THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA GRADUATE COLLEGE

A READABILITY FORMULA FOR THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL BASED UPON THE RINSLAND VOCABULARY

A DISSERTATION

SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE FACULTY in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF EDUCATION

ΒY

EDWARD BARRETT TRIBE

Norman, Oklahoma

A READABILITY FORMULA FOR THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL BASED UPON THE RINSLAND VOCABULARY

APPROVED BY

DISSERTATION COMMITTEE

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The writer wishes to express his sincere appreciation to his chairman, Dr. Henry D. Rinsland, and the members of his committee for their assistance in the preparation and planning of the study.

`~

To Dr. Irving Lorge of Teachers College, Columbia University, the writer wishes to express appreciation for the use of the data furnished.

To his wife, Helen Tribe, for her unselfish devotion and sacrifice, the writer is deeply indebted.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF TABLES	v
Chapter	
I. THE PROBLEM: ITS BACKGROUND AND DEFINITION	1
Introduction The Concept of Readability The Basic Purpose of Research in This Area The Basic Research in Readability Related Research in Readability Statement of the Problem Experimental Procedure Overview of the Following Chapters	5
II. PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA 21	l
Selection of the Criterion and Criterion Variables	7 L
III. SUMMARY, EXPLANATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE USE OF THE FORMULA AND THE WORD LIST 42	2
Summary	-
of the Formula	
BIBLIOGRAPHY)
APPENDICES	-

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Studies Employing Vocabulary as the Major Element of Readability	7
2.	Studies Employing Relationships as the Major Elements of Readability	10
3.	Basic Vocabularies Employed in Other Readability Studies	12
4.	Distribution of Grade Scores Taken from McCall-Crabbs (Forms A-E)	29
5.	Grade Overlap Among Selected Grades on Basis of McCall-Crabbs	30
6.	Raw Data and Percentages of Criterion Variables from McCall-Crabbs	35
7.	Intercorrelation of Criterion and Criterion Variables	40
8.	Reading Ease Level as Derived from Dale and Chall	46

A READABILITY FORMULA FOR THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL BASED UPON THE RINSLAND VOCABULARY

CHAPTER I

THE PROBLEM: ITS BACKGROUND AND DEFINITION

Introduction

"Reading is one of the chief means by which persons gain information, skills and entertainment. The effectiveness with which books, newspapers, magazines and pamphlets convey this information remains an important problem."¹

The study reported here is concerned with investigating easily identifiable elements in elementary school reading materials in terms of a readability formula. A specific statement of the problem will follow the identification of concepts and research in this area.

For many years, one of the areas of interest for educators has been this problem of reading difficulty. More than one hundred years ago, McGuffey began the attack on the problem of readability by compiling a series of graded

¹Edgar Dale and Jeanne S. Chall, "The Concept of Readability," <u>Elementary English</u>, XXVI (January, 1949), p. 23.

readers for school children.² Since the time of McGuffey, texts have been written with the idea of interest and appeal in mind. These factors, along with typography and vocabulary, have been recognized as factors which affect the ease with which material is read.

The Concept of Readability

Readability has been defined by Dale and Chall:³

In the broadest sense . . . the readability is the sum total (including interactions) of all those elements within a given piece of printed material that affects the success that a group of readers have with it. The success is the extent to which they understand it, read it at an optimum speed, and find it interesting.

The Basic Purpose of Research in This Area

The basic purpose of research in this area has been prediction and control of success with reading material. Although tools have not been devised that will control and predict a person's success with a particular piece of reading material, certain tools have been devised that will predict the success of certain groups of people with particular reading materials on the basis of interest, comprehension and speed.

²William S. Gray, "Progress in the Study of Readability," <u>The Elementary School Journal</u>, XLVII (May, 1947), p. 492.

Dale and Chall, <u>loc</u>. <u>cit</u>.

The Basic Research in Readability

The basic research of Vogel and Washburne⁴ (1928) in estimating the grade placement of children's reading material provided not only the general method of measuring readability but also developed the fundamental concept. Vogel and Washburne considered the idea implicit in the readability index of the text as the average amount of reading ability needed to understand the text. Their attempts devolved into an empirical classification of books for particular grades based not only upon expressed preferences of children for certain books, but also upon the measured reading abilities of those children.

Vogel and Washburne used the paragraph meaning section of the Stanford Achievement Test in determining the measured reading ability of children. In addition to this, over thirty-six thousand children completed a ballot that indicated books they had read and liked during the preceding school year. At least twenty-five children indicated that they had both read and enjoyed approximately seven hundred different books. Vogel and Washburne assumed that the average reading ability of the children reading and enjoying the books would suggest the readability of the works. As a result

⁴ Mabel Vogel and Carleton Washburne, "An Objective Method of Determining Grade Placement of Children's Reading Material," <u>The Elementary School Journal</u>, XXVIII (January, 1928), pp. 373-381.

their publication of the Winnetka List⁵ gives selections from grade two to grade eleven.

Basically, the contribution of Vogel and Washburne was to relate their grade placement index to some characteristics of the material read. Factors, other than commonness of vocabulary, were selected that would correlate as little as possible with one another and highly as possible with the median reading score of the children who read and enjoyed the books measured.

Below are the correlations of the various elements as they relate to the median reading score:⁶

	Element	Correlation
l.		
	a sample of 1000 words (Based on	
	Thorndike's <u>Teachers Word Book</u>)	.770
2.	Median Index Number (Thorndike's list)	
	of 1000 word sampling	704
з.	Number of words in 1000 word sampling	
	not occurring in Thorndike's list	.674
4.	Number of words in book	.592
5.	Number of phrases in 1000 word sampling	.576
6.	Number of verbs in 1000 word sampling	- .527
7.	Number of words per paragraph	.518
8.	Number of prepositions in 1000 word	
-	sampling	.518
9.	Number of phrases of all kinds in 75	
	sample sentences	.474
10.	Number of phrases and clauses of all	
	kinds in 75 sample sentences	.467
11.	Number of adverbial phrases and clauses	
10	in 75 sample sentences	.467
12.	Number of adverbial phrases and clauses	
10	of all kinds in 100 word sampling	.463
13.	Number of adjectival phrases and clauses	45.0
	in 75 sample sentences	.458

⁵Carleton Washburne and Mabel Vogel, <u>Winnetka Graded</u> <u>Book List</u> (Chicago: American Library Association, 1936).

⁶Vogel and Washburne, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 376.

14.	Number of adverbial phrases in 75 sample sentences	.458
15.	Number of words in 75 sample sentences	.453
	Number of simple sentences in 75	• - ·
	sample sentences	371
17.	Number of conjunctions in 1000 word	
	sampling	.296
18.	Number of adverbial clauses in 75	
	sample sentences	.291
19.	Number of nouns in 100 word sampling	- .262

Various combinations of ten elements were found by a series of multiple correlations. The best multiple correlation made on the basis of a regression equation which predicted with the highest degree of reliability the reading score for any given book was:⁷

 $X_1 = .085X_2 + .101X_3 + .604X_4 + .411X_5 + 17.43$ where: $X_1 = Reading score on the paragraph section of the <u>Stan-ford Achievement Test</u>$

X₂ = Number of different words in 1000 words X₃ = Number of prepositions in 1000 words X₄ = Number of uncommon words (Thorndike's list) X₅ = Number of simple sentences in 75 sample sentences

Vogel and Washburne indicated that their formula was not concerned with content difficulty, but, primarily, with measurable structural elements and the prediction of a criterion on the basis of observable variables. These structural difficulties are usually revealed by the number of prepositions, complicated sentence structure, uncommon or difficult words and the like. Their article concludes with the following statement: "Any book for use in the elementary school

⁸<u>Ibid</u>., p. 379.

.

may be similarly analyzed. It is, therefore, possible to determine the correct grade placement for any book so far as structural difficulty is concerned."⁸

The basic research in this field has been summarized by Lorge in terms of the more usual items that are used in measuring readability:⁹

- 1. Some measure of vocabulary (always used)
 - a. Number of running words
 - Percentage of different words b.
 - с. Percentage of different, infrequent, uncommon or hard words
 - Percentage of polysyllabic words d.
 - Some weighted measure of vocabulary difficulty e.
 - Vocabulary diversity (related to b) f.
 - Number of abstract words q.
 - Number of affixed morphemes (prefixes, inflech. tional endings, etc.)
- 2. Some measure of sentence structure of style (usually used)
 - Percentage of prepositional phrases a.
 - Percentage of indeterminate clauses b.
 - c. Number of simple sentences
 - Average sentence length d.
- Some measure of human interest (much less frequently 3. used)
 - a.
 - Number of personal pronouns Number of words expressing human interest b.
 - Percentage of colorful words с.
 - Number of words representing fundamental lifed. like situations
 - Number of words usually learned early in life e. (related to b)

Related Research in Readability

There are, generally, two lines of investigation in readability. The first emphasizes vocabulary and does not

⁸Ibid., p. 380.

⁹Irving Lorge, "Predicting Readability," <u>Teachers</u> College Record, XLV (March, 1944), p. 405.

result in a readability formula as considered in this study. The results are in terms of elements, the presence of which indicate level of difficulty. The studies reported here are indicative of this type of investigation and are included as illustrative material. The second approach is an attempt to identify relationships among different variables in a passage and to determine readability. Three of these investigations of this type by Lorge, Flesch, and Dale and Chall, most nearly approximate the present investigation. The basic similarity is that of the criterion: namely, all of these studies employ the 1929 edition of McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test Lessons</u> in <u>Reading.¹⁰</u>

The studies in the first classification, employing vocabulary as the major element, are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Author(s)	Date		Elements
Lively and Pressey ^{ll}	1923	l.	Vocabulary range (num- ber of different words per 100) is related to reading difficulty.

STUDIES EMPLOYING VOCABULARY AS THE MAJOR ELEMENT OF READABILITY

¹⁰William A. McCall and Lelah Mae Crabbs, <u>Standard</u> <u>Test Lessons in Reading</u> (New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1929).

¹¹Bertha A. Lively and S. L. Pressey, "A Method of Measuring the 'Vocabulary Burden' of Textbooks," <u>Educational</u> <u>Administration</u> and <u>Supervision</u>, IX (October, 1923), pp. 389-398.

Author(s)	Date		Elements
		2.	Zero value words (words not on Thorndike list) are related to reading difficulty.
Keboch ¹²	1927	1.	The number of words listed in the second 5000 words of the Thorn- dike list is related to reading difficulty.
Lewerenz ¹³	1929	1.	Words beginning with <u>w</u> , <u>h</u> or <u>b</u> are indicative of reading ease and words beginning with <u>i</u> or <u>e</u> are related to reading difficulty.
Johnson ¹⁴	1930	1.	The number of polysyl- labic words in a passage is related to reading difficulty.
Patty and Painter ¹⁵	1931	1. 2.	words in a passage is related to difficulty.

TABLE 1--Continued

¹²F. D. Keboch, "Variability of Word Difficulty in Five American History Textbooks," <u>Journal of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>, XV (January, 1927), pp. 22-26.

¹³Alfred S. Lewerenz, "Measurement of the Difficulty of Reading Materials," <u>Educational Research Bulletin</u>, Los Angeles Public Schools, VIII (March, 1929), pp. 11-16.

¹⁴George R. Johnson, "An Objective Method of Determining Reading Difficulty," <u>Journal of Educational Research</u>, XXI (April, 1930), pp. 283-287.

15W. W. Patty and W. I. Painter, "Improving Our Method of Selecting High School Textbooks," <u>Journal of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>, XXIV (June, 1931), pp. 23-32.

Au	thor(s)	Date		Elements
Washburne	and Morphett ¹⁶	1938	1. 2.	hard words; i.e., words which are not included on the Winnetka list are a measure of difficulty.
Yoakam ¹⁷		1939	1.	
Dolch ¹⁸		1948	1.	Average sentence length in words, the upper tenth of long senten- ces, plus the first 1000 words on Dolch's "First 1000 Words for Children's Reading" are a measure of reading difficulty.

TABLE 1--Continued

The studies in the second classification, employing relationships as major elements are listed in Table 2.

16Carleton Washburne and Mabel Morphett, "Grade Placement of Children's Books," <u>Elementary School Journal</u>, XXXVIII (January, 1938), pp. 355-364.

17G. A. Yoakam, "How Difficult Are Textbooks?" The <u>Elementary English Review</u>, XXII (December, 1945), pp. 304-309.

¹⁸E. W. Dolch, <u>Problems in Reading</u> (Champaign, Illinois: Garrard Press, 1948).

TABLE 2

STUDIES EMPLOYING RELATIONSHIPS AS THE MAJOR ELEMENTS OF READABILITY

Author(s)	Date		Elements
Dale and Tyler ¹⁹	1934	1.	The correlation between num- ber of different technical words and the number of non- technical words, the number of prepositional phrases and the number of words be- ginning with the letter <u>i</u> are measures of difficulty
Gray and Leary ²⁰	1935	1.	The relationship of struc- tural elements; namely, sen- tence length, vocabulary, personal pronouns and prep- ositional phrases are a measure of difficulty.
Lorge ²¹	193 9	1.	The relationship between a weighted vocabulary (Thorn- dike's list), average sen- tence length, the number of prepositional phrases, and the grade score of a child who answered one-half the questions correctly on the McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test</u> Lessons in Reading are a measure of reading difficul- ty.

¹⁹Edgar Dale and Ralph W. Tyler, "A Study of the Factors Influencing the Difficulty of Reading Materials for Adults of Limited Reading Ability," <u>The Library Quarterly</u>, IV (July, 1934), pp. 11-19.

²⁰William S. Gray and Bernice Leary, What Makes A <u>Book Readable</u> (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1935).

²¹Irving S. Lorge, "Predicting Reading Difficulty of Selections for Children," <u>Elementary English Review</u>, XII (1939), pp. 220-233.

Author(s)	Date		Elements
Flesch ²²	1943	1.	The relationship between the number of affixed morphemes, number of personal refer- ences, and the grade score of a child who answered one- half the questions correctly on the McCall-Crabbs <u>Stan- dard Test Lessons in Reading</u> are a measure of difficulty.
Dale and Chall ²³	1948	1.	The relationship between average sentence length, relative number of hard words (words outside the Dale list of 3000 words) and the grade score of a child who answered one-half the test questions correctly on the McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard</u> <u>Test Lessons in Reading</u> are a measure of difficulty.

TABLE 2--Continued

According to Klare and Buck,²⁴ the six most prominent, published studies measuring the readability of children's materials are those of Lively-Pressey, Johnson, Washburne and Morphett, Lorge, Dolch, and Vogel and Washburne. The work of Dale and Chall has been added by the writer as another promising method.

²²Rudolf Flesch, "A New Readability Yardstick," <u>Jour-</u> <u>nal of Applied Psychology</u>, CXXXII (June, 1948), pp. 221-233.

²³Dale and Chall, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., pp. 11-20.

²⁴George R. Klare and Byron Buck, <u>Know Your Reader</u> (New York: Hermitage House, 1954), pp. 100-101. Since this study deals with the problem of readabil-

ity, in the area of children's reading, it is necessary to note the basic vocabulary study employed by each of the major studies mentioned above. This material is found in Table 3.

TABLE 3

BASIC VOCABULARIES EMPLOYED IN OTHER READABILITY STUDIES

Study	Date	Vocabulary Study Employed
Lively and Pressey ²⁵ Vogel and Washburne ²⁶	1923 1928	In 1921, Thorndike first published his word list. He included counts of words from literature for child- ren, words from elementary school textbooks, words from books about cooking, sewing, farming, the trades, words from daily papers and correspondence.
Johnson ²⁷	1930	Johnson employed the 1921 edition of Thorndike's list in order to find the number of polysyllabic words he employed in his study.
Washburne and Morphett ²⁸	1938	In 1931, Thorndike made counts from over 200 addi- tional sources and included these with the basic study. The 1500 most common words found in Thorndike's list are referred to as the Winnetka List.
²⁵ Lively and Pres	sey, <u>op</u>	. <u>cit</u> .
²⁶ Vogel and Washb	urne, <u>o</u> r	<u>. cit</u> .

²⁷Johnson, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

²⁸Washburne and Morphett, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>.

Study	Date	Vocabulary Study Employed
Lorge ²⁹	1939	The Dale List of 769 words is made up of words which are common to Thorndike's first thousand words known by children entering the first grade and determined through a series of inter- views.
Dolch ³⁰	19 4 8	The Dale List was increased to 1000 words by additions from interviews with children entering the fourth grade. Words known to 75 children out of 100 were included.
Dale and Chall ³¹	1948	The Dale List (based upon Thorndike's and Dolch's work) was increased to 3000 words by testing fourth graders on their knowledge of approximately 10,000 words. If approxi- mately eighty per cent of the children knew the word, it was included in the word list.

TABLE 3--Continued

Statement of the Problem

Purpose

The purpose of this study is to develop a readability formula based upon Rinsland's <u>A Basic Vocabulary of Elementary</u>

²⁹Lorge, "Predicting Reading Difficulty of Selections for Children."

³⁰Dolch, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., pp. 111-129.

³¹Dale and Chall, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., pp. 11-20.

<u>School Children</u>.³² Since previous readability formulae have been based upon Thorndike's word lists or adaptations of those lists, and since the lists were primarily from adult writings (Thorndike) or a combination of Thorndike's lists and children's vocabularies (Dale and Dolch), the statements which follow are basic to the purpose of this study.

The written vocabulary of an adult is not a valid criterion for a basic reading word list for elementary school children.

A combination of adult's and children's vocabularies is not a valid criterion for a basic reading word list. This method results in neither an adult's vocabulary nor a child's vocabulary. No one knows what the adding of children's and adults' word frequencies means. They are not addable.

Children, especially in the elementary school, do not choose words with the same frequency as adults, and adult usage is, therefore, a more or less invalid criterion.

The Rinsland study employs words used by children in their conversations and written expression in the first eight grades. Since the study is made up of children's words, the basic reading vocabulary derived will be valid in terms of children's basic vocabulary.

The Rinsland word list is a valid source for children's vocabulary, and the method of counting words is

³²Henry D. Rinsland, <u>A Basic Vocabulary of Elementary</u> <u>School Children</u> (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1945).

essential. The Rinsland study gives the syntactical form of each word. The basic reading vocabulary in this study is in order to total frequency of each word and word form. (See Appendix A for an explanation of the method used.)

The selection of approximately 3000 words of the highest frequency from the entire derived reading list of nearly 6000 words will serve as an adequate statistical device in computing level of difficulty. Precedence for this is established by Dale and Chall:³³

For purposes of computing a level of difficulty, however, the percentage of words outside this list of approximately 3000 words is a very good index of difficulty of reading materials. The terms 'familiar' and 'unfamiliar' describing words are therefore used here in a statistical sense.

Selection of Criterion

The tests selected to ascertain the average reading score of children are the McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test Lessons</u> in <u>Reading</u>.

Selection of Criterion Variables

The variables included in the study have been chosen to meet the following criteria:

> Variables that are easily employed by teachers, writers, editors, and other interested in employing the formula. The implication of this limitation is that the elements must be easily identifiable.

³³Dale and Chall, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 18.

2. Variables that have been found, by previous investigators, to be correlated with the criterion employed and not highly correlated with one another. These variables, because of their relationship to the criterion, will be referred to as criterion variables.

Selection of Academic Level

This study is concerned with the elementary grades. Specifically, grades two through eight have been included. This limitation is set by the McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test</u> <u>Lessons in Reading</u>.

Selection of Lessons Employed

The selections employed in this study are chosen from standardized test lessons in reading. Since the instrument employed is standardized, the choice of these passages has been limited to a total of fifty lessons chosen at random with the aid of a table or random numbers.³⁴ The experimental nature of this study, the factors of time, expense, and staff determined this procedure.

Experimental Procedure

A description of the procedure followed will be presented. The results of the study as well as the interpretations, and findings will be presented in later chapters.

³⁴The Rand Corporation, <u>A Million Random Digits with</u> <u>100,000 Normal Deviates</u> (Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1955).

Selection of Basic Material to Be Used In determining the measured reading ability of children, the method employed by the study required a standardized test that would indicate not only grade level scores, but also would yield variables that would predict a given level of reading. The McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test Lessons</u> <u>in Reading</u> were used also by Lorge, Flesch, and Dale and Chall.

Studies cited above employed the 1929 edition of the McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test Lessons</u> in <u>Reading</u>, as previously mentioned. Since that time, a 1950 edition of the lessons has been issued and this edition has been used for this study.

The Testing Procedure

To set criterion data for grade placement of reading abilities of children in grades two through eight, tests were administered to a total of 406 children in the Midwest City, Oklahoma, school system. The writer contacted the principal of each school and a uniform method of testing was secured by preparation of directions for testing. (See Appendix B.) Recognizing the factors of time and age, each grade level from grade two through eight was given ten tests, with each test requiring three minutes for a total of thirty minutes for the testing program. The entire testing procedure, including fifteen minutes allowed for mechanics, required only one period of forty-five minutes for each group tested.

No attempt was made to select the pupils. The pupils

in each grade who were present at the time of the test administration were counted as the entire population of that grade. The only stipulation was that approximately sixty pupils in each grade level were to be tested since that number most nearly approximated the number of pupils in the grades of those schools. The group taking the tests represented ninety-seven per cent of all the pupils of the schools selected. The lowest individual per cent per grade was ninety-two in grade six. Because a large proportion of the total group took the tests and because the subjects were not selected in any way, the subjects were treated as the total population in dealing with this phase of the data.

Within a period of two weeks following the administration of the tests, the individual scores of the students taking the tests were reported to the co-operating schools as average reading scores.

Statistical Treatment of the Test Scores

The score (number of questions answered correctly) made by each pupil on this standardized test is in terms of grade scores. A frequency distribution was made of the grade level scores showing the total number of students taking the test in each grade. The Q_3 , median, Q_1 and the range of scores were computed.

Treatment of the Basic Data

Dr. Irving Lorge of Teachers College, Columbia University completed the initial study involving a count of

reading variables in 1938 and his data sheets were the basis for similar work done by Flesch, and Dale and Chall. In order that this research technique might be more carefully analyzed, the writer contacted Dr. Lorge. His data sheets were made available.

Each of the data sheets was analyzed to determine the methodology employed, and sample criterion variables were re-computed on the basis of the 1929 edition of Book V of the McCall-Crabbs Standard Test Lessons in Reading.

Using the same basic technique as employed by previous investigators in this area, the criterion for the present study was established. This is, simply, the reading grade score of a pupil who could answer one-half of the test questions correctly as indicated by the standardized grade score. The value of the criterion is that the criterion variables found in the selections used, predict the level of reading difficulty.

In turn each of the criterion variables was examined in the light of the criteria established for their selection. As previously mentioned, these criteria were those elements easily identifiable, frequently employed and known to be of predictive value.

A distribution was prepared that showed the basic raw data obtained from the criterion variables and also the percentages based upon the raw data of each selected lesson.

Following the counting and tabulation, a readability

formula was calculated on the basis of a regression equation following the suggestion of Garrett:³⁶

In problems involving more than four variables, the mechanics of calculation become almost prohibitive unless some systematic scheme of solution is adopted. The Wherry--Doolittle test selection method . . . provides a method of solving certain types of multiple correlation problems . . . this method selects analytically and adds them one at a time until a maximum R is obtained . . . By use of the Wherry-Doolittle method, we can (1) select those tests which yield a maximum R with the criterion and discard the rest; (2) calculate the multiple R after the addition of each test; stopping the process when the R no longer increases; (3) compute the multiple regression equation from which the criterion can be predicted with the highest precision of which the tests are capable.

Overview of the Following Chapters

In Chapter II, the empirical data of the study are presented and analyzed. The regression equation is proposed. Chapter III presents the application of the formula to elementary reading material with illustrations and the basic reading word list.

³⁶Henry E. Garrett, <u>Statistics in Psychology and</u> <u>Education</u> (New York: Longmans, Green and Company, 1944), p. 404.

CHAPTER II

PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA

In this chapter, the empirical data of the study are presented and analyzed. These data are discussed in the following order: (1) the selection of the criterion and variables, (2) the tests administered in Midwest City and their relationship to the study, (3) the count and use of the variables in the tests employed, and (4) the correlations and regression equation.

Selection of the Criterion and Criterion Variables

The selected criterion against which all selected variables are compared was the reading score established for correct responses to one-half of the questions appended to each lesson. The computation of the criterion took two forms because it fell between two recorded scores when there was an even number of questions and on a specific score when there was an odd number of questions. Illustrative computations are described.

The determination of the criterion for a lesson having ten questions illustrates the first case. The score for zero

questions right was not considered. Half of the questions right fell between the score for five questions right (6.4), and six questions right (7.0). The difference between these scores is .6. This was divided by two in order to secure one-half the difference between the scores and .3 was added to 6.4. The criterion for this lesson was 6.7.

The determination of the criterion for a lesson having eleven questions illustrates the second case. The score for zero questions right was not considered. The grade score for half of the questions right fell on six questions right (6.4). The criterion for this lesson was 6.4.

The method used above was the one employed by Lorge. Since Flesch, and Dale and Chall employed the identical original counts, their computations for the criterion were the same.

The selection of criterion variables employed were required to meet the stipulations listed in the statement of the problem. The criteria were:

- Variables that are easily employed by teachers, writers, editors, and others interested in employing the formula. The implication of this limitation is that the elements must be easily identifiable.
- Variables that have been found, by previous investigators, to be correlated with the criterion employed and not highly correlated with one

another.

An examination of the research reported in the previous chapter reveals that the following variables are most frequently employed:

- 1. A basic vocabulary
- 2. Prepositions or prepositional phrases
- 3. Simple sentences
- 4. Polysyllabic words
- 5. Average sentence length
- 6. Some measure of difference in vocabulary

A basic vocabulary is defined in this study as one that has been prepared for the purpose of determining the words known by a group for which the formula is to be used. The function of the vocabulary, as in other studies, has been to determine an independent variable that could be used in a statistical sense to predict the level of difficulty of a given passage.

Each criterion variable employed in this study has the same significance: the ability of the criterion variable to predict the level of difficulty of a given passage.

Prepositions and prepositional phrases as considered in this investigation are defined as: "The group of words (without subject and predicate) that is introduced by a preposition is called a prepositional phrase."¹ A second

¹Bertha M. Watts, <u>Modern Grammar</u> at <u>Work</u> (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1944), p. 271. definition states: "A prepositional phrase is a group of words that includes the preposition, . . . the noun or the pronoun that is its object, and other words that modify the noun or pronoun."²

Because the prepositional phrase includes the preposition, this study has employed a count of prepositions with the following limitations: An infinitive such as <u>to go</u> does not contain a preposition and is not counted. The consensus of opinion appears to follow this line of reasoning. "The word before an infinitive is not a preposition."³ Fries states the same basic ideas as: "The significance of <u>to</u> has lost practically all meaning . . . except as a marker for the infinitive."⁴ Cowdy states: "The infinitive is often preceded by <u>to</u> . . . but this is not (always) a true preposition but usually merely a mark or sign of the infinitive."⁵

Phrasal prepositions are to be considered as units and counted as such. For example, <u>according to</u> is counted as one preposition.

²Alexander Stoddard, Matilda Bailey, and Rosamond McPherson, <u>English</u> (New York: American Book Company, 1951), p. 409.

³Mary C. Foley, <u>et al.</u>, <u>Language for Daily Use</u> (Yonkers-on-Hudson, New York: Appleton Century Croft, 1940), p. 131.

⁴Charles Carpenter Fries, <u>American English Grammar</u> (New York: Appleton Century Croft, 1940), p. 131.

⁵Chestine Cowdy, <u>English</u> <u>Grammar</u> (Boston: Allyn Bacon, 1929), p. 206. Often prepositional groups may be considered as units and not separated into their component parts. They are then called phrasal prepositions. Among the phrasal prepositions are according to, as far as, as for, by <u>means of, for sake of, in addition to, in case of, in</u> <u>contrast with, in lieu of, in place of, with reference</u> to, by virtue of, in terms of.⁰

A simple sentence may be defined as a sentence that has but one subject and one predicate. The simple sentence may have a compound subject and/or a compound predicate. Kittredge and Farley define a simple sentence as: "A simple sentence has but one subject and one predicate, either or both of which may be compound."⁷ Foley defines a simple sentence as: "A simple sentence has only one subject and one predicate, but either or both the subject and predicate may be compound."⁸

A polysyllabic word as defined in this study is a word that has more than three syllables. <u>Webster's New Col-</u> <u>legiate Dictionary</u> defines polysyllabic as: "Having, or characterized by more than three syllables."⁹

Average sentence length may be defined as the average number of words in the sentences employed. For example, if

^OBertha M. Watts, <u>Modern Grammar at Work</u> (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1944), p. 273.

[']George Lyman Kittredge and Frank Edgar Farley, <u>An Advanced English Grammar</u> (Boston: Ginn and Company, 1913), p. 18.

⁸Foley, <u>et al.</u>, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 166.

⁹<u>Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary</u> (Springfield, Massachusetts: G. & C. Merriam Co., 1951), p. 655. there were three sentences in a given selection having a total of thirty words, the average sentence length would be ten words for the selection.

Some measure of difference in vocabulary may be a weighted index, the number of different words, and the like. A weighted index may be defined as a numerical value arbitrarily assigned to words of a given frequency. For example, the first five hundred most commonly used words in a vocabulary may be assigned a numerical value of one, and the next five hundred most commonly used words a numerical value of two. This weighting gives the weighted index number. This investigation employed different words found in each selection used.

Certain criterion variables were omitted because they did not meet the requirements set up for their selection. The following criterion variables were deleted on the basis that they were difficult to identify and used infrequently: abstract words, personal pronouns, words expressing human interest, colorful words, words representing fundamental lifelike experiences, indeterminate clauses, words usually learned early in life, and affixed morphemes.

For purposes of illustration, affixed morphemes were dropped because it was found that it was difficult to be certain that all affixes were counted. Following the procedure of other investigators, two people were asked to count the number of affixes in a given passage. The count was not

the same. Much the same evidence was found by Dale and Chall:¹⁰

On the whole we found the formula adequate (Flesch's formula). However, we also found some shortcomings. The most serious shortcoming was the count of affixes, which we found to be rather arbitrary, in the sense that two people making a count on the same sample would usually come out with a different number of affixes. If we were extremely careful and consulted a dictionary to be certain that all affixes were included and that no non-affixes were included, we found that the work was too time consuming.

A second illustration points out the reason for the omission of personal pronouns. Dale and Chall, in reporting upon the use of personal pronouns, state:¹¹

A recent article in the "American Psychologist" by S. S. Stevens and Geraldine Stone reported that Koffka's <u>Principles of Gestalt</u> . . . had a predicted Flesch score much lower than had been expected. In fact, it came out only a little higher than elementary textbooks in psychology. . . This reference has 7 personal pronouns per hundred words.

A final point to be made here is that this study attempts to parallel the investigations of those using the same criterion. Under the section dealing with studies using relationships, these investigations have been covered.

<u>Tests</u> <u>Administered</u> in <u>Midwest</u> <u>City</u>, <u>and Their Relationship</u> to the <u>Study</u>

The McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test Lessons</u> in <u>Reading</u> are divided into five levels. These levels are: Book A for

¹⁰Dale and Chall, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 2.

¹¹<u>Ibid</u>., p. 4.

grades two and three, B for grade four, C for grade five, D for grade six, and E for grades seven and eight. Within each book are standardized lessons. The scores are grade scores based upon the number of questions answered correctly.

Each child answered questions based upon ten lessons in the booklet given him. As previously mentioned, the tests within each book were selected upon the basis of a random sample. This assured that each test had an equal opportunity of being selected. Since the tests are standardized, it may be assumed that the selection of the tests is valid. From the test results, an average reading score for each child was computed.

The distribution of grade scores is shown in Table 4. The table illustrates two significant points. The range of scores in each grade exceeds the grade limitations; that is, grade two, for example, has a range from 2.2 through 4.5, and grade three shows a range from 2.2 through 5.7. Examination of the other grades shows ranges that increase as the grade level increases. The point confirmed here is that there is rarely such a thing as an entire group of readers that could be classified as reading within a given grade level, unless specifically selected. Thus, a readability formula can be used to determine the grade level of material that could be used within the range of a given class.

The second point that Table 4 illustrates is that children within the grades tested do not progress at a grade

G∴ade								
Scores	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
10.4 10.3 10.2							1	1
10.1 10.0 9.9 9.8 9.7 9.6							1	1
9.5 9.4 9.3 9.2 9.1						2	1	3
10000099999999999999988888888887777777777	1 1 1 3 2 2 4 3 6 5 6 4 4 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 32 22121122124281534263	1 1 63221 12 22 21 11 3 121111 222124122 111 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 3 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 1 2	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\$	1 1 1 2 1 3 3 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 3 2 1 2 2 2 2	1231322444414420756687347555458833658989666557983568977167818958622
Total	54	62	59	56	55	60	60	406
Q3	3.3	3.9	6.3	6.7	6.4	7.6	7.9	
Md	3.0	3.1	4,6	5.2	5.3	6.7	6.8	
Q_1	2.7	2.8	3.4	4.0	4.7	5.2	5.7	
Range	2.2 to 4.5	2.4 to 5.7	2.4 to 6.9	3.1 to 7.8	3.5 to 8.4	4.1 to 9.2	4.3 to 10.4	

TABLE 4 DISTRIBUTION OF GRADE SCORES TAKEN FROM MCCALL-CRABBS (FORMS A-E)

level commensurate with the designation given to that grade. For example, the median grade score of grade two is 3.0, and the median grade score of grade three is 3.1. It is proposed that this small difference between medians is applicable to a readability formula. The formula can determine the approximate grade level of reading material to be used in terms of the group using the material.

Table 5 illustrates the overlap in grade reading level among the grades tested in this study. It will be noted that this overlap decreases as the distance between the grades increases. However, there is still as much as .3 of a grade overlap between grades two and eight. It is assumed that a readability formula applied to reading material used in any of these grades will serve as a basis for establishing the grade level of the material to be used.

TABLE 5

Grades	3	4	5	6	7	8
2	2.2	2,2	1.5	1.1	.5	.3
3		3.4	2.7	2.3	1.7	1.3
4			3.9	3.5	2.9	2.7
5				4.4	3.8	3.6
6					4.4	4.2
7						5.0

GRADE OVERLAP AMONG SELECTED GRADES ON BASIS OF MCCALL-CRABBS

The implication of this table is that graded similar material can be used, according to the evidence presented, in any grade from two through eight.

<u>The Count and Use of the Criterion Variables</u> in the Tests Employed

The first step in applying the criterion variables is recording the counts. The raw data, counts of criterion variables employed, were translated into per cents. This was done in order to facilitate computation. Flesch, in commenting upon his method of recording counts, states that he counted "the number of personal references per hundred words and the number of affixes per hundred words."¹² While this is much the same method, the term per cent is assumed to be more familiar to the average person applying the readability formula.

The first step in application of the criterion variables to the criterion was to make a count of the words in each selection. When this was completed, each sentence of each selection was listed according to a sequential number; that is, if a selection had ten sentences, each sentence was assigned a number from one through ten. Each word in the sentence was listed. The total number of words, by sentences, was checked against the total found in the tabulation of the

¹²Rudolf Flesch, <u>Marks of a Readable Style</u> (New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1943), p. 33.

total number of words in each selection. Each of these counts was checked against itself and cross-checked at least three times.

To find the average sentence length, criterion variable X₁, the number of sentences in each passage was divided into the total number of words in the selection.

The per cent of different words, criterion variable X_2 , found in each selection was tabulated. Each different word in the selection was listed and the number of times that each word was found in a given passage was recorded. To avoid repetition of words, each word was checked individually and the total of the different words by frequency was checked against the total number of words. If the total number of words agreed with the total frequencies of different words, second and third tabulations of the different words were completed. Each tabulation was checked against the original tabulation and the tabulation that preceded it. Following each verification, the number of different words was divided by the total number of words in each selection and the quotient expressed in terms of per cent. This method was used by Lorge, Flesch, and Dale and Chall.

To find the per cent of prepositions, criterion variable X₃, each preposition in the selected passages was listed on a work sheet and was verified by reference to <u>Webster's</u> <u>New Collegiate Dictionary and Roget's Thesaurus of the English</u>

Language in Dictionary Form. When the use of any preposition was in doubt, the writer consulted the following references for verification: Watt's <u>Modern Grammar at Work</u>, Kittredge and Farley's <u>Advanced English Grammar</u>, and Stoddard, Bailey and McPherson's <u>English</u>. The number of prepositions was divided by the number of words in each passage and the quotient expressed in terms of per cent.

In recording the per cent of simple sentences, criterion variable X_4 , each sentence in each passage was analyzed. Every sentence had been recorded on work sheets. The sources mentioned previously were used as references whenever the rule concerning the simple sentence was not clearly applicable. The number of simple sentences in each passage was divided by the number of sentences in each passage and the quotient expressed in terms of per cent.

To find the per cent of different words not on the basic list of approximately 3000 words, criterion variable X_5 , it was first determined that the frequency on the reading list that approximated the first three thousand words was eighty-four. Each word had been previously listed under the total words in each selection. Each of these words was checked against the alphabetical listing of the words in the reading list to include those of a frequency of eighty-four or more. This provided an initial check. Each word was again verified by using work sheets. The number of different words not on the list was divided by the total number of different words

found in the passage and the result expressed in terms of per cent.

The final step was recording the per cent of words on the basic list, criterion variable X7. By using the information obtained to find the number of words not on the basic list, the remainder of the words were those on the basic list. In order to verify the list of the words off the basic list, the total number of words, by frequency and individual tabulation, was checked. A final check was obtained by adding the number of words on and off the list. The number of different words on the list was divided by the total number of different words found in the passage and the result expressed in terms of per cent.

It was at this point that polysyllabic words, criterion variable X_6 , were excluded from the computations on the basis that there were too few polysyllabic words in the selections used to yield adequate statistical results. This is substantiated by an actual count of polysyllabic words that yielded but one lesson in the entire series with as many as five polysyllabic words, and the majority of the lessons yielded no polysyllabic words as defined in this study.

Table 6 presents the raw data gathered from the selected lessons of the McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test Lessons in</u> <u>Reading</u>, together with the criterion and the percentages of each of the criterion variables. The following example taken from the first line of Table 6 will explain the actual

TABLE 6

-

RAW DATA AND PERCENTAGES OF CRITERION VARIABLES FROM MCCALL-CRABBS

				x ₁		x ₂	······································	x ₃		X ₄		×5		X ₇	
Bk.	Lsn.	Sent.	Wds.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	C50
A	9 10 16 31 34 40 45 48 51 75	8 6 9 11 8 7 10 9 6 10	50 105 125 144 147 76 137 124 113 138	6.2 16.0 13.9 13.1 18.4 10.9 13.7 13.8 18.8 13.8	31 76 81 85 90 49 77 78 78 78 74	62.0 72.4 64.8 59.0 61.2 64.5 56.2 62.9 69.0 53.6	6 10 12 11 15 6 11 11 13 16	12.0 10.5 9.6 7.6 10.2 7.9 8.0 8.9 11.5 11.6	7 3 4 5 0 5 4 3 7	87.5 50.0 14.4 45.4 0 71.4 40.0 44.4 50.0 70.0	2 5 6 5 8 1 2 4 7 1	6.4 6.6 7.4 5.9 8.9 2.0 2.6 5.1 9.0 1.3	29 71 75 80 82 48 75 74 71 73	93.5 93.4 92.6 94.1 91.1 97.9 97.4 94.9 91.0 98.6	3.4 3.6 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.8 3.7 4.5 4.3
В	3 4 31 35 42 53 54 65 70	11 11 9 6 9 10 10 8 12	129 151 106 130 108 137 121 116 145 136	11.7 13.7 11.8 14.4 18.0 15.2 12.1 11.6 18.1 11.3	73 101 77 75 92 81 69 91 89	56.6 66.9 72.6 59.2 69.4 67.1 66.9 59.5 62.7 65.4	14 17 8 16 14 15 12 12 12 16 9	10.8 11.2 7.5 12.3 13.0 10.9 9.9 10.3 11.0 6.6	2646255648	18.2 54.5 44.4 66.7 33.3 55.5 50.0 60.0 50.0 66.7	8 5 5 3 11 4 1 5 7	$ \begin{array}{r} 10.9 \\ 4.9 \\ 7.8 \\ 6.5 \\ 4.0 \\ 11.9 \\ 4.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 5.5 \\ 7.9 \\ \end{array} $	65 96 71 72 72 81 77 68 86 82	89.0 95.0 92.2 93.5 96.0 88.0 95.1 98.5 94.5 92.1	4.2 4.6 4.5 4.0 4.5 4.6 4.6 4.9 6.2 4.7

<u>а</u>5

				x ₁		x ₂		x ₃		X ₄		Х ₅	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X ₇	
Bk.	Lsn.	Sent.	Wds.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	C50
С	6 13 19 32 37 42 50 56 71 73	11 10 10 12 8 11 11 10 6 8	167 122 132 165 156 136 169 126 108 152	15.2 12.2 13.2 13.7 19.5 12.4 15.4 12.6 18.0 19.0	101 74 81 96 110 89 101 79 77 108	60.5 60.6 61.4 58.2 70.5 65.4 59.8 62.7 71.3 71.0	27 17 16 18 26 7 12 14 16 22	16.2 13.9 12.1 10.9 16.7 5.1 7.1 11.1 14.8 14.5	7886754424	63.6 80.0 50.0 87.5 45.4 36.3 40.0 33.3 50.0	9 5 5 19 13 14 7 6 9	8.9 6.8 6.2 5.2 17.3 14.6 13.9 8.9 7.8 8.3	92 69 76 91 76 87 72 71 99	91.1 93.2 93.8 94.8 82.7 85.4 86.1 91.1 91.1 92.2 91.7	5.4 5.1 5.3 5.6 5.5 5.4 4.7 4.7 6.0 6.3
D	2 9 25 37 40 43 61 65 75 77	15 10 8 11 12 20 13 5 10 13	190 215 206 166 205 205 220 125 204 187	12.7 21.5 25.7 15.1 17.1 10.2 16.9 25.0 20.4 14.4	117 136 127 108 125 126 102 92 137 126	61.6 63.2 61.6 65.1 61.0 61.5 46.4 75.2 67.1 67.4	26 24 19 18 16 18 25 12 27 15	13.7 11.2 9.2 10.8 7.8 8.8 11.4 9.6 13.2 8.0	10 4 3 6 1 15 2 0 5 7	66.6 40.0 37.5 54.5 8.3 75.0 15.4 0 50.0 53.8	23 15 16 11 20 15 15 9 18 26	19.6 11.0 12.6 10.2 16.0 11.9 14.7 9.6 13.1 20.6	94 121 111 97 105 111 87 85 119 100	80.3 89.0 87.4 89.8 84.0 88.1 85.3 90.4 86.9 79.4	4.1 4.8 5.2 5.4 5.6 5.7 5.9 6.0 6.4 6.4

TABLE 6--Continued

				x_1		x ₂		x ₃		X ₄		X5		X7	
Bk.	Lsn.	Sent.	Wds.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	No.	Per Cent	C50
Ε	5 18 19 24 26 49 55 60 62 71	11 12 10 12 10 9 11 6 15 15	167 190 193 201 188 217 174 273 202	15.2 15.8 19.9 16.1 20.1 20.9 19.7 29.0 18.2 13.5	108 120 112 121 146 119 134 118 163 119	64.7 63.1 56.3 62.7 72.6 63.3 61.7 67.8 59.7 58.9	27 21 22 21 26 20 28 26 23 27	16.2 11.0 11.1 10.9 12.9 10.6 12.9 14.9 8.4 13.4	5847525066	45.4 66.7 40.0 58.3 50.0 22.2 45.4 0 40.0 40.0	18 18 25 25 19 29 36 37 34	16.7 15.0 25.0 20.7 17.1 16.0 21.6 30.5 22.7 28.6	90 102 84 96 121 100 105 82 126 85	83.3 85.0 75.0 79.3 82.9 84.0 78.3 69.5 77.3 71.4	6.0 6.5 7.0 6.3 6.5 7.1 7.0 7.5 7.1 7.9
		$X_1 = A$	verag	e Sent	ence	Length		X5 :		cent ic Lis					
X ₂ = Per cent of Different Words						X7 = Per cent of Different Words On Basic List (first 3000 words)									
X ₃ = Per cent of Prepositions							C ₅₀	=Cri	terion						
	:	X 4 = P	er ce	nt of	Simpl	e Sent	ences					i			

TABLE 6--Continued

computation and the method employed.

Criterion variable X_1 (Average sentence length). Lesson 9 was chosen from Book A. The total number of words in the lesson was 50. The number of sentences was 8. The average sentence length was 6.2. The method for this variable is dividing the total number of sentences into the total number of words.

Criterion variable X_2 (Per cent of different words). The total number of different words in this lesson was 31. The total number of words in the passage was 50. This variable is found by dividing the total number of words in the passage into the number of different words.

Criterion variable X_3 (Per cent of prepositions). The total number of prepositions in this lesson was 6. This variable is found by dividing the number of prepositions by the total number of words in the passage.

Criterion variable X_4 (Per cent of simple sentences). The total number of simple sentences in this lesson was 7. The total number of sentences was 8. This variable is found by dividing the number of simple sentences by the total number of sentences.

Criterion variable X_5 (Per cent of words not on the basic list). The total number of different words off the basic list in this lesson was 2. The total number of different words was 31. This variable is found by dividing the number of different words in the lesson not found on the

basic list by the total number of different words.

Criterion variable X7 (Per cent of words on the basic list). The total number of different words on the basic list was 29. This variable is found by dividing the number of different words on the basic list by the total number of different words.

 C_{50} (The Criterion). The number of scores on this lesson was 10. The average reading score for this lesson fell between five questions right and six questions right. By dividing the difference between the scores for five questions right and six questions right by two, the number to be added to the lower score is obtained. The sum is the criterion.

The Correlations and Regression Equation

To find the relationships between the criterion and the criterion variables and among the criterion variables, correlations were run. This material is presented in Table 7.

Based upon the intercorrelations found, the next step was to apply the Wherry-Doolittle selection method.¹³

From Table 7, the highest correlation among the criterion and the criterion variables was .7305. This was the criterion variable X_5 or the per cent of different words not on the basic list. By the Wherry-Doolittle method of

¹³Garrett, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., pp. 404-418.

TABLE	7
-------	---

INTERCORRELATION OF CRITERION AND CRITERION VARIABLES

	1	2	3	4	5	7	
С	.5295	.1066	.3131	2127	.7305	6496	
1		.2797	.2879	5612	.4451	4331	
2			.0451	.0029	0199	.0367	
3				.1596	.2028	1825	
4					2735	.2754	
5						9956	ł
	Where:	C = Cri	terion				
		1 = Ave:	rage sent	tence leng	,th		
		2 = Per	cent of	different	words		
		3 = Per	cent of	prepositi	ons		
		4 = Per	cent of	simple se	ntences		
				different basic list			
			cent of he basic	different : list	words		

selection, the first criterion variable to be applied was the variable that correlated most highly with the criterion. This provided the first criterion variable, X5, or the per cent of words not on the basic list and gave a correlation of .730.

Since the criterion variable X_7 , or per cent of words on the basic list correlates -.9956 with the first selected criterion variable, it was automatically excluded from the regression equation. This is based upon the stipulation that states that criterion variables should not correlate too highly with one another.

By further application of the Wherry-Doolittle method, the second selected criterion variable, X_1 , or average sentence length, the multiple correlation was increased from .730 to .761.

With the addition of the third most significant criterion variable, X_4 , per cent of simple sentences, the multiple correlation was increased to .764. This was not a significant increase and the selection of further criterion variables stopped with a multiple correlation of .761.

The final result of the method employed was the following multiple regression equation, which represents the reading formula:

 $C_{50} = .0719X_1 + .1043X_5 + 2.9347$

By using this equation, one may predict the approximate reading level of material for the elementary school.

CHAPTER III

SUMMARY, EXPLANATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE USE OF THE FORMULA AND THE WORD LIST

The following divisions are used in this chapter: (1) summary, (2) explanation and implementation of use of the formula, and (3) the basic word list.

Summary

The formula developed here is a statistical device. As such, it is a method by which the difficulty of written materials can be estimated. A readability formula, by the very nature of its derivation, can not account for all the factors that constitute difficulty in reading.

The evidence presented in this study indicates that the two major factors of structural difficulty contributing to readability are the vocabulary employed and average sentence length. As far as vocabulary is concerned, it appears that the familiarity of the vocabulary is the most prominent element.

The second point revealed by this study is that average sentence length contributes to readability. The shorter the sentence, from the evidence presented, the more readable.

It is not claimed that this formula is definitive. The very nature of the multiple correlation makes this point obvious. The formula is a method for judging the approximate grade level of written material. It may also be used to assist writers in preparing graded material by using simpler vocabulary and shorter, clearer sentences.

Explanation and Implementation of the Use of the Formula

The method for using the formula recorded below is in accordance with that used by previously mentioned investigators:

<u>Selection of samples</u>.--Use approximately one hundred words from about every tenth page in a book. If a more exacting sample is needed, choose about two hundred words from every tenth page.¹ Do not begin or end a sample in the middle of a sentence.

<u>Counting the number of words</u>.--Count the total number of words in each sample. Count contractions as one word, and compound hyphenated words as two words. Count initials as part of a word if followed by a word. For example, J. W. Smith is counted as one word, but John B. Smith is counted as two words. Count the number of complete sentences in each

¹Bertha Leifeste, "An Investigation of the Reliability of the Sampling of Reading Material," <u>Journal of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>, XXXVII (February, 1944), pp. 441-501.

selected sample.

<u>Familiar and unfamiliar words</u>.--To distinguish between familiar and unfamiliar words (words on the basic list and words not on the basic list), the following rules are to be observed:

Common and proper nouns: All regularly formed plurals and possessives are included as familiar if the singular form is on the list. If the singular is not on the list, use the form recorded or consider as unfamiliar. An example of the recording of regular forms is: <u>girl</u>. <u>Girl's</u>, <u>girls</u> and <u>girls</u>' are recorded under the singular form <u>girl</u>. An example of the recording of irregular noun forms is: <u>child</u>. The forms of <u>child</u> and <u>child's</u> is recorded under the form <u>child</u>. The forms <u>children</u> and <u>children's</u> is recorded under the form <u>children</u>.

Adjectives: All regularly formed comparatives and superlatives are to be considered as familiar if the root word is listed. If not, each form listed is considered as familiar. All irregularly formed comparatives and superlatives are listed separately. An example of regularly formed comparatives and superlatives is: <u>tall</u>, <u>taller</u>, <u>tallest</u>. Each form is listed under <u>tall</u>, and considered familiar when listed. An example of irregularly formed comparatives and superlatives is: <u>good</u>, <u>better</u>, <u>best</u>. These forms are listed separately. Adjectives formed by adding <u>n</u> are considered familiar when listed. An example of irregularly formed adjectives of this formation is: American.

Verbs: All regular verb forms are listed under the present tense of that verb if the present tense is recorded. If the present tense is not recorded, the forms that are familiar are listed separately. All irregular verb forms are listed separately. An example of regular verb forms is <u>guess</u>. All forms of the verb are listed under the present tense and are considered as familiar. An example of irregular verb form is: <u>go, went</u>, <u>gone</u>. Each form is listed separately.

Abbreviations and hyphenated words: All abbreviations are considered as familiar if listed. 1 All hyphenated words are considered as familiar if the hyphenated word is listed or both parts of the hyphenated word are listed.

Dale and Chall carried on experiments which compared the results of their formula with experienced teachers' and reading experts' judgments. In addition, they compared the results with comprehension scores and found that "the judgments of experienced teachers, 'experts' in readability, and actual comprehension scores"² indicated a level at which the material graded by the raw score of the readability formula "... would give a more usable means of placing the materials within the comprehension of the various grades."³ Comparing their results with Table 4 (page 29) in this study, which

> ²Dale and Chall, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 8. ³Ibid., p. 9.

shows that the median grade scores are, for example, from 1.3 grades to 2.2 grades below the levels indicated for grades seven and eight at the end of the school year, it is assumed that Table 8 is valid for this study. This table may be used to indicate the level at which material may be read with ease.

TABLE 8

READING EASE LEVEL AS DERIVED FROM DALE AND CHALL⁴

Scores	Level
4.9 and below	Grade 4 and below
5.0 to 5.9	Grades 5 and 6
6.0 to 6.9	Grades 7 and 8
7.0 to 7.9	Grades 9 and 10
8.0 to 8.9	Grades 11 and 12
9.0 to 9.9	Grades 13 to 15
10.0 and above	Grade 16 and above

The two following selections are taken at random from the selected stories and illustrated on a work sheet prepared for this purpose.

The first selection is taken from <u>The Swiss Family</u> <u>Robinson</u> by Jean Rudolf Wyss. Each underlined word is not on the basic list of words.

⁴<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 8.

On this <u>occasion</u> we made another <u>agreeable</u> discovery: my wife took up the <u>residue</u> chips of the bark for lighting a fire, supposing they would burn easily; we were surprised by a delicious <u>aromatic scent</u> which <u>perfumed</u> the air. On <u>examining</u> the <u>half-consumed</u> substance, we found some of the pieces to contain <u>turpentine</u>, and others <u>gum-mastich</u>, so that we might <u>rely</u> on a supply of these <u>ingredients</u> from the trees which had furnished the bark. It was less with a view to the <u>gratifying</u> our sense of smell than with the hope of being able to secure these valuable drugs for making a sort of pitch to complete our <u>meditated</u> boat, that we <u>indulged</u> our <u>earnestness</u> in the <u>pursuit</u>.

In applying the formula to this passage, the following information is recorded: (1) there are 121 words in the passage, (2) there are three sentences in the selection, (3) there are 18 different words not on the basic list. After computation, the information is entered on the work sheet. The approximate grade level of the passage is then determined.

The second selection is taken from <u>Alice's</u> <u>Adventures</u> <u>in Wonderland</u> by Lewis Carroll. Each underlined word is not on the basic list of words:

<u>Alice</u> was beginning to get very tired of sitting by her sister on the bank, and of having nothing to do; once or twice she peeped into the book her sister was reading, but it had no pictures or <u>conversations</u> in it, "and what is the use of a book," thought <u>Alice</u>, "without pictures or <u>conversations</u>?"

So she was considering in her own mind (as well as she could, for the hot day made her feel very sleepy and <u>stupid</u>) whether the pleasure of making a <u>daisy-chain</u> would be worth the trouble of getting up and picking <u>daisies</u>, when suddenly a White Rabbit with pink eyes ran close by her.

In applying the formula to this passage, the following information is recorded: (1) there are 113 words in the passage, (2) there are two sentences in the selection, (3) there are five different words not on the basic list. By entering this information on the work sheet, the approximate grade level of the passage is determined.

The following computations are for the selections mentioned.

WORK SHEET

Tit	le: <u>Swiss Family Robinson</u>	Page(s)	257
Aut	ho r: <u>Wyss</u>	From word <u>On</u>	to <u>pursuit</u>
1.	Number of words in sample <u>12</u>	1	
2.	Number of different words not	on list <u>18</u>	
3.	Basic list score (Divide item 2 by item 1. Mu by 100 and the product by .10		1.5420
4.	Number of sentences in sample	3	
5.	Average sentence length score (Divide item 1 by item 4. Mu the result by .0719)	ltiply	2.8760
6.	Enter constant (2.9347)		2,9347
7.	Raw grade score: the sum of 3, 5, and 6	i tem s	7.3527
8.	Enter reading ease grade leve. (From Table 8)	1	<u>9 or 10</u>

WORK SHEET

Tit	le: <u>Alice's Adventures in Wonderland</u>	Page(s) <u>1</u>
Aut		From word <u>Alice</u> to <u>her</u>
1.	Number of words in sample <u>113</u>	
2.	Number of different words not on list	5
3.	Basic list score (Divide item 2 by item 1. Multiply by 100 and the product by .1043)	.4615
4.	Number of sentences in sample 2	
5.	Average sentence length score (Divide item 1 by item 4. Multiply the result by .0719)	4.0983
6.	Enter constant (2.9347)	2.9347
7.	Raw grade score is the sum of items 3, 5, and 6	7.4945
8.	Enter reading ease grade score (From Table 8)	9 or 10

The words on the following pages are the basic word list as developed by the process shown in Appendix A. (See pages 74-77. To use this list, count all the different words in the selected passage and enter the number on the work sheet.

BASIC WORD LIST

а able aboard about above absent accept accident account ache acquainted acre. across act action activity add addition address adjective adopt advantage adventure adverb advertisement affair afraid after afternoon afterward aqain against age ago agree agreement agriculture ahead aid aim air airplane airport aisle

alarm alfalfa alike alive all alley alligator allow all right almost alone along already also although altogether always am amendment American among amount amuse amusement an ancient and angel angry animal ankle announce another answer ant anxious any anybody anyone anything anyway anywhere apart apartment

ape apiece appear appearance apple apply appoint appreciate appreciation approach April apron are area aren't argument arithmetic arm army around arrange arrive arrow art article artist as ash ashamed aside ask asleep assembly assignment association at ate attach attack attempt attend attention attic attractive

auditorium August aunt auntie author auto automobile autumn ave. avenue average aviator awake away awful awfully awhile awoke baby back backward bacon bacteria bad badly baq bait bake bakery balance ball balloon banana band bandage bang bank banking bar bare bark barley barn barrel base baseball basement basket basketball

bat bath bathe battle bay be beach bead bean bear beat beautiful beautify beauty beaver became because become bed bedroom bee beef been beat before beq began begin begun behind beina believe bell belong below belt bench bend beneath bent berry beside besides best bet better between Bible bicycle

biq bike bill bird birth birthday bit bite black blackboard blacksmith blanket bleed blew blind block blood bloom blossom blow blue bluebird board boat bodv boil bone book booklet boot border born borrow boss both bother bottle bottom bought bounce bound boundary bow bowl bowwow box boy bracelet brake

breeze bridge bridle bring broke brook brook broother brother buggy build build built bull bull bull bull burs bury bus bush burnt burst bundle dunq bug buc bud buc bubble brown brush bre burn bunc bre bre bre bunny brown . Ъ bre bra bra σσ Fa cownie she Ó H ke γ ive Бc ۵, ۵, Ď ۵J a 5 ຝ່ Ithe ith ith オオ ling +0 سر 1----+ 5 ٨t Ō 0 മ S c+ but snq ine Y efully eless nival H. ū Ird Ó H F S ÷ Ω. cause cause cause celebrate celebrate celebrate cent cent cent cent cent chain chain chance change charge c arria arry penter iage ot ، ئر llar

chiek chick chose chose chose chose church child chose chose child chose chose child chick chose chose chose child child chose child chick chose chose child child child child chose child churn circle circus citizen citizen citizen citizen class cl chimne child children chicken chief chiefly chew chicke ircus itizen itizenship ity ivil laim laim hocola lass Lassmate tmas ;t tian tmas 5 ወ < 5 c+ ō ъ õ× Eve love lown lub ble tion on D constinue contain contain continue continue contro con contro contro co contro contro co contro contro contro con contest continent continue control convention could could correct cost cost costume cottage cotton country imina stitution tain ture FILY Ĥ ē -4 j. t

cripple crop cross crow crowd cruel crumb cry cub cup cupboard cure curl curlv current curtain custom cut cute dad daddy daily dairy dam damage dance dandy danger dangerous dare dark dash date daughter dawn day dead deal dear death debate Dec. December decide deck declare decorate decoration deed

deep deer defeat degree delicious delighted deliver demand den department depend deposit derrick describe desert desian desire desk destination destroy determine develop development diamond dictionary did didn't die difference different differently difficult dig dike dime dine dinner dip direction dirt dirty disappear disappoint discover discovery disease dish distance district

ditch dive divide division do dock doctor does doesn't doa doing doll dollar dolly done donkey don't door dot double down downstairs downtown dozen Dr. drag dragon drain drank draw drawer dream dress dresser drew dried drift drill drink drive driver drop drove drown drug drum dry duck due

dug dull dump during dust duty dwarf dye each eagle ear early earn earth easily east Easter eastern easy eat edge educate education effect egg eight eighteen eighth eighty either elect election electric electricity elephant elevator eleven else embroidery employ empty enclose end enemy energy enforce engine engineer English

enjoy enough enter entertainment envelope equal equipment eraser escape especially establish etc. eve even evening event ever eversharp every everybody everyday everyone everything everywhere exactly exam examination example excellent except exchange excite excitement exclaim excuse executive exercise exhibit expect expensive experience experiment explain explore export express extend extra extremely

eye face fact factory fail faint fair fairy fairyland fall family famous fan far farm farmer farther fashion fast fasten fat father fatten favor favorite fear feast feather Feb. February fed feed feel feet fell fellow felt fence fever few field fierce fifteen fifth fifty fight figure fill finally

find fine finger fingernail finish fir fire firecracker fire engine fire-escape firemen fireplace fireworks first fish fisherman fit five fix flag flame flash flashlight flat flax flew flight float flock flood floor flour flow flower fly foq fold folk follow fond food fool foot football for force ford foreign forest

forever forget forgive forgot forgotten fork form fort forth fortune forty forward fought found fountain four fourteen fourth fox fraction frame free freedom freeze freight fresh Friday friend friendly fright . frog from front frost froze fruit fry Ft. fuel full fun funny fur furnace furnish furniture further future qain

gallon game gang garage garden garters qas gasoline gate gather gave gay gee geese general generally gentle geography germ get ghost qiant qift girl give glad glance glass glove qo goal qoat aod aods gold qolden goldfish gone good good-bye good-by goodness goods qoose got gotten govern government governor

grab graceful grade arader graduate grain grammar grand grandfather arandma grandmother grandpa grant grape grass grasshopper grave gray graze grease great greatly green greet grew grey grind grocery ground group grove grow growl growth quard quess quest quide gum gun gym gymnasium ha habit had hadn't hail hair half halfway

hall Halloween ham hammer hand handkerchief handle hang happen happily happiness happy harbor hard harden hardly hardship harm harness harvest has hasn't hat hatch hate haul haunt have haven't hawk hay he head health healthy heap hear heard heart heat heaven heavy heel height held hello help helper hen hers

herd here here's hero herself he's hid hidden hide hiqh high school highway hike hi11 him himself hind hire his history hit hitch ho hobby hoe hog hold holder hole hollow holly holy home honest honey honor hook hoop hop hope horn horse horseback hose hospital hot hotel hour house how

however howl hug huge hum human hundred hung hungry hunt hunter hurry hurt husband hut hygiene Т ice iceberg ice cream icicle icy I'd idea if iqloo I**!**11 ill I'm imagine immediately import importance important impossible improve improvement in inch include increase indeed independence independent index Indian industry information injure

ink inkwell inn inquire insect inside instance instead instrument intelligent intend interest interesting into introduce invent invention invitation invite iron irrigate irrigation is island isn't it itch it's its itself I've jack jacket jack-o-lantern jail jam Jan. janitor January jar jelly jerk Jesus jewel job join joke jolly journey

joy judge juice July jump June jungle junior junk just justice keen keep keeper kept kerosene kettle key kick kid kill kind kindergarten king kiss kitchen kite kitten kitty knee knew knife knight knit knives knob knock knot know knowledge labor lace lack lad ladder ladv laid lake lamb

lame lamp land language lantern lap lard large lasť late lately laugh law lawn lawyer lay lazy lb. lead leader leaf league leak lean leap learn least leather leave led left leq legislative lemon length less lesson let let's letter lettuce level liberty library lick lie life lift light

lightning like likely lily limb lime limit line linen link lion lip liquid list listen lit little live living room load locate lock loq lonely lonesome long look loose lose lost lot loud love lovely lover lovingly low luck lucky lumber lunch lung lying ma ma¹am machine machinery mad made

magazine magic maid maiden mail mailbox main make mama mamma man manage manager manger manner mansion manual manufacture many map maple Mar. marble March march mark market marry mash mask mass master mat match mate material matter May may maybe me meadow meal mean meaning meant measles measure meat

medicine meet meetina melt member memory men mend mention merchant merry merry-go-round mess message messenger met metal method mew mice middle midnight might mile military milk mill million mind mine miner mineral minister minute mirror mischief Miss miss mission mistake mistress mitten mix model modern modify moisture mold moment

Monday money monkey month moon moonlight mop more morning mosauito moss most mostly moth mother motion motor mount mountain mountainous mouse mouth move movement movie Mr. Mrs. Mt. much mud muddy mule multiply mumos murder muscle museum music musical must mutton my myself mystery nail name nap napkin narrow

nation national native natural nature naughty navy near near-by nearly neat necessary neck necklace necktie need needle Negro neighbor neighborhood neither nephew nervous nest net never new news newspaper New Year next nice nicely nickel niece night nine nineteen ninety ninth no. no noble nobody noise none noon nor north

nose not note notebook nothing notice noun Nov. November now number nurse nut 0 oak oar oasis oat oatmeal obev object obtain occupation occupy occur ocean o'clock Oct. October odor of off offense offer office officer often oh oil 0. K. old olive on once one onion only open

northern

opera operate operation operetta opportunity opposite or orange orchard orchestra order ore organ organize ornament other ought our ourselves out outdoor outline outside oven over overalls overcoat overflow overshoe owe owl own owner oxen oxygen oyster pack package pad paddle page paid pail pain paint painting pair pajamas pal

palace palm pan pants papa paper parade paragraph pardon parent park parliament parlor parrot part particular partner party pass passage passenger past paste pasture pat patch path patient pattern pave paw pay pea peace peach peak peanut pear pearl peasant pecan peck peep pen pencil penmanship penny people pepper

per per cent perfect perfume perhaps period permission person pet petroleum phone phrase piano pick pickle picnic picture pie piece pier pig pigeon pile pilgrim pillow pilot pin pine pink pioneer pipe pirate pistol pit pitch pitcher place plain plan plane planet plant plantation plate play player playful playground playhouse

playmate plaything pleasant please pleasure pledge plenty plow plum plural P. M. pocket pocketbook poem poet point poison polar polar bear pole police policeman policy polish polite pond pony loog roog pop popcorn popular population porch port position possession possible post poster postman postoffice pot potato pound pour powder power practice

prairie pray prayer prepare preposition present president press pretend pretty prevent price prince princess principal principle print prison prisoner private prize probably problem process produce product production program progress project promise promote pronoun proper property protect protection protein proud prove provide P. S. public publish pudding puddle puff pull pump

pumpkin punish gug pupil puppy purchase pure purple purpose purse push put puzzle quack quail quantity quarrel quart quarter queen queer question quick quickly quiet quietly quilt quit quite rabbit race radio raft raq rail railroad rain rainbow rainy raise raisin rake ran ranch rang range rank rapidly rat

rate rather rattle raw reach read reader ready real realize really reason receive recess recognize record recreation red refuse regard region regular reindeer relative religion religious remain remember remove rent repair reply report represent representative request require rescue respect rest result return revolution reward ribbon rice rich rid riddle

rider rifle right ring ripe rise river road roam roar roast rob robber robe robin rock rod rode roll roller roller skate roof room rooster root rope rose rough round route row rub rubber rug ruin rule ruler rum run runner rush sack sad saddle safe safely safety said

ride

sailor salad sale salmon salt salute same sample sand sandwich sandy sang santa Santa Claus sat Sat. satisfy Saturday saucer save saw sawed say scale scarce scare scarf scatter scene scenery school schoolhouse schoolmate schoolroom science scientist scissors scold scooter score scout scrap scrape scratch scream screen scrub sea

sail

seal seaport search season seat second secret secretary section secure see seed seek seem seesaw seldom select self sell semester send sense sent sentence separate Sept. September serious servant serve service set settle settlement settler seven seventeen seventh seventv several sew shade shadow shake shall shape share sharp she

shed sheep sheet shelf shell shelter shepherd she's shine ship shirt shock shoe shone shook shoot shop shore short shot should shoulder shouldn't shout shovel show shower shut sick sickness side sidewalk sight sign signal silent silk silly silver simple since sincerely sing singer single sink sir sister sit

six sixteen sixth sixty size skate ski skin skip skirt skunk sky slave slavery sled sleep sleepy sleeve sleigh slept slid slip slipper slippery slow slowly small smallpox smart smell smelt smile smoke smooth snake snap sneak snow snowball snowman snowy so soap social sock soda soft softly soil

sold soldier solid some somebody someone something sometime somewhere son song soon sore sorry sort sound soup sour source south southern space spade spank spare spark sparrow speak spear special speech speed spell spend spent spice spider spill spin spinach spirit splash splendid split spoil spoke spool spoon sport

spot spread spring spy square squeeze squirrel St. stable stack stage stair stake stalk stamp stand star starch stare start starve state statement station stationery statue stay steal steam steel steep steer stem step stick stiff still stir stock stocking stole stomach stone stood stool stoop stop store storm

story stove straight strange stranger strap straw strawberry stream streamline street streetcar stretch strike string strip stripe strong struck stuck student study stuff stubble stump stunt style subject substance subtract succeed success successful such sudden suddenly suffer sugar suggest suit sulphur sum summer sun Sunday Sunday school sunny sunset sunshine

supper supply support suppose sure surely surface surprise surrender surround swallow swam swamp sweater sweep sweet swell swept swift swiftly swim swing Swiss sword system table tablet tack tadpole tag tail take tale talk tall tallow tame tan tank tap tar tardy tariff taste taught tax tea teach teacher

team tear tease teaspoon teepee teeth telegraph telephone tell temperature temple ten tend tennis tent tenth term terrible territory test than thank thankful Thanksgiving Thanksgiving Day that that's the theater theft their them themselves then there therefore thermometer these they they're thick thin thing think third thirsty thirteen thirty this

those though thought thousand thread three threw thrifty thrill throat throne through throughout throw thunder Thursday thus ticket tickle tie tiger tight till timber time tin tiny tip tire title to toad toast tobacco toboggan today toe together toilet told tomato tomorrow ton tongue tonight tonsil too took tool

tooth top tore torn touch toward towe] tower town toy track tractor trade trader traffic trail train tramp transportation trap travel traveler treasure treat treaty tree tribe trick tricycle trim trip troop trouble trousers truck true truly trunk truth try tub tube tuberculosis Tuesday tulip tumble tune tunnel turkey

turn turnip turtle twelve twenty twenty-five twenty-one twice twin two type typewriter ugly umbrella uncle under understand unhappy union unit unite university unknown unless unload untie until up upon upstairs uptown us use useful usual usually vacant vacation valentine vallev valuable value various varnish vase vegetable verb verse very

vessel vice-president view village vine violet violin visit visitor vitamin voice vote voyage wade wagon wait wake walk wall walnut walrus wander want war warm warn was wash wasn't waste watch water waterfall watermelon wave wax way we weak wealth wealthy weapon wear weather weave wedding Wednesday weed week

weigh weight weird welcome we'll well went we're were weren't west western wet we've whale what whatever what's wheat wheel when whenever where whether which while whip whisper whistle white who whole whom whose why wide wife wigwam wild will willow win wind windmill window windv wine wing winter

wipe wire wise wish witch with within without woke wolf wolves woman won wonder wonderful won't wood wooden woodpecker wool word work worker world worm worn WOLLA worse worship worst worth would wouldn't wound wrap wreath wreck wrist write writer written wrong wrote Xmas yard yarn year yell yellow

yes yesterday yet you you'll young your(s) you're yourself yr. zebra zero zone zoo

.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

<u>Books</u>

- Cowdy, Chestine. <u>English Grammar</u>. Boston: Allyn Bacon, 1929.
- Dolch, E. W. <u>Problems in Reading</u>. Champaign, Illinois: Garrard Press, 1948.
- Flesch, Rudolf. <u>Marks of a Readable Style</u>. New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1943.
- Foley, Mary C., et al. Language for Daily Use. Yonkers-on-Hudson, New York: Appleton Century Croft, 1940.
- Fries, Charles Carpenter. <u>American English Grammar</u>. New York: Appleton Century Croft, 1940.
- Garrett, Henry E. <u>Statistics in Psychology and Education</u>. New York: Longmans, Green and Company, 1944.
- Gray, William S., and Leary, Bernice E. <u>What Makes a Book</u> <u>Readable</u>. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1935.
- Kittredge, George Lyman, and Frank, Edgar Farley. <u>An Ad-</u> <u>vanced English Grammar</u>. Boston: Ginn and Company, 1913.
- Klare, George R., and Buck, Byron. <u>Know Your Reader</u>. New York: Hermitage House, 1954.
- McCall, William A., and Crabbs, Lelah. <u>Standard Test Lessons</u> <u>in Reading</u>. New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1950.
- The Rand Corporation. <u>A Million Random Digits with 100,000</u> <u>Normal Deviates</u>. Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1955.
- Rinsland, Henry D. <u>A Basic Vocabulary of Elementary School</u> <u>Children</u>. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1945.

- Washburne, Carleton, and Vogel, Mabel. <u>Winnetka Graded Book</u> List. Chicago: American Library Association, 1926.
- Watts, Bertha M. <u>Modern Grammar at Work</u>. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1944.

Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary. Springfield, Mass.: G. & C. Merriam Company, 1951.

Articles and Periodicals

- Bergman, W. G. "An Objective and Subjective Grade Placement of Supplementary Readers," <u>Reconstructing Education</u> <u>through Research</u>. Washington, D. C.: American Research Association of the National Education Association (1936), 263-276.
- Dale, Edgar, and Chall, Jeanne S. "The Concept of Readability," <u>Elementary English</u>, XXVI (January, 1949), 19-25.

"A Formula for Predicting

Readability," Educational Research Bulletin, XXVII (1948), 11-20.

- Dale, Edgar, and Tyler, Ralph W. "A Study of the Factors Influencing the Difficulty of Reading Materials for Adults of Limited Reading Ability," <u>The Library Quarterly</u>, IV (July, 1934), 11-19.
- Dolch, E. W. "The Combined Word Study List," <u>Journal of</u> <u>Educational Research</u>, XVII (1927), 11-19.

. "Vocabulary Burden," <u>Journal of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>, XVII (1927), 170-183.

- Flesch, Rudolf. "A New Readability Yardstick," <u>Journal of</u> <u>Applied Psychology</u>, CXXXII (June, 1948), 221-233.
- Gray, William S. "Progress in the Study of Readability," <u>The Elementary School Journal</u>, XLVIII (May, 1947), 491-497.
- Holland, B. F. "The Effect of Length and Structure of Sentences in Silent Reading Process," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, XXX (1933), 668-669.

- Johnson, George R. "An Objective Method of Determining Reading Difficulty," <u>Journal of Educational Research</u>, XXI (1930), 283-287.
- Keboch, F. D. "Variability of Word-difficulty in Five American History Textbooks," <u>Journal of Educational Research</u>, XV (1927), 22-26.
- Klare, George R. "Measures of Readability of Written Communication: An Evaluation," <u>The Journal of Educational</u> <u>Psychology</u>, XLIII (November, 1952), 385-399.
- Kyte, G. C. "Calibrating Reading Material," <u>Elementary School</u> <u>Journal</u>, XXV (1925),333-346.
- Leifeste, Bertha N. "An Investigation of the Reliability of the Sampling of Reading Material," <u>Journal of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>, XXXVII (February, 1944), 441-501.
- Lewerenz, Alfred S. "Measurement of the Difficulty of Reading Materials," Los Angeles Educational Research Bulletin, VIII (1929), 8-11.
 - Ability and Interest," <u>Elementary English Review</u>, XVI (1939), 151-156.
 - _____. "A Vocabulary Grade Placement Formula," Journal of Experimental Education, III (1935), 236.
- Lively, Bertha A., and Pressey, S. L. "A Method for Measuring the Vocabulary Burden of Textbooks," <u>Educational Adminis</u>tration and Supervision, IX (1923), 389-398.
- Lorge, Irving. "Evaluating Readability Measures," <u>Elementary</u> <u>English</u>, XXVI (February, 1949), 86-95.

_____. "The Lorge and Flesch Readability Formulae: A Correction," <u>School and Society</u>, LXVII (1948), 141-142.

. "Predicting Readability," <u>Teachers College</u> <u>Record</u>, XLV (March, 1944), 400-405.

. "Predicting Reading Difficulty of Selections for Children," <u>Elementary English Review</u>, XII (1939), 141-142.

Ojemann, Ralph H. "The Reading Difficulty of Parent Education Materials," <u>Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of</u> <u>Sciences</u>, XL (April, 1933), 159-170.

- Patty, W. W., and Painter, W. I. "A Technique for Measuring the Vocabulary Burden for Textbooks," <u>Journal of Educa-</u> <u>tional Research</u>, XXIV (1931), 127-134.
- Russell, David H., and Merrill, Anne F. "Children's Librarians Rate the Difficulty of Well-known Juvenile Books," <u>Elementary English</u>, XXVIII (May, 1951), 263-268.
- Vogel, Mabel and Washburne, Carleton. "An Objective Method of Determining Grade Placement of Children's Reading Material," <u>Elementary School Journal</u>, XXVIII (1928), 373-381.
- Ward, J. L., and Stevenson, P. R. "The Vocabulary of a History Text," <u>American School Board Journal</u>, VII (1925), 65-121.
- Washburne, Carleton, and Morphett, Mabel. "Grade Placement of Children's Books," <u>Elementary School Journal</u>, XXXVIII (January, 1938), 355-364.
- Witty, Paul. "Improving the Readability of Printed Materials," <u>Elementary English</u>, XXVIII (November, 1951), 392-401 and 409.

_____, and La Brant, Lou L. "Vocabulary and Reading," <u>School and Society</u>, XXXI (1930), 268-272.

Yoakam, G. A. "How Difficult are Textbooks?" <u>The Elementary</u> <u>English Review</u>, XXII (December, 1945), 304-309.

Unpublished Material

Yoakam, G. A. "A Technique for Determining the Difficulty of Reading Material." Unpublished manuscript, University of Pittsburgh, 1939.

APPENDIX A

A READING WORD LIST FROM <u>A BASIC VOCABULARY</u> OF <u>ELEMENTARY</u> <u>SCHOOL</u> <u>CHILDREN</u>

A READING WORD LIST FROM A BASIC VOCABULARY OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CHILDREN¹

While the list is primarily a spelling list, the problem is to derive a reasonable, logical list of words that would be a basic reading list for the elementary grades. The proposed problem, when completed, would provide such a list in order of word frequency.

METHOD: TO COMBINE THE VARIOUS FORMS OF THE WORDS LISTED

In order to provide an accurate frequency of the words in the list, the following method is proposed:

1. When the plural noun form is obtained by adding "s" or "es", or changing "y" to "i" and adding "es", the singular form will be recorded. This recording will include the plural form of the noun. If the plural noun form is other than those endings, the frequency for each form will be recorded.

All noun forms in the possessive case will be recorded as follows: If the plural ends in "s", "es", or changing "y" to "i" and add "es", the possessive case will be recorded under the singular form. If, however, the plural noun form is obtained by adding other than "s" or "es", the possessive singular form will be recorded under the singular form and the possessive form of that noun under the plural form.

1.1 Example: Noun forms, the plurals of which are formed by adding "s" or "es".

Noun	Frequency
girl	6,312
girl's	245
girls	3 ,66 8
girls'	104
5	Total 10,149

Form will be recorded as:

girl

10,149

1.2 Example: Noun forms, the plurals of which are formed by adding other than "s" or "es".

¹Henry D. Rinsland, <u>A Basic Vocabulary of Elementary</u> <u>School Children</u> (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1945).

Noun	Frequency
child	973
children	6,943
children's	134
child's	_ 50
	8,100

Forms will be recorded as:

child	1,023 (This total is reached by combining the singular and singular possessive of that noun.)
children	7,077 (This total is reached by combining the plural and

plural possessive of that noun.)

- 2. If the verb form is a regular verb, (endings of "s", "es", "d", "ed", "ing" or past participle formed by adding "n") all forms of that verb will be recorded under the present tense of that verb. If no present tense is recorded, the regular verb forms will be listed by frequency. In the case of irregular verbs, all forms will be recorded by frequency.
- 2.1 Example: Regular verb forms ending in "s", "es", "d", "ed", "ing" or past participle formed by adding "n".

Verb	Frequency
guess	2,479
guessed	44
guesses	23
guessing	17
	Total 2,563

Form will be recorded as:

guess 2,563

2.2 Example: Irregular verbs

Forms will be recorded as:

go	23,898
went	25,190
gone	1,874

3. In case of comparatives and superlatives, each form will be recorded by frequency total.

3.1 Example: Regular forms

Forms will be recorded as:

tall	845
taller	78
tallest	54
Form will be	Total 927
recorded as:	

3.2 All irregular comparatives and superlatives will be recorded separately.

good	15,138
better	3,123
best	5,591

4. All abbreviations will be recorded separately.

4.1 Example:

Sept. 135

5. All proper nouns will be recorded separately.

5.1 Example:

September 145

The reading list is to be arranged in order of <u>total</u> frequency of each word or word form. The Rinsland total may be used as a spelling criterion and this list as a reading criterion because the original list is arranged in order of easy syntactical form as recorded by Rinsland.

i -

APPENDIX B

TEST ADMINISTRATION -- MIDWEST CITY SCHOOLS

.

TEST ADMINISTRATION -- MIDWEST CITY SCHOOLS

Each of the tests in the McCall-Crabbs <u>Standard Test Lessons</u> in <u>Reading</u> is timed for 3 minutes. At the bottom of this sheet, you will find the tests selected for administration with your group.

It is suggested that you use approximately 45 minutes for giving these selected tests. This will give you about 15 minutes for distribution of tests, directions and any other time consuming details.

Just to help you out a little, the following suggestions may be of assistance:

- 1. Be sure that each student fills out the name and grade blanks at the top of his answer sheet.
- 2. You will notice that each answer sheet is divided into blocks that indicate the lesson to be answered across the top and the number of the answer along the side. BE SURE THAT THE CHILDREN KNOW WHICH LESSON THEY ARE ANSWERING AND RECORD THE ANSWERS IN THOSE SQUARES. Perhaps you will prefer to encircle each test number on the answer sheet in order to avoid confusion. The lessons, in the booklet, are numbered in Arabic numbers at the top of each page.
- 3. The manual of directions suggests that you draw a sample of the answer blank on the board to show the students how to record the answers. This appears to be the best way to insure accurate results and the best way to avoid confusion among the students. SEE PAGE 8 IN THE MANUAL.
- 4. Be sure that you observe the time limit of 3 minutes for each test. By doing this, we shall be able to give you a more accurate grade paragraph score for each child.
- 5. If you will turn in the answer sheets and the test booklets to your principal when you are finished, we shall be glad to score the tests for you and return the scores AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.
- 6. The following directions adapted from the <u>Teacher's Manual</u> may be of assistance to you:

Listen carefully, for we shall learn today how to do the lessons in the book. I shall give each of you a copy of this book. (Hold up a copy) Do not open your book until I tell you what to do. Here is an answer sheet for each of you. It is the one we shall use today, so write your name and grade at the top. Be careful not to tear or soil your book and blank. Place the blank so it is ready for you to write a, b, c, or d in the little squares under (Here give them the number of the test you are going to give.)

Open your book to Lesson (Give the number again). Make sure that it says (Number of test) at the top of the page. Close the book but keep your finger there so that you can find the page again quickly when I give the signal . . .

When I say GO--but not before--open your book, read the story in Lesson (Give the number). Then read the first question under the story. Decide which answer is best -- a, b, c, or d and write the LETTER in the first square under (Give the test number again!). Go on answering the questions in this way, writing the letter you choose in the proper square on your answer sheet. You may look back at the story as many times as you wish. Do not mark in your booklet. Write all letters with PENCIL on your answer sheet.

Get ready so you can start without losing a second. Open your books GO!

7. Continue with the same idea throughout the Lessons indicated below:

LESSONS TO BE USED BY GRADES:

Book A (GRADES 2 AND 3) Test lessons selected:	Book B (GRADE 4) Test lessons selected:
9	3
10	4
16	31
31	33
34	35
40	42 -
45	53
48	54
51	65
75	70

Book C (GRADE 5) Test lessons selected:	Book D (GRADE 6) Test lessons selected:	: :
6 13 19 32 37 42 50 56 71 73	2 9 25 37 40 43 61 65 75 77	
Book E (GRADES 7 AND 8) Test lessons selected:		
5 18 19 24 26 49 55 60 62 71		

THANK YOU VERY MUCH!

Contraction of