.30	4.03	0.00	0.00
-	-	3.22	3.50	0.0	0.00	1.53	0.00	7.56	\$2.2
	3	1.61	0.00	1.01	0.00	0.00	2.70	0.00	00.00
	3	8.05	15.78	23.65	8.33	10.76	193 TV26 5.43 10.41 21.22 13.41 2.76 0.00 0.00 62.16 13.51 17.53 2.75 0.00 4.03 0.00 0.00 37.63 74	10.00	21.34
900-81	3	6.45	14.03	11.82	20.83	20.00	13.51	11. 25	13.48
NAL YSIS	TOLY	14.16	38	63.44	56.25	36	62.16	71.23	62.92
SA AGEN	S	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0000	0.00	0.00
SPRIT	₹	0-00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	000
-	u .	17.11	1.75	6.45	6.33	7.69	2: 10	2.56	12.39
-	٥	1.61	.00	0.00	3.12	6.15	13.59	1.23	2.2
-	٥	16.12	17.50	11.62	10. 45	1 4. 46	21.62	17.50	15.15
	3	24.15	33.33	32.25	26.04	12.30	10.01	25.60	12. 33
	4	14.5	14.03	12.90	6.33	10.76	5.43	20.05	20.32
	1 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1771	1722	1423	1724	1725	LV26	F v 2 8	1729
	COURSE LAP	BUS 1053 TV21	ECO 1353 TV22	EN3 1113 TV23	ENG 1213 1V24	HIS 1483 TV25	HIS 1493 FV26	POS 1113 TV28	PSY 1113 TV29

APPENDIX G

GRADE ANALYSIS

					•		•		4500 4500 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000	ANAL YST ESTER!	SPRING SEMESTER, 1900-81					,		167	
Ü	COURSE 2AP			-	J	٥	J 0	! į	~	TOTAL	3	5	3	-	1 FF 26	25	, ,	NC TOTAL TOTAL	OTAL
3	BUS 1053 TV21	1751	14.51	24.13	14.51 24.13 16.12	1.61	17.11	0.00	0.00	14.19	6.45	8.06	1.61	3.22	6. 45 45	0.00	0.00	25.80	29
EC0	ECO 1353 TV22	1722	1 4.03	14.03 33.33 17.54	17.54	•	1.75	000	000	38	14.03	15.78	000	3.50	00.00	0.00	00.0	33.33	57
3	ENG 1113 TV23	1723	12.90	12.90 32.25 11.82	11.82	000	6.45	900	0000	63.44	11.82	23.65	1.01	0.00	0.00	0000	0.00	36.55	\$
¥	ENG 1213 TV24	1724	6.33	8.33 26.65 10.4Y	10.45	3.13	0.33	0.00	0.00	54.25	20. 63	8.33	0.00	0.00	14.58	0.00	0.00	43.18	96
H	HIS 1483 TV25	1725	10.76	10.76 12.30 18.46	18.46	6.15	7.69	0.00	0.00	36	20.00	10.76	0.00	1.53	12.30	0.00	00.0	19.11	\$
E S	HIS 1493 TV26	1726	5.43	16.91	5.45 14.91 21.62	13.5	21.62 13.58 2.76 0.00 0.00 62.16 13.58 17.58 2.78 0.08 4.03 0.00 0.00 37.63 74	00.0	0.00	62.16	13.40	17.56	2.70	0000	4.05	0.00	000	37.63	*
S 0	1113 1720	TV2	20.00	25.00	20.06 25.60 17.33	1.25	7.56	000	000	71.25	11.25	10.00	0.00	7.56	0.00	600	000	28.73	9
754	PSV 1113 TV29	1729	20.32	12.33	20.32 12.33 15.33	2.2	12.39	000	900	62.92	~	21.34	000	2,7	00	00	0	33	69

VITA

William F. Sutterfield

Candidate for the Degree of

Doctor of Education

Thesis: AN ANALYSIS OF THE PERCEPTIONS OF TELECOURSE STUDENTS, FACULTY AND ADMINISTRATORS AT TULSA JUNIOR COLLEGE

Major Field: Occupational and Adult Education

Biographical:

Personal Data: Born in Fort Smith, Arkansas, August 5, 1938, the son of William F. Sutterfield, Sr. and Mary Ellen Sutterfield; two boys William Christopher (Chris) Sutterfield and Michael Armon (Mike) Sutterfield.

Education: Graduated from Poteau High School, Poteau, Oklahoma in May, 1956, received Bachelor of Science in Education degree from Northeastern Oklahoma State University in 1960; received Master of Teaching degree from Northeastern Oklahoma State University in 1964; received Master of Science Teaching degree from the University of Arizona in 1970; completed requirements for the Doctor of Education degree in December, 1981.

Professional Experience: Instructor of Mathematics, Berryhill High School, 1960–1970; Instructor of Mathematics and Division Chairman of the Scientific and Medical Services Division, Tulsa Junior College, 1970–1973; Dean of Instruction, Metro Campus, Tulsa Junior College, 1973–1981.