# MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF FISH ASSEMBLAGE COMPOSITION AND ENVIRONMENTAL CORRELATES IN A HIMALAYAN RIVER - NEPAL'S

KALI GANDAKI/NARAYANI

By

#### DAVID RAY EDDS

Bachelor of Science University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas 1977

Master of Science Oklahoma State University Stillwater, Oklahoma 1984

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY December. 1989

# DEDICATION

This study is dedicated to the people of Nepal, and to their preservation, conservation, and wise use of the country's natural resources.  $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

**Oklahoma State Univ. Library** 

# MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF FISH ASSEMBLAGE COMPOSITION AND ENVIRONMENTAL CORRELATES IN A HIMALAYAN RIVER - NEPAL'S KALI GANDAKI/NARAYANI

Thesis Approved:

anthony a. Echele Diens Minh  $Cuh$  are

Dean of the Graduate College

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I owe a great deal to my major professor, Dr. Anthony Echelle, who gave me the opportunity to study and provided much advice, instruction, and encouragement. Sincere thanks also to the other members of my committee for their assistance: Dr. William Matthews gave me my start in the field of fish ecology, and continues to be a major motivator; Dr. Rudolph Miller helped instill in me an intellectual curiosity in animals in general, and in fish in particular; Dr. William Warde provided valuable suggestions for data analysis. I am proud to call all of these individuals friends and colleagues.

Thanks to Dr. D. Allen Rutherford for his assistance in data analysis. Thanks also to Alice Echelle for her friendship and encouragement over the course of this study.

Special thanks to my mother and father, Mr. and Mrs. Neil Edds, who have been my greatest teachers.

A most special thanks to my wife Tracy Hirata-Edds. and to our son Kyle. without whose love and support I could not have completed this work. Tracy helped make some of the collections, typed the manuscript, and drew the figures.

iv

Financial support was provided by a Fulbright scholarship, and by Wildlife Conservation International, the Explorers Club, and Oklahoma State University, including the Department of Zoology. the Graduate College, the College of Arts and Sciences, the Center for Water Research, and the Office of International Programs. Thanks to faculty and staff who provided assistance in obtaining financial support, including Dr. Jerry Wilhm, Dr. Alexander Ospovat, Dr. Lawrence Boger, Dr. Anthony Echelle, Dr. William Matthews, Dr. Michael Douglas, and Mr. William Abbott.

I appreciate the opportunity to examine fish collections at the following museums: California Academy of Sciences (San Francisco), University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (Ann Arbor), National Museum of Natural History (Washington), American Museum of Natural History (New York), Field Museum of Natural History (Chicago), and the Philadelphia Academy of Natural Sciences. Thanks to the staff of all these outstanding museums.

I have many people to thank in Nepal, including Dr. Y.K. Malia and Dr. Jiwan Shrestha, Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur; Mr. R.L. Shrestha, Chief, Natural History Museum; Mr. B.N. Upreti, Mr. U.R. Sharma, and Mr. R.P. Yadav, Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation: Dr. Hemant Mishra, King Mahendra Trust for Nature Conservation; Mr. K.G. Rajbanshi, Department of Agriculture, Fisheries Section; and the staff

v

of the U.S. Educational Foundation, especially Mr. Shyam Shrestha and Mr. Sundar Joshi.

A special thanks to my field assistant, Amar Bahadur Gurung. Amar caught fish, carried a load, and cooked dinner, and along with me, learned a great deal about the fishes of Nepal.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page



 $\cdot$ 

# LIST OF TABLES



 $\tau$ 

# LIST OF FIGURES



 $\sim 10^{-11}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim 10$ 

#### INTRODUCTION

A major goal of community ecology is to describe the patterns of nature and to generalize explanations for their causal processes (Wiens 1984). Ecologists have long noted that fish assemblages exhibit patterns of longitudinal zonation in rivers and streams (reviews in Hynes 1970. Hawkes 1975. Fisher 1983). However, investigation of causal mechanisms of zonation continues to be an active area of study (e.g., Matthews 1986, Hughes and Gammon 1987, Schlosser 1987). In this paper, I test for spatial and temporal patterns and environmental correlates of the structure of fish assemblages in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani River of Nepal.

The Kali Gandaki/Narayani River forms the deepest gorge in the world as it flows through the Himalaya Mountains on its way from headwaters on the Tibetan Plateau to a lowland, subtropical confluence with the Ganges River. The Oriental and Palearctic biogeographic realms interdigitate in the Gandaki basin, thus the river lies at the zoogeographic center of Asia. The Kali Gandaki Valley may have the greatest abundance and variety of flora and fauna on the Asian continent. More than 65% of the 182

fish species known to occur in Nepal inhabit the Kali Gandaki/Narayani River (Edds 1986). The diversity of the ichthyofauna, and the tremendous altitudinal and ecological gradients of the drainage make the Kali Gandaki/Narayani system ideal as a case-study in longitudinal zonation of fish assemblage structure. Superimposed on the relatively static physiographic features of the drainage are dramatic changes associated with the monsoon season. Thus, I also test for seasonal changes in assemblage structure. Relatively little is known of the distribution and ecology of fishes in the Himalaya Mountains and lowlands of Nepal. Published records include brief notes by Regan (1907), Hora (1937a) and Menon (1949), and the annotated species lists and accounts of DeWitt (1960), Shrestha (1981). Rajbanshi (1982) and Edds (1985, 1986, 1987).

I also present a comparison of the results obtained from analyses by direct and indirect gradient methods, two commonly used multivariate approaches to community analysis. The indirect approach is a two-step process: first. exploratory multivariate techniques such as principal components analysis (PCA), reciprocal averaging (RA) or detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) are used to ordinate samples of community composition (Gauch 1982). Associations between ordination scores and environmental variables are then examined by correlation and multiple

regression analyses (e.g., Chang and Gauch 1986. Matthews and Robison 1988).

Direct gradient analysis relates species occurrence directly to the environment (Gauch 1982, Ter Braak 1986, Ter Braak and Prentice 1988). This technique searches for the patterns of variation in assemblage structure that are most closely associated with variation in measured environmental variables. While the ordination axes obtained by the indirect approach are. by definition, orthogonal, there may be other axes that are better related to the environmental parameters. Direct ordination techniques extract axes of assemblage structure that are both orthogonal and constrained to be maximally associated with linear combinations of environmental variables. For direct gradient analysis, I used canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) and detrended canonical correspondence analysis (DCCA), direct gradient analysis techniques developed by Ter Braak (1986, 1987, 1988a, 1988b) that allow interpretation of the response of individual species as well as species assemblages to environmental gradients.

#### STUDY AREA

The Kali Gandaki/Narayani River lies between the latitudes *27°* 20' and 29° 30' N and longitudes 83° 20' and

 $84^{\circ}$  30' E. The river traverses the Himalaya Mountains, with intrusions into the Tibetan Plateau to the north and the Gangetic Plain to the south (Fig. 1). Four major mountain ranges cross the drainage and divide the river basin into at least nine geographic zones (Anonymous 1979). These zones are, from north to south: 1) the Tibetan Plateau, with average altitudes > 5000 m; 2) the Tibetan Marginal Mountains which rise to 7000 m; 3) the Inner Himalaya Zone, consisting of desert-like high mountain valleys, with elevations > 3000 m; 4) the Great Himalaya Range, having snow-covered peaks to 8137 m and deep narrow gorges and glacial valleys; 5) the Midland Zone. or lowlying hills and valleys with relatively smooth topography and altitudes between 600 and 2500 m; 6) the Mahabharat Range. steep and rugged mountains ranging to 2200 m; 7) the Dun valleys, low-gradient wide valleys with steep sides and elevations to 1500 m; 8) the Churia Hills, a low-lying range with altitudes to 1000 m; and 9) the Tarai, the Nepalese section of the Great Gangetic Plain, with elevations as low as 50 m above sea level. Herein, I group these zones into five regions: trans-Himalaya (zones 1-3), mountain (zone 4). high hills (zones 5-6), low hills (zones 7-8), and lowlands (zone 9) (Fig 1).

The ecology of all zones south of the Great Himalaya Range is greatly influenced by the summer monsoon from the Bay of Bengal. Much of the Gandaki basin receives more

than 250 cm of rain during the June - September rainy season. Two other distinct seasons occur in this area: a cool and dry season from October - January, and a hot and dry season from February - May.

The headwaters of the Kali Gandaki River flow approximately 60 km southward before collecting the Chalunga River to become a fourth-order stream north of the Great Himalaya Range. The Kali Gandaki then traverses this mountain range at a riverbed elevation of about 2500 m near Kalapani and flows 80 km before joining the Myagdi at Beni to become a fifth-order stream. Near Ridi Bazar, the Kali Gandaki meets the Badigarh River and is a sixth-order stream that flows nearly 200 km to the east before joining the Trisuli. At the confluence with the Trisuli, the river becomes a seventh-order stream and is known as the Sapta (seven) Gandaki, or Narayani River. The Narayani flows south and then west with a low gradient before breaking through the Churia Hills to spill south onto the Gangetic Plain (Fig. 1).

River gradients vary between 0.1% and 0.5% for the Narayani. The slope of the Kali Gandaki in its middle zones ranges between 0.5% and 5%, with the highest gradients occurring near the Great Himalaya Range. Gradients may exceed 10% where the river cuts through the Himalayas. Stream gradients are only about 1% in the trans-Himalayan region (Fig. 2).

#### SAMPLING METHODS

I made 172 samples at 85 sites along the Kali Gandaki/Narayani River from March 1984 to May 1986. Fourteen sites were sampled in each of the three seasons (Fig. 1). Sample sites were chosen so as to adequately sample all geographic zones. However, sampling was limited in some areas due to difficulties of access. Fishes were collected by a variety of methods, including seine, cast net, gill net, and backpack electrofisher. Choice of method at individual sites was based on the need to sample all distinct microhabitats (riffle, pool, raceway, backwaters, etc.). Collections from each type of microhabitat were maintained separately.

Specimens were preserved in 10% formalin. Identification of species followed Hamilton (1822), M'Clelland (1839), Day (1878), Hora (1921a. 1937b, 1935). Shaw and Shebbeare (1937), Roberts (1980, 1983), Shrivastava (1980), Jayaram (1981), Shrestha (1981) and Rainboth (1983). Problematical specimens were identified by comparison with collections housed at the Nepal Natural History Museum, California Academy of Sciences, Chicago Field Museum of Natural History, National Museum of Natural History, American Museum of Natural History, and Philadelphia Academy of Natural Sciences. Higher taxonomy herein follows Nelson (1984) except for the

Cobitoidea, which is after Sawada (1982). Voucher specimens were deposited in the Nepal Natural History Museum, Kathmandu and the Oklahoma State University Department of Zoology Collection of Vertebrates. Stillwater.

At each site I measured 37 environmental variables which I have grouped into five categories: geography, water quality. season. substrate, and vegetation (see Appendix A for values of variables at each site). Geographic factors included: altitude, measured from 1:250,000 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers maps and a Thommen 2000 altimeter; percent gradient of the riverbed, calculated from map and altimeter readings; river kilometer, or distance from the source of the Kali Gandaki, also measured from these maps; stream order, determined from these 1:250,000 maps and concomitant ground-truth studies which verified the smallest tributaries as first-order streams, streams formed by the joining of two first-order streams as second-order, streams formed by the joining of two second-order streams as third-order, etc.; predominantly exposed geological strata at the site, from Sharma (1977a), coded from 1 to 5 in order of geologic age, from Pleistocene to Precambrian (Appendix A); estimated maximum width of stream; and natural region. including trans-Himalaya. mountain, hill, lowland valley, and lowland plain, coded sequentially from <sup>1</sup>to 5.

Water quality factors included current speed (measured with a Teledyne Gurley pygmy current meter no. 625 at 60% depth) and stream depth. I measured both depth and current at 10 locations spaced at 1 m intervals in 3 to 5 transects across each microhabitat and calculated means of these measurements to arrive at an estimate of average depth and current speed for each site. Other water quality factors were: surface temperature, water clarity, and the following parameters measured with a Hach kit (model AL-36B): dissolved oxygen (mg/L), dissolved carbon dioxide (mg/L), pH, free acidity and total acidity (grains/gallon calcium carbonate  $-$  gpg CaCO<sub>3</sub>), free alkalinity and total alkalinity (gpg  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ ), and total hardness (gpg  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ ).

Substrate parameters were quantified with a modification of the Wentworth scale (Cummins 1962, Hynes 1970) by estimating the percent comprised of mud ( < ca. 0.0625 mm), sand (ca. 0.0625-1 mm), gravel (ca. 2-16 mm), stone (ca. 16-64 mm), rubble (ca. 64-256 mm), and boulder  $($   $>$  ca. 256 mm), and by noting the dominant substrate and total number of substrate types at each site.

Vegetation was measured as percent of the site covered by filamentous algae, submerged vegetation, emergent vegetation, floating vegetation, debris, and detritus, and by noting the dominant vegetation, number of vegetation types, and percent of each site covered by vegetation.

### ANALYTICAL METHODS

Twenty-two collections were not considered in the analysis. These included sixteen collections that were qualitative only and/or had no environmental parameters measured and six collection efforts that produced no fish. Two collections having only two and four specimens eventually were excluded because they were outliers in the ordination analysis. Three environmental variables, free acidity, free alkalinity, and percent floating vegetation, were effectively invariant and were also excluded. Thus my results are based on 34 environmental variables measured for each of 148 collections at 73 sites (Fig. 1, Appendix B).

Fifty-two of the 120 fish species I collected are included in the analysis. The remaining 68 species occurred in less than 5% of the samples and were eliminated following Gauch (1982). Species abundances were coded for each collection on a scale of 0-4. with  $0 =$  absent,  $1 = 1-5$  individuals,  $2 = 6-10$ ,  $3 = 11-20$ , and  $4 = 20$ . Only adult fishes were included. Coded abundances improved normality of fish species distributions, but further transformation to log of coded abundance resulted in no improvement (Kolomogorov D-test, PROC UNIVARIATE, SAS Institute 1985a). Therefore, coded

abundances were used as the raw data for final analysis.

Transformation of environmental variables to  $log_{10}(x + 1)$ , or to arcsinVx for percentage data. markedly improved normality (reduced skewness and kurtosis) for 21 variables. including: altitude. percent stream gradient, stream width, dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>, total alkalinity. total hardness. percent substrate comprised of mud. percent sand, percent gravel. percent stone, percent rubble, percent boulder, dominant substrate type, percent of cover by filamentous algae, percent submerged vegetation. percent emergent vegetation. percent detritus, percent debris, percent total cover by vegetation. number of vegetation types, and stream depth. These transformed variables were used in all subsequent analyses, while the remaining 13 variables were input as untransformed values. Transformation of non-normal data allows a closer approximation to the normality assumption on which correlation and regression analyses are based, and also decreases the chance that analyses will be unduly affected by extreme but infrequent values (Sokal and Rohlf 1981).

Indirect gradient analysis included initial DCA ordination of the fish collections on the basis of species abundances. Two different algorithms were used to obtain DCA ordinations: the DECORANA program of Hill (1979a) and the CANOCO procedures of Ter Braak (1988a).

DCA ordination axes were subsequently compared with environmental parameters by correlation analysis (PROC CORR, SAS Institute 1985a) and stepwise multiple regression (PROC STEPWISE, SAS Institute 1985b). Mallows' Cp statistic (Mallows 1964) was used as the criterion for selecting a regression model.

Two other indirect multivariate ordination techniques, PCA and RA, were also performed but DCA provided more interpretable results. Linear ordination methods, such as PCA and redundancy analysis are appropriate if the range of sample scores along the length of the first axis, ordinated by reciprocal averaging (RA), is less than about 1.5 SO (Gauch et al. 1977; Ter Braak and Prentice 1988). However, where gradients are longer and where species have unimodal (Gaussian) responses along the gradient, nonlinear methods like RA, DCA, CCA, and DCCA more consistently and accurately recover the structure of data (Gauch et al. 1977; Peet 1980; Pielou 1984; Ter Braak and Prentice 1988) .

DCA is a variance maximization method of ordination based on RA, which is itself similar to PCA (Hill 1973). PCA is based on a Euclidean distance matrix, and the correlation matrix between all variables is decomposed using eigenvector analysis; standardized data are then projected onto the first few resulting axes. These linear, orthogonal axes typically account for a large

portion of the residual variance. RA is algebraically similar. except that it decomposes an association matrix based on the chi-square distance metric.

DCA corrects for the two major faults of RA. which can cause difficulties in attempts to interpret the axes: the arch effect, caused by a quadratic relationship of axis 2 on axis 1 (Hill and Gauch 1980); and distortion of ecological distances along the axes (Hill 1979a). DCA also aids interpretation by scaling the axes (gradient length) to average standard deviation units (SD) of species turnover, where  $1 SD = \text{half change and } 4 SD = \text{total change}$ in species composition. DCA also calculates separate sample scores and species scores that can be superimposed on the same figure, providing an approximation of species' optima along the axes.

In DECORANA, the arch effect of RA is removed by an ad-hoc DCA algorithm known as detrending by segments. DCA has been criticized by Pielou (1984) as "overzealous" and by Wartenberg et al. (1987) as "without theoretical justification". However, others, using real and simulated data, have found it to give useful and ecologically correct interpretations (Gauch 1982; Peet et al. 1988). DCA is the ordination method presently favored by the majority of ecologists for nonlinear data from a variety of organisms (e.g., Chang and Gauch 1986; Leland et al. 1986; Ben-Shahar and Skinner 1988), including fishes (e.g., Rahel 1984; Larsen et al. 1986; Hughes and Gammon 1987; Hughes et al. 1987: Matthews and Robison 1988: Meffe and Sheldon 1988; Townsend and Peirson 1988; Ibarra and Stewart 1989). Ter Braak and Prentice (1988) developed a less "brute-force" algorithm for DCA, available in CANOCO, known as detrending by polynomials, which eliminates systematic relations between axes by making subsequent axes orthogonal not only to axes already extracted, but also to quadratic, cubic, and quartic functions of those axes.

RA and DCA axes are obtained by a converging sequence of weighted averaging regressions and calibrations such that sample axes are linear combinations of weighted mean species scores with the constraint that successive axes are uncorrelated with previous ones. Direct ordination methods of CCA and DCCA impose the additional constraint that ordination axes based on species abundances be linear combinations of supplied environmental variables (Ter Braak 1986; Ter Braak and Prentice 1988). Thus, these methods produce community ordinations with an environmental basis (Ter Braak 1986: 1987). In resulting ordination diagrams. sites and species are represented by points, and environmental variables are represented by arrows. Thus, the axes can be interpreted directly from the pattern of community variation along environmental gradients. Also visible in these biplots are the approximate distributions of species

with respect to plotted variables. Eigenvalues measure the separation of species distributions along these environmental axes.

I performed direct gradient analysis with the CCA and DCCA algorithms provided by the CANOCO package. The downweighting option, which supplies lesser weights to rare species, was employed in all direct and indirect ordinations. The species-environment correlation (Ter Braak 1986, 1988a) is equal to the correlation between site scores that are weighted mean species scores and site scores that are linear combinations of the environmental variables. CANOCO provides a facility for testing statistical significance of the canonical axes with a Monte Carlo permutation test (Ter Braak 1988a). It allows an overall test of the effect of the environmental variables on the species, as well as significance tests on individual axes. The fraction of variance accounted for by an axis, i.e., variance in the weighted averages of fish species with respect to environmental variables, can be expressed as a percentage, and is calculated by dividing the eigenvalue of the axis by the sum of all canonical eigenvalues (the trace). It is important to remember that 100% is not expected, since part of the total variance is due to noise. Also, the percent accounted for depends on the number of variables ( = the number of canonical axes extracted) in the analysis. Thus, for example, with only

three environmental variables in an analysis, three canonical axes explain 100% of the variance, whether or not the result is ecologically meaningful. The importance of an axis is better judged by its eigenvalue and a Monte Carlo significance test (Ter Braak 1988a).

### RESULTS

Appendix C shows, for each collection, coded abundance of the 52 species included in my analysis. Seventy-one percent (37) are cypriniforms (Cyprinidae, Cobitidae, Homalopteridae) and 15% (8) are siluriforms (Schilbeidae, Amblycipitidae, Sisoridae). Other orders represented are Cyprinodontiformes (Belonidae) 2% (1) and Perciformes (Centropomidae, Gobiidae, Channidae, Mastacembelidae) 12%  $(6)$ .

Longitudinal succession of species is evident in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani, with the number of species increasing steadily from upper reaches of the river to the downstream areas  $(r = 0.69, P \lt .0001; Fig. 3)$ . No fish were captured in first or second order streams, and only one species, Schizothorax richardsoni, was present in the trans-Himalayan portion of the Kali Gandaki. Proceeding downstream, numbers of species ranged from one to four per site in the mountain region  $(\bar{x} = 1.9)$ ; SD = 0.9), 3 to 18 ( $\bar{x}$  = 7.8; SD = 4.1) in the high hills,

4 to 18  $(\bar{x} = 10.7; SD = 4.4)$  in the low hills, and 6 to 33  $(\overline{x} = 15.6; SD = 6.1)$  in the lowlands.

## Indirect Gradient Analysis

Plots of the first two axes from both the PCA and the RA computations showed an uninterpretable horseshoe or arch effect. DCA from DECORANA provided better results; however, its detrending by segments algorithm produced a systematic relation between axes. Scores on axes 1 and 3  $(r = 0.206, P = 0.0116)$ , axes 1 and 4  $(r = -0.257, P = 1.00116)$  $0.0015$ ), and axes 3 and 4 (r = -0.273, P = 0.0007) were significantly correlated. CANOCO's DCA with detrending by fourth-order polynomials produced more desirable uncorrelated axes and the most interpretable ordination; consequently, this technique was used in all subsequent indirect gradient analyses.

#### Axis 1

DCA axis 1 (DCA 1) displays an extremely long gradient, more than 10 SD, from the mountains to the lowlands. Samples from the high hills showed the greatest variability in DCA 1 scores (Fig. 4). Mountain samples formed a discrete cluster widely separated from the remaining samples. Samples from the high hills and

low hills were completely separated in the biplot of DCA 1 versus DCA 2. Samples from the low hills and the lowlands overlapped broadly on both DCA 1 and DCA 2. DCA 1 scores for samples from the low hills were shifted somewhat toward those for the high hills.

DCA 1 represents the tremendous altitudinal gradient of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani, with scores most highly and significantly correlated with major geographic factors (Table 1). Pearson product-moment correlations with DCA 1 scores are highest for stream gradient, stream order, altitude and natural region. Highly significant correlations also occur between DCA 1 scores and some water quality, substrate, and vegetation factors. such as stream depth, total hardness, percent substrate comprised of boulders, and percent cover by debris (Table 1).

Results of stepwise multiple regression analysis also suggest a geographic interpretation for DCA 1. The following multiple regression equation provided the best predictive model for DCA 1 scores:

 $DCA$  1 =  $-3110.18$  + 1402.11SG - 75.61G + 16.62RK + 108.68SW + 216.05TH + 972.93A + 176.96D  $(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.93; df = 140)$ 

where  $SG = stream gradient, G = geology, RK = river$ kilometer,  $SW = stream width$ ,  $TH = total$  hardness,

 $A =$  altitude, and  $D =$  debris (Table 2).

Fishes having the highest scores on DCA 1 ( and thus  $=$ "mountain" fishes) were Schizothorax richardsoni, Euchiloqlanis hodqarti, and Noemacheilus rupecula. Highest negative loadings were for Rasbora daniconius, Channa punctatus, Mastacembelus pancalus, Puntius quqanio, and Chela cachius, species which occurred only in the lowlands (Fig. 4).

### Axis 2

Patterns of sample scores along DCA 2 are less conspicuous by inspection (Fig. 4). Gradient length is 3 SD, with greatest variability in the lowlands. DCA 2 scores are most highly correlated with season, water clarity, water temperature, and dissolved oxygen (Table 1), suggesting an association with the seasons. Stepwise regression results (Table 2) also point to a seasonal effect. The model best predicting DCA 2 scores is:

DCA  $2 = 268.90 - 27.265 + 91.76SV + 82.70SW$ + 55.47B- 70.73NV + 122.69D + 1.05WC  $-$  134.71TH  $-$  30.85SO + 55.12FA + 18.63M  $(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.46; df = 136)$ 

where  $S =$  season,  $SV =$  submerged vegetation,  $B =$  boulders,

NV = number of vegetation types, WC = water clarity, SO = stream order,  $FA = filamentos$  algae,  $M = mud$ , and other terms are as above. Several variables retained in the regression model for DCA 2 scores are expected to change seasonally: e.g., percent of habitat covered by submerged vegetation, stream width, number of vegetation types, percent cover by debris, water clarity, total hardness, percent cover by filamentous algae, and percent of substrate comprised of mud.

Fishes loading high on axis 2 are Rasbora daniconius, Puntius quqanio, Danio devario, Esomus danricus, Barilius tileo, and Puntius ticto. Species with the largest negative scores include Glyptothorax telchitta, Barilius barila, Clupisoma qarua, Crossocheilus latius, Tor tor, Garra qotyla, and Tor putitora (Fig. 4).

#### Axis 3

DCA 3 spans 3 SD, with greatest variability in the lowlands. Plots of DCA 3 versus DCA 1 and DCA 2 showed no obvious patterns in samples or species. Pearson productmoment correlations between DCA 3 and environmental variables are significant for current speed, three substrate factors, and three vegetation factors (Table 1), suggesting a possible current and related substrate/

vegetation component. This interpretation is supported by stepwise multiple regression analysis (Table 2), which provides the model:

DCA 3 568.21- 91.75SW + 0.48C- 143.96A- 22.31G + 71.34D + 94.63Z- 127.41SA- 86.44ST - 76.21M 58.67B (R2 = 0.45; df = 137)

where  $C =$  current speed,  $Z =$  stream depth,  $SA =$  sand,  $ST$ stone, and other terms are as above.

Fishes with highest scores on DCA 3 include Labeo dero, Botia lohachata, Chela cachius, Pseudecheneis sulcatus, Glvptothorax kashmirensis, Chanda baculis, Mastacembelus armatus, and Garra gotyla. Species with lowest scores are Noemacheilus scaturigina, Semiplotus semiplotus, Chagunius chagunio, Barilius bola, and Aspidoparia jaya.

## Ordination without mountain samples

To better differentiate high hills, low hills and lowland samples, I removed the distinctive mountain collections and reordinated the remaining samples, leaving 120 collections at 59 sites. Separation of disjunct sample sets allows a more critical analysis of the relationship between-environmental parameters and fish assemblage structure outside the extreme mountain zone (e.g., Peet 1980, Green 1980, Gauch 1982).

### Axis 1

Without the mountain samples, the axis 1 eigenvalue, <sup>a</sup>measure of separation of species' distributions along the axis, is considerably smaller than when mountain samples are included in the ordination (0.76 vs. 0.43). However, even without these collections, DCA axis 1 displays a dominant geographic component, spanning nearly 5.5 SD from high hills to lowlands (Fig. 5). Correlation coefficients remain most significantly correlated with geographic factors such as river kilometer, stream order, altitude, geology, stream gradient and natural region (Table 3). Highly significant correlations with DCA 1 scores also occur for some water quality, substrate and vegetation factors (e.g., water temperature, dominant substrate type, percent cover by submerged vegetation, and current speed) (Table 3) .

The results of stepwise multiple regression analysis also demonstrate the geographic component of DCA 1 (Table 4). The model best predicting axis 1 scores without mountain samples is:

DCA  $1 = 518.70 - 4.62RK - 64.78SO + 62.60NR - 60.39SV$  $-$  34.55FA - 3.42WT - 75.73D - 73.98B  $(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.88; df = 111)$ 

where  $NR = natural$  region,  $WT = water$  temperature, and other terms are as above.

Species loading highest on the DCA 1 obtained without mountain samples are Euchiloglanis hodqarti, Schizothorax richardsoni, £. progastus, Acrossocheilus hexagonolepis, and Noemacheilus shebbearei. Fishes with highest negative loadings on DCA 1 include Rasbora daniconius, Puntius quganio, Mastacembelus pancalus, Channa punctatus, Puntius ticto, Barilius tileo, Danio devario, Chela cachius, and Lepidocephalus quntea (Fig. 5).

#### Axis 2

Environmental interpretation of DCA 2 without mountain samples is not obvious from Fig. 5. Scores span 3 SD, with lowland samples most variable. Scores are most highly correlated with current speed, season, and water temperature (Table 3), suggesting a seasonal effect. Stepwise regression results also support a seasonal interpretation (Table 4). The model best predicting axis 2 scores without mountain samples is:

 $DCA$  2 = 670.12 + 21.995 + 0.51C - 199.61A - 151.55SW  $- 100.75M - 93.46ST + 138.88Z - 144.49S A$  $-78.59B - 18.91G$  $(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.62; df = 109)$ 

where terms are as above.

Fish species with highest scores on DCA 2 without mountain samples are Labeo dero, Botia lohachata, Glyptothorax telchitta, Pseudecheneis sulcatus, and Glyptothorax trilineatus, followed by Chanda baculis, Glyptothorax kashmirensis, Clupisoma garua, Barilius barila, Crossocheilus latius, and Garra qotyla. Highest negative loadings on DCA 2 are for Semiplotus semiplotus, Rasbora daniconius, Esomus danricus, Puntius sophore, Barilius bola, Chagunius chaqunio, and Barilius tileo (Fig. 5).

#### Axis 3

Plots of the DCA 3 obtained without mountain samples showed no readily discernable patterns. However, Pearson product-moment correlations between DCA 3 scores and environmental variables are highly significant for percent cover by detritus and number of vegetation types {Table 3). Correlations were also significant for current speed, percent substrate composed of gravel, and

percent cover by vegetation, suggesting a currentrelated substrate/vegetation component to DCA 3. Multiple regression results are similar (Taple 4). DCA 3 scores are best predicted by the equation:

DCA 3 - 106.77 + 276.70DET + 0.95C + 50.83NV + 49.18GR- 14.268 + 3.41WT (R2 = 0.39; df = 113)

where DET = detritus,  $GR = gravel$ , and other terms are as above.

Species loading high on DCA 3 without mountain samples are Noemacheilus shebbearei, Tor putitora, T. tor, Semiplotus semiplotus, and Acrossocheilus hexaqonolepis. Highest negative loadings on DCA 3 are for Glvptothorax telchitta, Osteobrama cotio. Clupisoma garua and Chanda ranga.

### Direct Gradient Analysis

#### Axis 1

A plot of canonical correspondence analysis axis 1 versus axis 2 showed an uninterpretable arch effect. thus I used detrended canonical correspondence analysis for all direct gradient analyses, with detrending by fourth-order polynomials.

The overall ordination and the first DCCA axis (DCCA 1) are both highly significant ( $P < 0.001$ ), with DCCA 1 explaining 34% of the variability in species scores. DCCA 1 spans 8.7 SD and displays a gradient from mountains to lowlands (Fig. 6). with mountain samples quite distinctive, as in the DCA ordination. Correlations between environmental variables and DCCA 1 (= "intra-set correlations"; Ter Braak 1986, 1988a) again suggest a geographic component. DCCA 1 scores are most highly and significantly correlated with stream gradient, stream order, altitude, geology, natural region, and river kilometer (Table 5). DCCA 1 is also highly correlated with certain water quality and substrate factors, including stream depth, current speed, total hardness, water temperature, total alkalinity, percent substrate comprised of boulders, dominant substrate type, percent gravel, and percent mud.

The species-environment correlation for DCCA 1 of 0.951 shows the extracted variation in assemblage composition is well explained by the measured environmental variables. Multiple regression of site scores for DCCA 1 on the environmental Variables demonstrates the geographic basis of DCCA 1 (Table 6). Variables making significant contributions to the model explaining DCCA 1 scores include

stream gradient, river kilometer, altitude, and geology.

Environmental variables are represented by arrows on the species-environment biplot of DCCA scores (Fig. 6). Trajectory and length of arrows represent the direction and magnitude of correlations between environmental variables and assemblage composition along the gradient (Ter Braak 1988a, Ter Braak and Prentice 1988). Thus, the arrows in Fig. 6 demonstrate the importance of stream gradient, altitude, stream order, and river kilometer on DCCA 1. The approximate distribution of fish species with respect to these environmental gradients can be inferred from their position along these arrows.

Fishes loading highest on DCCA axis 1 are Euchiloglanis hodgarti. Schizothorax richardsoni, and Noemacheilus rupecula. Fishes with highest negative loadings on DCCA 1 include Mastecembelus pancalus, Chela cachius. Puntius ticto, E· guganio, Channa punctatus, Chanda baculis, Danio devario, and Esomus danricus (Fig. 6).

## Axis 2

DCCA 2 (Fig. 6) is highly significant  $(P < 0.01)$  and explains 7.6% of the variability in the species scores. DCCA 2 scores are most variable in the lowlands and are

most highly correlated with season, water temperature, dissolved oxygen, water clarity, and current speed (Table 5), again supporting a seasonal interpretation. The species-environment correlation is 0.874. A multiple regression model incorporates stream width, percent cover by submerged vegetation, water temperature, geology, river kilometer, percent filamentous algae, season, and stream order to predict DCCA 2 scores (Table 6). The arrows for environmental variables on Fig. 6 indicate the effect of seasonal factors on fish assemblage composition.

Fishes with highest scores on DCCA axis 2 are Glyptothorax telchitta, Labeo dero, Clupisoma qarua, and Barilius barila. Species with highest negative loadings on DCCA 2 include Rasbora daniconius, Esomus danricus, Danio devario, Puntius quqanio, and Barilius tileo (Fig. 6) .

#### Axis 3

DCCA 3 is statistically significant  $(P < 0.05)$  and is also most variable in the lowlands. It explains 4.2% of the variance in the species data; however, plots of DCCA 3 showed no obvious pattern in samples or species. DCCA 3 scores are most highly correlated with vegetation factors, including number of vegetation types, percent cover by vegetation, percent filamentous algae, percent
detritus, and percent submerged vegetation (Table 5). Significant correlations also occur with the substrate factors, percent rubble and percent boulders; thus DCCA 3 could be interpreted as a vegetation/substrate gradient. The species-environment correlation is 0.777. The multiple regression model for scores on DCCA 3 incorporates eight vegetation/substrate factors: percent cover by detritus, percent substrate comprised of stone, number of vegetation types, percent filamentous algae, percent mud, percent submerged vegetation, percent cover by vegetation, and percent sand (Table 6).

Species loading highest on DCCA 3 are Labeo dero, Tor tor, and Pseudecheneis sulcatus. Fishes with highest negative loadings include Glyptothorax telchitta, Clupisoma qarua, and Osteobrama cotio.

#### Ordination without mountain samples

### Axis 1

As with indirect gradient analysis, I removed the distinctive mountain collections and reordinated the remaining samples in an attempt to better differentiate fish assemblage composition and its environmental basis in the high hills, low hills, and lowlands (Fig. 7). The overall ordination and DCCA 1 are both highly significant

(P < 0.001). DCCA 1 maintains extremely high correlations with all geographic variables and concomitant correlations with water quality, substrate, and vegetation variables (Table 7). The species-environment correlation is 0.959.

The strength of the relationship between geographic factors and DCCA 1 scores is indicated by the arrows in Fig. 7. DCCA 1 explains 28.5% of the variation in species data. Multiple regression analysis shows that three geographic factors (river kilometer, stream order, and natural region) explain the greatest portion of variance in a model predicting DCCA 1 scores (Table 8).

Fishes with highest scores on DCCA 1 without mountain samples include Euchiloqlanis hodqarti, Schizothorax richardsoni, S. progastus, Acrossocheilus hexaqonolepis, Noemacheilus shebbearei, Glyptothorax kashmirensis, and Pseudecheneis sulcatus. Highest negative loadings are for Rasbora daniconius, Mastacembelus armatus, Osteobrama cotio, Puntius quqanio, Channa punctatus, and Barilius tileo (Fig. 7).

#### Axis 2

DCCA 2 is highly significant  $(P < 0.01)$  and scores are most variable in the lowlands (Fig. 7). Highest correlations between axis 2 scores and environmental variables are with season, water temperature, dissolved

oxygen, current speed and water clarity (Table 7), again suggesting a seasonal interpretation for axis 2. The species-environment correlation is 0.884.

DCCA 2 explains 10.7% of the variance in species data. Arrows of environmental factors on Fig. 7 highlight the seasonal component. A multiple regression model predicting DCCA 2 scores includes stream width, stream depth, percent substrate comprised by sand, percent mud, percent stone, season, and current speed as variables explaining the greatest portion of variance in fish assemblage composition along DCCA 2 (Table 8).

Species scoring high on DCCA 2 include Glyptothorax telchitta, Labeo dero, Botia lohachata, Chanda baculis, Clupisoma qarua, Crossocheilus latius, Pseudecheneis sulcatus and Barilius barila. Fishes with highest negative loadings on DCCA 2 are Semiplotus semiplotus, Rasbora daniconius, Esomus danricus, and Puntius sophore (Fig. 7).

#### Axis 3

DCCA 3 is also statistically significant  $(P < 0.05)$ and explains 5.1% of the variation in the species data. The species-environment correlation is 0.790, but plots of DCCA 3 scores showed no obvious patterns in samples or species. DCCA 3 is most highly correlated with

vegetation factors, including percent cover by detritus, number of vegetation types, and percent cover by vegetation (Table 7). Multiple regression analysis further supports the association between DCCA scores and percent detritus and number of vegetation types (Table 8).

Fishes having highest scores on DCCA 3 are Tor tor, Semiplotus semiplotus, Acrossocheilus hexagonolepis, and Tor putitora. Species with highest negative loadings on DCCA 3 include Clupisoma garua, Osteobrama cotio, and Glyptothorax telchitta.

\

I

### Comparison of DCA and DCCA

Indirect and direct gradient methods lead to similar results and interpretations in the analysis of fish. assemblage data and environmental gradients in the Kal4 Gandaki/Narayani River. Plots of the ordination of species and site scores are sdmilar for the two methods. The relative importance and statistical significance of correlation coefficients between environmental variables and ordination axes are also similar for indirect and direct methods for data sets with and for those without mountain samples, though magnitudes differ for some variables. Comparison of multiple regression analyses of environmental variables on ordination scores also shows the

similarity of the two techniques for these data.

Eigenvalues of the first three axes are similar for DCA and DCCA in analyses both with and without mountain samples. Species-environment correlations of the first three axes are all high for both data sets (Table 9). The fraction of variance accounted for by the axes is nearly the same for DCA and DCCA both with and without mountains (Table 9). Such similarity between the results of direct and indirect gradient analyses indicates that the measured environmental variables are sufficient to explain a major portion of the variation in fish assemblage composition (Ter Braak 1986).

## Analysis of seasonal and stream order effects

Indirect and direct gradient analyses both show <sup>a</sup> seasonal component for axis 2. Extended periods of heavy rains during the monsoon season (June - September) result in greatly increased river discharge and turbidity (Sharma 1977b). For example, mean monthly discharges for the Kali Gandaki River at Setibeni in 1976 ranged from 45 m<sup>3</sup>/s in March to 904 m<sup>3</sup>/s in August (Anonymous 1984). Such increases in discharge coincide with increased movement and upriver spawning migrations of many adult fishes (personal observation, personal communication with local fishermen). Similar spawning migrations occur with increased discharge

of rivers in Africa and South America (Lowe-McConnell 1975, and references therein) .

The seasonality hypothesis just described predicts that the abundance of individual species loading heavily on axis 2 should show an added variance component attributable to season. To test this hypothesis, I subjected the coded abundances of the ten species having the highest absolute scores for DCCA 2 to a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA  $-$  Sokal and Rohlf 1981) in which the independent variables were biogeographic zone (mountains, high hills, low hills, and lowlands) and season (hot, wet monsoon; cool, dry; and hot, dry). The intent of this analysis was to assess temporal effects independently of spatial variation (c. f., Lewis 1978, Matthews 1989 - manuscript submitted).

Five of the 10 species  $-$ - Barilius barila, Crossocheilus latius, Danio devario, Puntius guganio, and Rasbora daniconius -- exhibited statistically significant temporal variation (.01  $\lt$  P  $\lt$  .04; 3.39  $\lt$  F  $\lt$ 6.30, 2 df). (Three of those five were among the six species having the highest scores on DCA 2.) Thus, as predicted, the abundances of individual species loading heavily on DCCA 2 are associated with seasonality.

I also examined the distribution of fishes in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani for "faunal breaks" (sensu Matthews 1986), or distinct areas of faunal change. Presenceabsence data for species in each collection were used to compare species similarity among sites and to investigate the correspondence between faunal change and stream order. Morisita's index (Morisita 1959} was employed to test for sharp differences in species composition between adjacent sites. Calculated values of Morisita's index indicate that as much variation exists within zones and even at given sites over different seasons as occurs between sites where stream order increases. Thus, while stream order was highly significantly correlated with scores on the first ordination axis (Tables 1, 3, 5, 7) and was often retained as a significant independent variable in regression models for ordination scores (Tables 2, 4, 6, 8), it alone does not sufficiently explain a high proportion of the variance in fish assemblage composition in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani. Rather, my results indicate that a combination of synergistic and related physiochemical parameters, mainly components of "stream hydraulics" (Statzner and Higler 1986), are the abiotic factors that best predict longitudinal zonation in fish assemblage structure in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani River.

# Mesohabitat patterns of assemblage composition

Insight into fish assemblage structure along the length of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani is provided by an

examination of "mesohabitat" trends (sensu Meffe & Sheldon 1988); i.e., the relative abundance of species in riffles, pools and runs from region to region. To investigate such possible trends, I performed separate DCA ordinations on species captured in riffles, pools and runs throughout the river. I included only those sites at which separate samples were made in all three mesohabitats, i.e., in riffle(s), pool(s), and run(s).

To further increase the validity of comparisons among such divergent macrohabitat types, I eliminated all sites where gill netting or electrofishing sampling methods were employed, and used only those sites where seining (hauls or riffle kicks) and cast netting were used for sampling. (See Edds (1986) for a more qualitative treatment of assemblage composition that includes all sites and species. Pool and run assemblages at sites were found to be very similar throughout the river. Thus, I later combined individuals from these two mesohabitats at each site for comparison with the distinctive riffle fish assemblages.

Longitudinal patterns in riffle DCA 1 scores are evident along the length of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani (Fig. 8). Scores for collections made in mountain riffles are particularly distinctive compared with those of other zones. On average, scores for riffle collections made in the high hills are intermediate between those made in the

mountains and those made in the low hills and lowlands. Riffle collections from the low hills and lowlands exhibit similar scores on DCA 1. A parallel pattern exists for the collections from pool/run assemblages (Fig. 9). The small differences in DCA 1 scores between low hills and lowlands for both riffles and pool/runs is in part due to inclusion of the highly divergent data for mountain samples. and is not entirely indicative of the degree of similarity in species composition between low hills and lowlands. Some of the overlap between zones is also due to seasonal effects. since species composition at a given site may vary greatly among seasons.

Along the length of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani. riffle-dwelling fishes are mainly cyprinids. homalopterid loaches and sisorid sucker catfishes. with the loaches and sucker catfishes becoming the most common fishes in the riffles of the hill and lowland zones. The cyprinid Schizothorax richardsoni is dominant in mountain riffles while the loach Noemacheilus shebbearei is the most common riffle-dweller in the high hills. The loaches  $N$ .</u> scaturigina. N. rupecula, and the sucker catfishes Glyptothorax pectinopterus and *Q.* kashmirensis are the most common riffle-dwellers in the low hills. In the lowlands, the loaches  $M - \text{scaturigina}$ . N. rupecula, N. corica, N. beavani and Botia lohachata form a major portion of the fish assemblage in riffles.

Pool/run assemblage composition is dominated by Schizothorax richardsoni in the mountain zone. but the congeneric species £. progastus and other cyprinids dominate in the high hills. Cyprinids and homalopterids are important members of the pool/run mesohabitat in the high hills. low hills and lowlands. The greatest number of species inhabits the lowland pool/runs. where. in addition to the cyprinids and homalopterids that are most abundant. two cobitid loaches, two chandid glassfishes, two mastacembelid spiny eels, one schilbeid catfish. one belonid needlefish, and one gobiid goby are important members of the pool/run assemblage of fishes.

### Mountains

In the mountain zone, riffles and pool/runs are both dominated by the cyprinid Schizothorax richardsoni (Table 10). The sisorid catfish Euchiloqlanis hodqarti also is a major component of the fish assemblage in mountain riffles. The homalopterid loach Noemacheilus rupecula also occurs in both riffles and pool/run mesohabitats in the mountains (Table 10).

#### High hills

The riffle fish-assemblage in the high hills

comprises cyprinids (5 species), homalopterids (3), and sisorids (2), the most common being the homalopterid loaches Noemacheilus shebbearei and N. rupecula, the cyprinids Barilius bendelisis, B. vagra, and Schizothorax progastus, and the sisorid Euchiloglanis hodqarti (Table 11).

Pool/run habitats are dominated by cyprinids (10), with one homalopterid and one sisorid also occurring periodically. Schizothorax proqastus is the most common fish in high hills pool/runs, along with Barilius bendelisis and  $\underline{B}$ . vagra. The cyprinids  $\underline{B}$ . shacra, Schizothorax richardsoni and Acrossocheilus hexaqonolepis also occur in many pool/run collections in this zone (35- 53%). Less abundant members of this assemblage include the cyprinids Tor putitora, Crossocheilus latius, and Garra gotyla and the loach Noemacheilus corica (Table 12).

#### Low hills

The riffle fish-assemblage in the low hills comprises mainly cyprinids (8), homalopterids (4), and sisorids (4), one cobitid loach, one amblycipitid catfish, and one mastacembelid spiny eel (Table 12). The major components are the cyprinids Barilius vagra and B. bendelisis, the sisorid Glyptothorax pectinopterus, and the homalopterid Noemacheilus scaturugina, all of which occur in 38-50% of

the collections. The cobitid loach Botia lohachata and the sisorids Glyptothorax kashmirensis and Pseudecheneis sulcatus are also present at many sites (19%).

Pool/run assemblages are dominated by cyprinids (18) and homalopterid loaches (5), with one cobitid loach, one schilbeid catfish. two sisorids, and one belonid also occurring (Table 13). The cyprinid Barilius vagra occurred in all 25 pool/run collections from the low hills. The cyprinids B. bendelisis, B. shacra, B. barna and Schizothorax proqastus are also common, and the ' loaches Noemacheilus corica and Noemacheilus scaturiqina form another major component of pools and runs in the low hills (Table 12).

#### Lowlands

Lowland riffles had 13 species of cyprinids, six homalopterid leaches, five sisorid catfishes, two cobitid loaches, one amblycipitid catfish, one chandid glassfish and one mastacembelid spiny eel (Table 13). The most common species are the homalopterids Noemacheilus scaturigina, N. rupecula, N. corica, N. beavani, N. botia and N. shebbearei, the cyprinids Barilius bendelisis, B. vaqra, and Garra qotyla, the cobitid Botia lohachata, the amblycipitid Amblyceps manqois. and the sisorid Glyptothorax pectinopterus.

Lowland pool/runs are inhabited by 28 cyprinid species, five homalopterids, two cobitids, two sisorids, two chandids, two mastacembelids, one schilbeid, one amblycipitid, one belonid, one chandid glassfish, and one gobiid goby (Table 14). The most common fishes in lowland pool/runs are the cyprinids Barilius barna, B. bendelisis, B. shacra, B. vagra, B. barila, Puntius conchonius, P. ticto, Chaqunius chaqunio, Aspidoparia jaya, A. morar and Danio devario, the homalopterids Noemacheilus corica and N. botia, the needlefish Xenentodon cancila and the glassfish Chanda baculis (Table 13).

### Intra-generic zonation

Patterns of longitudinal zonation are evident among species of four different genera. Schizothorax richardsoni dominates in the mountains, but is replaced by  $S$ . progastus in the high hills. Species of another cyprinid genus, Barilius, also demonstrate a longitudinal progression of occurrence in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani: 1) B. bendelisis is widespread, occurring from the high hills to the lowlands; 2) B. bendelisis and a second species, B. vagra dominate the high hill and low hill portions of the river;  $3)$   $B.$  shacra and  $B.$  barna become more common downstream in the low hills and lowlands; 4) these four species are joined by  $\underline{B}$ . bola and  $\underline{B}$ . tileo in the lowlands.

Noemacheilus rupecula is the most wide ranging of all the fishes of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani. It occurs from the mountains to the lowlands, and is the only loach in the mountains.  $N.$  shebbearei is the most common loach in the high hills, whereas N- scaturiqina and N. corica are the most common members of the genus in the low hills and lowlands. Among the Sisoridae, Euchiloqlanis hodgarti is the only species of the family in the mountains and is the most abundant sisorid in high hills riffles. In the low hills, E. hodqarti is replaced other sisorids, including Glyptothorax pectinopterus, *Q.* kashmirensis, and Pseudecheneis sulcatus; these are joined in lowland riffles by Q. telchitta and Q. trilineatus.

#### DISCUSSION

### Longitudinal zonation and distribution of Himalayan fishes

The general distribution pattern of fishes throughout the Himalaya mountain range has been addressed by Hora (1951, 1952) and Menon (1954, 1962, 1974). These accounts were based primarily on ichthyofauna surveys done in India in the Eastern Himalaya (e.g., Hora 1921b, 1935, Shaw and Shebbeare 1937) and the Western Himalaya (e.g., Silas 1960, Sehgal 1971). However, relatively little

information has been published on the distribution of fishes in the Central Himalaya, i.e., between about 80 and 87 degrees east longitude in Nepal.

G.E. Hutchinson outlined a scheme of fish species zonation in his 1939 monograph on fishes of the extreme northwestern Himalaya. His zones included: 1) headwater streams inhabited by rheophilic species of Noemacheilus; 2) large streams with schizothoracines and Noemacheilus species; 3) rapid, turbid rivers with rheophilic species of Schizothorax and Noemacheilus; and 4) slow rivers and lacustrine swamps inhabited by limnadophil species of Schizothorax.

Jhingran and Sehgal (1978) designated three fish zones in torrential Himalayan streams: 1) the "loach" or "headwater zone", inhabited by noemacheiline loaches and the sucker catfish Glyptosternum; 2) the "snow trout" (Cyprinidae, Schizothoracinae) or "rapid clear water zone", the most torrential reaches of which are inhabited by one schizothoracine species (less rapid reaches of this zone are frequented by other schizothoracines); and 3) the "rapid turbid water zone", inhabited mainly by rheophilic schizothoracines and loaches.

Menon (1954) recognized six categories of Himalayan fishes according to their various morphological and behavioral adaptations to the types of waters they inhabit: 1) fishes that live at the bottom of deep, swift

waters, cylindrical in form and possessing powerful muscular bodies like Schizothorax, Tor and Semiplotus; 2) fishes that attach themselves to bare rocks in torrential waters. including Euchiloqlanis and Pseudecheneis; 3) fishes that live among the stones at the bottom of shallower. less forceful waters, including Noemacheilus, Botia and Amblyceps; 4) fishes having ventrally placed adhesive disks that are used to attach the fish to bare rocks in comparatively slower waters, (e.g., Glyptothorax and Garra); 5) fishes modified for a burrowing habit and which bury themselves in the substrate to avoid the force of rushing waters, (e.g., Mastacembelus and Psilorhynchus); and 6) fishes inhabiting shallow, clear waters at the base of hills, "without any striking adaptive characters" for high current speeds, (e.g., Bagarius and Gaqata). Sehgal (1983) also includes Barilius and Puntius in the latter group.

My study is the first to document fish species distribution from first-order streams to lower mainstream in a major Himalayan river. The fish assemblage of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani exhibits a pattern of macrohabitat zonation from the trans-Himalaya plateau, through the mountains into the high hills, low hills and finally the lowland plains. Although zonal boundaries are not sharply defined, certain fishes dominate each region, and can be considered indicator species in the following description

of fish assemblage zonation in central Nepal.

1. Schizothorax richardsoni zone: In the trans-Himalaya region, up to and including fourth-order waters. I collected only one species, namely Schizothorax richardsoni, a stenothermal cyprinid having a ventral mouth surrounded by a sucker-like disk used to cling to the substrate in torrential waters (Edds 1986). In the mountains, S. richardsoni remained by far the most common fish species, both in terms of frequency of occurrence and number of individuals. However, I also found Euchiloglanis hodgarti and Noemacheilus rupecula, both of which possess anatomical and behavioral adaptations to torrents. Thus, the stretch of the river upstream from the fifth-order portion, and including both the mountain and trans-Himalayan regions, can be called the Schizothorax richardsoni zone, after its most common member, which dominates both riffle and pool/run mesohabitats.

2. Schizothorax progastus zone: In the fifth-order portion of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani, S. richardsoni is gradually replaced by its congener  $S$ . progastus, a species having a suckerless, terminal mouth and a terete, streamlined body which aids in exploitation of the water column in the less torrential waters it inhabits. S. progastus inhabits both pool/run and riffle mesohabitats,

and is the most frequently encountered species in the high hills (Table 11). The cyprinids Barilius bendelisis and B. vagra also commonly occur in pools and runs of this zone, as well as in the riffle mesohabitat with Noemacheilus shebbearei, N. rupecula, and Euchiloglanis hodgarti.

3. Barilius vagra zone: The low hills fish assemblage is dominated by several laterally compressed species of cyprinids whose body shape presumably facilitates swimming in deeper, slower waters. B. vagra is by far the most common fish in the low hills, and the only species that occurred in every collection I made in <sup>a</sup> region. It is the most common species in the pool/run mesohabitat, along with  $~$  B. bendelisis, B. shacra and the loach Noemacheilus corica. B. vagra is also the most common fish species in low hill riffles, along with Glyptothorax pectinopterus, B bendelisis, Noemacheilus scaturiqina and N. rupecula.

4. Barilius barna zone: Barilius barna is the most abundant fish species in the lowlands, along with its congeners  $\underline{B}$ . bendelisis,  $\underline{B}$ . shacra and  $\underline{B}$ . vagra. However, many other fishes are also common in this area of broad slow waters and diverse microhabitats. Besides these four barils, this zone is also characterized by the following fishes all of which occurred in at least 50% of my lowland collections: two cyprinid detritivores Aspidoparia jaya

and A. morar, two omnivorous cyprinids, Chagunius chagunio and Puntius conchonius, the carnivorous homalopterid Noemacheilus corica and the belonid predator Xenentodon cancila. The lowland riffle mesohabitat also supports <sup>a</sup> great number of species, including Barilius bendelisis, and the loaches Botia lohachata, Noemacheilus scaturigina, N. corica and N. rupecula.

### Comparison with studies from other areas

Fish species distribution in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani differs somewhat from that reported in the other Himalayan studies mentioned above. Both Hutchinson (1939) and Jhingran and Sehgal (1978) noted that headwaters on the southern slopes of the Western Himalaya were inhabited by rheophilic noemacheiline loaches. In contrast, my extensive sampling revealed no loaches above the fourthorder mountain region of the Kali Gandaki. The longitudinal pattern of fish species distribution in the Kali Gandaki River roughly corresponds to the morphological/behavioral categories described by Menon (1954). Although Menon's classification was not necessarily meant to describe zonation, his general categories parallel many of the fish assemblages in this river. Dominance of upstream areas by a schizothoracine rather than by noemacheiline rheophiles

may be due in part to zoogeographic factors. While the great majority of Himalayan fish genera dispersed from the Oriental realm after the early Tertiary upheavals that created these mountains, many Central Asiatic Schizothoracinae were able to populate trans-Himalayan rivers like the Kali Gandaki during interglacial periods of the Pleistocene (Menon 1954, 1955) .

Moyle and Herbold (1987) concluded that Pleistocene events also played a large role in structuring fish assemblages in Europe and eastern and western North America. These investigators found the fish faunas of Europe and western North America more similar to each other than to those of eastern North America in terms of various life history characteristics, and attributed this in part to the harsh conditions of the Ice Age. In northern parts of Europe and western North America, drainages were covered with continental glaciers, and southern portions of these areas experienced extremely adverse conditions. However, in eastern North America, fishes found refuge in the vast Mississippi-Missouri River drainage. For the fishes of Nepal, the extensive Ganges River drainage could have provided similar refuge, resulting in the present-day fish fauna which is more similar to that of eastern North America than to western North America or Europe in terms of species richness, body size, reproductive traits, migratory patterns and trophic specialization.

The structure of headwater fish assemblages is much the same in Europe, northern Asia, eastern North America, and western North America (Moyle and Herbold 1987). This is the "Trout Zone" of Huet (1949, 1959}, the exact composition of which varies from place to place. Assemblage structure in the Schizothorax richardsoni zone of the Kali Gandaki bears some resemblance to that of other mountain fish communities (Hutchinson 1939, Burton and Odum 1945, Moyle and Herbold 1987). In North America, this zone is generally inhabited by trout (Salmonidae), sculpins (Cottidae), suckers (Catostomidae) and dace (Cyprinidae); in northern Europe by trout, sculpins, loaches, (Homalopteridae, mainly Noemacheilus) and minnows (Cyprinidae). In this zone of the Central Himalaya, comparable ecological equivalents can be found, including the "snow trout" Schizothorax (Cyprinidae), Euchiloglanis (Sisoridae, morphologically similar in general aspect to sculpins). Noemacheilus and drift-feeding cyprinids  $(Barilius)$ .

While humans have long recognized that fishes inhabit different zones along the length of rivers and streams, the search for a universal classification scheme has proved unfruitful, perhaps sometimes even misguided. The general scheme proposed by Illies (1961) is, however, the most widely applicable, and can be compared to the zones described in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani. The rhithron, or

the portion from the headwaters downstream to the point where mean monthly temperature reaches 20 degrees Celsius (Illies 1961) is represented in the Kali Gandaki from first-order streams down to where it changes to a sixthorder stream (Ridi Bazar); downstream from that point, the river can be characterized as potamon. Such a division of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani corresponds to the break between high hills and low hills and between the Schizothorax proqastus and Barilius vaqra zones as well as between fifth-order and sixth-order portions.

Illies and Botosaneanu (1963) added a headwater zone, the crenon, and further divided the rhithron and potamon into epi-, meta-, and hypo- zones. In the Kali Gandaki/Narayani the crenon consists of the fishless first- and second-order headwater streams, while the epirhithron corresponds to the trans-Himalaya region, the metarhithron to the remainder of the Schizothorax richardsoni mountain zone, and the hyporhithron to the fifth-order Schizothorax proqastus zone. The epipotamon is the sixth-order Barilius vagra zone, the metapotamon is the seventh-order lowland composite zone, and the hypopotamon likely lies in northern India, upstream from the river's confluence with the Ganges.

### Comparison of analytical methods

The ordinations produced by direct and indirect gradient analyses yielded essentially the same interpretations and conclusions. That is, geographic, water quality. and factors of stream hydraulics such as current speed. stream depth and substrate type are the major abiotic factors influencing fish assemblage structure in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani River. Seasonal changes have a substantial, but secondary effect.

Exclusion of the distinctive mountain samples does not fundamentally alter the main patterns of correspondence between measured environmental parameters and fish species distribution. Rather. it allows a closer examination of the overlap of ordination scores between high hills. low hills and lowland zones. Removal of mountain samples leads to minor differences in correlations between ordination scores and environmental parameters as well as the regressions predicting axis scores. but does not change interpretation of the major abiotic factors affecting fish assemblage structure in the Kali Gandaki/Narayani.

DCCA performed as well or better than DCA in obtaining an effective ordination. The DCCA speciesenvironment biplot simultaneously displays environmental vectors on the ordinations along with sites and species. This provides a diagrammatic view of associations between

species abundances and environmental variables. The biplot provides not only an indication of how community composition changes. but also how selected species vary along the environmental gradients. Thus. direct gradient analysis simplifies interpretation of species-environment relationships, compared to the two-step process of indirect gradient analysis which requires an initial ordination and subsequent correlation and multiple regression analyses.

CANOCO also facilitates significance testing. The Monte Carlo test expedites analysis of the cumulative effects of measured environmental variables on assemblage structure by testing overall significance of the ordination. CANOCO also performs Monte Carlo tests of significance on individual axes. The program allows the investigator to partial out the effects of particular environmental variables (including extracted axes) to consider the residual variation in the species data.

DCA from DECORANA, which uses a detrending by segments algorithm, produced a systematic relation between axes 1 and 3, 1 and 4, and 3 and 4. Though the correlation coefficient between axes was small (absolute value of  $r =$  $0.206 - 0.273$ ), it was nevertheless significant (P = 0.0007 - 0.0116). CANOCO's DCA, with the detrending by polynomials option produced uncorrelated axes; thus this method rectifies at least some of the suggested

imperfections of DCA (c.f., Wartenberg et al. 1987, Peet et al. 1988).

Comparison of the results of direct and indirect gradient analysis allows further examination of the effects of measured environmental variables on assemblage composition. If conclusions drawn from the two techniques are divergent, then the measured environmental parameters account for less conspicuous directions of variation in the assemblage data or they account for no variation at all. However. if conclusions are in agreement then the measured environmental variables are sufficient to explain much of the variation in assemblage structure (Ter Braak 1986). The high degree of similarity between results of the two methods in my analysis probably would not occur in analyses of regions showing less extreme physiographic differences from headwaters to tailwaters. Such congruence testifies to the profound influence exhibited on the fish assemblage of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani by the physiochemical factors associated with the extreme environmental gradients in a river system draining the highest mountain range on Earth.

TABLES

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\sim 10^6$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

### TABLE 1

# CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS (r) BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AND DCA AXES  $(n = 148)$ . EIGENVALUES OF AXES ARE GIVEN IN PARENTHESES<sup>®</sup>.





Correlation coefficient significant at \* P < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01. \*\*\* p < 0.001. \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.

 $\hat{x}$ 

TABLE 2

RESULTS OF STEPWISE MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES ON DCA AXES  $(n = 148)$ .





 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

TABLE 2 (continued)

# TABLE 3

# CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AND DCA AXES WITHOUT MOUNTAIN SAMPLES (n=120). EIGENVALUES OF AXES ARE GIVEN IN PARENTHESESa.





Correlation coefficient  $(r)$  is significant at  $*$  P  $\leq$  0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001, \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.

# TABLE 4

# RESULTS OF STEPWISE MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES ON DCA AXES WITHOUT MOUNTAIN SAMPLES (n = 120).



| Variables in Model      | Partial R <sup>2</sup> | Ρ     | Model R <sup>2</sup> |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| DCA 3                   |                        |       |                      |
| Percent detritus        | .161                   | .0001 | .161                 |
| Current speed           | .087                   | .0003 | .248                 |
| Number vegetation types | .049                   | .0054 | .297                 |
| Percent gravel          | .039                   | .0111 | .336                 |
| Season                  | .027                   | .0270 | .363                 |
| Temperature             | .026                   | .0347 | .389                 |

TABLE 4 (continued)

# TABLE 5

# INTRA-SET CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS (r) BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES AND DCCA AXES  $(n = 148)$ . EIGENVALUES OF AXES ARE GIVEN IN PARENTHESES<sup>&</sup>.



| Environmental Factor            | DCCA 1<br>(.684) | DCCA 2<br>(.153)   | DCCA 3<br>(.084) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Substrate                       |                  |                    |                  |
| Dominant substrate              | $.357***$ . 116  |                    | .079             |
| Number types substrate .180*    |                  | $-.211**$          | $-.103$          |
| Percent mud                     | $-.299***$       | $-.308***$         | $-.028$          |
| Percent sand                    | $-.258**$        | .125               | $-.069$          |
| Percent gravel                  | .349****         | .086               | .022             |
| Percent stone                   | $.244***$        | $-.071$            | $-.090$          |
| Percent rubble                  | .141             | .148               | $.281***$        |
| Percent boulder                 |                  | $.515*** - .237**$ | $-.225**$        |
| Vegetation (veg.)               |                  |                    |                  |
| Dominant vegetation             | .027             | .013               | .061             |
| Number vegetation types         | $-.063$          | .039               | $.573***$        |
| Percent cover by veg.           | $-.152$          | $-.094$            | $.442***$        |
| Percent detritus                | $-.055$          | $-.185*$           | $.351***$        |
| Percent filamentous algae -.121 |                  | $-.171*$           | $.430***$        |
| Percent submerged veg. -.190*   |                  | $-.057$            | $.339***$        |
| Percent emergent veg.           | $-.011$          | $.177*$            | $-.122$          |
| Percent debris                  | .127             | $-.205*$           | $.265**$         |

TABLE 5 (continued)

**a**  Correlation coefficient significant at \* P < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01. \*\*\* p < 0.001. \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.
RESULTS OF WEIGHTED MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS SHOWING ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES EXPLAINING THE GREATEST PORTION OF VARIANCE IN THE SPECIES DATA, ORDINATED BY DCCA, WITH STUDENT'S t-VALUE FOR SIGNIFICANCE TESTS OF CANONICAL COEFFICIENTS (TER BRAAK 1988a)  $(n = 148)$ .



| Variables in Model           | t    | $P \leq$ |
|------------------------------|------|----------|
| DCCA 3                       |      |          |
| Percent detritus             | 4.27 | .0001    |
| Percent stone                | 3.55 | .0005    |
| Number vegetation types      | 3.46 | .0005    |
| Percent filamentous algae    | 3.37 | .0005    |
| Temperature                  | 3.15 | .005     |
| Percent mud                  | 3.02 | .005     |
| Percent submerged vegetation | 2.89 | .005     |
| Stream order                 | 2.87 | .005     |
| Season                       | 2.83 | .005     |
| Natural region               | 2.39 | .01      |
| Percent cover by vegetation  | 2.30 | .025     |
| Percent sand                 | 2.21 | .025     |

TABLE 6 (continued)

### INTRA-SET CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS (r) BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES AND DCCA AXES, WITHOUT MOUNTAIN SAMPLES (n = 120). EIGENVALUES OF AXES ARE GIVEN IN PARENTHESES<sup>a</sup>.





a Correlation coefficient significant at \* P < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01. \*\*\* p < 0.001. \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.

### RESULTS OF WEIGHTED MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS SHOWING ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES EXPLAINING THE GREATEST PORTION OF VARIANCE IN THE SPECIES DATA WITHOUT MOUNTAIN SAMPLES, ORDINATED BY DCCA. WITH STUDENT'S t-VALUE FOR SIGNIFICANCE TESTS OF CANONICAL COEFFICIENTS (TER BRAAK 1988a)  $(n = 120)$ .



Variables in Model  $\qquad \qquad t$  by the p  $\leq$ DCCA 3 Percent detritus 5.54 .0005 Number vegetation types 3.63 .0005 Temperature 3.34 .001 Season 2.93 .005

TABLE 8 (continued)

RESULTS OF DCA AND DCCA FOR FISH SPECIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INCLUDING AND EXCLUDING MOUNTAIN SAMPLES: EIGENVALUES. SPECIES-ENVIRONMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS, AND FRACTION OF TOTAL VARIANCE ACCOUNTED FOR BY THE FIRST s AXES. WHERE  $s = 1, 2, 3$ . SUM OF ALL CANONICAL EIGENVALUES (TRACE) =  $2.015$  FOR WITH AND 1.352 FOR WITHOUT MOUNTAINS.

DCA DCAA DCA DCCA Axis 1 Axis 2 Axis 3 With mountains Eigenvalues 0.761 0.221 0.186 0.684 0.153 0.084 Correlation coefficients 0.944 0.827 0.786 0.951 0.874 0.777 Fraction of variance accounted for DCA 0.336 0.412 0.469 DCCA 0.339 0.416 0.457



 $\sim 10^{-11}$ 

MOUNTAIN FISH SPECIES DISTRIBUTION IN RIFFLE  $(n = 17)$  AND POOL/RUN (n = 11) HABITATS. SHOWING PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE, RANGE (IN PARENTHESES) AND MEAN  $\pm$  1 SD OF CODED ABUNDANCE OF SPECIES OCCURRING IN AT LEAST 5% OF ALL COLLECTIONS, WHERE  $0 = \text{NONE}$ ,  $1 = 1-5$ ,  $2 = 6-10$ ,  $3 = 11-20$ , AND  $4 = 20$  INDIVIDUALS.



HIGH HILLS FISH SPECIES DISTRIBUTION IN RIFFLE  $(n = 13)$  AND POOL/RUN (n = 17) HABITATS, SHOWING PERCENT FREQUENCY OF<br>OCCURRENCE, RANGE (IN PARENTHESES) AND MEAN  $\pm$  1 SD OF CODED ABUNDANCE, AS IN TABLE 10.





LOW HILLS FISH SPECIES DISTRIBUTION IN RIFFLE (n = 16) AND POOL/RUN (n = 25) HABITATS, SHOWING PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OF OCCURRENCE, RANGE (IN PARENTHESES) AND MEAN  $\pm$  1 SD OF CODED ABUNDANCE. AS IN TABLE 10.





and the control of the control of the

TABLE 12 (continued)

|                 | SPECIES                   | RIFFLE                      | POOL/RUN   |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Sisoridae       |                           |                             |  |
|                 | Glyptothorax kashmirensis | $19(0-1)$<br>$0.2 + 0.4$    |  |
|                 | pectinopterus<br>G.       | $0.6 \pm 0.6$ tr* $\pm$ 0.2 | $50 (0-2) 4 (0-1)$                               |
|                 | trilineatus<br><u>G</u> . |                             | $6(0-1)$ $4(0-1)$<br>$0.1 \pm 0.2$ tr* $\pm$ 0.2 |
|                 | Pseudecheneis sulcatus    | $19(0-1)$<br>$0.2 \pm 0.4$  |  |
| Belonidae       |                           |                             |  |
|                 | Xenentodon cancila        |                             | $4(0-1)$<br>$tr* + 0.2$                          |
| Mastacembelidae |                           |                             |  |
|                 | Mastacembelus armatus     | $6(0-1)$<br>$0.1 \pm 0.2$   |  |

 $tr^*$  = trace =  $\langle 0.5\%$ 

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

LOWLAND FISH SPECIES DISTRIBUTION IN RIFFLE ( $n = 26$ ) AND POOL/RUN (n = 62) HABITATS, SHOWING PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE. RANGE (IN PARENTHESES) AND MEAN  $\pm$  1 SD OF CODED ABUNDANCE, AS IN TABLE 10.





 $\sim$ 

|                | SPECIES               |                             | RIFFLE POOL/RUN                                     |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Cobitidae      |                       |                             |   |
|                | Botia lohachata       | $-35(0-4)$ 11 $(0-4)$       | $0.5 \pm 0.9$ 0.3 $\pm$ 0.9                         |
|                | Lepidocephalus guntea |                             | $8(0-2)$ 19 (0-3)<br>$0.1 \pm 0.4$ 0.3 $\pm$ 0.6    |
| Homalopteridae |                       |                             |   |
|                | Noemacheilus beavani  |                             | $27(0-3)$ $15(0-4)$<br>$0.3 \pm 0.7$ 0.2 $\pm$ 0.6  |
|                | N. botia              |                             | $19(0-3)$ $35(0-2)$<br>$0.3 \pm 0.7$ 0.4 $\pm$ 0.5  |
|                | N. corica             |                             | $35(0-1)$ 55 $(0-4)$<br>$0.3 \pm 0.5$ 1.2 $\pm$ 1.5 |
|                | N. rupecula           |                             | $35(0-2)$ $3(0-2)$<br>$0.4 \pm 0.6$ tr* $\pm$ 0.3   |
|                | N. shebbearei         | $15(0-1)$<br>$0.2 + 0.4$    |   |
|                | N. scaturigina        |                             | $42(0-4)$ 15 $(0-4)$<br>$0.7 \pm 1.0$ $0.2 \pm 0.6$ |
| Schilbeidae    |                       |                             |   |
|                | Clupisoma garua       |                             | $10(0-4)$<br>$0.1 + 0.6$                            |
| Amblycipitidae |                       |                             |   |
|                | Amblyceps mangois     | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ tr* $\pm$ 0.2 | $19(0-2)$ 5 $(0-1)$                                 |

TABLE 13 (continued)

|           | SPECIES                   | RIFFLE POOL/RUN                                  |                            |
|-----------|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Sisoridae |                           |  |                            |
|           | Glyptothorax kashmirensis | $8(0-1)$<br>$0.1 \pm 0.3$                        |                            |
|           | G. pectinopterus          | $15(0-1)$<br>$0.2 + 0.4$                         |                            |
|           | G. telchitta              | $8(0-1)$ $3(0-2)$<br>$0.1 \pm 0.3$ tr* $\pm$ 0.3 |                            |
|           | G. trilineatus            | 12 (0-1) 2 (0-1)<br>0.1 $\pm$ 0.3 tr* $\pm$ 0.1  |                            |
|           | Pseudecheneis sulcatus    | $8(0-1)$<br>$0.1 \pm 0.3$                        |                            |
| Belonidae |                           |  |                            |
|           | Xenentodon cancila        |  | $50(0-4)$<br>$1.0 + 1.3$   |
| Channidae |                           |  |                            |
|           | Channa punctatus          |  | $11 (0-1)$<br>$0.1 + 0.3$  |
| Chandidae |                           |  |                            |
|           | Chanda baculis            | $tr* + 0.2 0.7 + 1.3$                            | $4(0-1)$ 29 $(0-4)$        |
|           | C. ranga                  |  | $13(0-4)$<br>$0.2 \pm 0.7$ |
| Gobiidae  |                           |  |                            |
|           | Glossogobius giuris       |  | $23(0-2)$<br>$0.2 \pm 0.5$ |

TABLE 13 (continued)



 $\sim$ 

 $tr*$  = trace =  $\langle 0.5\%$ 

TABLE 13 (continued)

FIGURES

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 









 $\mathfrak{g}_1$ 







 $\sigma$ 



(QS) I SIXA


#### LITERATURE CITED

- Anonymous. 1979. Gandaki River Basin Power Study basin study, environmental report. Snowy Mountains Engineering Corporation. United Nations Development Program.
- Anonymous. 1984. Surface Water Records of Nepal. supplement no. 11, 1976. Dept. of Irrigation, Hydrology and Meterology, Kathmandu.
- Ben-Shahar, R. and J. D. Skinner. 1988. Habitat preferences of African ungulates derived by uni- and multivariate analyses. Ecology 69(5): 1479-1485.
- Burton, G. W. and E. P. Odum. 1945. The distribution of stream fish in the vicinity of Mountain Lake, Virginia. Ecology 26(2): 182-194.
- Chang, D. H. S. and H. G. Gauch, Jr. 1986. Multivariate analysis of plant communities and environmental factors in Ngari, Tibet. Ecology 67(6): 1568-1575.
- Cummins, K. W. 1962. An evaluation of some techniques for the collection and analysis of benthic samples with special emphasis on lotic waters. Am. Midl. Nat. 67: 477-504.
- Day, F. 1878. The Fishes of India; being a natural history of the fishes known to inhabit the seas and fresh waters of India, Burma, and Ceylon. London.
- DeWitt, H. H. 1960. A contribution to the ichthyology of Nepal. Stanford Ich. Bull. 7(4): 63-88.
- Edds. D. R. 1985. New records of fish species for Nepal. J. Nat. Hist. Mus. Nepal 9: 41-46.
- Edds, D. R. 1986. Fishes of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani River. Nepal. J. Nat. Hist. Mus. Nepal 10: 13-22.
- Edds, D. R. 1987. Food habits of some Nepalese fishes. J. Nat. Hist. Mus. Nepal 11: in press.

102

- Fisher, S.G. 1983. Succession in streams, p. 7-27 In: Stream ecology, application and testing of general ecological theory. J. R. Barnes and G. W. Minshall (eds.). Plenum Press. New York.
- Gauch, H. G., Jr. 1982. Multivariate Analysis in Community Ecology. Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge, England.
- Gauch. H. G .. Jr., R. H. Whittaker and T. *R.* Wentworth. 1977. A comparative study of reciprocal averaging and other ordination techniques. J. Ecol. 65: 157-174.
- Green. R. H. 1980. Multivariate approaches in ecology: the assessment of ecologic similarity. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 11: 1-14.
- Hamilton, F. 1822. An Account of the Fishes Found in the River Ganges and its Branches. Edinburgh. Scotland.
- Hawkes, H. A. 1975. River zonation and classification. pp. 312-374. In B.A. Whitton (edl). River Ecology. Univ. Calif. Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Hill, M. 0. 1973. Reciprocal averaging: an eigenvector method of ordination. J. Ecol. 61: 237-249.
- Hill, M. O. 1979a. DECORANA a FORTRAN program for detrended correspondence analysis and reciprocal averaging. Ecology and Systematics, Cornell Univ., Ithaca. N. Y., USA.
- Hill, M. O. 1979b. TWINSPAN a FORTRAN program for arranging multivariate data in an ordered two-way table by classification of the individuals and attributes. Ecology and Systematics, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N. Y., USA.
- Hill, M. 0. and H. G. Gauch, Jr. 1980. Detrended correspondence analysis: an improved ordination technique. Vegetatio 42: 47-58.
- Hora, S. L. 1921a. Indian cyprinoid fishes belonging to the genus Garra, with notes on related species from other countries. Rec. Indian Mus. 22: 633-687.
- Hora. S. L. 192lb. On some new or rare species of fish from the Eastern Himalayas. Rec. Indian Mus. 22: 731-734.
- Hora, S. L. 1935. Notes on fishes in the Indian Museum. XXIV. Loaches of the genus Nemachilus from the Eastern Himalayas, with description of a new species from Burma and Siam. Rec. Indian Mus. 37: 49-68.
- Hera, S. L. 1937a. Notes on fishes in the Indian Museum. XXIX. On a collection of fish from Nepal. Rec. Indian Mus. 39: 43-46.
- Hora, S. L. 1937b. Notes on fishes in the Indian Museum. XXXV. A further note on Hamilton's Cyprinus (Garra) lamta. Rec. Indian Mus. 39: 344-348.
- Hora, S. L. 1951. Fish geography of India. J. Zool. Soc. India 3: 181-187.
- Hora, S. L. 1952. The Himalayan fishes. Himalaya 1: 66-74.
- Huet, M. 1949. Apercu des relations entre la pente et les populations des eaux courantes. Schweiz. Z. Hydrobiol. 11: 333-351.
- Huet, M. 1959. Profiles and biology of western European streams as related to fish management. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 88: 115-163.
- Hughes, R. M. and J. R. Gammon. 1987. Longitudinal changes in fish assemblages and water quality in the Willamette River, Oregon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 116: 196-209.
- Hughes, R. M., E. Rexstad and C. E. Bond. 1987. The relationship of aquatic ecoregions, river basins and physiographic provinces to the ichthyogeographic regions of Oregon. Copeia 1987(2): 423-432.
- Hutchinson, G. E. 1939. Ecological observation on the fishes of Kashmir and Indian Tibet. Ecol. Monographs 9(2): 146-182.
- Hynes. H. B. N. 1970. The Ecology of Running Waters. Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, Canada.
- Ibarra, M. and D. J. Stewart. 1989. Longitudinal zonation of sandy beach fishes in the Napo River basin, eastern Ecuador. Copeia 1989(2): 364-381.
- Illies, J. 1961. Versuch einer allgemein biozonotischen Gleiderung des Fliessgewasser. Int. Rev. Ges. Hydrobiol. 46: 205-213.
- Illies. J. and L. Botosaneanu. 1963. Problemes et methodes de la classification et de la zonation ecologique des eaux courantes. considerees surtout du point de vue faunistique. Mitt. Int. Verein. Theor. Angew. Limnol. 12: 1-57.
- Jayaram. K. C. 1981. The Freshwater Fishes of India. Pakistan. Bangladesh. Burma and Sri Lanka - a Handbook. Zool. Survey of India. Calcutta.
- Jhingran. V. G. and K. L. Sehgal. 1978. Coldwater Fisheries of India. Inland Fisheries Society of India. Barrackpore.
- Larsen, D. P., J. M. Omernik, R. M. Hughes, C. M. Rohm, T. R. Whittier, A. J. Kinney, A. L. Gallant and D. R. Dudley. 1986. Correspondence between spatial patterns in fish assemblages in Ohio streams and aquatic ecoregions. Environ. Management 10: 815-828.
- Leland, H. V., J. L. Carter and S. V. Fend. 1986. Use of detrended correspondence analysis to evaluate factors controlling spatial distribution of benthic insects. Hydrobiologia 132: 113-123.
- Lewis, W. M., Jr. 1978. Comparison of temporal and spatial variation in the zooplankton of a lake by means of variance components. Ecology 59(4): 666-671.
- Lowe-McConnell, R. H. 1975. Fish communities in tropical freshwaters. Longman, New York.
- Mallows, C. L. 1964. Some comments on  $C_{p}$ . Technometrics 15: 661-675.
- Matthews, W. J. 1986. Fish faunal "breaks" and stream order in the eastern and central United States. Environ. Biol. Fish. 17(2): 81-92.
- Matthews. W. J. 1989. Spatial and temporal variation in the fishes in riffle habitats of the upper Roanoke River system, Virginia. Am. Midl. Nat. (manuscript submitted).
- Matthews. W. J. and H. W Robison. 1988. The distribution of the fishes of Arkansas: a multivariate analysis. Copeia 1988(2}: 358-374.
- M'Clelland. J. 1839. Indian Cyprinidae. Asiatic Researches, Calcutta 19: 217-471.
- Meffe. G. K. and A. L. Sheldon. 1988. The influence of habitat structure on fish assemblage composition in southeastern blackwater streams. Am. Midl. Nat. 120(2):  $225 - 240$ .
- Menon, A. G. K. 1949. Notes on fishes in the Indian Museum. XLIV. Fishes from the Kosi Himalayas. Nepal. Rec. Indian Mus. 47: 231-237.
- Menon. A. G. K. 1954. Fish geography of the Himalayas. Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India 20: 467-493.
- Menon. A. G. K. 1955. The external relationships of the Indian freshwater fishes. with special reference to the countries bordering on the Indain Ocean. J. Asiatic Sci., Science 21: 31-38.
- Menon. A. G. K. 1962. A distributional list of fishes of the Himalayas. Zool. Soc. India 14: 23-32.
- Menon, A. G. K. 1974. A check-list of fishes of the Himalayan and the Indo-Gangetic plains. Inland Fisheries Society of India, special publication no. 1.
- Morisita, M. 1959. Measuring of interspecific association and similarity between communities. Mem. Fac. Sci .. Kyushu Univ. Ser. E. Biol. 3: 65-80.
- Moyle. P. B. and B. Herbold. 1987. Life-history patterns and community structure in stream fishes of western North America: comparisons with eastern North America and Europe. In Community and Evolutionary Ecology of North American Stream Fishes. W.J. Matthews and D.C. Heins (eds.). Univ. of Oklahoma Press, Norman. Okla., USA.
- Nelson, J. S. 1984. Fishes of the World. Second edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Peet, R. K. 1980. Ordination as a tool for analyzing complex data sets. Vegetatio 42: 171-174.
- Peet, R. K., R. G. Knox, J. S. Case and R. B. Allen. 1988. Putting things in order: the advantages of detrended correspondence analysis. Am. Nat. 131(6): 924-934.
- Pielou, E. C. 1984. The Interpretation of Ecological Data. John Wiley and Sons. New York.
- Rahel, F. J. 1984. Factors structuring fish assemblages along a bog lake successional gradient. Ecology 65(4): 1276-1289.
- Rainboth, W. J. 1983. Psilorhynchus gracilis, a new cyprinid fish from the Gangetic lowlands. Proc. California Acad. Sciences 43(6): 67-76.
- Rajbanshi. K. G. 1982. A general bibliography on fish and fisheries of Nepal. Royal Nepal Academy, Kathmandu.
- Regan, C. T. 1907. Reports on a collection of batrachia, reptiles. and fish from Nepal and the Western Himalayas. Fishes. Rec. Indian Mus. 1: 157-158.
- Roberts, T. R. 1980. A revision of the Asian mastacembelid fish genus Macrognathus. Copeia 1980(3): 385-391.
- Roberts, T. R. 1983. Revision of the South and South-east Asian sisorid catfish genus Bagarius, with description of a new species from the Mekong. Copeia 1983(2): 435-445.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1985a. SASR User's Guide: Basics, Version 5 Edition. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C., USA.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1985b. SASR User's Guide: Statistics, Version 5 Edition. Cary, N.C., USA.
- Sawada, Y. 1982. Phylogeny and zoogeography of the superfamily Cobitoidea (Cyprinoidei. Cypriniformes). Mem. Faculty Fisheries, Hokkaido Univ. 28(2): 65-223.
- Schlosser. I. J. 1987. A conceptual framework for fish communities in small warmwater streams. pp. 17-24. In Community and Evolutionary Ecology of North American Stream Fishes. W.J. Matthews and D.C. Heins (eds). Univ. of Oklahoma Press, Norman, Okla., USA.
- Sehgal, K. L. 1971. Fisheries survey of Himachal Pradesh and some adjacent areas with special reference to mahaseer and other fishes. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 70: 63-71.
- Sehgal, K. L. 1983. Fishery resources and their management. pp. 225-271. In T.V. Singh and J. Haur (eds). Himalayas Mountains and Men: Studies in Eco-Development. Print House (India). Lucknow.
- Sharma, C. K. 1977a. Geology of Nepal. Educational Enterprises, Kathmandu.
- Sharma, C. K. 1977b. River Systems of Nepal. Sangeeta Sharma, Kathmandu.
- Shaw, G. E. and E. 0. Shebbeare. 1937. The fishes of northern Bengal. J. Royal Asiatic Soc. Bengal. Science, III:1937: 1-137.
- Shrivastava, G. J. 1980. Fishes of U.P. and Bihar. Vishwavidyalaya Prakashan, Varanasi, India.
- Silas, E. G. 1960. Fishes from the Kashmir Valley. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 57: 66-77.
- Sokal, R. R. and F. J. Rohlf. 1981. Biometry. Second edition. W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., USA.
- Statzner, B. and B. Higler. 1986. Stream hydraulics as a major determinant of benthic invertebrate zonation patterns. Freshwater Biology 16: 127-139.
- Shrestha, J. 1981. Fishes of Nepal. Curriculum Development Centre, Tribhuvan Univ., Kathmandu.
- Ter Braak, C. J. F. 1986. Canonical correspondence analysis: a new eigenvector technique for multivariate direct gradient analysis. Ecology 67(5): 1167-1179.
- Ter Braak, C. J. F. 1987. The analysis of vegetationenvironment relationships by canonical correspondence analysis. Vegetatio 69: 69-77.
- Ter Braak, C. J. F. 1988a. CANOCO: A FORTRAN program for canonical community ordination by (partial) (detrended) (canonical) correspondence analysis, principal components analysis and redundancy analysis (version 2.1). Report LWA-88-02. Agricultural Mathematics Group, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Ter Braak, C. J. F. 1988b. CANOCO- an extension of DECORANA to analyze species-environment relationships. Vegetatio 75: 159-160.
- Ter Braak. C. J. F. and I. C. Prentice. 1988. A theory of gradient analysis. Adv. Ecol. Res. 18: 271-317.
- Townsend, C. R. and G. Peirson. 1988. Fish community structure in lowland drainage channels. J. Fish. Biol. 32: 283-295.
- Wartenberg, D., S. Ferson and F. J. Rohlf. 1987. Putting things in order: a critique of detrended correspondence analysis. Am. Nat. 129(3): 434-448.

Wiens. J. A. 1984. On understanding a non-equilibrium world: myth and reality in community patterns and processes. pp. 439-457. In Ecological Communities: processes: pp. 459 459: <u>In</u> Ecological communicies.<br>conceptual issues and the evidence. D.R. Strong, Jr., D. Simberloff, L.G. Abele and A.B. Thistle (eds.). Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., USA.

APPENDIXES

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

# APPENDIX A

# VALUES OF ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETERS AT EACH SITE

collection number, number of species, longitudinal position (x 6.5  $$ river kilometer), water temperature {°C), vater clarity {in inches), dominant substrate type  $(1 - \text{mod}, 2 - \text{send}, 3 - \text{drawel}, 4 - \text{stone}, 5 - \text{rubble})$ . 6 =boulder), number of substrate types, dominant vegetation type  $(1 - f$ ilamentous algae, 2 = submerged, 3 = emergent. 4 = floating. 5 = detritus, 6 = debris), number of vegetation types. \* cover by vegetation. width  $(1 = 0-25$  m.  $2 = 26-50$  m.  $3 = 51-75$  m.  $4 = 76-100$  m.  $5 = 101-150$  m.  $6 = 151-200$  n.  $7 = 201-300$  n.  $8 = 301-400$  n.  $9 = 401-500$  n.  $10 = 500$  n), altitude (m), dissolved oxygen (mg/Ll, pH. carbon dioxide (mg/Ll. total hardness (grains per gallon (gpg) CaCO<sub>3</sub>), total acidity (gpg CaCO<sub>3</sub>), total alkalinity (gpg CaCO<sub>3</sub>), mean current velocity (  $/$  30 = feet per second), mean depth (inches}, percent gradient, natural region (1 • trans-Himalaya,  $2$  = mountains.  $3$  = hills downstream from Beni,  $4$  = lowland valley downstream from Devghat. 5 • lowland plains downstream from Tribeni Barrage), stream order, season  $(1 = 0ct.-Jan. 2 = Feb.-May, 3 = June-Sent. 1. geologic)$ stratum (1 = Pleistocene-Recent, 2 = Tethysian, 3 • Arenaceous Cambrian-Precambrian, 4 = Argillaceous Cambrian-Precambrian, 5 = Calcarous Cambrian-Precambrian). % mud. % sand. % gravel. % stone. % rubble. % boulder. % detritus. % filamentous algae, % submerged vegetation, % emergent vegetation, t floating vegetation, t debris.

1 1 1 14 5 3 5 3 1 5 5 3100 6 6.00 5 21 1 10 30 15 1.00 1 3 3 1 0 20 40 30 5 5 0 0 5 0 0 0 2 1 2 15 1 4 4 0 0 0 2 2854 8 7.75 5 14 1 19 99 11 1.00 1 4 3 1 0 5 20 70 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 3 18 2 2 5 0 0 0 2 2743 8 7.75 5 16 1 16 9 9 1. 00 1 4 3 1 0 50 20 15 5 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 1 3 18 2 4 5 3 1 5 5 27B 10 8.00 5 16 1 12 34 7 l. 00 1 4 3 1 0 20 25 40 5 10 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 1 4 16 4 5 6 6 3 25 1 2560 9 8.25 5 16 1 13 12 6 1. 00 1 4 3 2 35 5 5 5 45 5 0 10 0 10 0 20 6 1 4 14 1 2 5 6 4 35 2 2560 8 8.00 10 16 2 17 28 6 1.00 1 4 3 2 0 50 20 5 20 5 0 5 5 5 6 20 7 1 4 15 13 3 6 6 3 25 1 2560 10 8.50 51711911 8 1.00 1 4 2 2 20 25 30 10 10 5 0 10 0 2 0 13 8 1 4 14 3 2 5 2 3 50 3 2560 9 8.00 5 13 1 20 19 5 1. 00 1 4 2 2 0 so 10 15 20 5 0 35 0 5 0 10 9 1 4 18 2 4 5 3 1 2 4 2560 8 8.00 5 12 1 10 24 13 1.00 1 4 3 2 0 12 30 32 10 15 0 0 2 0 0 0 10 1 4 11 7 3 6 6 1 15 4 2560 13 8.50 5 14 1 13 35 5 1.00 1 4 2 2 5 20 35 20 10 10 0 0 0 0 0 15



 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\bar{\star}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 



 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

```
91 16 47 28 55~ 6 1 8 6 285 11 7.75 5 8 1 9 28 10 0.10 4 7 2 1 
  26 16 8 20 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 
 92 16 47 24 1 1 6 3 2 2 8 285 10 8.00 5 7 1 6 27 11 0.10 4 7 3 1 
   24 8 16 22 18 12 0 0 2 2 0 0 
 93 16 47 22 2 1 6 4 1 20 10 285 12 8.00 5 51 8 4 22 0.10 4 7 3 1 
  82 5 3 3 4 3 0 0 0 16 0 0 
94 14 47 24 2 2 6 6 3 11 10 265 12 8.00 5 5 1 10 19 21 0.10 4 7 3 1 
  18 29 9 28 10 6 0 0 4 1 0 6 
 95 15 47.22 14 1 6 3 1 1 10 285 13 8.00 5 51 6 15 20 0.10 4 7 3 1 
  44 27 10 9 5 5 0 0 1 0 0 0 
96 7 47 20 8 3 5 0 0 0 9 285 12 8.00 5 5 1 6 48 11 0.10 4 7 1 1 
   0 23 33 32 9 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 
97 12 47 20 36 3 6 0 0 0 9 285 13 8.00 5 10 1 9 24 14 0.10 4 7 1 1 
   2 19 36 29 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 
98 9 47 19 30 4 6 2 1 4 8 285 14 6.00 5 9 1 9 21 12 0.10 4 7 1 1 
   1 10 29 42 11 7 0 4 0 0 0 0 
 99 14 48 25 8 2 3 3 2 30 6 280 9 8.25 5 8 l 7 20 20 0.10 4 7 2 1 
  25 45 0 0 30 0 0 10 20 0 0 0 
100 17 48 25 2 1 53 2 25 10 280 9 8.00 5 6 1 7 48 19 0.10 4 7 3 1 
  45 10 5 5 35 0 0 0 20 5 0 0 
101 14 48 22 24 2 5 2 2 40 7 280 12 8.00 5 9 1 14 2 13 0.10 4 7 1 1 
  10 35 0 15 30 10 0 30 10 0 0 0 
102 15 48 23 24 1 6 3 3 31 6 280 12 8.00 5 8 1 10 14 25 0.10 4 7 2 1 
  54 30 1 3 10 2 0 10 20 1 0 0 
103 19 48 22 2 2 6 4 2 33 10 280 12 8.50 5 6 1 16 29 15 0.10 4 7 3 1 
   27 28 12 20 8 5 0 0 7 27 0 0 
104 11 48 23 30 2 6 2 2 12 8 280 13 8.00 5 12 1 11 0 21 0.10 4 7 1 1 
  13 33 a 13 15 11 o 1 5 o o o 
105 16 48 22 36 2 4 2 2 27 7 280 10 8.50 5 10 1 6 6 20 0.10 4 7 2 1 
  22 57 0 0 13 8 0 13 0 13 0 0 
106 19 49 22 14 2 3 3 3 40 5 250 10 8.00 5 8 1 9 9 14 0.10 4 7 2 1 
   5 75 0 0 20 0 0 5 20 0 0 1 
107 12 49 24 2 1 4 6 1 10 10 250 9 8.00 5 7 1 7 1 17 0.10 4 7 3 1 
  50 35 10 5 0 O· 0 0 0 0 0 10 
109 9 49 20 24 1 5 2 1 40 6 250 14 8.00 5 9 1 6 5 13 0.10 4 7 1 1 
  60 5 0 10 24 1 0 40 0 0 0 0 
110 16 49 27 25 1 2 2 2 28 6 250 12 8.50 5 8 1 11 0 17 0.10 4 7 2 1 
  70 30 0 0 0 0 0 20 8 0 0 0 
111 10 49 21 1 2 5 0 0 0 10 250 12 8.25 5 8 1 g 18 19 0.10 4 7 3 1 
  10 50 0 5 25 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 
112 6 49 19 30 2 52 1 5 8 250 15 8.00 5 6 1 7 9 13 0.10 4 7 1 1 
  14 49 0 10 14 14 0 5 0 0 0 0 
114 16 50 18 30 2 5 2 2 65 7 240 11 8.00 5 B 1 9 32 10 0.10 4 7 1 1 
   5 42 10 25 18 .. 0 0 62 0 0 0 2 
115 21 51 22 20 1 52 4 43 8 235 12 8.25 5 8 1 9 18 19 0.10 4 1 2 1 
  40 25 5 10 20 0 3 25 10 0 0 5 
116 24 52 24 19 2 52 4 35 6 210 12 8.25 5 8 1 9 18 19 0.10 4 7 2 1 
  15 35 10 25 15 0 0 20 5 5 0 0 
118 33 53 22 12 2 3 3 3 70 3 200 11 6.25 5 7 1 9 30 16 0.10 4 7 2 1 
  20 60 0 0 20 0 0 15 25 0 0 10
```


 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\hat{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\star$  .



 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\hat{\phantom{a}}$ 

#### APPENDIX B

SAMPLE SITES, IN ORDER FROM HEADWATERS DOWNSTREAM: SAMPLE NUMBER, LOCATION, AND DATE. "KGR" = KALI GANDAKI RIVER, "NR" = NARAYAN! RIVER. "\*" = SITES NOT INCLUDED IN PRESENT ANALYSIS.

1 KGR AT CHUSANG, MUSTANG 14 SEPT 85 2 KGR ABOVE KAGBENI, MUSTANG 10 SEPT 84 3 KGR AT JOMSOM, MUSTANG 11 SEPT 84 4 KGR AT JOMSOM, MUSTANG 10 SEPT 85 5 KGR AT LARJUNG, MUSTANG 5 JUNE 84 6 KGR AT LARJUNG, MUSTANG 12 SEPT 84 7 KGR AT LARJUNG, MUSTANG 18 FEB 85 8 KGR AT LARJUNG, MUSTANG 30 MAY 85 9 KGR AT LARJUNG, MUSTANG 9 SEPT 85 10 KGR AT LARJUNG, MUSTANG 12 FEB 86 11 KGR BELOW BAKSHI R. CONFLUENCE, DOWNSTREAM FROM LARJUNG, MUSTANG 30 MAY 85 12 KGR AT KALAPANI, MUSTANG 30 MAY 85 13 KGR AT PAHIROTHAPLA, MUSTANG 13 SEPT 84 14 KGR AT RUPCHE CHARA, MYAGDI 28 MAY 85 15 KGR AT MRISTI R. CONFLUENCE, MYAGDI 26 MAY 85 16 KGR AT TATOPANI, MYAGDI 17 KGR AT TATOPANI, MYAGDI 16 FEB 85 18 KGR AT TATOPANI, MYAGDI 19 KGR AT TATOPANI, MYAGDI 8 SEPT 85 20 KGR AT TATOPANI, MYAGDI 10 FEB 86 21 KGR AT TATOPANI, MYAGDI 21 MAY 86 22 KGR AT GHAR R. CONFLUENCE, 2 KM DOWNSTREAM FROM TATOPANI, MYAGDI 27 MAY 85 23 KGR AT MOHABIR, MYAGDI 15 SEPT 84 24 KGR AT BEG KHOLA, MYAGDI 25 MAY 85 25 KGR AT SANSAR R. CONFLUENCE, 3 KM DOWNSTREAM FROM BEG KHOLA, MYAGDI 15 FEB 85 26 KGR AT BENI,.MYAGDI 15 FEB 85 27 KGR AT BEN!, MYAGDI 24 MAY 85 28\* KGR AT BENI, MYAGDI 6 SEPT 85 29 KGR AT BENI, MYAGDI 9 FEB 86 30 KGR AT SIMAA, MYAGDI 27 MAY 84

KGR AT AT KHANIYA GHAT, PARBAT 14 FEB 85 32\* KGR AT SHASADARA, PARBAT 24 MAY 85 KGR AT RITHE R. CONFLUENCE, ARMADI, PARBAT FEB 85 KGR AT MODI R. CONFLUENCE, KUSMA, PARBAT 23 MAY 85 KGR AT BAGLUNG BALEYA, BAGLUNG 23 JAN 85 KGR AT JYAMRI GHAT, BAGLUNG 22 JAN 85 37 KGR AT SUMSA GHAT (="BINAMARE"), BAGLUNG 25 MAY 84 KGR AT SUMSA GHAT, BAGLUNG 22 JAN 85 KGR AT SUMSA GHAT, BAGLUNG 23 MAY 85 KGR AT SUMSA GHAT, BAGLUNG 23 AUG 85 41\* KGR AT SUMSA GHAT, BAGLUNG 23 JAN 86 42\* KGR AT BELBAGAR, BAGLUNG 21 JAN 85 KGR AT GAUNDI R. CONFLUENCE <="GUMTI">, GULMI JAN 85 KGR AT GAUNDI R. CONFLUENCE, GULMI KGR AT GAUNDI R. CONFLUENCE, GULMI KGR AT GAUNDI R. CONFLUENCE, GULMI KGR AT SETI BENI, PARBAT 19 JAN 85 KGR AT PAHADI, SYANGJA 18 JAN 85 MAY 85 AUG 85 JAN 86 KGR AT BADIGARH R. CONFLUENCE AT RUDRABENI, GULMI AUG 84 KGR AT RIDI BAZAR, GULMI 22 MAY 84 KGR AT RIDI BAZAR, GULMI 18 AUG 84 KGR AT RIDI BAZAR, GULMI 17 JAN 85 KGR AT RIDI BAZAR, GULMI 20 MAY 85 KGR AT RIDI BAZAR, GULMI 19 AUG 85 KGR AT RIDI BAZAR, GULMI 19 JAN 86 KGR AT RANI GHAT, PALPA 16 JAN 85 KGR AT RAMO!, PALPA 1 MAY 85 KGR AT NIMA, SYANGJA <5 KM FROM GYALANG> 4 MAY 84 KGR AT NIMA, SYANGJA 21 AUG 84 KGR AT NIMA, SYANGJA 6 JAN 85 KGR AT NIMA, SYANGJA 2 MAY 85 KGR AT **NJMA,** SYANGJA 20 AUG 85 KGR AT NIMA, SYANGJA 6 JAN 86 KGR AT NAU GAUN, PALPA 5 JAN 85 KGR AT KHORIYA GHAT, PALPA 4 JAN 85 oz KGR AT RAMPUR, PALPA <="RAMPUR-POKHARA"> 1 MAY 84 KGR AT KELADI GHAT, PALPA 3 JAN 85 KGR AT PUTTAR GHAT, TANAHUN 2 JAN 85 KGR AT BAUNDI R. CONFLUENCE, DERGAON, NAWALPARASI 1 JAN 85 KGR AT HADAHA, NAWALPARASI 29 APRIL 84

71 KGR AT SPRING FEEDER CONFLUENCE, BULING, NAWALPARASI 31 DEC 84 72 KGR AT LAD! R. CONFLUENCE, SAND TADI, NAWALPARASI 30 DEC 84 73 KGR AT KHALTE, TANAHUN 27 APRIL 84 74 KGR AT CHERANGA R. CONFLUENCE, TANAHUN 29 DEC 84 KGR AT BHATYARI, TANAHUN 28 DEC 84<br>KGR AT KALIKATAR, TANAHUN 25 APRIL 84 76 KGR AT KALIKATAR, TANAHUN<br>77 KGR AT KALIKATAR. TANAHUN KGR AT KALIKATAR, TANAHUN 10 AUG 84 78 KGR AT KALIKATAR, TANAHUN 27 DEC 84 79 KGR AT KALIKATAR, TANAHUN 21 APRIL 85 80 KGR AT KALIKATAR, TANAHUN 13 AUG 85 81 KGR AT KALIKATAR, TANAHUN 28 DEC 85 82 KGR AT DEUTI R. CONFLUENCE, 2 KM N OF DEV GHAT, TANAHUN 9 DEC 84 83 NR AT DEV GHAT, CHITAWAN 9 DEC 84 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 1 APRIL 84 85 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 18 JULY 84 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 10 DEC 84 87 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 13 JAN 85 88 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 10 FEB 85 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 12 MAR 85 90 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 19 APRIL 85 91 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 18 MAY 85 92 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 11 JUNE 85 93 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 18 JULY 85 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 14 AUG 85 95 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 20 SEPT 85 96 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 17 OCT 85 97 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 10 NOV 85 98 NR AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 10 DEC 85 99 NR BELOW BRIDGE AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 4 APRIL 84 100 NR BELOW BRIDGE AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 17 JULY 84<br>101 NR BELOW BRIDGE AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 6 DEC 84 101 NR BELOW BRIDGE AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 102 NR BELOW BRIDGE AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 3 APRIL 85 103 NR BELOW BRI9GE AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 17 JULY 85 104 NR BELOW BRIDGE AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 105 NR BELOW BRIDGE AT NARAYANGARH, CHITAWAN 3 APRIL 86 106 NR AT KHARKHARE GHAT, NAWALPARASI, 13 KM W OF NARAYANGARH 21 APRIL 84 107 NR AT KHARKHARE GHAT, NAWALPARASI 19 JULY 84 108\* NR AT KHARKHARE GHAT, NAWALPARASI 10 MAY 84 109 NR AT KHARKHARE GHAT, NAWALPARASI 8 DEC 84 110 NR AT KHARKHARE GHAT, NAWALPARASI

120

111 NR AT KHARKHARE GHAT, NAWALPARASI 19 JULY 85 112 NR AT KHARKHARE GHAT, NAWALPARASI 8 DEC 85 113\* NR AT SIKRAULI GHAT, NAWALPARASI, 7 AUG 84 114 NR AT PIPARA <3 KM S OF RAJAHAR>, NAWALPARASI 17 DEC 84 115 NR AT BHUSARE GHAT, NAWALPARASI 15 MAR 85 116 NR UPSTREAM FROM TIGER TOPS TENTED CAMP, NAWALPARASI 5 APRIL 85 117\* NR AT RAPTI R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 5 MAY 86 118 NR AT GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPT! R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 7 APRIL 84 119 NR AT GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPT! R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 6 AUG 84 120 NR AT GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPTI R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 12 DEC 84 121\* NR AT GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPTI R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 12 DEC 84 - 15 FEB 85 122 NR AT. GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPT! R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 4 APRIL 85 123 NR AT GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPTI R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 10 AUG 85 124\* NR AT GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPT! R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 11 AUG 85 125 NR AT GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPTI R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 11 DEC 85 126\* NR AT GHARIAL CONSERVATION PROJECT CAMP, BELOW RAPT! R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 10 DEC 85 127 NR AT AMALTARI GHAT, CHITAWAN 5 APRIL 85 128 NR AT LAINDA GHAT, NAWALPARASI 13 DEC 84 129 NR ABOVE ARUNG R. CONFLUENCE, NAWALPARASI 13 DEC 84 130 NR AT ARUNG R. CONFLUENCE, NAWALPARASI 21 JULY 85 131 NR AT BINAI R. CONFLUENCE, NAWALPARASI 22 JULY 85 132 NR 4 KM DOWNSTREAM FROM MATYARI <= "MATER!">, CHITAWAN 14 DEC 84 133 NR 2 KM UPSTREAM FROM KANAHA R., CHITAWAN 14 DEC 84 NR AT CONFLUENCE WITH KANAHA R., CHITAWAN 14 DEC 84 135 NR AT KANAHA R. CONFLUENCE, CHITAWAN 8 MAR 85 136 NR 1 KM BELOW CONFLUENCE WITH KANAHA R., CHITAWAN 14 DEC 84 137\* NR AT TRIBENI, NAWALPARASI 25 - 29 MAR 85 138\* NR AT TRIBENI, NAWALPARASI 25 MAR 86 139 NR AT TRIBENI GHAT, NAWALPARASI <ABOVE BARRAGE> 3 AUG 84 140 NR AT TRIBENI GHAT, NAWALPARASI (ABOVE BARRAGE) 15 DEC 84

141 NR AT TRIBENI GHAT, NAWALPARASI (ABOVE BARRAGE) MAR 85 142 NR AT TRIBENI GHAT, NAWALPARASI (ABOVE BARRAGE) AUG 85 NR AT TRIBENI GHAT, NAWALPARASI <ABOVE BARRAGE> DEC 85 NR AT TRIBENI GHAT, NAWALPARASI <ABOVE BARRAGE> MAR 86 145\* NR AT CONFLUENCE WITH FEEDER CREEK, TRIBENI, NAWALPARASI 9 MAR 85 NR AT CONFLUENCE WITH NEPALI CANAL, TRIBENI, NAWALPARASI 9 MAR 85 NR AT CONFLUENCE WITH GANDAK WESTERN CANAL, TRIBENI, NAWALPARASI 9 MAR 85 NR JUST BELOW TRIBENI BARRAGE, NAWALPARASI 3 AUG 84 NR JUST BELOW TRIBENI BARRAGE, NAWALPARASI DEC 84 NR JUST BELOW TRIBENI BARRAGE, NAWALPARASI 7 MAR 85 NR JUST BELOW TRIBENI BARRAGE, NAWALPARASI 7 AUG 85 NR JUST BELOW TRIBENI BARRAGE, NAWALPARASI DEC 85 NR JUST BELOW TRIBENI BARRAGE, NAWALPARASI MAR 86 NR BETWEEN TRIBENI AND NARSAI, AT #6 PIER, NAWALPARASI 6 MAR 85 NR NEAR NARSAI, NAWALPARASI, AT PIER # 10 THROUGH # 13, DOWNSTREAM FROM TRIBENI 27 FEB 86 NR AT NARSAI, NAWALPARASI PIER #12 6 MAR 85 NR ACROSS FROM NARSAI, NAWALPARASI AT PIER #13 APRIL 86 NR BELOW NARSAI, NAWALPARASI AT PIER #15 APRIL 86 NR AT SEMARI TARAINI, NAWALPARASI AT PIER #2 APRIL 86 NR 5 KM N OF TADI GHAT, NAWALPARASI AT PIER #5 APRIL 86 NR 2 KM N OF TAD! GHAT, NAWALPARASI 15 MAR 84

# APPENDIX C

# CODED ABUNDANCE OF SPECIES (ROWS) AT SITES (COLUMNS)<br>ARRANGED BY TWINSPAN (HILL 1979b). SEE APPENDIX D<br>FOR KEY TO SPECIES' ABBREVIATIONS.



#### APPENDIX D

### ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF SPECIES' ABBREVIATIONS

- Acro hex = Acrossocheilus hexagonolepis
- Ambl man = Amblyceps mangois
- Aspi jay = Aspidoparia jaya
- Aspi mor = Aspidoparia morar
- Bari bal = Barilius barila
- Bari ban = Barilius barna
- Bari bed = Barilius bendelisis
- Bari bol = Barilius bola
- Bari sha = Barilius shacra
- Bari til = Barilius tileo
- Bari vag = Barilius vagra
- Boti loh = Botia lohachata
- Chad bac = Chanda baculis
- Chad ran = Chanda ranga
- Chag cha = Chagunius chagunio
- Chan pun = Channa punctatus
- Chel cac = Chela cachius
- Clup gar = Clupisoma garua
- Cros lat = Crossocheilus latius
- Dani dev = Danio devario
- Esom dan = Esomus danricus
- Euch hod = Euchiloglanis hodgarti
- Garr got = Garra gotyla
- Glos giu = Glossogobius giuris
- Glyp kas = Glyptothorax kashmirensis
- Glyp pec = Glyptothorax pectinopterus
- Glyp tel = Glyptothorax telchitta
- Glyp tri = Glyptothorax trilineatus
- Labe der = Labeo dero
- Lepi gun = Lepidocephalus guntea
- Mast arm = Mastacembelus armatus
- Mast pan = Mastacembelus pancalus
- Noem bea = Noemacheilus beavani
- Noem cor = Noemacheilus corica
- Noem rup = Noemacheilus rupecula
- Noem sca = Noemacheilus scaturigina
- Noem she = Noemacheilus shebbearei
- Oste cot = Osteobrama cotio
- Pseu sul = Pseudecheneis sulcatus
- Punt con = Puntius conchonius
- Punt gug = Puntius guganio
- Punt sop = Puntius sophore
- Punt tic = Puntius ticto
- Rasb dan = Rasbora daniconius
- Salm bac = Salmostoma bacaila
- Schi pro = Schizothorax progastus
- Schi ric = Schizothorax richardsoni
- Semi sem = Semiplotus semiplotus
- Tor put = Tor putitora
- Tor tor = Tor tor
- Xene can = Xenentodon cancila

## VITA

#### David Ray Edds

#### Candidate for the Degree of

# Doctor of Philosophy

- Thesis: MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF FISH ASSEMBLAGE COMPOSITION AND ENVIRONMENTAL CORRELATES IN A HIMALAYAN RIVER - NEPAL'S KALI GANDAKI/NARAYANI
- Major Field: Zoology

Biographical:

- Personal Data: Born in Independence, Kansas, February 2, 1954, the son of Neil R. and Norma J. Edds.
- Education: Graduated from Independence High School, Independence, Kansas, in May, 1972; received Associate of Arts degree in Liberal Arts from Independence Community College, Independence. Kansas, in May, 1974; received Bachelor of Science degree in Biology from the University of Kansas, in May, 1977; received Master of Science degree in Zoology from Oklahoma State University in August, 1984; completed requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree at Oklahoma State University in December, 1989.
- Professional Experience: Assistant Professor, Division of Biological Sciences, Emporia State University, Emporia, Kansas, August, 1989 to present; Museum Preparator, Department of Zoology, Oklahoma State University, January, 1988 to July, 1989; Program Counselor, Office of International Programs, Oklahoma State University, June, 1986 to June, 1988; Fulbright Researcher, February, 1984 to May, 1986, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal; Teaching Assistant, Department of Zoology, Oklahoma State University, August, 1983 to December, 1983; Research Assistant, Department of Zoology, Oklahoma State University, August, 1982 to July,

1983; Fisheries Research Specialist. University of Oklahoma Biological Station. March. 1981 to July, 1982; U. S. Peace Corps/Nepal Conservation Program Director. October. 1979 to November. 1980; U.S. Peace Corps Volunteer/Volunteer Leader. Nepal. September. 1977 to September, 1979; Fisheries Aide. Kansas Forestry. Fish. and Game Commission. May. 1976 to August, 1976 and May, 1977 to August. 1977; Park Technician. U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, June. 1974 to October. 1974.

Professional Organizations: American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists. American Fisheries Society, Southwestern Association of Naturalists. Oklahoma Academy of Science, Kansas Academy of Science. Kansas Chapter of the American Fisheries Society. Kansas Herpetological Society. Kansas Association of Biology Teachers. Fulbright Alumni Association, Phi Kappa Phi.