### INDICES OF ZINC STATUS IN ADOLESCENT FEMALES

Ву

# WENDY MCGOVERN SANDOVAL

Bachelor of Science in Home Economics University of New Mexico Albuquerque, New Mexico 1971

> Master of Science University of Nevada - Reno Reno, Nevada 1972

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY July, 1982





INDICES OF ZINC STATUS IN ADOLESCENT FEMALES

# Thesis Approved:

<u>ee Kenne</u> Thesis Adviser leedt 20

Jorma Dean of the Graduate College

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sincere appreciation is expressed to Dr. Mary Alice Kenney for her guidance and assistance throughout my graduate studies, especially in the development and completion of this research. Appreciation is also expressed to the other committee members, Dr. F. Owens, Dr. M. Scruggs, and Dr. E. Winterfeldt, for their invaluable assistance in the preparation of the final manuscript. In addition, appreciation is expressed to Dr. L. Reed, professor of Agronomy, Oklahoma State University, for assistance in completing the atomic absorption analysis of the erythrocyte samples.

The encouragement and help of many friends along the way is gratefully acknowledged. Thanks are given to Andrea Arquitt, Barbara Alsup, Denise Graven, and Dr. Lea Ebro.

My deepest thanks go to my family whose support, love, and encouragement helped me achieve this goal: my husband, Stann; my mother, Edith McGovern, my father, James E. McGovern, and my in-laws, Peggy and Jake Sandoval.

iii

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1   Significance. 3   Purpose 3   II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 4   Zinc Absorption and Metabolism. 4   Factors Influencing Zinc Absorption 9   Zinc Requirements of Adolescent Females 14   Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females 16   Indices of Zinc Status. 18   Plasma and Serum Zinc. 22   Urinary Zinc 23   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 23   Plasma Ribonuclease. 26   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 30   Summary 35   Dietary Intake Data. 35   Dietary Intake Data. 36   Anthropometric Data. 36   Anthropometric Data. 37   Blood Collection 37   Blood Collection 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 40   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Ivin Intake and Related Dietary Componnents. 46 <th>Chapte</th> <th>r</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Page</th>	Chapte	r						Page
Significance.3Purpose3II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE4Zinc Absorption and Metabolism.4Factors Influencing Zinc Absorption9Zinc Requirements of Adolescent Females14Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females16Indices of Zinc Status.18Plasma and Serum Zinc.22Urinary Zinc23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease.26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Dietary Intake Data36Anthropometric Data37Blood Collection37Blood Collection37Blochemical Analyses40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status.46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components46Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration45	Ι.	INTRODUCTION	•	•	•	•	•	1
Purpose 3   II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE 4   Zinc Absorption and Metabolism. 4   Factors Influencing Zinc Absorption 9   Zinc Requirements of Adolescent Females 14   Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females 14   Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females 16   Indices of Zinc Status. 19   Hair Zinc. 22   Urinary Zinc in Concentration 23   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 23   Plasma Ribonuclease. 26   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 30   Summary 34   III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES 35   Sample Design 35   Dietary Intake Data 36   Anthropometric Data 36   Anthropometric Data 37   Blood Collection 37   Blood Collection 37   Blochemical Analyses 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses 41   Statistical Analyses 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45		Significance	•	•	•	•	•	3
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE		Purpose	•	•	•	•	•	3
Zinc Absorption and Metabolism.4Factors Influencing Zinc Absorption9Zinc Requirements of Adolescent Females14Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females16Indices of Zinc Status.18Plasma and Serum Zinc.19Hair Zinc.22Urinary Zinc23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease.26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Procedures.35Dietary Intake Data.35Dietary Intake Data.36Anthropometric Data.36Clinical Data.37Blood Collection38Plasma Ribonuclease.38Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration38Procedures.34	11.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	•	•	•	•	•	4
Factors Influencing Zinc Absorption9Zinc Requirements of Adolescent Females14Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females16Indices of Zinc Status18Plasma and Serum Zinc19Hair Zinc22Urinary Zinc23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Sample Design35Dietary Intake Data36Clinical Data37Blood Collection37Biochemical Analyses38Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration38Plasma Ribonuclease37Biochemical Analyses38Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration38Plasma Ribonuclease40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components46Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration54		Zinc Absorption and Metabolism	•	•	•	•	•	4
Zinc Requirements of Adolescent Females14Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females16Indices of Zinc Status18Plasma and Serum Zinc19Hair Zinc22Urinary Zinc23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Dietary Intake Data35Dietary Intake Data36Anthropometric Data37Blood Collection37Biochemical Analyses38Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration38Plasma Ribonuclease37Biochemical Analyses38Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration38Plasma Ribonuclease40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components54		Factors Influencing Zinc Absorption	•	•	•	•	•	9
Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females.16Indices of Zinc Status.18Plasma and Serum Zinc.19Hair Zinc.22Urinary Zinc.23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease.26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Detary Intake Data.35Dietary Intake Data.36Anthropometric Data.36Clinical Data.37Blood Collection37Biochemical Analyses.38Plasma Ribonuclease.40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses.42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status.46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components.54		Zinc Requirements of Adolescent Females	•	•	•	•	•	14
Indices of Zinc Status.18Plasma and Serum Zinc.19Hair Zinc.22Urinary Zinc23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease.26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary.34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Procedures.35Dietary Intake Data.35Demographic and Socioeconomic Data36Anthropometric Data.36Clinical Data.37Blood Collection37Biochemical Analyses.38Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration38Plasma Ribonuclease.40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses.42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status.46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Componnents.46Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration46		Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females	•	•	•	•	•	16
Plasma and Serum Zinc.19Hair Zinc.22Urinary Zinc .23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease.26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary .34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Procedures.35Dietary Intake Data.35Dietary Intake Data.36Anthropometric Data.36Clinical Data.37Blood Collection37Biochemical Analyses.38Plasma Ribonuclease.40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses.42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status.46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Componnents.46Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration45Indices of Zinc Status.46		Indices of Zinc Status	•	•	•	•	•	18
Hair Zinc.22Urinary Zinc23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease.26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Sample Design35Procedures.35Dietary Intake Data.36Anthropometric Data.36Clinical Data.37Blood Collection37Biochemical Analyses.38Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration38Plasma Ribonuclease.40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses.42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status.46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Componnents.46Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration46Erythrocyte Zinc Scatus.46		Plasma and Serum Zinc	•	•	•	•	•	19
Urinary Zinc23Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease.26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Sample Design35Procedures.35Dietary Intake Data.35Demographic and Socioeconomic Data36Clinical Data.37Blood Collection37Biochemical Analyses.38Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration38Plasma Ribonuclease.40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses.42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status.46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components.54		Hair Zinc	•	•				22
Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration23Plasma Ribonuclease.26Serum Alkaline Phosphatase30Summary34III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES35Procedures.35Dietary Intake Data.35Dietary Intake Data.35Demographic and Socioeconomic Data36Anthropometric Data.36Clinical Data.37Blood Collection37Biochemical Analyses.38Prasma Ribonuclease.40Serum Alkaline Phosphatase41Statistical Analyses.42IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION45Description of the Sample45Indices of Zinc Status.46Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components.54		Urinary Zinc	•					23
Plasma Ribonuclease. 26   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 30   Summary 34   III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES 35   Sample Design 35   Procedures. 35   Dietary Intake Data. 35   Dietary Intake Data. 36   Anthropometric Data. 36   Clinical Data. 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses. 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 46		Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration						23
Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 30   Summary 34   III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES 35   Sample Design 35   Procedures 35   Dietary Intake Data 35   Demographic and Socioeconomic Data 36   Anthropometric Data 36   Clinical Data 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease 41   Statistical Analyses 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 46		Plasma Ribonuclease						26
Summary 33   III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES 35   Sample Design 35   Procedures 35   Dietary Intake Data 35   Dietary Intake Data 35   Dietary Intake Data 35   Demographic and Socioeconomic Data 36   Anthropometric Data 36   Clinical Data 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Componnents 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 46		Serum Alkaline Phosphatase	•		•	•	Ī	30
III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES 35   Sample Design 35   Procedures. 35   Dietary Intake Data. 35   Demographic and Socioeconomic Data 36   Anthropometric Data. 36   Clinical Data. 36   Clinical Data. 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses. 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Componnents. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54		Summary	•	•	•	•	•	34
III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES 35   Sample Design 35   Procedures 35   Dietary Intake Data 35   Demographic and Socioeconomic Data 36   Anthropometric Data 36   Clinical Data 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Componnents 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 46			•	•	•	•	•	54
Sample Design 35   Procedures. 35   Dietary Intake Data. 35   Demographic and Socioeconomic Data 36   Anthropometric Data. 36   Clinical Data. 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses. 38   Prasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 46	III.	METHODS AND PROCEDURES	•	•	•	•	•	35
Procedures. 35   Dietary Intake Data. 35   Demographic and Socioeconomic Data 36   Anthropometric Data. 36   Clinical Data. 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses. 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 46		Sample Design						35
Dietary Intake Data. 35   Demographic and Socioeconomic Data 36   Anthropometric Data. 36   Clinical Data. 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses. 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 46		Procedures.						35
Demographic and Socioeconomic Data 36   Anthropometric Data 36   Clinical Data 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components 46		Dietary Intake Data	•	•	•	·	Ţ	35
Anthropometric Data 36   Clinical Data 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54		Demographic and Socioeconomic Data	•	•	•	•	•	36
Altinfopometric bata 30   Clinical Data 37   Blood Collection 37   Biochemical Analyses 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54		Anthronometria Data	•	•	•	•	•	36
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Compo- nents 46		Althropometric Data	•	•	•	•	•	27
Biochemical Analyses. 37   Biochemical Analyses. 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54			•	•	•	•	•	27
Biochemical Analyses. 38   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54			•	•	•	•	•	37
Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 38   Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54		Biochemical Analyses	•	•	•	•	٠	38
Plasma Ribonuclease. 40   Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54		Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration	•	•	•	•	•	38
Serum Alkaline Phosphatase 41   Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54		Plasma Ribonuclease	•	•	•	•	•	40
Statistical Analyses. 42   IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status. 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components. 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54		Serum Alkaline Phosphatase	•	•	•	•	•	41
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 45   Description of the Sample 45   Indices of Zinc Status 46   Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components 46   Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration 54		Statistical Analyses	•	•	•	•	•	42
Description of the Sample	IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	•	•	•	•	•	45
Indices of Zinc Status		Description of the Sample						45
Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Compo- nents		Indices of Zinc Status.					-	46
nents		Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Com	DO	_	•	•	•	10
Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration		nents.					-	46
		Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration						54

Chapter	

Plasma Ribonuclease	57 60
Phosphatase Activity	64 69
V. SUMMARY	73
A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	76
APPENDIXES	89
APPENDIX A - LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS IN COMPUTER PRINT- OUTS AND COMPUTER LISTS OF RAW DATA BY MENARCHEAL STATUS	90
APPENDIX B - STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS FOR ZINC INTAKE	95
APPENDIX C - STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS FOR ERYTHRO- CYTE ZINC CONCENTRATION	99
APPENDIX D - STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS FOR PLASMA RIBONUCLEASE 1	05
APPENDIX E - STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS FOR SERUM ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE	11
APPENDIX F - FIGURES 3 THROUGH 13 1	17
APPENDIX G - STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS WITH "FORCED" VARIABLES	.29

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Расе
10010		Tage
Ι.	Numbers of Subjects by Age, Race, and Menarcheal Status	46
11.	Least Squares Mean Intakes of Zinc, Total Protein, Animal Protein, and Energy by Menarcheal Status, and by Age and Race, According to Menarche	48
111.	Least Squares Mean Intakes of Total Protein, Animal Protein, and Energy by %-RDA-Zinc, According to Menarche	50
IV.	Comparison of Mean Dietary Zinc, Protein, and Energy From Selected Studies	53
v.	Least Squares Mean of Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration by Menarcheal Status, and by Age and Race, Accord- ing to Menarche	55
VI.	Least Squares Mean Erythrocyte Zinc by %-RDA-Zinc, According to Menarche	56
VII.	Least Squares Mean Plasma Ribonuclease by Menarcheal Status, and by Age and Race, According to Men- arche	58
VIII.	Least Squares Mean Plasma Ribonuclease by %-RDA-Zinc, According to Menarche	59
IX.	Least Squares Mean Serum Alkaline Phosphatase by Menarcheal Status, and by Age and Race, According to Menarche	61
х.	Least Squares Mean Serum Alkaline Phosphatase by %-RDA-Zinc, According to Menarche	63
XI.	Comparison of Mean Serum Alkaline Phosphatase Values With Selected Studies	65
XII.	Least Squares Mean for Indices of Zinc Status by Erythrocyte Zinc, According to Menarche	67
XIII.	Multiple Regression Analyses for Selected Models With "Forced" Variables	68

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re	ł	Page
1.	Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Months Past Menarche	•	62
2.	Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Zinc In- take for Post-Menarche Subjects	•	66
3.	Scattergram of Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration and Zinc Intake for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects	•	115
4.	Scattergram of Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration and Zinc Intake for Post-Menarcheal Subjects	•	116
5.	Scattergram of Plasma Ribonuclease and Zinc Intake for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects	•	117
6.	Scattergram of Plasma Ribonuclease and Zinc Intake for Post-Menarcheal Subjects	•	118
7.	Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Zinc Intake for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects	•	119
8.	Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Plasma Ribonuclease for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects	•	120
9.	Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Plasma Ribonuclease for Post-Menarcheal Subjects	•	121
10.	Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Erythro- cyte Zinc Concentration for Pre-Menarcheal Sub- jects	•	122
11.	Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Erythro- cyte Zinc Concentration for Post-Menarcheal Sub- jects		123
12.	Scattergram of Plasma Ribonuclease and Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects	•	124
13.	Scattergram of Plasma Ribonuclease and Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration for Post-Menarcheal Subjects	•	125

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

The essentiality of zinc for humans had been suggested by Todd in 1934 (1). The intake of zinc was not considered a problem, however, until clinical manifestations of zinc deficiency were identified in the early 1960's. The severe growth and sexual retardation found in adolescent males in Egypt (2) and Iran (3) was thought to be a result of zinc deficiency. Supplementation of the diet with oral zinc sulfate resulted in rapid growth and sexual maturation of these Egyptian adolescents (4). These findings were confirmed when Iranian dwarfs, fed a well-balanced, zinc-supplemented diet, had improved growth and reached sexual maturation sooner than Iranian dwarfs fed the same diet without supplementation, thus identifying zinc deficiency as the major cause of the endocrinopathies (3).

The extreme dwarfism and lack of sexual maturation represented one end of the spectrum of types of effects of deprivation of zinc. The effects of mild zinc deficiency on outwardly healthy adolescents remains to be investigated, as zinc may be a limiting factor during periods of growth. Recent evidence suggests that marginal zinc deficiency may be a problem in many nations, including the United States.

The assessment of zinc status and intake of various populations in nutrition surveys has been limited. There is currently no standardized procedure for assessing zinc status in humans (5, 6). Analyses

of zinc concentration in commonly used materials such as blood, urine, and hair are currently being questioned as indices of zinc status. The identification of zinc as a component of more than 20 enzymes stimulated interest in the use of enzyme assays as a measure of zinc status. Because of the inadequacy of any one measure, the use of several indices to measure zinc status is considered imperative at this point in time (7). In addition, relatively easy-to-conduct procedures are essential for use in nutrition status surveys.

Few data are available on the zinc intake of groups in the United States. Recent research provides evidence of marginal intake, relative to current recommendations, in segments of our population particularly in relation to low income (8) and low meat consumption (8, 9). Future surveys of populations considered to be at nutritional risk need to assess the prevalence of marginal zinc intake. One of the groups of people identified by nutrition surveys to be at nutritional risk generally is teenagers. Dietary studies for that group have shown low intakes of calcium, iron, vitamins A and C, and riboflavin (10, 11). Little information on dietary zinc was collected because standard food composition references did not include zinc content of foods. Although analyses of dietary intake indicated teenagers had lower intakes of various nutrients than were recommended, the recommendations for nutrient needs of adolescents are based on limited data, especially for protein, calcium, iron, zinc, magnesium, fluoride, iodine, and for vitamins D and C, riboflavin, and thiamin (12). As adolescence is a period of increased nutrient needs due to the demands for growth, zinc intake should be further investigated in relation to present recommendations.

#### Significance

Little information is available on zinc intake or status of adolescent females. In a recent study on trace mineral status, Greger et al. (13) reported that one-third of the adolescent girls consumed less than two-thirds of the Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) for zinc. However, only 3% of the girls were considered to be in marginal zinc status based on hair and plasma zinc levels. Indices of zinc status for adolescents, such as erythrocyte zinc, plasma ribonuclease, and alkaline phosphatase, have received little examination. Reliability of present and proposed indices needs to be determined before dietary records can be reevaluated. Consideration of the measurements best suited for nutrition status surveys is important, as information on relatively large samples needs to be obtained. Therefore, relating dietary zinc intake to indices of zinc status is an extremely important area for research.

#### Purpose

The purpose of this research was to determine the means and ranges of values for zinc intake, erythrocyte zinc concentration, plasma ribonuclease activity, and serum alkaline phosphatase activity among adolescent females according to age, race, and menarcheal status. In addition, the relationships among erythrocyte zinc concentration, plasma ribonuclease activity, serum alkaline phosphatase activity, and zinc intake were investigated. The practicality of these proposed measures of zinc status for use in nutrition surveys was assessed.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The reports relating zinc intake to impaired growth and sexual maturation, and depressed levels of various parameters of zinc status stimulated considerable research (2, 3). The World Health Organization (WHO) challenged nutrition scientists to intensify their research on trace element status of populations in 1973 (14). Specific recommendations included developing indices or parameters for measuring zinc status and assessment of the occurrence of zinc deficiency in all populations. Since then, the importance of zinc in nucleic acid metabolism (15), taste perception (8), wound healing (16), and the treatment of acrodermatitis enteropathica (17) has been identified. However, estimations of zinc status of many healthy populations, including adolescent females, have been limited.

The following presents information on the absorption and metabolism of zinc. Data on the requirement for zinc and zinc intake of adolescent females were reviewed. In addition, various methods used in assessing zinc status and their applicability to nutrition status surveys were evaluated. These include measures of serum and plasma zinc, hair zinc, urinary zinc, erythrocyte zinc, and zinc-related enzyme activities.

Zinc Absorption and Metabolism

The factors affecting zinc metabolism are not well understood.

The process and control of absorption of zinc and the factors that may enhance or hinder these processes are being studied. Research indicates that the bioavailability of zinc is a major factor in the maintenance of zinc status.

The primary site of zinc absorption is still under investigation, as few studies have been conducted with animals or humans. Pearson et al. (18) used everted gut sacs from rats and found absorption occurred primarily in the distal portion of the small intestine, by active transport. However, zinc absorption was greater from the duodenum than the distal portion of the small intestine in two studies using ligated rat intestinal sacs (19, 20).

The absorption of zinc in humans was measured using the intestinal perfusion technique (21). Four volunteers, ages 21 to 32, consumed four different meals on four consecutive days. Duodenal and jejunal samples were collected at three sites every 10 minutes from each subject for one hour prior to and five hours after each treatment. The blended meals had different compositions but were isocaloric (464 kcalories) and of similar fat content (21.0 g), volume, osmolality, and pH. Meal A contained 24.0 g protein, primarily from beef, and provided 7.5 mg iron and 4.4 mg zinc. Meals B, C, and D contained 14.8 g protein, mostly from a specially prepared breakfast cereal and milk. Mineral contents were 1.4 mg iron and 2.4 mg zinc (B); 5.3 mg iron and 5.5 mg zinc (C); and 4.6 mg metallic iron and 5.5 mg zinc (D). Iron disappeared from the intestinal juices at sites in the duodenum and proximal jejunum, with little change in iron content of juices in the distal jejunum. The bioavailabilities of the different forms of iron and with different meals were similar.

Sites of zinc absorption were difficult to measure as more zinc was found in the intestinal contents recovered from the duodenal juices than was present in the meal, indicating a mixture of endogenous and exogenous zinc. Recovery peaked at all three sites during the first two hours and was similar for all meals. The sites of zinc absorption were not identified. Further studies need to be conducted to determine the site(s) of zinc absorption.

Studies on zinc absorption reported wide ranges of estimations of efficiency. Most studies estimated retention by measuring the difference between intake and fecal and urinary output. Few studies measured zinc loss in sweat or in sloughed cells. Differences in efficiency of absorption may be due to type of zinc used, methods of administration, techniques for measuring absorption, and individual variation. Mean absorption of 38 percent of a single dose of  $^{70}$ Zn (3.6 mg  $^{70}$ Zn of 11 mg in the diet) was measured in 22 women consuming a semi-purified formula diet (22). The <sup>70</sup>Zn was given on day four of the 12 day metabolic study. Average absorption of 36 percent was found in five healthy male subjects given an oral dose of 15 to 24  $\mu$ Ci  $^{65}$ Zn consuming an analyzed diet for 24 days (23). A range of absorption of 40 to 86 percent of an oral dose of 10  $\mu$ Ci carrier free <sup>65</sup>Zn was measured two hours after administration to 75 subjects in a fasting state, using the total body counting technique (24). Information on zinc retention in subjects consuming mixed diets is lacking. An estimated efficiency of absorption of dietary zinc between 20 to 40 percent has been estimated by the WHO (14) and 40 percent by the National Research Council (25).

A number of theories exist regarding the homeostatic control of zinc absorption. The presence of a low-molecular-weight zinc binding ligand (LMW-ZnBL) in intestinal secretions is under considerable debate. A number of investigators have identified various LMW-ZnBL involved with zinc absorption, including prostaglandins (26), citric acid (27, 28), and picolinic acid (29). In addition, several investigators provided evidence of LMW-ZnBL in human milk which were indistinguishable from LMW-ZnBL isolated from intestinal secretions (28, 30, 31). Additional support for LMW-ZnBL was provided when a qualitative defect in the binding affinity of LMW-ZnBL containing fraction of human pancreatic secretions in patients with acrodermatitis enteropathica (AEP) was reported (32). Cousins et al. (33), however, have questioned the presence of a LMW-ZnBL. They found no evidence of any LMW-ZnBL in freshly prepared samples of rat intestinal cytosol after oral administration of <sup>65</sup>Zn. Considerable amounts of LMW-ZnBL were found when samples were kept at 37°C for 30 minutes or 5°C for one hour. These data suggested that intestinal LMW-ZnBL were products of the isolation procedure rather than products of intestinal secretions (33, 34). Thus, the site of absorption and the mechanism of action of zinc uptake are not entirely understood.

Zinc transport across the mucosal membrane is probably by active transport (18, 35). The proposed homeostatic regulation of zinc includes a mucosal block or feedback regulation of absorption (34). The zinc may become part of cellular high molecular weight zinc metalloproteins, transferred to the plasma, or bound to metallothionein (34). Metallothionein is assumed to be an intracellular

binding protein, rich in sulfur amino acids, that binds excess zinc and traps it within the cell. When the mucosal cells are sloughed, the zinc returns to the lumen. In an experimental study using laboratory animals, metallothionein increased when additional zinc was administered by diet or parenterally (36).

Carrier proteins of zinc in the plasma from the intestinal tract to the liver were different in several studies. Cousins et al. (33) and Suso et al. (37) identified albumin as the main carrier protein in portal blood, while Evans et al. (38) identified transferrin as the major portal transport protein.

Limited data exist on the metabolism of zinc in humans. The major route of excretion is the feces, with urinary excretion relatively independent of zinc intake. In a series of studies on zinc metabolism, <sup>65</sup>Zn was administered, intravenously or orally, to patients suffering from a variety of chronic diseases (24, 39, 40). A single dose of labeled zinc chloride (ZnCl<sub>2</sub>) was given intravenously to eight patients and the <sup>65</sup>Zn content of whole blood, plasma, urine, and feces was measured for 45 days (39). Thirteen minutes after injection, the blood contained 42 percent of the dose, with the plasma showing 20 percent activity. After one hour, the whole blood contained only five percent of the dose. The plasma level declined and stabilized by the tenth day with 0.7 percent of the dose, while the whole blood concentration declined to three percent and remained stable for the remainder of the period. The excretion via the feces initially was five percent and increased to 20 percent. The urinary excretion was independent of the blood concentration. Similar findings were reported by Graig et al. (41).

Blood zinc peaked between two and four hours following an oral dose of 15 to 25 uCi  $^{65}$ Zn (23). Initially, plasma  $^{65}$ Zn was higher than that in whole blood. The level in whole blood increased for five days and then declined, while the plasma level consistently decreased after four hours. Sixty-six percent of the tracer dose was excreted in the feces in the first three days. After 21 days, 70 percent of the dose was accounted for in the feces and two percent in the urine. Increased amounts of urinary zinc were found when chelating agents were given to patients who had previously received  $^{65}$ Zn (40).

The distribution of zinc in the tissues was measured during autopsy in 11 patients with metastatic neoplastic disease, following an intravenous dose of  $^{65}$ Zn (39). Zinc uptake was highest in the liver, kidney, and spleen. The uptake by the pancreas, adrenals, and thyroid was high, but slower than the previously mentioned organs. The concentration in the large and small intestine was high, while concentration in muscle tissue was low.

The mixture of endogenous and exogenous zinc must be considered in attempts to estimate true absorption as well as in assessing factors that may influence absorption, such as level of zinc in the diet, and dietary factors. Additional research is needed to determine whether changes in fecal zinc reflect differences in efficiency of absorption or changes in endogenous zinc status. Information on the absorption, transport, and metabolism of zinc is needed for improved evaluation of the zinc status of populations.

Factors Influencing Zinc Absorption

A number of factors are known to influence zinc absorption.

These include phytate, calcium, and other dietary components. In several animal studies, poor growth and other evidence of zinc deficiency developed in animals fed diets composed largely of plant proteins. O'Dell and Savage (42) fed young chickens casein- or soy-based diets and feeds treated with phytic acid. The effects of zinc supplementation of 9 ppm and 15 ppm to both conventional feeds and phytic acid-treated feeds were measured. The growth of the casein-fed chickens was used as the standard. Supplementation of the casein diet did not enhance growth, indicating zinc intake was adequate. Birds fed soy protein grew slower than the casein-fed birds, but supplementation of the soy feeds with 15 ppm zinc produced growth equal to those fed casein. Phytate reduced growth in birds fed either treated soy or casein; however, addition of 15 ppm zinc along with the phytate resulted in growth equal to the standard. Isolated soy protein contained less available zinc than the casein protein and zinc availability was further reduced by phytic acid in both. Pigs fed soy versus casein diets (43, 44) and rats fed soy versus casein or egg white diets (45) showed similar responses to supplemental zinc as the chickens above.

A negative effect of phytate on zinc status had also been noted in humans consuming moderate zinc intakes. The phytate content of the diet consumed by Iranian dwarfs was identified as a major cause of zinc deficiency (46) and zinc supplementation of the diet resulted in improved growth (3). The incidence of dwarfism was higher in Iranian villagers than Iranian urban dwellers, even though the estimated zinc content of both diets was 12 to 15 mg/day (47). Reinhold et al. (47) speculated that the difference was due to the phytate

content of the bread consumed by the villagers. The phytate content of Tanok, the unleavened wholemeal bread staple of the villagers, was greater than the phytate content of the leavened bread of the urban dwellers. Tanok had a greater negative effect on zinc, calcium, and nitrogen balance than purified bread with added sodium phytate (48).

Additional factors thought to interfere with zinc absorption include calcium, phosphate, and mixtures of food. The responses of serum zinc to the oral administration of zinc sulfate (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) with various substances were compared to determine the effect on zinc absorption. Healthy volunteers were given various levels of ZnSO, with either water, coffee, sodium phytate (102 mg phytate), sodium phosphate (48 or 480 mg phosphorus), and combinations of sodium phytate with 500 mg calcium and sodium phosphate with 500 mg calcium (49). In addition, some of the subjects were divided into two groups. They were fasted overnight before receiving each of three different test meals, five days apart. The control meals contained coffee, jam, butter, and either brown bread (102 mg phytate), or white bread (4 mg phytate). The other meals contained milk and cheese or water and dry meat, plus the food in the controls. Blood was drawn before the test feeding and at two hours after the purified substances and at one, two, three, four, six, and nine hours after all other treatments. A peak lower than that found with 50 mg  ${\rm ZnSO}_{\rm L}$  and distilled water indicated a negative effect of the substance(s) on zinc absorption. Due to the variations within the study, direct comparisons were not made with all treatments; however, some general statements were made. Consumption of the zinc along with coffee, all meals,

and sodium phytate or phosphate gave lower peaks in serum zinc concentration compared with zinc administered with distilled water. The addition of calcium to the purified substances showed inconsistent results. Meals containing the milk and cheese showed lower peak in serum zinc compared to the other meals, regardless of the phytate content. All meals containing 102 mg phytate have lower peaks than meals with 4 mg phytate. Similar peaks were found for meals with without meat. Consumption of zinc with dairy products, brown bread, purified phytate, or phosphate showed lower peaks in serum zinc, with dairy products having the most negative effect.

The greater response of serum zinc to an oral dose of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> with water than with various substances supported the theory that dietary components interfered with zinc absorption. Studies measuring true absorption are needed to demonstrate the extent of the inhibitory effect of common foods and substances in a "typical" U.S. diet on uptake of dietary zinc.

Additional research on plant-based diets and zinc status involved investigation of the effects of a lacto-ovo vegetarian diet on plasma zinc and zinc content of whole mixed saliva or saliva sediment in 12 non-vegetarian females (50). Fasting plasma zinc was measured in all 12, and whole mixed saliva was analyzed for seven subjects, and saliva sediment was analyzed for five subjects. The plasma response to an oral dose of 50 mg zinc, given as 220 mg  $\text{ZnSO}_4$ , was determined for seven subjects. All measurements were taken before and after three weeks on a vegetarian diet. The meals contained an average of 15.3  $\pm$  3.5 mg zinc; 7.8  $\pm$  1.7 g crude fiber; 1756  $\pm$  534 mg calcium; and 4638  $\pm$  1322 mg phytate; and met or exceeded the 1974 RDA for all

other nutrients. Zinc content of the saliva sediment was lower after the diet than before. No change was found in the zinc content of the whole mixed saliva; however, the plasma response to the oral dose of zinc was greater after subjects consumed the vegetarian diet than before. This change was assumed to reflect increased intestinal absorption of zinc. These results supported the existing data on the negative effect of plant-based, high-calcium, highphytate diets on zinc status.

The effects of calcium on zinc metabolism, with and without added phytate, were investigated in animals and humans. Weanling pigs fed twice their calcium requirement (1.2% diet) and zinc at 30 ppm had decreased feed consumption, with low feed efficiency, poor growth and dermatosis, compared to weanling pigs fed 1.2% calcium and 94 ppm zinc (51). The additional zinc overcame most of the negative effects of this high calcium feed. Weanling rats fed combinations of 0.8% or 1.2% calcium, 12 ppm zinc, and 0.4% or 2.0% phytic acid had slower growth than rats fed the same combinations except with 65 ppm zinc (52). Different levels of calcium (0.8 or 1.2%) without phytate had no negative effects on growth at either zinc levels.

The effects of low and high dietary calcium, with and without phytate, was measured in five male subjects living in a metabolic ward (23). Zinc concentrations in plasma, whole blood, feces, and urine were monitored after an oral dose of <sup>65</sup>Zn. The diet contained an average of 2223 kcal, 258 mg calcium, 771 mg phosphorus, and 15 mg zinc. During the second trial, calcium gluconate was added to increase the calcium to 1981 mg/day. Both diets were fed for 28

days. Similar absorption of the <sup>65</sup>Zn was found in both trials. The possible inhibition of zinc absorption with high calcium intake, by stimulating more zinc-phytate complexing, as proposed by several researchers, needs additional investigation as results are now confusing.

#### Zinc Requirements of Adolescent Females

Although data on human zinc requirements have been collected almost 40 years, there have been few observations of adolescent females. The requirement for a nutrient is the "minimum intake that will maintain normal function and health" (25, p. 3). The balance method was the principal technique employed in estimating zinc requirements and was based on the difference between intake and fecal and urinary excretion.

Zinc balance or net retention of zinc was reported for subjects on wide ranges of zinc intakes. Zinc retention in three male subjects fed specified levels of zinc, from 4.9 to 22.9 mg/day, for four-day periods, varied from -0.1 to +2.7 mg (53). Positive balance occurred with higher zinc intakes. During a 30-day balance study, nine women, ages 19 and 20, consumed  $11.2 \pm 2.6$  mg zinc/day from mixed foods (54). The diets were based on five-day cycle menus. Four subjects were in slightly negative balance; one was in equilibrium; and four were in positive balance. Positive balance was measured in four women during a 27-day metabolic study consuming meat loaf, ice cream, tea, coffee, and orange juice (55). The zinc intake ranged from 16.1 to 20.9 mg, depending on food intake. Only one study reported a large apparent retention of zinc. Thirteen females, ages 17 to 27, retained an average of 6.6 mg zinc on self-selected diets containing 9.8 to 14.4 mg zinc/day (56). The large retention was unexplained. Based on these studies, zinc intake of 12 mg/day was suggested for adults to maintain equilibrium (23, 24, 54).

Engel et al. (57) estimated preadolescent females needed to consume 6 mg zinc/day to maintain equilibrium or positive balance. Thirty-six females, ages 7 to 10, were involved in a 30-day metabolic study. Various diets were consumed for six day periods with the protein ratio varied from 74% animal : 26% plant to 100% plant protein. The dietary zinc varied from 4.6 to 9.3 mg/day, with the higher levels associated with higher animal protein. Zinc retention of 14% was found, with little differences in types of protein or zinc levels.

The effects of different levels of calcium and protein on zinc balance were measured in 15 preadolescents (58). Combinations were 25 or 45 g protein; 260 or 620 mg calcium; and 4.8 or 6.9 mg zinc. Each diet was fed for six days and apparent absorption was measured. More zinc was retained on 46 g protein (27%) versus 25 g protein (14%) diet, which is in contrast to the balance study mentioned earlier (56). Few differences were found in zinc retention between the low and high calcium levels at either protein level.

Only one study measured zinc retention in adolescent females between 12.5 and 14.5 years old (59). Fourteen subjects consumed diets with two levels of zinc (7.4 and 13.4 mg/day) and defatted soy substituted for 15% and 30% of the meat at lunch. Urinary zinc excretion was similar to those for preadolescents (57, 58) and adults (60), regardless of soy or zinc level. Fecal zinc was greater when subjects consumed 13.4 mg zinc than with 7.4 mg zinc, regardless of soy level.

Sixty-four percent of the subjects were in negative zinc balance on 7.4 mg zinc, compared to 40% on 13.4 mg zinc, indicating more girls achieved equilibrium or positive balance on higher zinc intake than on the lower zinc intake. Additional data on zinc balance of adolescent females is needed. Growth velocity peaks just prior to menarche (12) and increased zinc may be needed to maintain positive balance during this time. Investigation of the difference between pre- and post-menarcheal girls regarding zinc balance is needed.

True absorption of zinc of 2.5 to 4.0 mg/day has been estimated to support growth and maintain zinc equilibrium for children and adults (14).The balance studies with preadolescents and adults indicated dietary intakes of 6 mg and 12 mg/day, respectively, were needed to maintain equilibrium or positive zinc balance. As these studies did not account for the zinc lost in sweat and in sloughed cells, the RDA was set at 10 mg/day for children 1 to 10; 15 mg/day for all other males and females; at 20 mg/day for pregnant females; and 25 mg/day for lactating females in 1974 (25). No changes were made in the 1980 RDA for zinc (61). Additional evaluation of the zinc requirements of populations is necessary. Evaluation of the bioavailability of zinc from different foods needs to be investigated. For adolescent females, the relationships among dietary zinc, parameters of zinc status, and growth need to be studied in order to expand the information to assess zinc status.

#### Dietary Zinc in Adolescent Females

Studies on the zinc intake of populations were limited in part because of the lack of data on zinc content of foods. Expanded

information became available in recent years and stimulated evaluation of diets for zinc content.

The zinc content of self-selected diets of females reported in published research ranged from 1.0 to 21.7 mg for one day. The zinc content of one-day diet records of 13 females, ages 17 to 27, ranged from 11.8 to 14.4 mg (56). The zinc content of 48 one-day diet composites collected from 15 adolescents (ages 14 to 16), living at home, was 1.0 to 19.0 mg and for 21 women (ages 18 to 30), living at home or in university dormitories, was 4.8 to 21.7 mg (62).

The zinc to energy ratio of the diets, reported by White (62) for adolescents, was  $8.6 \pm 4.5 \text{ mg}/1000 \text{ kcal}$ ; for adult women,  $8.8 \pm 2.6 \text{ mg}/1000 \text{ kcal}$ . The zinc content of metabolic diets was  $11.2 \pm 2.6 \text{ mg}$ (54), and the zinc to energy ratio was 6.6 mg/1000 kcal (63). Zinc levels in the metabolic diets were higher in diets containing beef or liverwurst than in diets containing turkey or ham (63). No explanation for the higher zinc to energy ratio of the self-selected diets was given.

Comparison of one-day diet records collected in the fall and spring from 184 adolescent females, age 12.8  $\pm$  0.8, showed no seasonal variation in zinc intake, with 11.3  $\pm$  14.1 and 11.3  $\pm$  4.7 mg, respectively (13). Although 39% of the girls in the fall and 36% in the spring consumed less than two-thirds of the 1974 RDA for zinc, all subjects had hair zinc concentrations within the normal range ( $\geq$  100 µg/g). The serum zinc concentration, measured in a sub-group of 94 girls, was also at the normal level. Only 3% of the sub-group had low serum zinc levels. These girls were similar to the total sample in all other measurements except for serum zinc. There was no

difference in either indicator of zinc status between pre- and postmenarcheal girls. The question of whether hair and serum zinc concentrations reflected zinc status was unanswered.

The mean zinc content of 132 self-selected diet composites from 22 subjects, analyzed by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS), was  $8.6 \pm 0.5$  (SE) mg (64). Based on the analyzed zinc values, 68% of the subjects consumed less than two-thirds of the RDA for zinc. The calculated zinc to energy ratio was 4/1000. Zinc status was not assessed. Fourteen-day food consumption records kept by these subjects showed low to borderline protein and energy intakes related to low zinc intakes. The diets described simply as having "large" amounts of beef and eggs contained more zinc than diets with other types of protein.

Data obtained from diet composites and calculated from composition tables indicated a wide range in zinc intakes. Several studies reported more than one-third of the subjects consumed diets with zinc content less than two-thirds of the RDA for zinc. From the study on zinc balance in adolescents, this level may be marginal in meeting the requirements for some adolescents. Interpretation of the dietary data alone cannot be used to assess zinc status of populations; however, the consistently low values indicate a need for further research on the relationship between dietary intake and zinc status, especially for adolescent females.

### Indices of Zinc Status

Major technological advances in trace mineral analysis have been made in recent years. However, no single measurement is accepted as

an indicator of zinc status. The indices most often used to evaluate zinc status included plasma and serum, hair, and urinary zinc levels. In addition, erythrocyte zinc and assay for zincmetalloenzymes have been suggested.

#### Plasma and Serum Zinc

The most widely used measure of zinc status is plasma or serum zinc level. However, concentrations of zinc in the blood are influenced by many factors, including serum albumin, steroids, and conditions under which the blood was drawn.

Plasma zinc is totally bound to protein, with 30 to 40% bound to an  $\alpha$ -2 macroglobulin and 60 to 70% loosely bound to albumin (65). Some investigators demonstrated a linear relationship between serum or plasma albumin and zinc concentration in various disease states, including cirrhosis (66, 67), renal allotransplantation (68), and cystic fibrosis (69). However, Solomons et al. (70) found plasma zinc decreased while plasma albumin increased in a prospective study on the effects of unsupplemented total parenteral alimentation on plasma minerals.

The role of zinc in human protein metabolism during total parenteral nutrition (TPN) was recently assessed (71). The concentrations of albumin, transferrin, prealbumin, and serum zinc were measured before and after zinc repletion of seven severely deficient patients receiving TPN. Serum zinc concentration of  $\leq$  50 µg/dl and presence of acrodermatitis were used as evidence of deficiency. Supplementation of TPN with zinc (220 mg zinc sulfate for adults, one to three times per day and 500 µg zinc/kg/day for pediatric

patients) was the sole nutritional therapy during the 22 day study period. Serum zinc concentration increased from  $21.6 \pm 2.4$  to  $63.0 \pm 8.0 \mu g/d1$ . The initially low levels of albumin, transferrin, and prealbumin returned to near normal during the treatment with the degree of improvement correlated with the half-lives of the proteins. The prealbumin levels responded the most rapidly, indicating this measure best reflected short-term changes in protein metabolism.

The influence of steroids on circulating plasma or serum zinc levels was investigated. Oral contraceptive agents (OCA) affected circulating zinc inconsistently. Differences may be due to types of OCA used, length of time on OCA, and variety of methods used to analyze circulating zinc. A higher, but not significantly different, mean plasma zinc level was found for 16 women on OCA for 19 days (189  $\mu g/d1$ ) than for non-OCA users (134  $\mu g/d1$ ) or pregnant women (117  $\mu g/d1$ ) (72). However, other investigators reported significantly decreased plasma zinc during OCA use (73-76). Differences in results were also reported by two investigators using hair and plasma/or serum zinc measurements. Deeming et al. (77) reported higher mean serum zinc (186  $\mu$ g/dl) and lower mean hair zinc (199 ppm) in seven non-OCA users, compared to seven OCA users (149 µg/dl and 233 ppm, respectively). However, in a larger study with 69 subjects, no differences in serum zinc or hair zinc were found between OCA users and non-users after three or six months of use (78).

In addition to the factors mentioned above, the manner in which blood was drawn influenced plasma/serum zinc levels. Hemolysis of the sample during extraction or processing caused an apparent increase in serum or plasma zinc values (79). In addition, zinc

concentration of the serum was approximately 16% greater than the plasma, probably due to hemolysis, platelet disintegration, and slightly greater dilution in plasma (79). Hemolysis increased zinc because 75 to 80% of the zinc in human blood is found in erythrocytes (80). Contamination of the sample from glassware, collection tubes, and rubber stoppers represented additional factors influencing plasma and serum zinc levels (81).

Although several factors influence circulating zinc concentrations, studies have shown serum and plasma zinc reflected zinc status. Solomons et al. (70) measured the plasma zinc concentration in 13 patients with gastrointestinal disease receiving TPN. The TPN contained only 0.3 - 0.4 mg zinc/liter, given at the rate of three liters/ day. Plasma zinc concentration declined during the treatment by an average of  $4.9 \mu \text{g/dl}$  week, while plasma albumin increased.

The effects of a mild zinc-deficiency state in humans were investigated (82). Four male volunteers received a semi-purified diet based on texturized soy protein. The daily zinc intake during zinc restriction for two patients was 2.7 mg/day (for 24 weeks) and for two other patients, 3.5 mg/day (for 40 weeks). During zinc repletion, 30 mg zinc acetate was given for 12 or 8 weeks, along with the experimental diet, followed by an eight week period with the supplement and a standard hospital diet. The zinc concentration in the plasma, erythrocytes, leukocytes, and urine decreased during both zinc restriction regimens and returned towards normal during zinc repletion.

The simple determination of circulating zinc alone is insufficient for assessing zinc status of individuals or groups. The large

bulk of research on serum and plasma zinc have identified factors that influence these measurements but additional indices of zinc status must be measured for a more complete picture.

#### Hair Zinc

The zinc content of hair had been used by several investigators in assessing zinc status. Values  $\leq$  70 ppm were found in zinc-deficient individuals (83). The zinc concentration of hair in 338 healthy subjects ranging from birth to 40 years of age was determined by AAS (8). Mean values were: neonates,  $174 \pm 8$  ppm; 3 months to 4 years,  $88 \pm$ 5; 4 to 17 years,  $153 \pm 5$ ; and 17 to 40 years,  $180 \pm 4$ . In this investigation, a number of young children with hair zinc values of  $\leq$  70 ppm, who had a history of poor growth, appetite, and taste acuity were identified. In assessing the zinc status of low income preschool children, hair and plasma zinc, and alkaline phosphatase were measured for 148 children (84). The 74 low income children had less plasma and hair zinc than 74 middle income children; however, plasma and hair zinc concentrations were not correlated.

In contrast to Hambidge's data (84), a negative correlation between hair zinc and serum zinc was found by Greger et al. (13). The serum and hair zinc concentrations and dietary zinc intake of 184 adolescent females, mean age  $12.8 \pm 0.8$ , were measured in the spring and fall. Mean concentration of zinc in hair sampled during the spring was  $216 \pm 64$  and  $191 \pm 36$  ppm for hair resampled in the fall. No values below 100 ppm were found. The mean serum zinc value of 94 + 15 µg/d1 was reported for 102 subjects.

Additional considerations necessary in evaluating hair zinc concentrations include possible contamination of the sample from the environment, shampoo, and bleach. The content of hair zinc depends on the delivery of zinc to the root as well as the rate of hair growth. As zinc deficiency may also impair hair growth, the use of this measure requires careful interpretation (84).

#### Urinary Zinc

A number of problems limit the usefulness of urinary zinc as an index of zinc status. The standard procedure involves a 24-hour urine collection, which would impose significant problems in survey studies. Possibility of contamination is high and the biochemical analysis is complex and time consuming (6). In addition, both hypozincemia and hyperzincuria were reported in various conditions associated with zinc deficiency such as cirrhosis (67, 85) and TPN (86). The data on urinary zinc of populations have indicated that excretion was independent of intake.

#### Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration

The zinc content of erythrocytes was first investigated by Vallee and Gibson (80). Using the dithizone method, a mean value of 14.4  $\pm$  2.7 µg/ml packed red blood cells was determined by 31 healthy adults. The zinc content of erythrocytes of premature infants, fullterm infants, children up to 11 years of age, and adults was determined by Berferstram between 1948 and 1952 (87). A progressive rise from 3.8  $\pm$  1.1 µg in full-term infants to 11.53  $\pm$  1.8 µg/ml at age 11 was found. The value determined for 11 years of age was not

significantly different than a value of 12.4  $\pm$  1.8 µg/ml obtained for adults.

The zinc content of erythrocytes ranged from 10 - 14 µg/ml in various studies. Comparing the dithizone method and atomic absorption spectrophotometry in 14 normal adult male volunteers, no differences were found (14.0  $\pm$  1.5 and 13.7  $\pm$  1.2 µg/ml, respectively) (88). Neither were differences found in fasting and postprandial erythrocyte zinc (10.1  $\pm$  1.2 and 10.1  $\pm$  1.0 µg/ml) or plasma zinc (103  $\pm$ 13.4 and 104  $\pm$  13.1 µg/dl) in 10 adult subjects (89). Mansouri et al. (90) found the zinc content of erythrocytes in 51 fasting adults to be 11.8  $\pm$  1.7 µg/ml.

Erythrocyte zinc content in various pathological conditions was reported. Measures of zinc status, including plasma, erythrocyte, hair, and urine zinc and activity of plasma ribonuclease were obtained in adult, sickle cell anemia patients (SCA), and in healthy, non-anemic adults (91). Mean values for plasma, erythrocyte, and hair zinc were lower in SCA than non-anemic adults, while mean urinary zinc excretion and plasma ribonuclease activity were greater for SCA patients. After treatment with 660 mg zinc sulfate in nine patients (for varying periods of time), plasma zinc increased, while a trend toward increased erythrocyte zinc and decreased plasma ribonuclease activity was measured. In a three-year follow-up study on SCA, plasma, erythrocyte, and hair zinc remained lower than in healthy adults (92).

Reduced erythrocyte zinc concentrations have been reported in primary zinc deficiency. Prasad et al. (2) reported reduced erythrocyte, plasma, and hair zinc in zinc-deficient dwarfs compared to normal Egyptian subjects. In addition, the removal of <sup>65</sup>Zn from plasma

was more rapid in dwarfs, while urinary and fecal zinc were lower than in normal subjects.

Ronaghy and Halsted (93) presented a detailed report on the first cases of female dwarfs identified in Iran. The women, ages 19 and 20, presented with the syndrome of dwarfism, hypogonadism, iron deficiency anemia, and geophagia similar to the male zinc-deficient dwarfs (2, 3). Initial plasma zinc levels (40 and 29  $\mu$ g/d1) in both patients were low and the erythrocyte zinc level measured in one patient was 5.5 µg/ml. Treatment consisted of a 2500 kcal diet based on the RDA, 100 mg ferrous fumarate, and 120 mg zinc sulfate. In one patient, all three components were given simultaneously. The plasma zinc rose to 111  $\mu$ g/dl and erythrocyte zinc rose to 10.5  $\mu$ g/ml. In the second case, diet and iron supplement were given for eight months. Plasma zinc rose to 78  $\mu$ g/dl and erythrocyte zinc was 11.1 ug/ml. The addition of zinc sulfate for three months resulted in a plasma zinc of 180 µg/ml and a greatly enhanced sexual maturity compared to diet and iron supplementation alone.

During a mild, dietarily induced zinc deficiency, erythrocyte zinc was measured during stabilization, depletion, and repletion (82). Erythrocyte zinc levels decreased in two patients after 12 weeks on a restricted diet containing 2.7 mg zinc/day and increased during the repletion stage, with 30 mg zinc, to slightly above the initial value. Two other subjects fed 3.5 mg zinc/day showed similar decrease and increase in erythrocyte zinc; however, only one subject's values were significantly greater after repletion. As previously mentioned, plasma zinc decreased in all four subjects during zinc restriction and increased following supplementation. Additional parameters of

zinc status were measured and were consistent with the diagnosis of mild zinc deficiency.

The use of erythrocyte zinc as a diagnostic tool in identifying zinc deficiency in humans has been suggested. Low erythrocyte zinc values may be indicators of long term rather than acute deficiency. The mechanism of zinc uptake by erythrocytes is not clearly understood. Kruckeberg et al. (94) investigated the uptake of zinc by red cells in four different media: Krebs bicarbonate buffer (KBB), phosphate buffered saline (PBS), homologous plasma, and human serum albumin (HSA) in KBB. The zinc uptake by cells suspended in the KBB was 20 to 24 times more than by cells suspended in the other media. This indicated a major control of erythrocyte zinc was the environment or the medium. The KBB medium contained no zinc-binding constituent, whereas the plasma and PBS contained protein and phosphate, respectively, as zinc-binding constituents. Zinc efflux from erythrocytes preloaded with high or low levels of zinc was small and independent of the pre-efflux zinc concentration. The zinc influx was much faster than the efflux, indicating that once zinc was associated with the cell it tended to stay. Other researchers found similar results (95, 96).

No data are currently available on the zinc content of erythrocytes in adolescent females. Knowledge of the range of zinc content in erythrocytes for this age group is essential for this index to have general application in nutrition status surveys.

#### Plasma Ribonuclease

The severe growth retardation found in zinc-deficient animals

and humans stimulated research in identifying the biochemical changes associated with an inadequate supply of zinc. The effects of zinc deficiency on zinc content of tissues and enzyme activities of zincdeficient tissues in rats (97, 98) and in young pigs (99, 100) were investigated. The zinc content of various tissues was significantly lower in zinc-depleted animals versus pair-fed controls or ad libitum-fed controls. The ribonucleic acid (RNA) content of zincdeficient tissues of the young pigs was decreased, while the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) content was similar to that of pair-fed or ad libitum-fed controls (100). In addition, growth retardation was noticed before the food intake was decreased in zinc-deficient animals. This early effect on growth led to the investigation of activity of zinc metalloenzymes associated with protein metabolism.

Somers and Underwood (101) measured the zinc, RNA, DNA, and protein content and activity of ribonuclease (RNase) in the testes of zinc-deficient rats. An elevated RNase activity and lowered zinc, RNA, DNA, and protein content were found in zinc-deficient animals, compared to controls. The activities of RNase and deoxyribonuclease (DNase) in testes, thymus, kidneys, and bones of zinc-deficient rats were examined (103). The DNase activity showed no differences between pair-fed controls and zinc-deficient rats, whereas the activity of RNase was increased in zinc-deficient rats. Similar findings were reported by Fernandez-Madrid et al. (15). RNase degrades RNA to 3'mononucleotides. The activity of RNase was completely inhibited by zinc ions at concentrations of  $10^{-4}$  M (103). Thus, the zinc content of the cell regulated the activity of RNase, which, in turn, regulated the catabolism of RNA. An increased RNase activity led to decreased

protein synthesis or increased protein catabolism and was partly responsible for the growth retardation observed in zinc-deficient animals (15, 101, 102).

Despite the increase in RNase cited in the above studies, Chesters and Will (104) found the activity of RNase unaltered in the liver, kidney, and testes; increased in thymus; and decreased in esophagus of the zinc-deficient animals compared to pair-fed controls. Also, the total RNase concentration and free RNase inhibitor concentration were unaltered in the testes, kidney, and liver of both groups. The question of whether zinc deficiency alters RNase activity due to a direct effect of zinc on the enzyme system or as a generalized response to altered growth remains unanswered.

The findings of altered RNase activity in zinc-deficient animals prompted investigation of this enzyme in humans. Comparison among studies was difficult because of differences in procedures used and expression of enzyme activity. Activity, in units/minute/ milliliter, indicated the difference in absorbance of the sample plus substrate minus absorbance of pre- or post-incubation controls.

Increased RNase activity had been found along with other indices of zinc deficiency in humans in a number of investigations. In patients with sickle cell anemia, the plasma RNase activity (4.4  $\pm$  0.02 units) was greater than for the control subjects (3.1  $\pm$  0.01 units) (91). Significantly lower plasma and erythrocyte zinc was found in the sickle cell anemia patients. After treatment with 660 mg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> between 7 and 49 weeks in nine patients, a rise in plasma zinc and a decrease in plasma RNase were found in five of the seven patients for whom complete data were available.
The activity of plasma RNase was higher during zinc depletion than during zinc repletion in all four subjects with mild primary zinc deficiency (32). Values for the two groups were  $5.1 \pm 0.1$  and  $7.3 \pm 0.1$  units during depletion compared to  $3.7 \pm 0.1$  and  $4.1 \pm 0.1$ units during repletion. These changes corresponded with the patterns in plasma, erythrocyte, and urinary zinc concentrations.

These results suggest that determination of plasma RNase activity is a useful parameter in the diagnosis and assessment of zinc status in humans. However, as a sole measure of zinc status, it is impractical, as altered levels have been found in other conditions. Higher serum RNase activity was found in weanling rats fed wheat gluten compared to rats fed animal protein (105). RNase activity decreased with increased nitrogen intake, indicating RNase activity may reflect protein status.

In studies involving humans, elevated serum RNase activity was found in 10 of 14 patients with cirrhosis and in five of nine patients with leukemia (16). Elevated plasma RNase levels were measured in young children suffering from kwashiorkor compared to healthy, age-matched, well nourished children. After treatment, the levels for both groups were similar (107). Scott et al. (108) found elevated levels of plasma RNase in 14 low birth weight infants.

Data on plasma RNase activity of normal, healthy subjects are limited. The plasma RNase activity, measured between birth and 10 days of age in 10 healthy infants ( $12.1 \pm 2.0$  units), was higher than at 20 days of age ( $5.8 \pm 1.1$  units) (107). The activity at 20 days of age was not different than the mean value obtained on

10 subjects, ages 19 - 37 (5.7  $\pm$  0.7 units). A positive association between age and RNase activity was found when the mean value for 73 subjects (mean age of 33) was compared to the mean value for 124 subjects (mean age 78) (109). Lower serum RNase activity was reported in individuals after a 20 minute exercise period than before exercise (110).

No data exist on the plasma or serum RNase activity of adolescent females. Assessment of the range of values for healthy females is essential in order to evaluate the implications for using this measure in nutrition status surveys.

#### Serum Alkaline Phosphatase

The presence of zinc in alkaline phosphatase (AP) was first demonstrated by Mathies (111). AP is classed as a zinc metalloenzyme with two to three g-atoms zinc per mole of enzyme required for catalytic activity (112-114). The physiological functions of AP are not well understood. The suggested roles for this enzyme, including hydrolysis of phosphate esters, synthesis of phosphate esters, calcification, transport of substances across cell membranes, and regulation of cellular processes, are reviewed by McCombs, Bowers, and Posen (115).

Interpretation of reported AP activity is hampered because of the wide variety of assay methods resulting in different units in which activity is expressed. Expressing activity in terms of International Units (IU), defined as the amount of enzyme that forms 1 µmol product/minute is recommended (116). However, the IU does not take into account differences in substrate concentration or pH;

thus, results from various studies expressed in IU have different numerical values. Conversion factors have been employed to convert activity expressed in one method to a different method; however, this must be done with caution, as results from experiments run under different conditions are not directly comparable. For purposes of this review, reported values were converted to Sigma ( $\Sigma$ ) units for the convenience of the reader (115).

A number of factors are known to influence serum alkaline phosphatase levels. Levels rose rapidly during the first few months of life and gradually declined throughout the first year, but remained higher than adult values (117, 118). The mean serum AP activities remained relatively unchanged from one year of age until puberty, with values consistently higher than adults (119-122). No significant differences were found by sex between 6 and 10 years of age (119, 121-124). Most investigators noted a peak in serum AP values in females earlier than in males. Peak values were reported between 11 and 12 years of age in preadolescent females (120); between 8 and 12 years of age (3.23 to 3.73  $\Sigma$  units/m1) in female British school children (121); in preadolescent females between 9 and 12 years of age (6.6 to 7.3  $\Sigma$  units/ml); and at 11 years of age (6.2 + 0.1  $\Sigma$ units/ml) in low income preadolescent females (125). In an early report, no peak in serum AP was found in females ages 8 to 12 (124). A fall in serum AP was noted after the peak in the above mentioned studies, with a gradual lowering to adult values within six to eight years. For adolescent males, peak activity occurred approximately two years later than for females and gradually declined, but remained higher than adult values at 18 years of age (120-126).

A number of dietary components are known to influence AP levels. The consumption of a diet high in sucrose (40% of kcal) increased serum AP values in males and females, compared with a diet containing 40% of the energy from wheat starch (127). Protein malnutrition was associated with decreased AP values (128-131), as well as depressed plasma zinc levels (131). Serum AP varied little in volunteers ingesting 300 to 2000 mg calcium per day (132). Acute magnesium deficiency in humans presented conflicting results (133-136). Patients suffering from hypophosphatemic osteomalacia had normal (135) or elevated (136) serum AP activities. In vitamin D deficiency, resulting in rickets or osteomalacia, levels of AP were greatly elevated (137-139). Serum AP was measured in surveys of the vitamin D status of populations (121, 140, 141) and in response to supplemental dietary treatment (142).

In animal studies, AP was sensitive to zinc deficiency. Induced zinc deficiency resulted in a fall in tissue AP activities in chicks (143), turkeys (144), and rats (145). Serum AP decreased in rats (146, 147), pigs (148), and Holstein cattle (149) fed zinc deficient rations.

Depressed serum AP levels were reported in humans in both severe and mild zinc deficiency. Low serum AP and low plasma zinc were reported in zinc-deficient Egyptian dwarfs (2). Zinc supplementation of the diets of zinc-deficient Iranian subjects resulted in increased AP activity (3). In contrast, Carter et al. (150) found low plasma zinc levels, but normal serum AP in a group of adolescent Egyptian boys with evidence of mild growth retardation.

Low plasma zinc, serum AP, and erythrocyte zinc were found in a young female suffering from acrodermatitis enteropathica (AE) (151). The administration of zinc sulfate improved all three indices. Similar findings were noted by Bohane et al. (152) in the treatment of an infant female suffering from AE. A positive correlation between serum zinc and serum AP in four patients with AE was reported (153).

Kasarskios and Schuna (154) retrospectively evaluated the response of serum AP in three patients who developed zinc deficiency while receiving total parenteral nutrition (TPN). Serum AP activities were within the normal range prior to zinc supplementation; however, activities rose above normal for 14 days. The values returned to normal for the remainder of the 28-day treatment period. A parallel decrease in serum AP and plasma zinc developed in children with clinical symptoms of zinc deficiency, while receiving unsupplemented TPN (155). Increased plasma zinc and serum AP followed supplementation with zinc. Similar findings were reported by Principi et al. (156).

Plasma AP was monitored carefully by Prasad et al. (82) in two males in whom a mild primary zinc deficiency was induced. The activity of the enzyme slowly declined when dietary zinc intake was 3.5 mg zinc/day. The activity nearly doubled during the eight week repletion, with zinc supplemented at 30 mg/day.

The wide area of involvement of alkaline phosphatase in various disease conditions as well as its response to factors ranging from growth rate and age to dietary components other than zinc requires care in its use and interpretation. Consideration of these factors known to influence alkaline phosphatase is essential. Serum AP

levels should be investigated in apparently healthy adolescent females in relation to the nutrient content of their diets and to other measures of zinc status for the best use of this measurement in clinical and nutritional assessment.

### Summary

The best clinical assessment of trace mineral deficiency is careful observation of the clinical response to supplementation of the nutrient under controlled conditions (5-7). Plasma zinc has been the most widely used measure of zinc status. The problems of obtaining appropriate samples for analysis of zinc has limited its use in surveys. For more widespread assessment of populations, however, less tedious and less expensive diagnostic procedures for zinc nutriture are desirable. Additional parameters suggested include melanosome zinc, carbonic anhydrase activity, retinolbinding protein concentration, taste acuity, and leukocyte zinc. These measures have been reviewed by Solomons (6); however, their applicability for survey work remains to be investigated. As discussed previously, the use of erythrocyte zinc concentration, plasma ribonuclease activity, and serum alkaline phosphatase activity as indices of zinc status have been proposed. Their use in survey work seems feasible. This study investigated these three indices and zinc intake in a group of adolescent females.

#### CHAPTER III

## METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The status of adolescent females in regard to dietary zinc, erythrocyte zinc, plasma ribonuclease, and serum alkaline phosphatase was investigated. Recognition that adolescent females were a high risk group related to nutritional health, coupled with a paucity of data on their zinc status led to this investigation.

## Sample Design

One hundred and fifty adolescent females, aged 11.5 to 16.5, participated in the S-150 Southern Regional Project on Nutritional Status of Adolescent Females, served as subjects for this study. The participants were selected from girls attending schools within a 70-mile radius of Stillwater, Oklahoma. Potential participants were contacted through the schools, Girls Scouts, or 4-H Clubs. Volunteers were provided with written and oral information about the study and the procedures. Each subject and a parent or guardian signed a written consent. Girls with known metabolic disorders were not utilized as subjects.

#### Procedures

### Dietary Intake Data

Two 24-hour recalls were obtained through personal interview by

trained survey aides. One record was obtained for the 24-hour period immediately preceding the collection of blood samples. The other record was collected at least two weeks prior to or two weeks after blood was drawn. Food models and various other aids were employed to increase the accuracy of estimations of food intakes. Information on types of foods commonly used at home was obtained during a personal interview with the parent or guardian. Nutrient totals from food and supplements were calculated using the Nutritional Analysis System.<sup>1</sup>

#### Demographic and Socioeconomic Data

Through a questionnaire, data were obtained for each subject on age, race, education of subject and parents, number of persons in the household, and other background data. In addition, a measure of physical activity indicating type and intensity was obtained using a questionnaire.

## Anthropometric Data

Anthropometric measures included height, weight, triceps skinfold, and arm circumference. Measurements were taken by trained survey aides. The height was measured, without shoes, with the subject's heels against a measuring board. The subject was asked to look directly forward, hold her head straight, and stretch to maximum height. The measurement was taken to the nearest quarter inch (157) and converted to metric units. Height was expressed as a percentage relative to U.S. heights for age (158).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Nutritional Analysis System, Department of Experimental Statistics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA.

All subjects were weighed in indoor clothing, without shoes. The weights were recorded to the nearest quarter of a pound. An adjustment was made for weight of clothing worn by each subject, using average weights of common clothing items indicated on a check list. The estimated weight of the clothing at weighing was subtracted from the total weight recorded.

Triceps skinfold and arm circumference were measured at the same site on the right arm. The midpoint of the distance between the lateral margin of the acromial process of the scapula to the tip of the olecranon was marked using a non-stretchable tape. The subject's right arm was relaxed and flexed at 90° at the elbow. The circumference was measured at this point using the tape pulled just to fit. The skinfold was measured approximately 1 cm away from the midpoint by lifting the skin parallel to the long axis of the bone with the arm in a vertical position. Lange calipers were used and the measurement recorded to the nearest 0.1 mm (157).

#### Clinical Data

A questionnaire, administered by a qualified nurse or physician, was used to obtain data on menstrual cycle, use of oral contraceptives, alcohol use, smoking, and medical history for the subject. Blood pressure was measured.

### Blood Collection

A medical technologist drew blood samples from each subject in a fasting state. Two samples of 10 ml venous blood in heparin, one of 5 ml in ethylene-diaminetetracetic acid (EDTA) and one of 10 ml

without anticoagulant were drawn. Blood was collected in evacuated tubes according to standard procedures and kept cold. Serum alkaline phosphatase was determined on the day of collection. EDTA plasma and red cells from heparized blood were kept frozen until assayed for plasma ribonuclease and erythrocyte zinc, respectively.

### Biochemical Analysis

### Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration

Following separation of cells and plasma, the red cells were frozen in new plastic disposable tubes until assay. Zinc content of the cells was determined using the procedures established for use in the S-150 regional project. All glassware and plasticware used in the ashing procedures and for storage after ashing were soaked in a 50% HCl solution for 24 hours, and rinsed three times with distilled water. The materials were inverted on low ash filter paper and air dried in a closed cabinet. Teflon or teflon-coated instruments were used to handle all materials.

Between 0.47 and 0.51 g of erythrocytes, from each subject, weighed to the nearest .01 g, were placed into 30 ml acid-washed beakers; then 5.0 ml concentrated nitric acid and 2.0 ml concentrated sulphuric acid were added, and the beakers were covered with a watch glass. Blanks were included in each run and treated exactly as the samples. The beakers were allowed to sit overnight under a fume hood.

The next day the beakers were placed on hot plates and heated slowly until gas bubbles evolved. Initially the solutions darkened and dark brown fumes evolved. After 1.5 to 2 hours, the solutions

gradually cleared to a bright yellow after light brown fumes disappeared. As each solution reached this point, the watch glass was removed. The samples and blanks were left to digest for 0.5 to 1 hour, until the nitric acid evaporated, and fumes ceased, leaving only the sulfuric acid solution. If the contents of a beaker started to brown or char, indicating excess carbon, the beaker was removed from the heat and allowed to cool before resuming heating.

After the samples cleared, they were removed from the heat and cooled slightly. Then 0.5 ml 30% hydrogen peroxide was added; the solutions were returned to the hot plate, covered with watch glasses, and allowed to reflux. Additions of hydrogen peroxide were repeated approximately every 30 minutes, or when peroxide and water were driven off, until the solutions were as clear as water. This process took an additional one to two hours. Care was taken in adding peroxide to prevent boiling over. The solutions were removed from the hot plates and allowed to cool for three to five minutes. The sides of the beakers were washed down with 2.0 to 2.5 ml distilled water and solutions were heated gently to dissolve all residual solids. The solutions were cooled and diluted to 10 ml with distilled water and stored in tightly capped, acid-washed plastic bottles until analyzed.

Sample solutions and blanks were compared with zinc standards made up in 8% sulfuric acid. Certified atomic absorption standard zinc reference solution<sup>2</sup> of 1,000 ppm was used to prepare standard solutions ranging from 0.1 µg zinc/ml to 2.5 µg zinc/ml. An atomic

<sup>2</sup>Fisher Scientific Co., Pittsburg, PA.

absorption spectrophotometer<sup>3</sup> was used for all readings with an airacetylene mixture, triple slot burner head, and zinc lamp. All the manufacturer's recommendations for zinc analysis were followed for the readings, utilizing the concentration mode. For each set of samples and blanks, two readings were obtained in random order.

To adjust for potential contamination from original vacutainer tubes and plastic storage tubes, a normal saline mixture (0.9%) was prepared. The saline was placed in vacutainer tubes and inverted several times. The solution was held at room temperature for six hours and then transferred to the plastic storage tubes and stored for 48 hours prior to analysis. Zinc was not detectable.

Zinc concentration in erythrocytes was calculated:

Absorbance of cell ash solution X dilution (10) ÷ weight Absorbance of 1 ppm standard

of sample (g) = µg zinc/gram red blood cell.

#### Plasma Ribonuclease

Plasma was separated by centrifugation and stored, frozen, in glass until assayed. Plasma ribonuclease (RNase) activity was determined according to the methods described by Roth (159) and Sigulem (107), with some modifications, using organic chemicals from a single source.<sup>4</sup> Additional precipitating reagent was used to obtain a clear supernatant.

The assay mixtures contained 0.35 ml of 0.024 M Tris HCl Buffer (TRIZMA - HCl) pH 7.8 at 37°C; the substrate, 0.2 ml RNA solution

<sup>4</sup>Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Perkins Elmer 403.

(1% Type XI yeast ribonucleic acid in distilled water); and 0.05 ml sample. For each sample, post-incubation blanks were prepared with RNA added after incubation.

All samples and blanks were prepared in duplicate and kept in ice water until RNA was added, at which time the tubes were placed in a 37° C water bath for exactly 30 minutes. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 1.8 ml cold acid-alcohol, lanthanum chloride (LaCl<sub>3</sub>) reagent. This precipitating agent contained 1 N HCl in 76% ethanol with 0.5% LaCl<sub>3</sub> (159). The samples and blanks were centrifuged at 2°C for 30 minutes at 2800 rpm.

The supernatant was diluted 1:10 with distilled water, and absorbance was read against distilled water at 260 nm. The units of RNase activity were defined as:

Average	absorbance	of	sample	_	average absorbance post incubation blanks	х	1000
30 minutes							

X 480 (dilution factor) = units/minute/milliliter.

#### Serum Alkaline Phosphatase

Activity of alkaline phosphatase in serum was determined according to the procedure of Bessey et al. (160) as modified in the Sigma Technical Bulletin (161), using organic chemicals from a single source.<sup>5</sup> Analysis was completed on fresh serum. Repeated analyses were done when indicated in the procedures on rapidly frozen serum, stored for no longer than one week. The substrate

<sup>5</sup>Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO.

<u>para</u>-nitrophenyl phosphate was mixed with distilled water (40 mg in 13.3 ml) and either used immediately or stored frozen, as recommended. A 0.01 M glycine buffer, pH 10.5, was prepared and stored at 0 - 5°C. Duplicate dilutions of <u>p</u>-nitrophenol standard solution (10  $\mu$ moles/ml) were used for the standard curve.

Duplicates for each subject were prepared and the following procedures were used. The assay mixture, 0.2 ml diluted substrate and 0.2 ml glycine buffer, was vortexed and placed in 37°C water bath to warm. Into each tube, 0.04 ml serum was pipetted, vortexed, and the tube was returned to the water bath. After exactly 30 minutes, 4.0 ml of 0.02 N NaOH was added rapidly to each tube and the solutions were gently mixed. The addition of alkali stopped the reaction and developed a color which was stable for several hours, and the intensity of the color formed was proportional to the phosphatase activity (161). Duplicate blanks were prepared each time. Absorbance of test samples and blanks, with distilled water as a reference, was read at 410 nm. To adjust for background absorbance due to the serum, 0.04 ml concentrated HCl was added to each tube to remove the nitrophenol color, and a second reading was obtained. Alkaline phosphatase units corresponding to each reading were calculated based on the calibration curve developed with p-nitrophenol standard after correction for the blanks. The corrected alkaline phosphatase activity for each subject was the average value for the second readings subtracted from the average of the first readings and expressed as Sigma ( $\Sigma$ ) units per ml.

## Statistical Analyses

The data are presented as least squares means (LSM) with standard

errors (SE). LSM are used with unbalanced designs which are "estimates of the class or subclass arithmetic mean that would be expected had equal numbers been obtained" (162, p. 249). Differences between LSM due to age, race, and menarcheal status were tested using <u>t</u>-tests for the average of two days' intakes of zinc, total protein, animal protein, and energy; and for erythrocyte zinc, plasma ribonuclease activity, and serum alkaline phosphatase activity.

The relationships between zinc intake and each of the other variables were investigated. Subjects were grouped in categories according to percentage of the RDA because relationships to dietary zinc may not be linear. Categories were:  $\leq 50\%$  RDA ( $\leq 7.5$  mg); 50 to 75\% RDA (>7.5 to  $\leq 11.2$ ); 75 to 100% RDA (>11.2 to  $\leq 15.0$ ); and >100% RDA (>15.0). Comparisons of %-RDA-zinc categories were made among LSM for total protein, animal protein, energy, erythrocyte zinc, plasma ribonuclease activity, and serum alkaline phosphatase separately for pre- and post-menarcheal subjects.

Multiple regression analyses were used to examine the relationships of the proposed indices of zinc status being investigated to each other and to other variables that might be involved in the relationships. Detailed information on regression analysis can be found in Applied Regression Analysis by Draper and Smith (163), and the SAS handbook (162). Variables identified in the published research pertinent to each index were used in a stepwise regression procedure, with maximum  $r^2$  improvement, to build the "best fit" model. Computer analysis involved a series of internal comparisons of the appropriate variables and selection of what appeared to be the most useful set of predictors for each index (162). The model chosen as

the "best fit" met the following criteria: an overall F with p<0.05; failure of  $r^2$  to increase by 0.025 with the inclusion of the next variable; and each variable included in the model had an F with p<0.10. If an entered variable had p<0.05 but failed to increase  $r^2$  by 0.025, the smaller, previous model was chosen.

To investigate further the possible relationships between EZN, AP, RNase, and zinc intake, certain variables were "forced" in the model developed for each index. Stepwise regression allows variable(s) to be "forced" into the model, which means the forced variable(s) are included first in the model. They remain in the model as other variables are added, regardless of whether they are significant or not. Zinc intake was "forced" in the model for EZN. For AP analysis, RNase, zinc intake, and EZN were "forced" singly or in combination. For RNase prediction, EZN and zinc intake were "forced" in the model singly or together.

## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Description of the Sample

The sample consisted of 150 adolescent females who participated in the S-150 regional project, Nutritional Status of Adolescent Females. Obtained data included dietary, biochemical, clinical, and anthropometric measures related to nutritional status. Data thought to be related to zinc status were analyzed. Information was collected on most subjects for each measure investigated. Statistical assessments of intakes of zinc and related dietary components, erythrocyte zinc concentration, plasma ribonuclease, and serum alkaline phosphatase were based on the data from 138 of the adolescents.

The menarcheal status (before or after onset of menstruation) and race of the subjects by age categories are shown in Table I; included were 105 white females and 33 black females. Ages ranged from 11.5 to 16.5 years. Subjects were divided into three age categories: 11.5 to 13.4 years old were in category 12; subjects 13.5 to 15.4 years old were in category 14; and subjects 15.5 to 16.5 years old were in category 16.

Due to the small number or lack of subjects in certain age categories, pre- and post-menarche sub-categories were compared first for all data analyses. Age and race effects were then evaluated within menarche category.

			Pre-Menarche		Post-Me	Post-Menarche	
Age	Categor	у	white	black	white	black	
	12		32	3	5	4	
	14		6	0	35	20	
	16		0	0	27	6	
	Total	138	4	1	9	97	

# NUMBERS OF SUBJECTS BY AGE, RACE, AND MENARCHEAL STATUS

### Indices of Zinc Status

### Zinc Intake and Related Dietary Components

The average nutrient contents of foods and supplements reported in two 24-hour recalls for each subject were calculated using a computer program. The raw data for each subject, including age in months, race, menarcheal status, average intakes of zinc, total protein, animal protein and energy, and erythrocyte zinc concentration, plasma ribonuclease activity, and serum alkaline phosphatase activity were included in Appendix A. The mean zinc intake of all subjects was  $10.4 \pm 0.47$  (SE), with a range of 1.6 to 34.7 mg/day. The estimated range of mean zinc intakes for mixed diets consumed by Americans was 10 to 15 mg/day (6, 61). Of the three subjects with zinc intakes of 32 mg/day or more, two consumed zinc supplements averaging 15 mg and 24 mg/day, and one subject reported an average intake of 4131 kcal. Twenty other subjects reported intakes of 15 to 24 mg zinc, without supplements. Their mean zinc intake was  $18.5 \pm 0.4$  and an average energy intake of  $2420 \pm 45$ . Thirteen subjects reported intakes of less than 5.0 mg zinc/day. Their mean intakes were  $3.7 \pm 0.3$  mg zinc, and  $1032 \pm 24$  kcal/day. While the mean zinc intake was within the usual range for subjects on self-selected diets, the extremes of intake showed wide variation in zinc and energy intakes in this group of adolescents.

The least squares mean (LSM) intakes of zinc, total protein, animal protein, and energy were compared for pre- and post-menarcheal subjects. The intakes of these dietary components were significantly higher in pre-menarcheal subjects than in post-menarcheal subjects (Table II). There was no interaction of age and race within menarche categories for these dietary components. No differences in LSM for these dietary components within menarche categories were found, except that the LSM energy intake of white post-menarcheal subjects was lower than the LSM energy intake of black postmenarcheal subjects.

The RDA for energy for 11-14 year old females is 2200 kcal and for 15-18 year old females is 2100 kcal/day (61). For this study, the average value of 2150 kcal was used to represent the RDA for energy, since subjects were in both age ranges. The RDA for zinc (15 mg/day) and protein (46 g/day) are the same for girls 11 to 18 years old. Based on the RDA, the LSM for pre-menarcheal subjects were 81% for zinc, 166% for protein, and 100% of the average RDA for energy. The LSM for post-menarcheal subjects were 64% for zinc, 128% for protein, and 80% of the average RDA for energy.

## TABLE II

# LEAST SQUARES MEAN INTAKES OF ZINC, TOTAL PROTEIN, ANIMAL PROTEIN, AND ENERGY BY MENARCHEAL STATUS, AND BY AGE AND RACE, ACCORDING TO MENARCHE

Group	Zinc (mg)	Protein (g)	Animal Protein (g)	Energy (kcal)
Menarcheal Statu	S			
Pre-menarche	12.2 + 0.8*a**	$76 + 3^{a}$	54 + 3 <sup>a</sup>	2158 + 95 <sup>a</sup>
Post-menarche	$9.6 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$59 + 2^{b}$	$40 + 2^{b}$	$1728 + 62^{b}$
Pre-menarche				· -
Age 12 14 <u>Race</u> white	$ \begin{array}{r} 11.4 \pm 2.0 \\ 9.4 \pm 3.3 \end{array} $	78 + 10 73 + 16	52 + 7 51 + 13	$2312 + 225 \\ 2204 + 378$
black Post-menarche	9.2 $\pm$ 4.0	74 + 7 77 + 20	54 + 6 49 + 15	$2095 \pm 166$ 2421 ± 460
Age 12 14 16 <u>Race</u> white	$ \begin{array}{r} 11.5 \pm 1.7 \\ 9.6 \pm 0.7 \\ 9.5 \pm 0.9 \\ 9.8 \pm 0.8 \\ 1.1 \\ 9.8 \pm 0.8 \\ 1.1$	$\begin{array}{r} 60 + 6 \\ 60 + 3 \\ 58 + 3 \\ 58 + 3 \\ 58 + 3 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1724 + 1791798 + 741790 + 1011612 + 82a
black	$10.5 \pm 1.0$	62 <u>+</u> 4	41 <u>+</u> 3	$1929 \pm 107^{D}$

\*Least Squares Mean + Standard Error.

\*\*LSM in a column not sharing a common superscript letter within menarche categories are different p<0.05, t-test. If no superscript is given, no differences within menarche categories were found.

The RDA are set as a goal for planning recommended levels of daily nutrient intakes intended to cover the needs of almost all healthy individuals (61). The RDA for adolescents are based on chronological age; however, nutrient requirements are more closely related to physiological age, since they are affected by growth and maturation and the resulting changes in body composition (12). Nutrient needs during adolescence are greatest during pubescence (period of sexual development ending with the capacity for reproduction), usually occurring between 10 and 13 years of age in females. After this period, growth is slower and nutrient needs gradually decrease to adult levels by the end of adolescence. Due to difficulties in assessing physiological age in adolescents and estimating nutrient requirements on this basis, recommendations are based on chronological age (12). Overall, the intakes of selected nutrients of pre-menarcheal subjects in the S-150 study was greater than the intakes of post-menarcheal subjects, which may reflect increased food intake in younger girls to meet the demands for growth. The intakes of the nutrients other than total energy, however, were not affected by age or race, within menarche categories, indicating menarcheal status was the major factor influencing intakes among those investigated.

The relationships between zinc intake and the other dietary components (protein, animal protein, and energy) were investigated by grouping all subjects according to percentage of the RDA for zinc (%-RDA-zinc). The %-RDA-zinc categories were: less than or equal to 50% RDA (<7.5 mg); 50 to 75% RDA(>7.5 to <11.2); 75 to 100% RDA (>11.2 to <15.0); and greater than 100% RDA (>15.0). As there

were differences in intakes of total protein, animal protein, and energy (see Table II) between pre- and post-menarcheal subjects, the LSM for these dietary factors were compared among %-RDA-zinc categories only within each menarche category (Table III).

#### TABLE III

# LEAST SQUARES MEAN INTAKES OF TOTAL PROTEIN, ANIMAL PROTEIN, AND ENERGY BY %-RDA-ZINC, ACCORDING TO MENARCHE

%-RDA-zinc	N	Total Protein (g)	Animal Protein (g)	Energy (kcal)
Pre-menarche				
<u>&lt;</u> 50	10	50 <u>+</u> 8*a**	35 <u>+</u> 6 <sup>a</sup>	$1660 \pm 228^{a}$
50 <b>7</b> 5	9	67 <u>+</u> 8a,b	47 <u>+</u> 6 <sup>a,b</sup>	1897 <u>+</u> 212ª,b
75-100	12	82 <u>+</u> 7 <sup>b</sup>	59 <u>+</u> 5 <sup>b</sup>	2321 <u>+</u> 204 <sup>b</sup>
>100	10	111 <u>+</u> 9 <sup>c</sup>	$86 \pm 6^{c}$	2817 <u>+</u> 244 <sup>b</sup> ,c
Post-menarche				
<u>&lt;</u> 50	37	$43 + 2^{a}$	28 <u>+</u> 2 <sup>a</sup>	1321 <u>+</u> 84 <sup>a</sup>
50-75	30	61 <u>+</u> 3 <sup>b</sup>	$40 \pm 2^{b}$	1772 <u>+</u> 92 <sup>b</sup>
75-100	19	72 <u>+</u> 3 <sup>c</sup>	48 <u>+</u> 3 <sup>c</sup>	2069 <u>+</u> 108 <sup>c</sup>
>100	11	80 <u>+</u> 4 <sup>c</sup>	57 <u>+</u> 3 <sup>d</sup>	2165 <u>+</u> 140 <sup>c</sup>

\*LSM + SE.

\*\*LSM in a column not sharing a common superscript letter are different within menarche categories, at p<0.05, t-test.

As shown in Table III, the intakes of total protein, animal protein, and energy increased with increased zinc intakes. The association between dietary zinc, total protein, and energy had previously been reported (59, 63, 64). Different sources of protein, however, altered zinc content in metabolic diets (63); zinc content was higher in diets including beef and liverwurst than in diets containing turkey or ham. The diets of the pre- and post-menarcheal subjects with <50% RDA for zinc probably supplied adequate total protein (91 to 98% RDA), but low energy intakes (61 to 77% average RDA). Diets with 75 to 100% of the RDA for zinc contained adequate total protein (158 to 177% RDA) and close to the recommended intake of energy (96 to 108% RDA). The difference in zinc intake probably reflected different choices of animal protein.

Recent reports indicated that one-third or more of the adolescents studied consumed less than two-thirds of the RDA for zinc (10 mg/day). Fifty-five percent of the subjects in the S-150 study consumed less than two-thirds of the RDA for zinc, compared to 37% reported by Greger et al. (13) and 33% reported by White (62). In a balance study with 14 adolescent females (mean age  $13.2 \pm 0.6$ ), 40% of the subjects were in negative zinc balance on 13.4 mg zinc/day (89% RDA) and 64% were in negative zinc balance on 7.4 mg/zinc/day (49% RDA), on diets containing mixed foods (59). Although zinc intake cannot be used by itself to indicate deficiency states, these studies reported a large number of subjects below the estimated usual U.S. adult zinc intake of 10-15 mg/day. Understanding the relationship between zinc intake and measures of zinc status is essential to interpret these low intakes.

Table IV showed the mean zinc, protein, and energy intake and zinc-to-energy ratio for the S-150 subjects compared to values reported by others for adolescents consuming self-selected diets. Subjects reported by Greger et al. (13) were 12.8 + 0.8 year old females, with menarche experienced by 39%. Subjects in White's study (62) were 14 to 16 years old. No information on menarcheal status was given. In the S-150 project, ages ranged from 11.5 to 16.5 years and 29% were pre-menarcheal. The zinc-to-energy ratio equivalent to the RDA for adolescent females would be 7.0 mg/1000 kcal (61). The adolescent females in the S-150 project consumed 5.5 mg zinc/1000 kcal. The analyzed composites of one day selfselected diets of 15 adolescents (62) contained a higher zinc-toenergy ratio (8.8/1000) than the self-selected diets in Greger's et al. (13) and in the S-150 study. No information was available to explain the higher zinc-to-kcal ratio in White's study (62). Analyzed metabolic diets contained zinc-to-energy ratios of 5.5/1000 (60) and 6.6/100 (63), indicating the zinc content of self-reported diets was similar to the zinc content of analyzed diets.

Several dietary substances reported to interfere with zinc absorption have been fiber, phytic acid, and combinations of calcium and phytic acid. The mean crude fiber content of the diets of the subjects in this study was 2.5 g/day. This level was below the reported crude fiber intake of 7.8 g/day adversely affecting zinc status in 12 non-vegetarian females (50), and below the level causing negative zinc balance reported in two adult subjects on analyzed diets with 30 g dietary fiber/day (mostly in the form of whole meal bread) and 19 mg zinc (164). The mean calcium intake in subjects in

the S-150 project was  $822 \pm 32 \text{ mg/day}$ . Low or high calcium intakes did not affect zinc absorption (23, 58), but combinations of calcium-phytic acid had a negative effect on zinc absorption (59). The phytic acid content of the diets of subjects in the S-150 project was not evaluated.

### TABLE IV

## COMPARISON OF MEAN DIETARY ZINC, PROTEIN, AND ENERGY FROM SELECTED STUDIES

Group	N	Zinc (mg/day)	Protein (g/day)	Energy (kcal)	Zinc:Energy mg:1000 kcal
S-150	138	10.4 <u>+</u> 5.4*	64 <u>+</u> 2	1856 <u>+</u> 54	5.5
Greger et al. 1979 (13)	183	11.3 <u>+</u> 4.7	76 <u>+</u> 2	2030 <u>+</u> 587	5.6**
White 1969 (62)	15	12.0 + 5.0**	55 <u>+</u> 16	1501 <u>+</u> 425	8.6

\*Mean + SD.

\*\*Calculated from mean data given by authors.

Multiple regression was used to calculate the "best" fitting model to predict (or account for) the variation in dietary zinc. The factors included: animal protein, protein, copper, energy, calcium, magnesium, and fiber intakes. The stepwise regression procedure for all subjects resulted in the following equation:

dietary zinc = 
$$-2.289 + 0.163$$
 total protein (g) + 1.570  
copper (mg).

The equation accounted for 71% of the variability in dietary zinc. Probabilities for each variable and the model were <0.0001. Descriptions of all other models with up to seven variables were included in Appendix B.

### Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration

The mean erythrocyte zinc concentration (EZN) for 138 subjects was 7.6  $\pm$  0.15 µg/g red cells, with a range from 3.7 to 13.3 µg/g. There was no interaction between race and age, in either menarche category, for EZN. There was a difference in EZN between pre- and post-menarcheal subjects (Table V). Pre-menarcheal subjects had a lower LSM for EZN than post-menarcheal subjects. In addition, there were significant differences due to age among post-menarcheal subjects. The younger post-menarcheal subjects had lower EZN than older post-menarcheal subjects.

The group with the lowest EZN was the post-menarcheal subjects in age category 12. The fact that these adolescents had menstruated indicated at least a minimal degree of adequacy of zinc nutriture prior to menarche. These girls had presumably just experienced the time of most rapid adolescent growth.

The zinc intake of adolescent females in this study decreased with age (see Table III), while the EZN increased with age (Table V). No differences were found in LSM for EZN among %-RDA-zinc categories, either before or after menarche (Table VI).

These results suggested that dietary zinc was not a good predictor of EZN among these adolescent females, except possibly within a

# TABLE V

# LEAST SQUARES MEAN OF ERYTHROCYTE ZINC CON-CENTRATION BY MENARCHEAL STATUS, AND BY AGE AND RACE, ACCORDING TO MENARCHE

Group	Erythrocyte Zinc (µg/g)
Menarcheal Status	
Pre-menarche	6.8 <u>+</u> 0.3*a**
Post-menarche	$7.9 \pm 0.2^{b}$
Pre-menarcheal	
Age	
12	6.9 <u>+</u> 0.5
14	6.5 <u>+</u> 0.8
Race	
white	$6.6 \pm 0.3$
black	6.8 <u>+</u> 0.9
Post-menarcheal	
Age	
12	$5.9 \pm 0.6^{a}$
14	$7.6 + 0.2^{b}$
16	$8.7 \pm 0.3^{c}$
Race	
white	7.5 + 0.3
black	7.3 ± 0.3

\*LSM + SE .

\*\*LSM not sharing a common superscript letter within menarche categories are different p<0.05, t-test. If no superscript is given, no differences within menarche categories were found. narrower age span. Stepwise regression analysis for all subjects included the following variables: serum protein, hemoglobin, height, skinfold, arm muscle mass, race, menarcheal status, and per capita income; and intakes of total protein, zinc, and energy. The following equation accounted for 20% of the variability in EZN in this study:

EZN = 0.0541 + 0.0447 age (months).

Probabilities for the variable and the model were below 0.0001. Descriptions of other models, including up to 10 variables, were included in Appendix C.

#### TABLE VI

%-RDA-Zinc	Erythrocyte Zinc (µg/g)
Pre-Menarche	
<u>&lt;</u> 50	6.3 <u>+</u> 0.6*
50-75	6.9 <u>+</u> 0.5
75–100	6.7 <u>+</u> 0.5
>100	6.6 <u>+</u> 0.6
Post-Menarche	
<u>&lt;</u> 50	$7.8 \pm 0.3$
50-75	$7.1 \pm 0.3$
75–100	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.4
>100	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.5

# LEAST SQUARES MEAN ERYTHROCYTE ZINC BY %-RDA-ZINC, ACCORDING TO MENARCHE

\*LSM <u>+</u> SE. No differences within menarche categories were found. No values for EZN in adolescents have been reported in the literature. Values reported for adults were expressed in  $\mu$ g/ml. If one milliliter of packed red cells were equivalent to 1.1 g red cell concentrate (165), the range of values from 10 to 14  $\mu$ g/ml reported for adults (80, 87-90) would be equivalent to about 9 to 13  $\mu$ g/g. The range of EZN values for subjects in this study was 3.7 to 13.3  $\mu$ g/g erythrocytes. The mean EZN (8.7 ± 0.3  $\mu$ g/g) for older postmenarcheal subjects corresponded to the lower end of the range of adult values; other groups had slightly lower mean values.

The metabolism of EZN has not been fully investigated. Published research (in vitro studies) reported zinc efflux from erythrocytes pre-loaded with high or low levels of zinc was small and independent of the pre-efflux zinc concentration (94); thus, once zinc was associated with erythrocytes it tended to remain. The applicability of in vitro studies on EZN metabolism to in vivo zinc metabolism has not been investigated. In addition, the influences of growth and hormonal changes that occur during adolescence on zinc metabolism in general, and in erythrocytes specifically, have not been studied. The use of EZN in assessing zinc status needs further investigation.

### Plasma Ribonuclease

The plasma ribunuclease activity (RNase) has not previously been reported in healthy adolescents. The mean RNase activity for 138 subjects was  $4.9 \pm 0.06$  units/min/ml, with a range of 3.5 to 7.5 units/min/ml. There was no interaction between race and age, in either menarche category for RNase. The LSM for RNase for

pre-menarcheal subjects was greater than the LSM for RNase for post-menarcheal subjects (Table VII). There were no differences in LSM RNase activity among %-RDA-zinc categories, either before or after menarche (Table VIII).

#### TABLE VII

# LEAST SQUARES MEAN PLASMA RIBONUCLEASE BY MENARCHEAL STATUS, AND BY AGE AND RACE, ACCORDING TO MENARCHE

Group	Plasma Ribonuclease (units/min/ml)
Menarcheal Status	
Pre-menarche	5.2 <u>+</u> 0.2*a**
Post-menarche	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.1 <sup>b</sup>
Pre-menarche	
<u>Age</u> 12 14	$5.4 \pm 0.4$ $5.0 \pm 0.4$
<u>Race</u> white black	$5.0 \pm 0.2$ $5.4 \pm 0.4$
Post-menarche	
<u>Age</u> 12 14 16	$5.1 \pm 0.2 \\ 4.8 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.8 \pm 0.1$
Race white black	$5.0 \pm 0.1$ $4.8 \pm 0.1$

\*LSM + SE .

\*\*LSM not sharing a common superscript letter within menarche categories are different at p<0.05, t-tests. If no superscript letter is given, no differences within menarche categories were found.

TABLE	VIII
-------	------

%-RDA-Zinc	Plasma Ribonuclease (units/min/ml)
Pre-menarche	
<u>&lt;</u> 50	5.2 $\pm$ 0.3*,**
50-75	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.3
75–100	5.0 <u>+</u> 0.3
>100	5.0 <u>+</u> 0.3
Post-menarche	
<u>&lt;</u> 50	$5.0 \pm 0.1$
50-75	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.1
75–100	$5.0 \pm 0.2$
>100	$4.7 \pm 0.2$

# LEAST SQUARES MEAN PLASMA RIBONUCLEASE BY %-RDA-ZINC, ACCORDING TO MENARCHE

\*LSM + SE. No differences within menarche categories were found.

Stepwise regression analysis accounted for only a small portion of the variability in RNase activity in this study  $(r^2=.06)$ . The "best fit" model had the following equation:

RNase = 6.9096 - 0.0052 protein (g) - 0.0097 age (months). The variables included all at once in the procedure were: serum protein, EZN, hemoglobin, height, skinfold, arm muscle mass; and intakes of protein, zinc, and energy; and age, menarcheal status, race, and per capita income. Probabilities for all variables were below 0.0577, and for the model, 0.0196. Descriptions of other models, with up to 10 variables, were included in Appendix D. The plasma RNase activity for normal, healthy adults was 3.1  $\pm$  0.01 (SE) units/min/ml (91). The RNase values of four adult males ranged from 3.7 to 4.1 units/min/ml (82). Values reported by authors using a similar procedure to that in this study were: for infants less than 10 days old,  $12.1 \pm 2.5$  (SD) units/min/ml; for 20 day old infants,  $5.8 \pm 1.1$ ; and for adults, 29 to 27 years old,  $5.7 \pm 0.7$  (107). The mean RNase activity,  $4.9 \pm 0.06$  units/min/ml, for adolescent females in this study was lower than the value for adults in the study using similar procedures (107).

Since menarcheal status influenced RNase values, the range of values for pre- and post-menarcheal subjects needs further investigation. Establishment of normal values is necessary prior to using RNase as an indicator of zinc status.

#### Serum Alkaline Phosphatase

Values for serum alkaline phosphatase activity (AP) ranged from 1.0 to 9.2 Sigma ( $\Sigma$ ) units/ml, with a mean of 3.7  $\pm$  0.16  $\Sigma$  units/ml. The pre-menarcheal subjects had significantly greater LSM for AP activity than post-menarcheal subjects (Table IX). There was no interaction between age and race, in either menarche category. The younger post-menarcheal subjects (age categories 12 and 14) had greater LSM for AP than the older post-menarcheal subjects (age category 16). There was no difference due to race within menarche categories. Serum AP had been shown to peak between 10 and 13 years of age and then gradually declined to adult values by the eighteenth year in females (121-126). The data for the S-150 adolescents are consistent with these reports.

TA	BLE	IX

Group	Alkaline Phosphatase (Σ units/ml)
Menarcheal Status	
Pre-menarche	5.8 <u>+</u> 0.2*a**
Post-menarche	$2.8 \pm 0.1^{b}$
Pre-menarche	
<u>Age</u> 12 14	$5.5 \pm 0.5$ $5.0 \pm 0.9$
<u>Race</u> white black	$5.6 \pm 0.4$ $4.9 \pm 1.0$
Post-menarche	
<u>Age</u> 12 14 16	$3.7 + 0.4^{a}$ 3.0 + 0.2^{a} 2.1 + 0.2^{b}
<u>Race</u> white black	$3.0 \pm 0.2$ 2.9 $\pm 0.2$

# LEAST SQUARES MEAN SERUM ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE BY MENARCHEAL STATUS, AND BY AGE AND RACE, ACCORDING TO MENARCHE

\*LSM + SE.

\*\*LSM not sharing a common superscript letter within menarche categories are different, p<0.05, t-test. If no superscript letter is given, no differences within menarche categories were found.

A scattergram of AP values and months past menarche indicated AP values decreased as months past menarche increased (Figure 1). Regression analysis showed AP decreased by -0.045 units per month



Figure 1. Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Months Past Menarche

(p<0.001). This corresponds with the age category 16 having a lower LSM for AP than subjects in age categories 12 and 14.

The LSM for AP among post-menarcheal subjects in <50 and 50 to 75%-RDA zinc categories were lower than the LSM for AP for subjects in >100%-RDA-zinc category (Table X). There were no differences in LSM for AP among %-RDA-zinc categories for pre-menarcheal subjects.

### TABLE X

# LEAST SQUARES MEAN SERUM ALKALINE PHOS-PHATASE BY %-RDA-ZINC, ACCORDING TO MENARCHE

%-RDA-Zinc	Alkaline Phosphatase (Σ unts/ml)
Pre-Menarche	
<u>&lt;</u> 50	5.7 <u>+</u> 0.6
50-75	$5.5 \pm 0.6$
75–100	$5.7 \pm 0.6$
>100	5.3 <u>+</u> 0.7
Post-Menarche	
<50	$2.7 \pm 0.2^{a}$
50-75	$2.8 \pm 0.2^{a}$
75–100	$3.1 \pm 0.3^{a,b}$
>100	$3.8 \pm 0.3^{b}$

\*LSM + SE.

\*\*LSM not sharing a common superscript letter within menarche categories are different, p<0.05, <u>t</u>-test. If no superscript letter is given, no differences within menarche categories were found. Table XI shows the comparison of AP values to other studies with subjects of similar ages. The age groups differed among the studies so groups were combined to yield age categories similar to the S-150 categories. Since the studies expressed AP values in different units, the reported units were combined and converted to Sigma units, using standard conversion value (115). Although the results are not directly comparable, the values for the S-150 project seemed consistent with the other studies.

Multiple regression analysis was used to identify those variables that would be the best predictors of AP. The variables, analyzed all together, included: EZN, RNase and serum protein; intakes of energy, vitamin D, calcium, and zinc; height, skinfold, arm muscle mass, age, race, menarcheal status, and per capita income. The model produced this equation:

AP = 9.051 - 0.3999 RNase (units/min/m1) + 0.0018 vitamin D
 (IU) - 0.0381 age (months) + 1.9551 menarcheal status
 (1 = post; 2 = pre).

Sixty-one percent of the variability in AP was accounted for by this model, with all variables p<0.0059, and the full model p<0.0001. Descriptions on all models, up to 10 variables, were in Appendix E.

#### Relationships Among Zinc Intake, Ery-

throcyte Zinc Concentration, Plasma

## Ribonuclease Activity, and Serum Alka-

## line Phosphatase Activity

The relationships of zinc intake to the biochemical indices of zinc status and to each other were further investigated. There were
no differences in LSM for EZN (see Table VI) or in LSM for RNase (see Table VIII) among %-RDA-zinc categories for either pre- or postmenarcheal groups. The LSM for AP of post-menarcheal subjects showed the LSM for >100 %-RDA-zinc category was greater than for all other groups (see Table X). A scattergram of AP values by zinc intake indicates four subjects had AP values greater than 5.0, but only two of those had zinc intakes over 15 mg/day (Figure 2).

### TABLE XI

Group	Age Categories	Alkaline Phosphatase (Σ units/ml)
S-150*	11.5 - 12.9	5.43
	13.0 - 14.9	3.27
	15.0 - 16.5	2.19
Round, 1973	10 - 12	3.62
ound, 1973 121)**	13 - 14	2.47
	15 - 16	1.42
Harrison et al.	10 - 12	6.97
1948 (123)*	13 - 14	5.15
	15 - 16	1.90
Clark et al.	10 - 12	5.98
1950 (124)**	12 - 14	4.79
	14 - 16	3.38
Bennett et al.	10 - 12	5.66
1976 (125)***	13 - 14	3.89

2.07

## COMPARISON OF MEAN SERUM ALKALINE PHOS-PHATASE VALUES WITH SELECTED STUDIES

\*Value originally expressed as Sigma units. \*\*Value converted from King-Armstrong units. \*\*\*Value converted from International units.

15 - 16



Figure 2. Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Zinc Intake for Post-Menarche Subjects

Scattergrams for EZN and zinc intake, AP and zinc intake, RNase and zinc intake, AP and RNase, AP and EZN, and RNase and EZN, by menarcheal status, showed no relationships between the variables (Figures 3-13, Appendix F), though RNase did appear in the best equation to predict AP. When subjects were categorized according to EZN groups (<6.5, 6.5 to 8.9 or >8.9  $\mu$ g/g), no differences in RNase or AP, in either menarche category, were found (Table XII).

#### TABLE XII

### LEAST SQUARES MEAN FOR INDICES OF ZINC STATUS BY ERYTHROCYTE ZINC, ACCORD-ING TO MENARCHE

Variable	Menarcheal Status	Erythr; <6.5	yocyte Z 6.5 - 8	inc (µg/g) .9 >8.9
Plasma Ribonuclease	Pre	5.2 <u>+</u> 0.2*	5.2 <u>+</u> 0	.2 4.6 <u>+</u> 0.5
	Post	5.0 + 0.2	4.9 <u>+</u> 0	.1 4.7 <u>+</u> 0.1
Serum Alkaline Phos-	Pre.	5.3 <u>+</u> 0.4	5.9 <u>+</u> 0	.4 7.0 <u>+</u> 1.0
phatase	Post	3.1 <u>+</u> 0.3	2.7 <u>+</u> 0	$.2  2.7 \pm 0.3$

\*LSM + SE. No differences within menarche categories were found.

In marginal zinc deficiency, low AP activity, low EZN, and low zinc intake with high RNase activity were found in a metabolic study with four adult males consuming a semi-purified diet containing 2.7 or 3.5 mg zinc/day (82). Therefore, additional regression analysis was performed on the S-150 data to determine the effect(s) of "forcing" one or more of these variables into the models already developed. The results of these procedures suggested certain relationships between variables (zinc intake and EZN; and AP and EZN) when only "forced" variables were in the model; however, when the single or best two variable models were presented with a free choice variable, the "forced" variable's probability increased beyond the criteria established in this study (Table XIII).

### TABLE XIII

Variable for the Model	Included Variable* /2nd Entered**	β-Value	r <sup>2</sup>	Prob> F
EZN	Zinc intake*	-0.0478	.02	0.0879
	Zinc intake** Age (months)	-0.0172 0.0436	.19	0.5094 0.0001
AP	EZN*	-0.2596	.06	0.0041
	EZN** Menarchal status	-0.0528 2.8599	.49	0.4417 0.0001
RNase	EZN*	-0.0485	.01	0.1769
	EZN** Age	-0.0203 -0.0067	.03	0.6057 0.1012

### MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSES FOR SELECTED MODELS WITH "FORCED" VARIABLES

\*Model with one "forced" variable.

\*\*Best two variable model (with one "forced").

Additional information on the models in Table XIII were included in Appendix G, with up to five variables. Also in Appendix G are the variable models with two or three "forced" variables. These additional regression analyses indicated for this study that the variables menarcheal status and age predicted zinc intake, AP, EZN, and RNase better than these indices predicted each other. Apparent relationships among these indices may have resulted from common associations with other variables.

#### Summary and Recommendations

Wide ranges in values for zinc intakes, EZN, RNase, and AP were found in the adolescent females studied. Menarcheal status was an important factor in identifying differences in these indices among the subjects. There were no differences due to race. Age, menarcheal status, and RNase explained 61% of the variation in AP. Total protein and copper intakes explained 71% of the variation in zinc intakes. Age alone and age plus total protein intake, respectively, accounted for only a small portion of the variations in EZN (20%) and RNase (6%).

The biochemical determinations of EZN, RNase, and AP were feasible within the limitations of this survey. The amount of sample required for AP (0.04 ml serum) and RNase (0.05 ml EDTA plasma) analyses was small, while the determination of EZN required a greater amount of sample (0.50 g erythrocytes). The need to collect samples in three different media required changing vaccutainer tubes during blood collection. Thus problems of drawing blood from subjects, of

providing adequate refrigeration of all samples, and of separation of the blood are encountered in surveys.

Although the procedures for determination of AP were easily handled, this enzyme is affected by factors other than zinc. These other factors limit the use of AP as a sole indicator of zinc status. The abrupt change in activity after menarche in females, the relationship of AP to bone metabolism, and the relationship of AP to protein status, must be evaluated prior to its use as an index of zinc status. The determination of AP activity prior to and after supplementation with zinc has been proposed as a useful measure of zinc status (6). This procedure, however, would have limited application in a survey.

The determination of plasma RNase activity was more difficult than the determination of AP. In order to obtain a clear supernatant, it was necessary to add additional precipitating reagent, to keep the assay mixture on ice prior to and after incubation, to use covered tubes during centrifugation, and to have exact timing. In addition, special cuvettes were needed as the absorbance was read at 260 nm. Further standardization of the assay method for RNase is necessary to develop routine procedures. As RNase activity was affected by protein status in animals (104) and in humans (106), its use as a sole indicator of zinc status may be limited.

EZN has been proposed as an indicator of long-term zinc status (7). The factors that influence the metabolism of EZN and zinc uptake by erythrocytes are not fully understood. In addition, the impact of the physiological changes associated with growth and maturation during adolescence on erythrocyte zinc has not been

established. The normal range of values for EZN for different stages of maturity should be determined prior to using EZN as an index of zinc status in adolescents.

Even when known influences such as menarche and age are accounted for, as in this study, relationships among zinc intake, EZN, RNase, and AP are not clear. Other proposed indices of zinc status such as plasma zinc concentrations and carbonic anhydrase activity, are not readily applicable for survey work. Preventing contamination of plasma zinc from hemolysis or collection materials in a survey situation are required for plasma zinc concentrations to be a useful index of zinc status. Streamlining the routine analysis of carbonic anhydrase activity with readily available equipment is necessary prior to its use in surveys as an index of zinc status. With advances in research in these areas, the relationships among various currently used or proposed indices of zinc status may help identify the most reliable predictors of zinc status.

Further research is needed in a number of areas. The researcher recommends:

1. Investigation of the effects of physiological changes during growth and maturation on EZN, RNase, and AP to establish normal range of values for different stages of physiological maturity:

 Improved accuracy and reliability in collecting information on zinc intakes;

3. Investigation of the factors that may influence the metabolism of EZN to determine its sensitivity as an indicator of zinc status;

4. Simplification of methods of determining EZN, carbonic anhydrase activity and plasma zinc concentrations in survey work; and 5. Determination of the relationships among EZN, AP, RNase, and AP and other proposed indices of zinc status, such as plasma zinc and carbonic anhydrase activity, to determine the best combinations of predictors of zinc status in adolescent females.

#### CHAPTER V

### SUMMARY

Limited information on the zinc status of adolescent females was available. The importance of adequate zinc, especially during periods of growth, has been well established. There was, however, no standardized procedure for assessing zinc status in humans. Recent reports have suggested erythrocyte zinc concentration (EZN), serum alkaline phosphatase activity (AP), and plasma ribonuclease activity (RNase) may be useful indicators of zinc status and have applicability to survey work.

In this study, the range of values and the relationships of EZN, RNase, and AP to each other and to zinc intake were investigated in a group of 138 adolescent females. These subjects were part of a larger group who participated in the S-150 regional project, Nutritional Status of Adolescent Females. Dietary, anthropometric, clinical, and biochemical data were collected. Information on intakes of zinc, total protein, animal protein, and energy were obtained from two 24-hour recalls and mean nutrient contents of food and supplements were calculated. Intakes of zinc, total protein, animal protein, energy and EZN, RNase, and AP were compared by age, race, menarcheal status, and %-RDA-zinc categories. Categories used for %-RDA-zinc were: <50% RDA; 50 to 75% RDA; 75 to 100% RDA; and >100% RDA.

Blood samples were drawn in a fasting state and analyzed for three indices suggested to reflect zinc status. EZN was measured by atomic absorption spectrophotometry following a wet ash procedure. Plasma RNase and serum AP activities were determined by spectrophometric assays.

Effects of menarcheal status, age, and race were evaluated by analysis of variance. Multiple regression analysis was then used to determine the "best fitting" model for each index from a given list of related factors. Additional stepwise regression analysis "forced" into the models a variable that was expected to have an effect, whether or not the criterion for inclusion was met.

The LSM intake of zinc for post-menarcheal subjects  $(9.6 \pm 0.55)$  mg, range 1.6 to 33.4 mg) was less than the LSM intake of zinc for pre-menarcheal subjects  $(12.2 \pm 0.85)$  mg, range 3.0 to 34.7 mg). Intakes of zinc, total protein, animal protein, and energy were higher for pre-menarcheal subjects than for post-menarcheal subjects. The intakes of total protein, animal protein, and energy increased with increased zinc intakes.

The LSM for EZN in pre-menarcheal subjects  $(7.9 \pm 0.18)$ , range 3.7 to 11.0 µg/g erythrocyte) was lower than the LSM for EZN in postmenarcheal subjects  $(6.8 \pm 0.27)$ , range 4.0 to 13.3 µg/g). Within the post-menarcheal group, the younger subjects had lower LSM for EZN than the older subjects. There were no differences in LSM for EZN among %-RDA-zinc categories, either for pre- or post-menarcheal subjects.

The LSM for RNase of pre-menarcheal subjects (5.2  $\pm$  0.12, range 3.6 to 7.5 units/min/ml) was greater than the LSM for RNase in

post-menarcheal subjects  $(4.9 \pm 0.07, \text{ range } 3.5 \text{ to } 7.5 \text{ units/min/ml})$ . There were no differences in LSM for RNase among %-RDA-zinc categories in either pre- or post-menarche categories.

Pre-menarcheal subjects had a greater LSM for AP (5.8  $\pm$  0.21, range 2.3 to 9.2  $\Sigma$  units/ml) than LSM for AP for post-menarcheal subjects (2.8  $\pm$  0.14, range 1.01 to 8.9  $\Sigma$  units/ml). Within the post-menarcheal group, there was an age-related difference in LSM for AP with younger subjects having greater LSM for AP than older subjects.

Multiple regression analysis resulted in equations accounting for 71% variability in zinc intake; 20% for EZN; 6% for RNase; and 61% for AP. The variable age appeared in all models, except for zinc intake, as it was not included in the list.

Zinc intakes were not related to EZN, AP, or RNase, except for AP in post-menarcheal subjects. In addition, no clear relationships were detected between AP and RNase; AP and EZN; or RNase or EZN. When these indices were "forced" into equations, the resulting relationships lost significance as other variables were freely added to the models. The major factors influencing the suggested indices of zinc status in this study were menarcheal status and age.

The biochemical determinations of EZN, RNase, and AP were possible within the limitations of this survey. As factors other than dietary zinc influenced each of them, their general use as sole indicators of zinc status cannot be recommended.

### A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- (1) Todd, W. R., Elvehjem, C. A., and Hart, E. B.: Zinc in the nutrition of the rat. Am. J. Physiol. 107:146, 1934.
- (2) Prasad, A. S., Miale, A. Jr., Faerd, Z., Sandstead, H. H., and Schulert, A.: Zinc metabolism in patients with the syndrome of iron deficiency anemia, hypogonadism, and dwarfism. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 61:537, 1963.
- (3) Halsted, J. A., Ronaghy, H. A., Abadi, P., Haghshemass, M., Amirhakemi, G. H., Bakakat, R. M., and Reinhold, J. G.: Zinc deficiency in man: The Shiraz experiment. Am. J. Med. 53:277, 1972.
- (4) Sandstead, H. H., Prasad, A. S., Schulert, A. R., Fared, Z., Miale, A. Jr., Bassilly, S., and Darby, W. J.: Human zinc deficiency, endocrine manifestations, and response to treatment. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 20:422, 1967.
- (5) Aggett, P. J., and Harries, J. T.: Current status of zinc in health and disease states. Arch. Dis. Child. 54:909, 1979.
- (6) Solomons, N. W.: On the assessment of zinc and copper nutriture in man. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 32:856, 1979.
- (7) Prasad, A. S.: Trace Elements and Iron in Human Metabolism. New York: Plenum Medical Book Co., 1978.
- (8) Hambidge, K. M., Hambidge, C., Jacobs, M. A., and Baum, J. D.: Levels of zinc in hair, anorexia, poor growth, and hypogeusia in children. Pediatr. Res. 6:868, 1972.
- (9) Freeland-Graves, J. H., Bodzy, P. W., and Eppright, M. A.: Zinc status of vegetarians. J. Am. Dietet. A. 77:655, 1980.
- U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare: Ten State Nutrition Survey, 1968-1970. Publication No. (HSM) 72-8130-8134. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1972.
- (11) Abraham, S., Lowenstein, F. W., and Johnson, C. L.: Preliminary Findings of the First Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, United States, 1971-1972. DHEW No. (HRA) 74-1219-1. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1972.

- (12) Dwyer, J.: Nutritional Requirements of Adolescents. Nutr. Rev. 39:56, 1981.
- (13) Greger, J. L., Higgins, M. M., Abernathy, R. P., Kirksey, A., De Corso, M. S., and Baligar, P.: Nutritional status of adolescent girls in regard to zinc, copper, and iron. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 31:269, 1978.
- (14) World Health Organization. Trace Elements in Human Nutrition. WHO Technical Report Series No. 532. Geneva: WHO, 1973.
- (15) Fernandez-Madrid, F., Prasad, A. S., and Oberleas, D.: Effect of zinc deficiency on nucleic acids, collagen, and noncollagenous protein in connective tissue. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 82:951, 1973.
- Buerk, C. A., Chandy, G., Pearson, E., MacAuly, A., and Soroff, H. S.: Zinc deficiency: effect on healing and metabolism in man. Surg. Forum 24:101, 1973.
- (17) Nelder, K. H., and Hanbidge, K. M.: Zinc therapy of acrodermatitis enteropathica. New Engl. J. Med. 292:879, 1975.
- (18) Pearson, W. N., Schwink, T., and Reich, M.: In vitro studies of zinc absorption in the rat. In Prasad, A. S., ed.: Zinc Metabolism. Springfield: Thomas, 1966, p. 239.
- Methfessel, A. H., and Spencer, H.: Zinc metabolism in the rat.
  I. Intestinal absorption of zinc. J. Appl. Physiol. 34:58, 1973.
- (20) Methfessel, A. H., and Spencer, H.: Zinc metabolism in the rat. II. Secretion of zinc into intestine. J. Appl. Physiol. 34:63, 1973.
- (21) Matseshe, J. W., Phillips, S. F., Malazelada, J. R., and McCall, J. T.: Recovery of dietary iron and zinc from the proximal intestine of healthy man; studies of different meals and supplements. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 33:1946, 1981.
- (22) King, J. C., Raynolds, W. L., and Margen, S.: Absorption of stable isotopes of iron, copper, and zinc during oral contraceptive use. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 31:1198, 1978.
- (23) Spencer, H., Vankensco, V., Lewin, I., and Samachson, J.: Zinc-65 metabolism during low and high calcium intake in man. J. Nutr. 86:169, 1965.
- (24) Aamodt, R. L., Rumble, W. F., Johnston, G. S., Markley, E. J., and Henkin, R. I.: Absorption of orally administered 65zinc by normal human subjects. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 34:2648, 1981.

- (25) National Academy of Sciences: Recommended Dietary Allowances, 8th ed. Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences, 1974.
- (26) Song, M. K., and Adham, N. F.: Role of prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> in zinc absorption in the rat. Am. J. Physiol. 234:E99, 1978.
- (27) Hurley, L. S., Lonnerdal, B., and Stanislowski, A. G.: Zinc, citrate, human milk and acrodermatitis enteropathica. Lancet 1:667, 1979.
- (28) Lonnerdal, B., Stanislowski, A. G., and Hurley, L. S.: Isolation of a low-molecular weight zinc-binding ligand from human milk. J. Inorg. Biochem. 12:71, 1980.
- (29) Evans, G. W., and Johnson, E. C.: Zinc absorption in rats fed a low protein diet and a low protein diet supplemented with tryptophan or picolinic acid. J. Nutr. 110:1076, 1980.
- (30) Eckhert, C. D., Sloan, M. V., Duncan, J. F., and Hurley, L. S.: Zinc binding: a difference between human and bovine milk. Sci. 195:789, 1977.
- (31) Evans, G. W., and Johnson, P. E.: Characteristics and quantitation of a zinc-binding ligand in human milk. Pediatr. Res. 14:876, 1980.
- (32) Casey, C. E., Hambidge, K. M., Walravens, P. A., Silverman, A., and Neldner, K. H.: Zinc binding in human duodenal secretions (letter). Lancet 2:423, 1978.
- (33) Cousins, R. J., Smith, K. T., Failla, M. L., and Markowitz, L. A.: Origin of low molecular weight zinc binding ligands in rat intestine. Life Sci. 23:1819, 1978.
- (34) Cousins, R. J.: Regulation of zinc absorption: role of intracellular ligands. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 32:339, 1979.
- (35) Kowarski, S., Blain-Stanek, C. S., and Schacter, D.: Active transport of zinc and identification of zinc-binding protein in rat jejunal mucosa. Am. J. Physiol. 226:401, 1974.
- (36) Richards, M. P., and Cousins, R. J.: Zinc binding protein: Relationship to short-term changes in zinc metabolism. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 153:52, 1976.
- (37) Suso, F. A., and Edwards, H. M. Jr.: Binding capacity of intestinal mucosa and blood plasma for zinc. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 137:306, 1971.
- (38) Evans, G. W.: Transferrin function in zinc absorption and transport. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 151:775, 1976.

- (39) Spencer, H., Rosoff, B., and Feldstein, A.: Metabolism of zinc-65 in man. Rad. Res. 24:432, 1965.
- (40) Spencer, H., and Rosoff, B.: Effect of chelating agents on the removal of zinc-65 in man. Hlth. Phys. 12:475, 1966.
- (41) Graig, F. A., and Siegel, E.: Distribution of blood and excretion of a 65-zinc in man. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 104:391, 1960.
- (42) O'Dell, B. L., and Savage, J. E.: Effect of phytic acid on zinc availability. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 103:304, 1960.
- (43) Smith, W. H., Plumlee, M. P., and Beeson, W. M.: Effect of source of protein on zinc requirement of the growing pig. J. Anim. Sci. 21:399, 1962.
- (44) Oberleas, D., Muhru, M. E., and O'Dell, B. L.: Effects of phytic acid on zinc availability and parakeratosis in swine. J. Anim. Sci. 21:57, 1962.
- (45) Forbes, R. M., and Yohe, M.: Zinc requirements and balance studies with the rat. J. Nutr. 70:53, 1960.
- (46) Prasad, A. S., Halsted, J. A., and Nadimi, M.: Syndrome of iron deficiency anemia, hepatosplenomegaly, hypogonadism, dwarfism, and geophagia. Am. J. Med. 31:532, 1961.
- (47) Reinhold, J. G.: High phytate content of rural Iranian bread; a possible cause of human zinc deficiency. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 24:1204, 1971.
- (48) Reinhold, J. G., Lahimgazzadeh, A., Nasr, K., and Hedagati, H.: Effects of purified phytate and phytate-rich bread upon metabolism of zinc, calcium, phosphorus, and nitrogen in man. Lancet 1:283, 1973.
- (49) Pecoud, A., Donzel, P., and Schelling, J. L.: Effect of food stuffs on the absorption of zinc sulfate. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 17:469, 1975.
- (50) Freeland-Graves, J. H., Ebangit, M. L., and Hendrikson, P. J.: Alterations in zinc absorption and salivary sediment zinc after a lacto-ovo vegetarian diet. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 33:1757, 1980.
- (51) Newland, H. W., Ullrey, D. E., Hoefer, J. A., and Luecke, R. W.: The relationship of dietary calcium to zinc metabolism in pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 17:887, 1958.
- (52) Likuski, H. J. A., and Forbes, R. M.: Mineral utilization in the rat. IV. Effect of calcium and phytic acid on the utilization of dietary zinc. J. Nutr. 85:230, 1965.

- (53) McCance, R. A., and Widdowson, E. M.: The absorption and excretion of zinc. Biochem. J. 36:692, 1942.
- (54) White, H. S., and Gynn, T. H.: Utilization of inorganic elements by young women eating iron-fortified foods. J. Am. Dietet. A. 59:27, 1971.
- (55) Robinson, M. F., McKenzie, J. M., Thomson, C. D., and Vanrij, A. L.: Metabolic balance of zinc, copper, cadmium, iron, molybdenum, and selenium in young New Zealand women. Br. J. Nutr. 30:195, 1973.
- (56) Tribble, H. M., and Scoular, F. I.: Zinc metabolism of young college women on self-selected diets. J. Nutr. 52:209, 1954.
- (57) Engle, R. W., Miller, R. F., and Price, N. O.: Metabolic patterns in preadolescent children. XIII. Zinc balance. In Prasad, A. S., ed.: Zinc Metabolism. Springfield: Thomas, 1966, p. 326.
- (58) Price, N. O., Bunce, G. E., and Engel, R. W.: Copper, manganese and zinc balance in preadolescent girls. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 23:258, 1970.
- (59) Greger, J. L., Abernathy, R. P., and Bennett, O. A.: Zinc and nitrogen balance in adolescents fed varying levels of zinc and soy protein. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 31:112, 1978.
- (60) Osis, D., Kramer, L., Wiatrowski, E., and Spencer, H.: Dietary zinc intake in man. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 25:582, 1972.
- (61) National Academy of Sciences. Recommended Dietary Allowances, 9th ed. Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences, 1980.
- (62) White, H. S.: Inorganic elements in weighed diets of girls and young women. J. Am. Dietet. A. 55:38, 1969.
- (63) White, H. S.: Zinc content and the zinc to calorie ratio of weighed diets. J. Am. Dietet. A. 68:243, 1976.
- (64) Holden, J. M., Wolf, W. R., and Hertz, W.: Zinc and copper in self selected diets. J. Am. Dietet. A. 75:23, 1979.
- (65) Parisi, A. F., and Vallee, B. L.: Isolation of a zinc α<sub>2</sub>macroglobulin from human serum. Biochem. 9:2421, 1970.
- (66) Kahn, A. M., Helwig, H. L., Redeker, A. G., and Reynolds, T. B.: Urine and serum zinc abnormalities in disease of the liver. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 44:426, 1965.

- (67) Walker, B. E., Dawson, J. B., Kelleker, J., and Losoweky, M. S.: Plasma and urinary zinc in patients with malabsorption syndromes or hepatic cirrhosis. Gut 14:943, 1973.
- (68) Christensen, E., and Milman, N.: Serum zinc and urinary zinc excretion after renal allotransplantation. Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest. 36:87, 1976.
- (69) Jacob, R. A., Sandstead, H. H., Solomons, N. W., Rieger, C., and Rothberg, R.: Zinc status and vitamin A transport in cystic fibrosis. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 37:638, 1978.
- (70) Solomons, N. W., Layden, T. J., Rosenberg, I. H., Vo-Khactu, K., and Sandstead, H. H.: Plasma trace metals during total parenteral alimentation. Gastroenterology 70:1022, 1976.
- (71) Bates, J., and McClain, C. J.: The effect of severe zinc deficiency on serum levels of albumin, transferrin, and prealbumin in man. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 34:1655, 1981.
- (72) O'Leary, J. A., and Spellacy, W. N.: Zinc and copper levels in pregnant women and those taking oral contraceptives. Am. J. Obst. Gynec. 103:131, 1969.
- (73) Prasad, A. S., Oberleas, D., Lei, K. Y., Moghissi, K. S., and Stryker, J. C.: Effect of oral contraceptive agents on nutrients. I. Minerals. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 28:377, 1975.
- (74) Halsted, M. H., Hackley, B. M., Smith, J. C.: Plasma zinc and copper in pregnancy and after oral contraceptives. Lancet 2:278, 1969.
- (75) Briggs, M. H., and Briggs, M. Effects of steroid pharmaceuticals on plasma zinc. Nature 232:480, 1971.
- (76) Schenker, J. G., Hellerstein, S., Jungreis, E., and Polishuk,
  W. Z.: Serum copper and zinc levels in patients taking oral contraceptives. Fert. Steril. 22:229, 1971.
- (77) Deeming, S. B., and Weber, C. W.: Hair analysis of trace minerals in human subjects as influences by age, sex, and oral contraceptive drugs. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 31:1175, 1978.
- (78) Vir, S. C., and Love, A. H. G.: Zinc and copper nutriture of women taking oral contraceptive agents. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 34:1479, 1981.
- (79) Foley, B., Johnson, S. A., Hackley, B., Smith, J. C., and Halsted, J. A.: Zinc content of human platelets. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 128:265, 1968.
- (80) Vallee, B. L., and Gibson, J. G.: Zinc content of normal human whole blood, plasma, leukocytes and erythrocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 176:445, 1948.

- (81) Ralstin, J. O., Schneider, P. J., Blackstone, L., and Ruberg,
  R. L.: Serum zinc concentrations: contamination from laboratory equipment. JPEN 3:179, 1979.
- (82) Prasad, A. S., Rabbani, P., Abbasii, A., Bowersox, E., and Spivey-Fox, M. R.: Experimental zinc deficiency in humans. Ann. Inter. Med. 89:483, 1978.
- (83) Strain, W. H., Steadman, L. T., Lankaw, C. A. Jr., Berliner, W. P., and Pories, W. J.: Analysis of zinc levels in hair for the diagnosis of zinc deficiency in man. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 68:244, 1966.
- (84) Hambidge, K. M., Walravens, P. A., Brown, R. M., Webster, J., White, S., Anthony, M., and Roth, M. L.: Zinc nutrition of preschool children in the Denver Head Start program. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 29:734, 1976.
- (85) Vallee, B. L., Wacker, W. E. C., Bartholomay, A. F., and Robins,
  E. D.: Zinc metabolism in hepatic dysfunction. 1. Serum concentration in Laenner's cirrhosis and their validation by sequential analysis. New Engl. J. Med. 255:403, 1956.
- (86) Kay, R. G., Tasman-Jones, C., Pybus, J., Whiting, R., and Black, H.: A syndrome of acute zinc deficiency during total parenteral alimentation in man. Ann. Surg. 183: 331, 1976.
- (87) Berfenstram, R.: Studies on blood zinc. Acta Pediatr. 41(87):33, 1952.
- (88) Prasad, A. S., Oberleas, D., and Halsted, J. A.: Determination of zinc in biological fluids by atomic absorption spectrophotometry in normal and cirrhotic subjects. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 66:508, 1965.
- (89) McBean, L., and Halsted, J. A.: Fasting versus postprandial plasma zinc levels. J. Clin. Pathol. 22:623, 1969.
- (90) Mansouri, K., Halsted, J. A., and Gambos, E. A.: Zinc, copper, magnesium, and calcium in dialyzed and non-dialyzed uremic patients. Arch. Intern. Med. 125:88, 1970.
- (91) Prasad, A. S., Shoomaker, E. B., Ortega, J., Brewer, G. J., Oberleas, D., and Oelshlegel, F. J., Jr.: Zinc deficiency in sickle cell disease. Clin. Chem. 21:582, 1975.
- (92) Prasad, A. S., Ortega, J., Brewer, G. J., Oberleas, D., and Shoomaker, E. B.: Trace elements in sickle cell disease. J. Am. Med. A., 235:2396, 1976.

- (93) Ronaghy, H. A., and Halsted, J. A.: Zinc deficiency occurring in females. Report of two cases. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 28:831, 1975.
- (94) Kruckeberg, W. C., Knutsen, C. A., and Brewer, G. J.: Mechanism of red cell zinc uptake with a note on zinc and red cell metabolism. In Brewer, G. I., and Prasad, A. S., eds.: Zinc Metabolism--Current Aspects in Health and Disease. New York: Alan R. Less, Inc., 1977, p. 259.
- (95) Sheline, G. E., Chaikoff, I. L., Jones, H. B., and Montgomery, M. L.: Studies on the metabolism of zinc with the aid of its radioactive isotope. J. Biol. Chem. 149:139, 1943.
- (96) Sastry, K. S., Viswanathan, L., Ramaink, A., and Sarma, P. S.: Studies on the binding of 65-zinc by equine erythrocytes in vitro. Biochem. J. 74:561, 1960.
- (97) Prasad, A. S., Oberleas, D., Wolfe, P., and Horwitz, J. P.: Studies on zinc deficiency: changes in trace elements and enzyme activities in tissues of zinc-deficient rats. J. Clin. Invest. 46:549, 1967.
- (98) Prasad, A. S., and Oberleas, D.: Changes in activities of zinc dependent enzymes in zinc deficient tissues of rats. J. Appl. Physio. 31:842, 1971.
- (99) Prasad, A. S., Oberleas, D., Miller, E. R., and Luecke, R. W.: Biochemical effects of zinc deficiency: changes in activities of zinc-dependent enzymes and ribonucleic acid and deoxyribonucleic acid content of tissues. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 77:144, 1971.
- (100) Miller, E. R., Luecke, R. W., Ullrey, D. E., Baltzer, B. V., Bradley, B. L., and Hoefer, J. A.: Biochemical, skeletal and allometric changes due to zinc deficiency in the baby pig. J. Nutr. 95:278, 1968.
- (101) Somers, M., and Underwood, E. J.: Ribonuclease activity and nucleic acid and protein metabolism in the testes of zincdeficient rats. Austr. J. Biol. Sci. 22:1277, 1969.
- (102) Prasad, A. S., and Oberleas, D.: Ribonuclease and deoxyribonuclease activities in zinc deficient tissues. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 82:461, 1973.
- (103) Ohtaka, Y., Uchida, K., and Sakai, T.: Purification and properties of ribonuclease from yeast. J. Biochem. 54:322, 1963.
- (104) Chesters, J. K., and Will, M.: Effect of age, weight and adequacy of zinc intake on the balance between alkaline ribonuclease and ribonuclease inhibitor in various tissues of the rat. Br. J. Nutr. 39:375, 1978.

- (105) Allison, J. B., Wannemacher, R. W. Jr., Banks, W. L., Wunner, W. H., and Gomez-Brines, R. A.: Dietary proteins correlated with ribonuclease, ribonucleic acid and tissue protein. J. Nutr. 78:333, 1962.
- (106) Levy, A. L., and Rottino, A.: Effect of disease states on the ribonuclease concentration of body fluids. Clin. Chem. 6:43, 1960.
- (107) Sigulem, D. M., Brasel, J. A., Velasco, E. G., Rosso, P., and Winnick, M.: Plasma and urine ribonuclease as a measure of nutritional status in children. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 73:793, 1973.
- (108) Scott, P. H., Berger, H. M., Kenward, C., Scott, P., and Wharton, B. A.: Plasma alkaline ribonuclease (E.C. 3.1.4.22) and nitrogen retention in low birth weight infants. Br. J. Nutr. 40:459, 1978.
- (109) Sved, S., Kral, V. A., Enesco, H. E., Solyom, L., Wegdor, B. T., and Mauer, S. M.: Memory and serum ribonuclease activity in the aged. J. Am. Geriat. Soc. 15:629, 1967.
- (110) Albanese, A. A., Orto, L. A., and Zavattaro, D. N.: Nutritional and metabolic effects of physical exercise. Nutr. Rep. Inter. 3:165, 1971.
- (111) Mathies, J. C.: Preparation and properties of highly purified alkaline phosphatase from swine kidneys. J. Biol. Chem. 233:1121, 1958.
- (112) Simpson, R. T., and Vallee, B. L.: Two differentiable classes of metal atoms in alkaline phosphatase of <u>Escherichia coli</u>. Biochem. 7:4343, 1968.
- (113) Applebury, M. L., and Coleman, J. E.: <u>Escherichia coli</u> alkaline phosphatase: metal binding protein conformation, and quaternary structure. J. Biol. Chem. 244:308, 1969.
- (114) Csopak, H., Falk, K. E., and Szajn, H.: Effect of EDTA on <u>Escherichia coli</u> alkaline phosphatase. Biochem. Biophys. Acta 258:466, 1972.
- (115) McComb, R. B., Bowers, G. N. Jr., and Posen, S.: Alkaline Phosphatase. New York: Plenum Press, 1979.
- (116) International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry and International Union of Biochemistry. Enzyme Nomenclature. Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1973.
- (117) Stearns, G., and Warweg, E.: Studies of phosphorus in blood.
  1. The partition of phosphorus in whole blood and serum, the serum calcium and plasma phosphatase from birth to maturity. J. Biol. Chem. 102:749, 1933.

- (118) Barnes, D. J., and Munks, B.: Serum phosphatase, calcium, and phosphorus values in infancy. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 44:327, 1949.
- (119) Bodansky, A., and Jaffe, H. L.: Phosphatase studies. III. Serum phosphatase in diseases of the bone: interpretation and significance. Arch. Intern. Med. 54:88, 1934.
- (120) Arnaud, S. B., Goldsmith, R. S., Stickler, G. B., McCall, J. T., and Arnaud, C. D.: Serum parathyroid hormone and bone minerals. Interrelationship in normal children. Pediatr. Res. 7:485, 1973.
- (121) Round, J. M.: Plasma calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, and alkaline phosphatase levels in normal British school children. Br. Med. J. iii:137, 1973.
- (122) Fleisher, G. A., Eickelberg, E. S., and Elveback, L. R.: Alkaline phosphatase activity in the plasma of children and adolescents. Clin. Chem. 23:469, 1977.
- (123) Harrison, A. P., Roderuck, C., Lesher, M., Kaucher, M., Moyer, E. Z., Lameck, W., and Beach, E. F.: Nutritional status of children. III: Blood serum alkaline phosphatase. J. Am. Dietet. A. 24:503, 1948.
- (124) Clark, L. C. Jr., and Beck, E.: Plasma "alkaline" phosphatase activity. 1. Normative data for growing children. J. Pediatr. 36:335, 1950.
- (125) Bennett, D. L., Ward, M. S., and Daniel, W. A.: The relationship of serum alkaline phosphatase concentration to sex maturity ratings in adolescents. J. Pediatr. 88:633, 1976.
- (126) Henrotte, J. G., Heuse, G. A., and Jaeger, G. E.: Variations of serum alkaline phosphatase and plasma uric acid during children's growth. Arch. Int. Physiol. Biochem. 72:599, 1964.
- (127) Irwin, M. I., and Staton, A. J.: Dietary wheat starch and sucrose. Effect on levels of five enzymes in blood serum of young adults. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 22:701, 1969.
- (128) Dean, R. F. A., and Schwartz, R.: The serum chemistry in uncomplicated kwashiorkor. Br. J. Nutr. 7:131, 1953.
- (129) Schwartz, R.: Alkaline phosphatase activity of the serum of kwashiorkor. J. Clin. Pathol. 9:333, 1956.
- (130) Edozien, J. C.: Enzymes in kwashiorkor. Pediatrics 27:325, 1961.

- (131) Sandstead, H. H., Shurky, A. S., Prasad, A. S., Gabr, M. K., Hefney, A. E., Mokhtar, N., and Darby, W. J.: Kwashiorkor in Egypt. 1. Clinical and biochemical studies with special reference to plasma zinc and serum lactic dehydrogenase. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 17:15, 1965.
- (132) Ireland, P., and Fordtran, J. S.: Effect of dietary calcium and age on jejunal calcium absorption in humans studied by intestinal perfusion. J. Clin. Invest. 52:2672, 1973.
- (133) Paunier, L., Raddle, I. C., Kooh, S. W., Conin, P. E., and Fraser, D.: Primary hypomagnesemia with secondary hypocalcemia in an infant. Pediatr. 41:385, 1968.
- (134) Zimmet, P., Breidahl, H. D., and Nayler, W. G.: Plasma ionized calcium in hypomagnesaemia. Br. Med. J. 1:622, 1968.
- (135) Dent, C. E., and Winter, C. S.: Osteomalacia due to phosphate depletion from excessive aluminum hydroxide ingestion. Br. Med. J. 1:551, 1974.
- (136) Bloom, W. L., and Flinchum, D.: Osteomalacia, with pseudofractures caused by ingestion of aluminum hydroxide. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 174:1327, 1960.
- (137) Kay, H. D.: Plasma phosphatase. 11. The enzymes in disease, particularly in bone disease. J. Biol. Chem. 89:249, 1930.
- (138) Bodansky, A., and Jaffe, H. L.: Phosphatase studies. V. Serum phosphatase as a criterion of the severity and rate of healing of rickets. Am. J. Dis. Child. 48:1268, 1934.
- (139) Shinowara, G. Y., Jones, L. M., and Reinhart, H. L.: The estimation of serum inorganic phosphate and "acid" and "alkaline" phosphatase activity. J. Biol. Chem. 142:921, 1942.
- (140) Youmans, J. B., Patton, E. W., Sutton, W. R., Kern, R., and Steinkamp, R.: Surveys of nutrition of populations. 4. The vitamin D and calcium nutrition of a rural population in middle Tennessee. Am. J. Publ. Hlth. 34:1049, 1944.
- (141) Wills, M. R., Day, R. C., Phillips, J. B., and Bateman, E. C.: Phytic acid and nutritional rickets in immigrants. Lancet 1:771, 1972.
- (142) Cooke, W. T., Asquith, P., Rack, N., Melikian, V., and Swan,
  C. H. J.: Rickets, growth and alkaline phosphatase in urban adolescents. Br. Med. J. 2:293, 1974.
- (143) Lease, J. G.: Effect of histidine on tibia alkaline phosphatase of chicks fed zinc-deficient sesame meal diets. J. Nutr. 102:1323, 1972.

- (144) Starcher, B., and Kratzer, F. H.: Effect of zinc on bone alkaline phosphatase in turkey poults. J. Nutr. 79:18, 1963.
- (145) Prasad, A. S., and Oberleas, D.: Changes in activities of zinc-dependent enzymes in zinc deficient tissues of rats. J. Appl. Physiol. 31:842, 1971.
- (146) Huber, A. M., and Gershoff, S. N.: Effects of dietary zinc on zinc enzymes in the rat. J. Nutr. 103:1175, 1973.
- (147) Adneiyi, F. A., and Heaton, F. W.: The effect of zinc deficiency on alkaline phosphatase (E C 3.1.3.1) and its isoenzymes. Br. J. Nutr. 43:561, 1980.
- (148) Burch, R. K., Williams, R. V., Hahn, H. K. J., Jetton, M. M., and Sullivan, J. F.: Serum and tissue enzyme activity and trace element content in response to zinc deficiency in the pig. Clin. Chem. 21:568, 1975.
- (149) Miller, W. J., Pitts, W. J., Clifton, C. M., and Morton, J. D.: Effects of zinc deficiency per se on feed efficiency, serum alkaline phosphatase, zinc in skin, behavior, greying and other measurements in the Holstein calf. J. Dairy Sci. 48:1329, 1965.
- (150) Carter, J. P., Grivetti, L. E., Davis, J. T., Nasiff, S., Mansoui, A., Mousa, W. A., Atta, A. E. D., Patwardhan, V. N., Muneim, M. A., Abdou, I. A., and Darby, W. J.: Growth and sexual development of adolescent Egyptian village boys; effects of zinc, iron, and placebo supplementation. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 22:59, 1969.
- (151) Nelder, K. H., and Hambidge, K. M.: Zinc therapy of acrodermatitis enteropathica. New Engl. J. Med. 292:879, 1975.
- (152) Bohane, T. D., Cutz, E., Hamilton, J. R., and Gall, D. G.: Acrodermatitis enteropathica, zinc and the paenth cell. Gastroenterology 73:587, 1977.
- (153) Weisman, K., and Hoyer, H.: Serum alkaline phosphatase activity in acrodermatitis enteropathica: an index of serum zinc levels. Acta Dermatol. Venerol. 59:89, 1979.
- (154) Kasarskis, E. J., and Schuna, A.: Serum alkaline phosphatase after treatment of zinc deficiency in humans. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 33:2609, 1980.
- (155) Suita, S., Ikeda, K., Nagasaki, A., and Hayashida, Y.: Zinc deficiency during total parenteral nutrition in childhood. J. Pediatr. Surg. 13:5, 1978.
- (156) Principi, N., Giunta, A., and Gervasoni, A.: The role of zinc in total parenteral nutrition. Acta Pediatr. Scand. 68:129, 1979.

- (157) Technical Committee Southern Regional Nutrition Research Project S-87. Nutritional Status of Pre-Adolescent Females. Patterns of Food Intake and Nutritional Health of Pre-Adolescent Girls--Demographic Clinical and Anthropometric Methods. Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin #226. College Station, Texas: Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, August, 1977.
- (158) U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare: Height and Weight of Youths, 12-17 Years. DHEW Pub. No. (HSM) 73-1606. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1973.
- Roth, J. S.: Some observations on the assay and properties of ribonuclease in normal and tumor disease. In Busch, M., ed.: Methods in Cancer Research. VIII. New York: Academic Press, 1967, p. 173.
- (160) Bessey, O. A., Lowry, O. H., and Brock, M. J.: A method for the rapid determination of alkaline phosphatase with five cubic millimeters of serum. J. Biol. Chem. 164: 321, 1946.
- (161) Sigma Chemical Company: Sigma Technical Bulletin No. 104. The Colorimetric Determination of Phosphatase. St. Louis: Sigma Chemical Company, 1974.
- (162) SAS Institute, Inc.: SAS User's Guide, 1979 ed. Cary: SAS Institute, Inc., 1979.
- (163) Draper, N. R., and Smith, H.: Applied Regression Analysis. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1981.
- (164) Reinhold, J. G., Faradji, B., Abdi, P., and Ismail-Beigi, F.: Decreased absorption of calcium, magnesium, zinc, and phosphorus by humans due to increased fiber and phosphorus consumption in wheat bread. J. Nutr. 106:493, 1976.
- (165) Linderman, R. D., Clark, M. L., and Colmore, J. P.: Influence of age and sex on plasma and red-cell zinc concentrations. J. Gerontol. 26:358, 1971.

APPENDIXES

## APPENDIX A

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS IN COMPUTER PRINTOUTS

AND COMPUTER LISTS OF RAW DATA

BY MENARCHEAL STATUS

# List of Abbreviations in Computer Printouts

Age	- Age (months)
AP	- Serum alkaline phosphatase ( $\Sigma$ units/ml)
Anpro	- Animal protein intake (g)
Са	- Calcium intake (mg)
Cu	- Copper intake (mg)
Е	- Energy intake (kcal)
EZN	- Erythrocyte zinc concentration $(\mu g/g)$
Fib	– Crude fiber intake (g)
Mg	- Magnesium intake (mg)
Mens	- Menarcheal status (1=post, 2=pre)
HB	- Hemoglobin (mg/dl)
Musc	- Arm muscle mass (squared centimeters)
Pcinc	- Per capita income
Pro	- Total protein intake (g)
Race	- Race (1=white, 2=black)
RNase	- Plasma ribonuclease activity (units/min/ml)
Rht	- Height (relative to U.S. heights for age)
Skfd	- Skinfold (millimeters)
Spro	- Serum protein (g/dl)
Twoyr	- Two year age categories (12, 14, and 16)
VD	- Vitamin D (IU)
ZN	- Zinc intake (mg)

OBS	SUBJ	AGE	TWOYR	RACE	ZN	PRO	ANPRO	E	EZN	RNASE	АР
1	2	189	16	1	9.3	61	35.1	2178	5.7	4.73333	2.45
2	4	187	16	1	8.0	65	32.0	2605	7.7	5.33333	2.92
3	5	162	14	1	6.8	47	47.4	1170	7.6	4.86667	2.83
4	6	189	16	1	10.1	60	44.8	1622	7.7	4.93333	2.56
5	8	193	16	1	6.1	33	22.4	1078	9.6	4.73333	2.01
6	10	163	14	1	5.0	32	20.2	1195	11.7	4.93333	3.42
7	11	189	16	1	5.3	32	19.9	1109	9.8	4.73333	2.51
8	12	193	16	1	10.5	62	51.8	1271	11.0	4.40000	1.01
9	13	172	14	1	7.6	53	31.7	1572	9.1	4.66667	1.81
10	14	165	14	1	15.6	94	64.7	2368	10.2	5.26667	8.90
11	15	164	14	1	14.3	90	69.6	2124	6.8	4.53333	4.13
12	16	162	14	1	6.8	69	53.8	1132	9.9	4.53333	3.49
13	17	175	14	1	18.1	105	78.3	2188	7.0	3.53333	4.96
14	18	162	14	1	5.9	36	25.6	1005	6.8	5.33333	3.55
15	21	193	16	1	6.9	39	29.1	1291	8.5	3.73333	2.27
16	22	193	16	1	15.6	80	58.0	2035	8.2	4.80000	2.33
17	23	179	14	1	4.1	34	20.4	949	7.5	4.66667	2.73
18	24	174	14	1	5.2	34	26.9	1198	7.3	4.46667	3.08
19	25	173	14	1	5.3	36	23.2	1085	8.5	4.86667	1.44
20	26	198	16	1	8.7	85	51.3	2488	10.0	4.73333	2.60
21	27	173	14	1	5.6	42	29.3	818	8.8	5.46667	2.27
22	28	195	16	1	24.8	70	39.9	2512	7.6	5.26667	2.15
23	29	164	14	1	7.7	49	28.0	1138	5.8	5.33333	3.91
24	30	173	14	1	9.5	56	41.7	1483	7.3	4.66667	2.86
25	32	188	16	1	10.5	82	52.3	2067	8.0	5.20000	2.25
26	34	188	16	1	15.4	64	41.4	2458	4.9	5.20000	3.11
27	35	172	14	1	9.1	59	39.3	1673	7.5	4.66667	2.07
28	37	189	16	1	7.0	61	46.6	1976	6.7	5.06667	2.04
29	39	168	14	2	15.0	104	56.8	2538	8.2	5.20000	3.12
30	41	147	12	1	33.4	72	50.5	1472	6.2	4.86667	4.40
31	42	169	14	1	11.0	75	54.3	1657	7.9	5.86667	2.26
32	45	188	16	1	9.6	53	33.8	1723	7.9	5.86667	2.01
33	47	191	16	1	13.1	68	51.6	1739	7.6	4.80000	2.63
34	48	190	16	1	3.8	32	17.1	1418	11.1	6.20000	2.33
35	49	196	16	1	3.3	20	15.7	822	11.4	4.73333	1.57
36	50	174	14	1	3.5	27	17.0	948	7.3	6.73333	1.44
37	53	190	16	1	9.5	59	45.1	1551	9.8	4.93333	1.02
38	54	186	16	1	4.5	38	19.1	1081	13.2	5.53333	3.02
39	56	187	16	1	11.4	74	41.8	1747	10.0	5.60000	2.50
40	60	168	14	1	14.3	80	64.8	2298	7.6	4.20000	3.49
41	64	168	14	1	6.9	49	28.3	1647	5.6	4.80000	3.09
42	66	150	12	1	6.0	44	30.8	1429	6.7	4.73333	3.95
43	68	174	14	1	9.3	56	34.8	1749	7.0	5.20000	3.71
44	72	198	16	1	1.6	17	10.3	483	5.6	4.46667	1.68
45	74	141	12	2	7.7	49	34.9	1904	4.0	5.06667	7.18
46	75	197	16	1	6.1	60	39.0	1480	6.2	4,60000	1.88
47	77	166	14	1	3.9	42	26.6	999	5.6	4.86667	3.73
48	78	192	16	1	6.1	60	46.2	1195	7.8	5.66667	2.01

Post-Menarcheal Subjects

								•			
OBS	SUPJ	AGE	TWOYR	RACE	ZN	PRO	ANPRO	E	EZN	RNASE	AP
49	81	163	14	2	14.0	72	54.1	1751	8.1	7.46667	2.38
50	82	170	14	2	9.4	54	28.5	1959	8.0	4.13333	3.15
51	83	171	14	1	12.2	72	45.3	2074	7.3	4.66667	1.73
52	85	157	14	2	15.9	69	52.1	2353	8.6	4.13333	2.72
53	86	170	14	2	21.9	67	48.6	2337	8.4	4.26667	2.54
54	87	168	14	1	7.8	48	34.2	1614	5.1	4.66667	2.91
55	92	168	14	2	6.8	66	37.1	3676	6.2	4.86667	1.20
56	93	142	12	1	11.3	78	37.4	2119	02	5,00007	3.90
5/	94	140	12		1.5	28	30.2	2512	5.5	5 53333	2.19
20	90	149	12	2		00	31.1	2213	5.1	5 46667	2.95
29 60	97	121	12	2	2.7	47	33.5	1668	5 9	5 60000	3 40
61	101	170	14	2	9.0	62	12.2	1824	85	6.80000	2.10
62	104	168	14	2	12 6	80	60.2	2135	4.7	3,46667	2.95
63	106	165	14	1	8 5	50	35.0	1095	7.8	5,53333	1.95
64	110	168	14	i	21.0	79	58.2	2240	5.3	4.93333	3.20
65	111	164	14	2	5.0	62	46.1	2467	6.6	5.33333	4.37
66	112	171	14	1	9.1	66	29.8	2002	6.2	5.13333	3.61
67	113	168	14	2	12.6	71	31.3	2206	9.2	5.60000	2.17
68	118	153	12	2	8.0	57	43.6	1315	5.5	4.46667	2.84
69	120	193	16	2	13.2	58	31.0	2074	7.6	4.20000	2.33
70	121	<b>19</b> 0	16	2	8.9	60	48.5	1640	6.9	5.20000	1.16
71	142	196	16	2	10.6	69	46.9	1702	8.0	4.20000	2.84
72	143	162	14	2	4.4	39	27.6	1288	6./	4.40000	4.79
73	144	180	16	2	12.3	55	35.4	2219	10.4	4.53333	3.11
74	145	158	14	2	8.8	74	41.3	2187	1.5	3./3333	2.44
15	146	166	14	2	12.5	70	51.9	2020	10.0	5.40000	2 66
16	148	175	14	2	2.2	30	11.2	2000	10.7	5 00000	3.00
11	149	167	14	2	12.1	03 54	40.1	2099	7 2	5 33333	2 63
10	150	169	14	1	0.0	71	56.2	2005	8 1	5 00000	2 34
80	152	170	14	2	3.6	25	11 8	749	7 0	4.66667	2.14
81	153	177	14	2	11 0	2)	58 7	2825	8.1	4.80000	3.01
82	154	173	14	2	5.8	31	15.9	1214	8.1	4,06667	3.53
83	155	189	16	2	16.0	107	79.9	2482	11.5	4.53333	2.91
84	156	173	14	2	11.9	72	59.6	1908	6.7	4.93333	3.59
85	157	175	14	ž	6.2	41	31.0	1331	7.2	4.93333	1.71
86	158	171	14	1	9.1	62	35.5	1852	8.5	4.73333	2.37
87	159	192	16	2	8.5	60	42.2	1753	8.5	4.06667	2.25
88	162	191	16	1	7.9	50	33.5	1556	8.4	5.26667	1.31
89	163	146	12	1	11.8	66	47.5	1807	7.5	4.60000	4.19
90	166	156	14	1	11.7	72	48.2	2375	6.7	4.73333	3.73
91	167	166	14	1	13.8	70	51.1	2007	7.7	3.60000	6.75
92	169	172	14	1	9.1	64	42.3	2349	9.7	3.66667	2.78
93	170	168	14	1	16.3	83	66.4	1638	9.4	3.86667	2.64
94	171	194	16	1	7.2	63	35.2	1844	11.3	4.33333	1.49
95	1/2	178	14	1	4.9	41	22.2	1481	10.4	4.00000	2.10
96	1/3	190	16	1	1.3	23	30.0	1330	12 0	4.20000	1.12
97	1/4	191	10	1	0.3	30	22.0	1200	12.9	3.93333	2.30

Pre-Menarcheal Subjects

OBS	SUBJ	AGE	TWOYR	RACE	ZN	PRO	ANPRO	E	EZN	RNASE	AP	
98	1	146	12	1	13.7	84	52.4	2028	11.0	4,26667	5.74	
<u>60</u>	3	168	14	1	13.5	90	57.2	2281	7.3	4.20000	4.44	
100	ğ	174	14	1	10.3	67	55.1	1673	7.4	5.53333	5.06	
101	19	149	12	1	8.2	59	40.2	1628	9.9	4.86667	8.32	
102	20	165	14	1	14.7	102	87.2	2915	3.7	4.60000	9.24	
103	31	143	12	1	18.7	97	83.2	2020	7.8	4.86667	4.72	
104	33	148	12	1	16.5	96	78.4	2052	5.8	4.80000	5.96	
105	38	146	12	2	11.5	74	49.9	2373	6.5	7.06667	5.30	
106	40	140	12	1	7.0	50	39.5	1382	4.2	5.00000	3.65	
107	43	140	12	1	16.3	98	75.7	2479	7.2	6.66667	8.25	
108	44	150	12	1	12.2	98	76.3	2727	5.5	5.20000	5.02	
109	51	150	12	1	18.4	60	39.4	2052	6.7	5.40000	4.24	
110	52	148	12	1	34.7	163	124.9	4478	5.2	4.53333	4.42	
111	57	149	12	1	8.8	62	44.4	1419	7.7	4.66667	5.84	
112	58	141	12	1	12.4	70	40.6	2123	6.7	5.46667	5.52	
113	59	144	12	1	8.8	50	36.9	1280	6.0	4.46667	5.72	
114	63	141	12	1	6.8	42	30.2	1261	5.7	4.86667	6.06	
115	65	142	12	1	11.1	104	60.8	3040	5.8	4.46667	6.45	
116	71	143	12	1	12.5	71	48.4	2812	5.9	5.13333	6.44	
117	76	147	12	1	8.7	71	44.6	2432	5.2	4.53333	1.01	
118	79	144	12	1	6.0	45	24.9	1874	1.2	5.06667	6.03	
119	80	142	12	1	11.4	57	38.8	1732	7.2	4.86667	6.19	
120	84	145	12	1	32.7	184	126.2	4171	8.1	3.93333	7.44	
121	88	171	14	1	6.8	45	29.8	1961	5.2	5.60000	3.69	
122	89	171	14	1	8.8	68	49.5	1996	1.3	4.40000	4.76	
123	91	144	12	2	11.4	99	64.5	2600	1.2	4.73333	2.28	
124	95	146	12	1	6.9	53	32.9	1431	5.0	0.33333	2.58	
125	98	146	12	1	19.1	96	13.6	2806	6.6	5.53333	3.01	
126	100	140	12	1	16.4	93	62.7	3032	6.9	5.4000/	0.00	
127	102	151	12	1	15.0	85	65.4	1935	8.3	2.4000/	0.02	
128	103	144	12	1	11.8	112	52.2	1996	4.9	5.00000	1.00	
129	105	150	12	1	15.1	113	80.4	2///	2.2	5.13333	3.70	
130	107	150	12	2	1.5	00	34.1	2422	7.2	4.00007	7 1 9	
131	108	144	12	-	3.0	19	12.8	031	7.0	7 52222	7.40	
132	109	149	12	1	9.2	61	31.2	2028	2.0	F 20000	2.00	
133	114	151	12	1	5.0	44	22.0	1957	77	6 73332	5.85	
134	115	149	12	1	13.0		40.1	1002	8.2	5 52222	7 23	
135	11/	140	12	1	4./	00	44.0	1992	0.2	J. 73333	6 87	
136	119	144	12	1	10.2	54	42.0	1019	9.4 8 0	4.73333	1 62	
137	147	109	14	1	55	50	37 1	1118	8.0	1 26667	7.07	
1.30	101	145	14		2.2	90	57.1	1110	0.0		1.01	

## APPENDIX B

# STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS FOR ZINC INTAKE

			MAXIMUM R-SQ	UARE IMPROVEMENT F	OR DEPENDENT VARIABLE ZN		
STEP 1	VARIABLE	PRO ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.63000001	C(P) = 41.16297590		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION Error Total	1 136 137	2639.66316299 1550.27828629 4189.94144928	2639.66316299 11.39910505	231.57	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT PRO	-1.32165519 0.18226314	0.01197733	2639.66316299	231.57	0.0001
THE ABOVE	E MODEL IS	THE BEST 1 VAR	A ABLE MODEL FOUND	•	``````````````````````````````````````		
STEP 2	VARIABLE	CU ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.70970113	C(P) = 5.43139561		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	2 135 137	2973.60616281 1216.33528647 4189.94144928	1486.80308140 9.00989101	165.02	0,0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT PRO CU	-2.28910456 0.16263424 1.57001147	0.01112582 0.25788520	1925.21731396 333.94299982	213.68 37.06	0.0001 0.0001
THE ABOV	E MODEL IS	THE BEST 2 VA	RIABLE MODEL FOUND	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
STEP 3	VARIABLE	ANPRO ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.72081869	C(P) = 2.16819113		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	3 134 137	3020.18810500 1169.75334427 4189.94144928	1006.72936833 8.72950257	115.32	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT ANPRO PRO CU	-1.93867266 0.09527132 0.09071218 1.62619569	0.04124280 0.03300479 0.25500335	46.58194219 65.94269821 355.01225944	5.34 7.55 40.67	0.0224 0.0068 0.0001
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS	THE BEST 3 VA	AND THE MODEL FOUND		<b>_</b>		

. 96

STEP 4	VARIABLE	E ENTERED	R SQ	UARE = 0.72245603	C(P) =	3.39305283		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 133 137	3027.04845935 1162.89298993 4189.94144928	756.76 8.74	6211484 4355632	86.55	0.0001
			B VALU	E STD ERROR	түрі	E II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT ANPRO PRO CU E	-2.1861796 0.1104346 0.0645553 1.6261556 0.0006781	2 9 0.04468502 4 0.04430644 2 0.25520853 1 0.00076554	53.4( 18.56 354.99 6.86	0417734 5173942 9475600 5035435	6.11 2.12 40.60 0.78	0.0147 0.1475 0.0001 0.3773
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS	THE BEST 4 V	ARIABLE MODEL F	OUND.				
STEP 5	VARIABLE	FIB ENTERED	R SQ	UARE = 0.72370222	C(P) =	4.80308996		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	5 132 137	3032.26992024 1157.67152903 4189.94144928	606.45 8.77	5398405 7023886	69.15	0.0001

STD ERROR

0.04595708 0.04668184 0.25661512 0.00076707

0.24854019

**B** VALUE

-2.12376285 0.10237129 0.07574063 1.64377038 0.00069623

-0.19177276

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

PRO CU E FIB

INTERCEPT ANPRO

97

F

4.96 2.63 41.03

0.82

0.60

TYPE II SS

43.51740397 23.08731044 359.85641706

7.22515204

5.22146090

PROB>F

0.0276 0.1071 0.0001

0.3657

0.4417 ----

STEP 6 VARIABLE	CA ENTERED	R SQUARE =	0.72464879	C(P) =	6.35497000		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	6 131 137	3036.23600172 1153.70544755 4189.94144928	506.0 8.8	3933362 0691181	57.46	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	түр	E II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT ANPRO PRO CU E CA FIB	-2.05212185 0.10470979 0.07924418 1.61177356 0.00077551 -0.00062871 -0.18517207	0.04618471 0.04706977 0.26153408 0.00077770 0.00093687 0.24925344	45.2 24.9 334.4 8.7 3.9 4.8	6908419 6169982 8382793 5742437 6608148 6062685	5.14 2.83 37.98 0.99 0.45 0.55	0.0250 0.0947 0.0001 0.3205 0.5034 0.4589

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 6 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 7 VAR	IABLE MG ENTERED	R SQUAR	E = 0.72539860	C(P) = 8.00000000		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	7 130 137	3039.37766042 1150.56378886 4189.94144928	434.19680863 8.85049068	49.06	0.0001
		<b>B</b> VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT ANPRO PRO CU E CA MG FIB	-2.05610726 0.11038724 0.07117140 1.59331018 0.00068666 -0.00105786 0.00547956 -0.31247501	0.04726932 0.04909296 0.26400547 0.00079376 0.00118360 0.00919707 0.32876948	48.26656464 18.60115168 322.36075008 6.62324891 7.06991405 3.14165869 7.99493507	5.45 2.10 36.42 0.75 0.80 0.35 0.90	0.0211 0.1495 0.0001 0.3886 0.3731 0.5523 0.3437

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 7 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

# APPENDIX C

# STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS FOR ERYTHROCYTE

ZINC CONCENTRATION

#### MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE EZN

WARNING:	3 OBS	ERVATIONS DELETED	DUE TO MISSING V	ALUES.			
STEP 1	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.18732483	C(P) = 1.38976833		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	1 133 134	81.73085059 354.57463090 436.30548148	81.73085059 2.66597467	30.66	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT AGE	0.10185976 0.04479666	0.00809060	81.73085059	30.66	0.0001
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS	THE BEST 1 VARIA	ABLE MODEL FOUND.				
STEP 2	VARIABLE	E ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.20150679	C(P) = 1.07944038		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	2 132 134	87.91851666 348.38696482 436.30548148	43.95925833 2.63929519	16.66	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT AGE E	1.35372901 0.04124978 -0.00035854	0.00837668 0.00023417	64.00110354 6.18766607	24.25 2.34	0.0001 0.1281

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 2 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.
STEP 3	VARIABLE PRO ENTERED	R SQUARE = 0.22052683		C(P) = -0.01904143		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	3 131 134	96.21706615 340.08841533 436.30548148	32.07235538 2.59609477	12.35	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT AGE PRO E	1.05407533 0.04260776 0.01972418 -0.00100313	0.00834249 0.01103210 0.00042886	67.71837063 8.29854949 14.20406266	26.08 3.20 5.47	0.0001 0.0761 0.0208

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 3 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 4	VARIABLE RHT ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.23002478	C(P) = 0.43368439		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 130 134	100.36107350 335.94440798 436.30548148	25.09026838 2.58418775	9.71	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT RHT AGE PRO E	6.59889864 -0.05166066 0.04049955 0.02058772 -0.00104854	0.04079545 0.00848820 0.01102787 0.00042937	4.14400735 58.82924450 9.00652104 15.41087517	1.60 22.77 3.49 5.96	0.2077 0.0001 0.0642 0.0159

.

;

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 4 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 5	VARIABLE	HB ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.23760259	C(P) = 1.19921241	5	
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	5 129 134	103.66731424 332.63816724 436.30548148	20.73346285 2.57859044	8.04	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT HB RHT AGE PRO E	9.46196498 -0.18175378 -0.05271850 0.03915728 0.01868757 -0.00101144	0.16051196 0.04076195 0.00856146 0.01114300 0.00043016	3.30624074 4.31319038 53.94008637 7.25242664 14.25622881	1.28 1.67 20.92 2.81 5.53	0.2596 0.1982 0.0001 0.0960 0.0202
THE ABOV	E MODEL IS	THE BEST 5 VA	ARIABLE MODEL FOUND	•			
STEP 6	VARIABLE	MUSC ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.24139855	C(P) = 2.58082819		
STEP 6	VARIABLE	MUSC ENTERED	R SQUARE DF	= 0.24139855 SUM OF SQUARES	C(P) = 2.58082819 MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
STEP 6	VARIABLE	MUSC ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	R SQUARE DF 6 128 134	= 0.24139855 SUM OF SQUARES 105.32350988 330.98197160 436.30548148	C(P) = 2.58082819 MEAN SQUARE 17.55391831 2.58579665	F 6.79	PROB>F
STEP 6	VARIABLE	MUSC ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	R SQUARE DF 6 128 134 B VALUE	= 0.24139855 SUM OF SQUARES 105.32350988 330.98197160 436.30548148 STD ERROR	C(P) = 2.58082819 MEAN SQUARE 17.55391831 2.58579665 TYPE II SS	F 6.79 F	PROB>F 0.0001 PROB>F
STEP 6	VARIABLE	MUSC ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL INTERCEPT HB RHT MUSC AGE PRO E	R SQUARE DF 6 128 134 B VALUE 9.51947761 -0.17678909 -0.04885293 -0.0015881 0.03971773 0.01713409 -0.00102101	= 0.24139855 SUM OF SQUARES 105.32350988 330.98197160 436.30548148 STD ERROR 0.16085575 0.04110364 0.00019843 0.00860197 0.01132614 0.00043092	C(P) = 2.58082819 MEAN SQUARE 17.55391831 2.58579665 TYPE II SS 3.12343237 3.65270825 1.65619564 55.12739263 5.91769893 14.51630747	F 6.79 F 1.21 1.41 0.64 21.32 2.29 5.61	PROB>F 0.0001 PROB>F 0.2738 0.2368 0.2368 0.4250 0.0001 0.1328 0.0193

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 6 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 7	VARIABLE	SPRO ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.24609603	C(P) = 3.81557937		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	7 127 134	107.37304757 328.93243391 436.30548148	15.33900680 2.59001916	5.92	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT SPRO HB RHT MUSC AGE PRO E	11.53476747 -0.27794166 -0.17480240 -0.04834709 -0.00019082 0.04047331 0.01681438 -0.00105504	0.31244760 0.16100252 0.04114112 0.00020183 0.00865079 0.01134108 0.00043297	2.04953769 3.05303925 3.57677281 2.31517084 56.69293995 5.69319429 15.37898293	0.79 1.18 1.38 0.89 21.89 2.20 5.94	0.3754 0.2797 0.2421 0.3462 0.0001 0.1407 0.0162
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS	THE BEST 7 VARIA	ABLE MODEL FOUND.				
STEP 8	VARIABLE	PCINC ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.24818060	C(P) = 5.47599062		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	8 126 134	108.28255557 328.02292591 436.30548148	13.53531945 2.60335655	5.20	0.0001

STD ERROR

0.31421417

0.16528006

0.04176725

0.00020250

0.00868841

0.00004915

0.01152009

0.00043493

**B** VALUE

11.85427537

-0.26341138

-0.19580150

-0.05223176

-0.00019548

0.04077871

0.00002905

0.01790907

-0.00107111

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 8 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

INTERCEPT

SPRO

HB

RHT

MUSC

AGE

PRO E

PCINC

103

F

0.70

1.40

1.56

0.93 22.03

0.35

6.06

PROB>F

0.4034

0.2384

0.2134

0.3362

0.0001

0.5555

0.0151

TYPE II SS

1.82957899

3.65363159

4.07128223

2.42602628

0.90950801

6.29169895

15.78918090

57.34820634

STEP 9	VARIABLE SKFD ENTERED	R SQUARE = 0.25013550		C(P) = 7.15752554		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	9 125 134	109,13548883 327.16999265 436.30548148	12.12616543 2.61735994	4.63	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT SPRO HB RHT SKFD MUSC AGE PCINC PRO E	12.34547354 -0.27912056 -0.20263597 -0.05566610 0.01226256 -0.00020819 0.03974964 0.00002841 0.01671253 -0.00100594	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31625764\\ 0.16615588\\ 0.04230935\\ 0.02148104\\ 0.00020426\\ 0.00889630\\ 0.00004929\\ 0.01173967\\ 0.00045080 \end{array}$	2.03875512 3.89282684 4.53077467 0.85293326 2.71901980 52.25292393 0.86966578 5.30440158 13.03297912	0.78 1.49 1.73 0.33 1.04 19.96 0.33 2.03 4.98	0.3792 0.2249 0.1907 0.5691 0.3101 0.0001 0.5654 0.1571 0.0274
THE ABOV	E MODEL IS THE BEST 9 VAL	RIABLE MODEL FOUND				

STEP 10	VARIABLE	ZN ENTERED	N ENTERED R SQUARE = 0.250697		C(P) = 9.06599524		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	10 124 134	109.38063108 326.92485041 436.30548148	10.93806311 2.63649073	4.15	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT SPRO HB RHT SKFD MUSC AGE PCINC PRO E ZN	$\begin{array}{c} 12.03025463\\ -0.27535837\\ -0.20041065\\ -0.05361752\\ 0.01398224\\ -0.00020911\\ 0.03971817\\ 0.00002794\\ 0.01877411\\ -0.00098545\\ -0.01338311 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31765103\\ 0.16692161\\ 0.04299186\\ 0.02228482\\ 0.00020503\\ 0.00892935\\ 0.00004950\\ 0.01358443\\ 0.00045740\\ 0.04388953 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.98117243\\ 3.80051718\\ 4.10078681\\ 1.03791378\\ 2.74255812\\ 52.16327282\\ 0.84030713\\ 5.03572779\\ 12.23772031\\ 0.24514225 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75 \\ 1.44 \\ 1.56 \\ 0.39 \\ 1.04 \\ 19.79 \\ 0.32 \\ 1.91 \\ 4.64 \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	0.3877 0.2322 0.2147 0.5315 0.3098 0.0001 0.5734 0.1694 0.0331 0.7609

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 10 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

.

# APPENDIX D

### STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS FOR PLASMA

RIBONUCLEASE

Ξī.

### MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE RNASE

STEP 1	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.03165186	C(P) = -2.64816226		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	1 133 134	2.37886921 72.77846412 75.15733333	2.37886921 0.54720650	4.35	0.0390
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT AGE	6.23404040 -0.00764254	0.00366546	2.37886921	4.35	0.0390
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS	THE BEST 1 V/	ARIABLE MODEL FOUND. R SQUARE	= 0.05781569	C(P) = -4.11610500		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	2 132 134	4.34527305 70.81206029 75.15733333	2.17263652 0.53645500	4.05	0.0196
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT PRO AGE	6.90631499 -0.00519948 -0.00968145	0.00271575 0.00378229	1.96640383 3.51483128	3.67 6.55	0.0577 0.0116

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 2 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

WARNING: 3 OBSERVATIONS DELETED DUE TO MISSING VALUES.

STEP 3	VARIABLE SKFD ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.06816701	C(P) = -3.48814395		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	΄ F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION Error Total	3 131 134	5.12325090 70.03408243 75.15733333	1.70775030 0.53461132	3.19	0.0255
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT SKFD PRO AGE	6.99274100 -0.01102091 -0.00561788 -0.00879201	0.00913593 0.00273318 0.00384710	0.77797786 2.25863565 2.79220891	1.46 4.22 5.22	0.2299 0.0418 0.0239

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 3 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 4	VARIABLE MENS ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.07650946	C(P) = -2.59391169		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 130 134	5.75024682 69.40708651 75.15733333	1.43756171 0.53390067	2.69	0.0338
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT SKFD PRO AGE MENS	6.21481792 -0.01041784 -0.00618362 -0.00555428 0.20286110	0.00914680 0.00278081 0.00486897 0.18719604	0.69259146 2.64000259 0.69477117 0.62699592	1.30 4.94 1.30 1.17	0.2568 0.0279 0.2561 0.2805

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 4 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

•

107

STEP 5	VARIABLE PCINC ENTERED	R SQUARE = 0.08079976		C(P) = -1.16257946			
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F	
	REGRESSION Error Total	5 129 134	6.07269461 69.08463872 75.15733333	1.21453892 0.53553984	2.27	0.0510	
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F	
	INTERCEPT SKFD PRO AGE MENS PCINC	5.95468204 -0.01065744 -0.00572661 -0.00487101 0.22030815 0.00001671	0.00916603 0.00284666 0.00495531 0.18882666 0.00002153	0.72399349 2.16727524 0.51747487 0.72899790 0.32244779	1.35 4.05 0.97 1.36 0.60	0.2471 0.0463 0.3275 0.2455 0.4392	

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 6 VAR	IABLE E ENTERED	R SQUARE = 0.08271962		C(P) = 0.58294870			
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F	
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	6 128 134	6.21698604 68.94034729 75.15733333	1.03616434 0.53859646	1.92	0.0817	
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F	
	INTERCEPT SKFD PRO E AGE MENS PCINC	5.91501232 -0.00935281 -0.00796847 0.00010558 -0.00498610 0.21196789 0.00001566	0.00953147 0.00518749 0.00020399 0.00497440 0.19004910 0.00002169	0.51859426 1.27086172 0.14429142 0.54113221 0.66999571 0.28103772	0.96 2.36 0.27 1.00 1.24 0.52	0.3283 0.1270 0.6056 0.3181 0.2668 0.4714	

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 6 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

-

STEP 7	VARIABLE SPRO ENTERED	R SQUARE = 0.08466024		C(P) = 2.32572451			
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F	
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	7 127 134	6.36283811 68.79449522 75.15733333	0.90897687 0.54168894	1.68	0.1193	
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F	
	INTERCEPT SPRO SKFD PRO E AGE MENS PCINC	5.35709452 0.07353607 -0.00969349 -0.00789212 0.00011079 -0.00500805 0.21823953 0.00001674	0.14171608 0.00958132 0.00520444 0.00020482 0.00498884 0.19097677 0.00002185	0.14585207 0.55444636 1.24562759 0.15848507 0.54586798 0.70738481 0.31804642	0.27 1.02 2.30 0.29 1.01 1.31 0.59	0.6047 0.3136 0.1319 0.5895 0.3174 0.2553 0.4449	

۰.

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 7 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 8	VARIABLE	EZN ENTERED	R SQU	ARE = 0.08569294	C(P) = 4.18884347		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	8 126 134	6.44045283 68.71688051 75.15733333	0.80505660 0.54537207	1.48	0.1723
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT SPRO EZN SKFD PRO E AGE MENS PCINC	$\begin{array}{c} 5.39133004\\ 0.07016895\\ -0.01514192\\ -0.00963695\\ -0.00759597\\ 0.00009538\\ -0.00433914\\ 0.21937676\\ 0.00001682\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14247690\\ 0.04013798\\ 0.00961500\\ 0.00528078\\ 0.00020954\\ 0.00531053\\ 0.19164864\\ 0.00002192\end{array}$	0.13227992 0.07761472 0.54786406 1.12840102 0.11300497 0.36410275 0.71459944 0.32104895	0.24 0.14 1.00 2.07 0.21 0.67 1.31 0.59	0.6232 0.7066 0.3181 0.1528 0.6497 0.4154 0.2545 0.4444

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 8 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 9	VARIABLE	RACE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.08610398	C(P) = 6.13436169		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	9 125 134	6.47134526 68.68598808 75.15733333	0.71903836 0.54948790	1.31	0.2384
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT SPRO EZN SKFD PRO E AGE MENS RACE PCINC	5.52052677 0.07106805 -0.01497628 -0.00977999 -0.00787695 0.00010805 -0.00466161 0.20020909 -0.04126564 0.00001507	0.14306377 0.04029521 0.00967005 0.00543152 0.00021701 0.00550129 0.20866571 0.17403700 0.00002321	0.13559624 0.07590304 0.56205275 1.15566580 0.13623008 0.39454850 0.50585210 0.03089243 0.23145892	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25 \\ 0.14 \\ 1.02 \\ 2.10 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.72 \\ 0.92 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.42 \end{array}$	0.6202 0.7108 0.3138 0.1495 0.6194 0.3984 0.3392 0.8130 0.5175
THE ABOVE	E MODEL IS	THE BEST 9 VAR	RIABLE MODEL FOUND	•			
STEP 10	VARIABLE	MUSC ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.08662198	C(P) = 8.06570208		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	10 124 134	6.51027685 68.64705648 75.15733333	0.65102768 0.55360529	1.18	0.3133
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT SPRO EZN SKFD MUSC PRO E AGE MENS RACE PCINC	$\begin{array}{c} 5.37711025\\ 0.07928413\\ -0.01381711\\ -0.01014593\\ 0.00002591\\ -0.00770653\\ 0.00011262\\ -0.00470265\\ 0.20451404\\ -0.05148212\\ 0.00001442 \end{array}$	0.14690306 0.04068141 0.00980382 0.00009770 0.00548957 0.0052403 0.2552403 0.2107421 0.17888562 0.00002343	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16125421\\ 0.06386205\\ 0.59291638\\ 0.03893159\\ 1.09104056\\ 0.14706070\\ 0.40121222\\ 0.52468788\\ 0.04585241\\ 0.20987708 \end{array}$	0.29 0.12 1.07 0.07 1.97 0.27 0.72 0.72 0.95 0.08 0.38	0.5904 0.7347 0.3027 0.7913 0.1629 0.6072 0.3962 0.3322 0.7740 0.5392

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 10 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

)

### APPENDIX E

## STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS FOR SERUM

### ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE

#### MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE AP

WARNING:	2 OBS	ERVATIONS DELET	ED DUE TO MISSING	VALUES.			
STEP 1	VARIABLE	MENS ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.48804309	C(P) = 36.53371346		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	1 134 135	240.60115103 252.39046000 492.99161103	240.60115103 1.88351090	127.74	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT MENS	-0.10850000 2.91912500	0.25827829	240.60115103	127.74	0.0001
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS	THE BEST 1 VA	RIABLE MODEL FOUND				
STEP 2	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.55334807	C(P) = 17.03563504		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	2 133 135	272.79595520 220.19565583 492.99161103	136.39797760 1.65560643	82.39	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT AGE MENS	7.33223659 -0.03737264 1.98395258	0.00847499 0.32188407	32.19480417 62.89566489	19.45 37.99	0.0001 0.0001

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 2 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 3	VARIABLE VD ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.58411735	C(P) = 8.90653867		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION Error Total	3 132 135	287.96495160 205.02665943 492.99161103	95.98831720 1.55323227	61.80	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT VD AGE MENS	6.78759193 0.00182768 -0.03602750 1.89757134	0.00058484 0.00822007 0.31299638	15.16899639 29.83692150 57.08920715	9.77 19.21 36.76	0.0022 0.0001 0.0001

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 3 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 4	VARIABLE RNASE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.60761785	C(P) = 3.17028821		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 131 135	299.55050189 193.44110914 492.99161103	74.88762547 1.47664969	50.71	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT RNASE VD AGE MENS	9.05912057 -0.39993724 0.00178881 -0.03814729 1.95511924	0.14278160 0.00057041 0.00805051 0.30587343	11.58555030 14.52210536 33.15571051 60.33099173	7.85 9.83 22.45 40.86	0.0059 0.0021 0.0001 0.0001

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 4 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

	VANTADLE	MUSC ENTERED	K SQUARE	= 0.62087504	C(P) = 0.80608588		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	5 130 135	306.08618552 186.90542551 492.99161103	61.21723710 1.43773404	42.58	0.0001
			<b>B</b> VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT RNASE VD MUSC AGE MENS	10.28539247 -0.38234427 0.00149617 -0.00031006 -0.03879111 1.81367477	0.14112903 0.00057934 0.00014543 0.00794946 0.30902103	10.55249082 9.58902552 6.53568363 34.23483193 49.52463890	7.34 6.67 4.55 23.81 34.45	0.0077 0.0109 0.0349 0.0001 0.0001
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS	THE BEST 5 VAR	ABLE MODEL FOUND	) <b>.</b>			
THE ABOVE STEP 6	MODEL IS	THE BEST 5 VAR	IABLE MODEL FOUND R SQUARE	= 0.62793745	C(P) = 0.48117335		
THE ABOVE STEP 6	MODEL IS	THE BEST 5 VAR	IABLE MODEL FOUND R SQUARE DF	= 0.62793745 SUM OF SQUARES	C(P) = 0.48117335 MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS	THE BEST 5 VAR PCINC ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	IABLE MODEL FOUND R SQUARE DF 6 129 135	= 0.62793745 SUM OF SQUARES 309.56789687 183.42371416 492.99161103	C(P) = 0.48117335 MEAN SQUARE 51.59464948 1.42188926	F 36.29	PROB>F 0.0001
THE ABOVE	MODEL IS VARIABLE	THE BEST 5 VAR PCINC ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	IABLE MODEL FOUND R SQUARE DF 6 129 135 B VALUE	0. = 0.62793745 SUM OF SQUARES 309.56789687 183.42371416 492.99161103 STD ERROR	C(P) = 0.48117335 MEAN SQUARE 51.59464948 1.42188926 TYPE II SS	F 36.29 F	PROB>F 0.0001 PROB>F

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 6 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

---

114

------

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

\_\_\_\_\_

		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	7 128 135	310.46704813 182.52456290 492.99161103	44.35243545 1.42597315	31.10	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT RNASE VD RHT MUSC AGE MENS PCINC	12.67636586 -0.40464662 0.00148928 -0.02513115 -0.00032978 -0.03908996 1.81976372 0.00005697	0.14119304 0.00057925 0.03164839 0.00014618 0.00837556 0.31974351 0.00003481	11.71215014 9.42617706 0.89915126 7.25743537 31.06091519 46.18892592 3.81904109	8.21 6.61 0.63 5.09 21.78 32.39 2.68	0.0049 0.0113 0.4286 0.0258 0.0001 0.0001 0.1042
THE ABOV	E MODEL IS THE BEST 7 V	ARIABLE MODEL FOUND	).			
THE ABOV STEP 8	E MODEL IS THE BEST 7 VARIABLE SKFD ENTERED	ARIABLE MODEL FOUND R SQUARE	). : = 0.63082150	C(P) = 3.53175797		
THE ABOV	E MODEL IS THE BEST 7 V VARIABLE SKFD ENTERED	ARIABLE MODEL FOUND R SQUARE DF	0. = 0.63082150 SUM OF SQUARES	C(P) = 3.53175797 MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
THE ABOVI STEP 8	E MODEL IS THE BEST 7 VARIABLE SKFD ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	ARIABLE MODEL FOUND R SQUARE DF 8 127 135	0. = 0.63082150 SUM OF SQUARES 310.98970964 182.00190139 492.99161103	C(P) = 3.53175797 MEAN SQUARE 38.87371370 1.43308584	F 27.13	PROB>F 0.0001
THE ABOV	E MODEL IS THE BEST 7 V VARIABLE SKFD ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	ARIABLE MODEL FOUND R SQUARE DF 127 135 B VALUE	0. 5 = 0.63082150 SUM OF SQUARES 310.98970964 182.00190139 492.99161103 STD ERROR	C(P) = 3.53175797 MEAN SQUARE 38.87371370 1.43308584 TYPE II SS	F 27.13 F	PROB>F 0.0001 PROB>F

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 8 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

115

i

STEP 9	VARIABLE E ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.63209799	C(P) = 5.11154526		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	9 126 135	311.61900615 181.37260487 492.99161103	34.62433402 1.43946512	24.05	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT RNASE E VD RHT SKFD MUSC AGE	12.76258588 -0.42241114 -0.00012733 0.00155497 -0.02149951 -0.01137838 -0.00033737 -0.03864758	0.14324275 0.00019258 0.00061865 0.03223591 0.01576224 0.00014933 0.00856150	12.51775909 0.62929652 9.09387326 0.64029241 0.75011169 7.34692793 29.33230179	8.70 0.44 6.32 0.44 0.52 5.10 20.38	0.0038 0.5097 0.0132 0.5060 0.4717 0.0256 0.0001
	MENS PCINC	1.84699429 0.00005494	0.32337550 0.00003532	46.95891262 3.48332801	32.62 2.42	0.0001 0.1223

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 9 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 10	VARIABLE EZN ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.63222401	C(P) = 7.07005976		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	10 125 135	311.68113345 181.31047758 492.99161103	31.16811334 1.45048382	21.49	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN RNASE E VD RHT SKFD MUSC AGE MENS PCINC	$12.64625347 \\ 0.01360977 \\ -0.42081974 \\ -0.00011987 \\ 0.00154099 \\ -0.02077210 \\ -0.01159301 \\ -0.00033457 \\ -0.03914759 \\ 1.84792579 \\ 0.00005492$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 06576062\\ 0.\ 14399540\\ 0.\ 00019664\\ 0.\ 00062468\\ 0.\ 03254938\\ 0.\ 01585640\\ 0.\ 0015051\\ 0.\ 00892733\\ 0.\ 32464201\\ 0.\ 00003545 \end{array}$	0.06212729 12.38818909 0.53897020 8.82666246 0.59072923 0.77534728 7.16691373 27.89201879 46.99725524 3.48038970	0.04 8.54 0.37 6.09 0.41 0.53 4.94 19.23 32.40 2.40	0.8364 0.0041 0.5433 0.0150 0.5245 0.4661 0.0280 0.0001 0.0001 0.1239

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 10 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

### APPENDIX F

FIGURES 3 THROUGH 13



Figure 3. Scattergram of Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration and Zinc Intake for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects







Figure 5. Scattergram of Plasma Ribonuclease and Zinc Intake for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects



Figure 6. Scattergram of Plasma Ribonuclease and Zinc Intake for Post-Menarcheal Subjects



Figure 7. Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Zinc Intake for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects



for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects



Figure 9. Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Plasma Ribonuclease for Post-Menarcheal Subjects



Figure 10. Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects



Figure 11. Scattergram of Serum Alkaline Phosphatase and Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration for Post-Menarcheal Subjects



Figure 12. Scattergram of Plasma Ribonuclease and Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration for Pre-Menarcheal Subjects



Figure 13. Scattergram of Plasma Ribonuclease and Erythrocyte Zinc Concentration for Post-Menarcheal Subjects

### APPENDIX G

### STEPWISE REGRESSION MODELS WITH

## "FORCED" VARIABLES

#### MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE EZN

THE FIRST 1 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

STEP O	INCLUDED	VARIABLE ENTERE	D R SQUAKE	= 0.02173409	C(P) = 28.36551612		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	1 133 134	9.48270078 426.82278070 436.30548148	9.48270078 3.20919384	2.95	0.0879
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT	8.06475299		0.40020020	0.05	0 0970
		2N	-0.04778841	0.02780062	9.48270078	2.99	0.0879
STEP 2	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED	-0.04778841 R SQUARE	= 0.19001068	9.48270078 C(P) = 2.95222674	2.95	0.0879
STEP 2	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED	-0.04778841 R SQUARE DF	0.02780062 = 0.19001068 SUM OF SQUARES	9.48270078 C(P) = 2.95222674 MEAN SQUARE	 F	PROB>F
STEP 2	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	-0.04778841 R SQUARE DF 2 132 134	0.02780062 = 0.19001068 SUM OF SQUARES 82.90270208 353.40277941 436.30548148	9.48270078 C(P) = 2.95222674 MEAN SQUARE 41.45135104 2.67729378	2.95 F 15.48	PROB>F
STEP 2	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	-0.04778841 R SQUARE DF 2 132 134 B VALUE	0.02780062 = 0.19001068 SUM OF SQUARES 82.90270208 353.40277941 436.30548148 STD ERROR	9.48270078 C(P) = 2.95222674 MEAN SQUARE 41.45135104 2.67729378 Type II SS	 F 15.48 F	PROB>F 0.0001 PROB>F

	DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SOUARE	· F	
				r	LKOR>1
REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	3 131 134	88.59821331 347.70726817 436.30548148	29.53273777 2.65425396	11.13	0.0001
	B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
INTERCEPT ZN AGE E	1.33887058 0.01787301 0.04144154 -0.00046834	0.03531924 0.00840893 0.00031971	0.67969665 64.46634845 5.69551124	0.26 24.29 2.15	0.6137 0.0001 0.1454
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL INTERCEPT ZN AGE E	REGRESSION 3   ERROR 131   TOTAL 134   B VALUE   INTERCEPT 1.33887058   ZN 0.01787301   AGE 0.04144154   E -0.00046634	REGRESSION 3 88.59821331   ERROR 131 347.70726817   TOTAL 134 436.30548148   B VALUE STD ERROR   INTERCEPT 1.33887058 2N 0.01787301 0.03531924   AGE 0.04144154 0.00840893 E -0.00046834 0.00031971	REGRESSION 3 88.59821331 29.53273777   ERROR 131 347.70726817 2.65425396   TOTAL 134 436.30548148 436.30548148   B VALUE STD ERROR TYPE II SS   INTERCEPT 1.33887058 -0.01787301 0.03531924 0.67969665 -67969665   AGE 0.0144154 0.00840893 64.46634845 -0.00046834 0.00031971 5.69551124	REGRESSION 3 88,59821331 29,53273777 11.13   ERROR 131 347.70726817 2.65425396 11.13   TOTAL 134 436.30548148 2 65425396 11.13   B VALUE STD ERROR TYPE II SS F   INTERCEPT 1.33887058 2N 0.01787301 0.03531924 0.67969665 0.26   AGE 0.0114154 0.00840893 64.46634845 24.29 2.15

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 3 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

#### MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE EZN

.

#### THE FIRST I VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

STEP 4	VARIABLE	PRO ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0,22212090	C(P) = 1.72127487		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 130 134	96.91256744 339.39291404 436.30548148	24.22814186 2.61071472	9.28	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT ZN AGE PRO E	1.01739631 -0.02134285 0.04262540 0.02330642 -0.00098909	0.04135074 0.00836602 0.01305993 0.00043092	0.69550128 67.77334080 8.31435413 13.75422057	0.27 25.96 3.18 5.27	0.6066 0.0001 0.0767 0.0233
THE ABOVE		THE BEST & VA	RIABLE MODEL FOUND				
STEP 5	VARIABLE	RHT ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.23051476	C(P) = 2.35386461		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	5 129 134	100.57485187 335.73062962 436.30548148	20.11497037 2.60256302	7.73	0.0001
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT ZN RHT AGE PRO E	6.33908710 -0.01204413 -0.04943286 0.04060042 0.02257200 -0.00103866	0.04202369 0.04167162 0.00852559 0.01305422 0.00043227	0.21377836 3.66228443 59.02190131 7.78107580 15.02559897	0.08 1.41 22.68 2.99 5.77	0.7749 0.2377 0.0001 0.0862 0.0177

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

.

MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE RNASE

THE FIRST I VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

THE FIRST 1 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL A

3 OBSERVATIONS DELETED DUE TO MISSING VALUES.

STEP O INCLUDED VARIABLE ENTERED R SQUARE = 0.01366807 C(P) = 7.36365375 DF SUM OF SQUARES MEAN SQUARE F PROB>F 1.02725532 74.13007801 75.15733333 REGRESSION 1.02725532 1 1.84 0.1769 ERROR 133 0.55736901 134 **B VALUE** STD ERROR TYPE II SS F PROB>F INTERCEPT 5.32729794 -0.04852258 0.03574177 1.02725532 EZN 1.84 0.1769 . . . . . ----------STEP 2 VARIABLE AGE ENTERED R SQUARE = 0.03361175 C(P) =6.56593330 DF SUM OF SQUARES MEAN SQUARE F PROB>F 2 132 134 2.52616945 72.63116389 75.15733333 RECRESSION 1.26308472 2.30 0.1047 ERROR 0.55023609 TOTAL B VALUE STD ERROR TYPE II SS F PROB>F INTERCEPT 6.23611651 -0.02038205 EZN 0.03939315 0.14730023 0.27 0.6057 AGE -0.00672950 0.00407726 1.49891413 2.72 0.1012

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 2 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

WARNING:

STEP 3	VARIABLE AP ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.06427967	C(P) = 4.26380651		
		DL	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION Error Total	3 131 134	4.83108830 70.32624503 75.15733333	1.61036277 0.53684157	3.00	0.0326
		<b>B VALUE</b>	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERGEPT EZN AP AGE	7.66235204 -0.01684205 -0.09120264 -0.01342855	0.03894821 0.04401520 0.00516448	0.10038319 2.30491886 3.62954127	0.19 4.29 6.76	0.6661 0.0402 0.0104

#### MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE RNASL

### THE FIRST I VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

STEP 3	AGE REPLACED BY MENS	R SQUARE	= 0.06881905	C(P) = 3.62701761		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	3 131 134	5.17225601 69.98507732 75.15733333	1.72408534 0.53423723	3.23	0.0244
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN AP MENS	4.94195306 -0.03756729 -0.10479346 0.53136195	0.03666334 0.04636692 0.19490523	0.56090555 2.72889006 3.97070898	1.05 5.11 7.43	0.3074 0.0255 0.0073

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 3 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 4	VARIABLE PRO ENTERED	R SQUAR	E = 0.09140218	C(P) = 2.45903257		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 130 134	6.86954408 68.28778925 75.15733333	1.71738602 0.52529069	3.27	0.0137
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN AP PRO MENS	5.17628674 -0.04069270 -0.09441332 -0.00495181 0.58351124	0.03639661 0.04633827 0.00275478 0.19543171	0.65661505 2.18065373 1.69728807 4.68282649	1.25 4.15 3.23 8.91	0.2656 0.0436 0.0746 0.0034

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 4 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 5	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE = 0.11564189		C(P) = 1.05866118		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	5 129 134	8.69133607 66.46599726 75.15733333	1.73826721 0.51524029	3.37	0.0069
			<b>B</b> VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT EZN AP PRO AGE MENS	6.98497379 -0.01694656 -0.12704845 -0.00513624 -0.01013106 0.45434952	0.03819482 0.04906496 0.00273006 0.00538779 0.20538019	0.10142949 3.45466264 1.82371806 1.82179199 2.52158058	0.20 6.70 3.54 3.54 4.89	0.6580 0.0107 0.0622 0.0623 0.0287

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE RNASE

THE FIRST 2 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

WARNING: 3 OBSERVATIONS DELETED DUE TO MISSING VALUES.

STEP 0 INCLUDED		D VARIABLES ENTERED	R SQUARE = 0.02929632		C(P) = 7.17130601		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR IOTAL	2 132 134	2.20183336 72.95549998 75.15733333	1.10091668 0.55269318	1.99	0.1405
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT EZN ZN	5.56202058 -0.05625629 -0.01700470	0.03598473 0.01166461	1.35079585 1.17457804	2.44 2.13	0.1204 0.1473
STEP 3	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.05697722	C(P) = 5.28820114		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	3 131 134	4.28225592 70.87507741 75.15733333	1.42741864 0.54103113	2.64	0.0514
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT EZN ZN AGE	6.70742823 -0.02443453 -0.02113581 -0.00805912	0.03912696 0.01173159 0.00410982	0.21099775 1.75608648 2.08042256	0.39 3.25 3.85	0.5334 0.0739 0.0520

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 3 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 4	VARIABLE AP ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.08285401	C(P) = 3.65817866		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 130 134	6.22708639 68.93024694 75.15733333	1.55677160 0.53023267	2.94	0.0231
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN ZN AP AGE	7.97487460 -0.02074525 -0.01893655 -0.08418468 -0.01410434	0.03878240 0.01167056 0.04395674 0.00514946	0.15171707 1.39599809 1.94483047 3.97785113	0.29 2.63 3.67 7.50	0.5936 0.1071 0.0577 0.0070

#### MAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE RNASE

### THE FIRST 2 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

STEP 4	AGE REPLACED BY MENS	R SQUARE	= 0.08653425	C(P) = 3.14191061		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 130 134	6.50368353 68.65364980 75.15733333	1.62592088 0.52810500	3.08	0.0185
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN ZN AP MENS	5.11913858 -0.04258362 -0.01847094 -0.09760644 0.55115644	0.03658896 0.01163296 0.04632172 0.19418398	0.71532809 1.33142751 2.34481113 4.25444827	1.35 2.52 4.44 8.06	0.2466 0.1148 0.0370 0.0053
THE ABOVE	E MODEL IS THE BEST 4 VA	RIABLE MODEL FOUND	,			

STEP 5	VARIABLE AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.11177335	C(P) = 1.60134401		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	r	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	5 129 134	8.40058688 66.75674646 75.15733333	1.68011738 0.51749416	3.25	0.0087
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN ZN AP AGE MENS	6.97159119 -0.01857862 -0.01985893 -0.13080688 -0.01035158 0.41868616	0.03832829 0.01153830 0.04902346 0.00540676 0.20429675	0.12158884 1.53296887 3.68433140 1.89690335 2.17350049	0.23 2.96 7.12 3.67 4.20	0.6287 0.0876 0.0086 0.0578 0.0424

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

HAXIMUM R-SQUARE IMPROVEMENT FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLE RNASE

THE FIRST 3 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

WARNING: 3 OBSERVATIONS DELETED DUE TO MISSING VALUES.

STEP 0	INCLUDED	VARIABLES ENTERED		R SQUARE :	= 0.02992702	C(P) =	9.08283045		
			DF		SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	3 131 134		2.24923526 72.90809807 75.15733333	0.7 0.5	4974509 5655037	1.35	0.2611
			В	VALUE	STD ERROR	TYP	E II SS	F	PROB>F
а		INTERCEPT EZN ZN AP	5.61 -0.05 -0.01 -0.01	238030 874556 635119 038421	0.03710379 0.01191751 0.03558179	1.3 1.0 0.0	9514067 4768823 4740190	2.51 1.88 0.09	0.1158 0.1724 0.7709
STEP 4	VARIABLE	MENS ENTERED		R SQUARE =	0.08653425	C(P) =	3.14191061		
			DF		SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION Error Total	4 130 134		6.50368353 68.65364980 75.15733333	1.6 0.5	2592088 2810500	3.08	0.0185
			B	VALUE	STD ERROR	TYP	E II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT EZN ZN AP MENS	5.11 -0.04 -0.01 -0.09 0.55	913858 258362 847094 760644 115644	0.03658896 0.01163296 0.04632172 0.19418398	0.7 1.3 2.3 4.2	1532809 3142751 4481113 5444827	1.35 2.52 4.44 8.06	0.2466 0.1148 0.0370 0.0053

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 4 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 5	VARIABLE	AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.11177335	C(P) = 1.60134401		
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	5 129 134	8.40058688 66.75674646 75.15733333	1.68011738 0.51749416	3.25	0.0087
			8 VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
		INTERCEPT EZN ZN AP AGE MENS	6.97159119 -0.01857862 -0.01985893 -0.13080688 -0.01035158 0.41868616	0.03832829 0.01153830 0.04902346 0.00540676 0.20429675	0.12158084 1.53296887 3.68433140 1.89690335 2.17350049	0.23 2.96 7.12 3.67 4.20	0.6287 0.0876 0.0086 0.0578 0.0424

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.
C(P) = 177.47149092

MEAN SQUARE

29.53744305

3.45861319

TYPE II SS

29.53744305

MEAN SQUARE

120.86292790

1.88921620

TYPE II SS

1.12470476

212, 18841274

MEAN SQUARE

91.20890406

1.66185529

TYPE II SS

0.83075698

31,90085639

62.90788879

37.78269193

18.48089713

C(P) =

C(P) =

F

F

F

F

F

F

0.50

19.20

37.85

54.88

0.60

112.32

63.98

8.54

8.54

PROB>F

0.0041

PROB>F

0.0041

-----

PROB>F

0.0001

PROB>F

0.4417

0.0001

PROB>F

0.0001

PROB>F

0.4808

0.0001

0.0001

SUM OF SQUARES

29.53744305

463.45416798

492.99161103

STD ERROR

0.08884457

SUM OF SQUARES

241.72585579

251.26575524

492.99161103

STD ERROR

0.06850063

0.26984760

SUM OF SQUARES

273.62671218 219.36489885 492.99161103

STD ERROR

0.06826646

0.00902224

0.32249107

THE FIRST I VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

R SQUARE = 0.05991470

R SQUARE = 0.49032448

R SQUARE = 0.55503320

2 OBSERVATIONS DELETED DUE TO MISSING VALUES.

DF

1

134

135

ÐF

2

133

135

DF

3

132

135

**B VALUE** 

B VALUE

**B VALUE** 

7.32665391

0.04826672

-0.03952929

1.98414608

0.36779734

-0.05285341

2.85981907

5.63193122

-0.25963685

INCLUDED VARIABLE ENTERED

ERROR

TOTAL

EZN

----

VARIABLE MENS ENTERED

REGRESSION

INTERCEPT

REGRESSION

INTERCEPT

REGRESSION

INTERCEPT EZN

ERROR

TOTAL

AGE MENS

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 2 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

ERROR

TOTAL

EZN

VARIABLE AGE ENTERED

MENS

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 3 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

WARNING:

STEP O

STEP 2

STEP 3

137

# THE FIRST 1 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

STEP 4	VARIABLE VD ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.58519832	C(P) = 10.55068733		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 131 135	288.49786269 204.49374834 492.99161103	72.12446567 1.56102098	46.20	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	. F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN VU AGE MENS	6.78789795 0.03870028 0.00181163 -0.03776852 1.89848495	0.06623555 0.00058695 0.00876283 0.31378405	0,53291109 14,87115051 28,99876739 57,14277411	0.34 9.53 18.58 36.61	0.5600 0.0025 0.0001 0.0001

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 4 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

.

STEP 5	VARIABLE RNASE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.60830942	C(P) = 4.94262688		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	5 130 135	299.89143989 193.10017114 492.99161103	59.97828798 1.48538593	40.38	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN RNASE VD AGE MENS	9.04256101 0.03098332 -0.39697854 0.00177625 -0.03952546 1.95542494	0.06467105 0.14333644 0.00057270 0.00857141 0.30677757	0.34093800 11.39357720 14.28887800 31.58553165 60.34959868	0.23 7.67 9.62 21.26 40.63	0.6327 0.0064 0.0024 0.0001 0.0001

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

THE FIRST 2 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

WARNING: 2 OBSERVATIONS DELETED DUE TO MISSING VALUES.

STEP O	INCLUDED	VARIABLES ENTERED	RED R SQUARE = $0.06187657$		C(P) = 178.82565279			
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F	
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	2 133 135	30.50462865 462.48698238 492.99161103	15.25231432 3.47734573	4.39	0.0143	
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F	
		INTERCEPT EZN RNASE	6.23977115 -0.26512173 -0.11420464	0.08968986 0.21654731	30.38448281 0.96718560	8.74 0.28	0.0037 0.5988	
STEP 3	VARIABLE	MENS ENTERED	R SQUAR	E = 0.50908041	C(P) = 33.60833280			
			DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F	
		REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	3 132 135	250.97236980 242.01924123 492.99161103	83.65745660 1.83347910	45.63	0.0001	
			B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F	
		INTERCEPT EZN RNASE MENS	2.11121911 -0.06391119 -0.35658824 2.94373967	0.06766200 0.15878738 0.26845089	1.63583761 9.24651400 220.46774115	0.89 5.04 120.25	0.3466 0.0264 0.0001	

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 3 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

.

# THE FIRST 2 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

STEP 4	VARIABLE AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.57932540	C(P) = 12.48402425		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION ERROR TOTAL	4 131 135	285.60256189 207.38904914 492.99161103	71.40064047 1.58312251	45.10	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN RNASE AGE MENS	9.62685259 0.04016550 -0.40689474 -0.04129488 2.04079374	0.06669480 0.14794019 0.00882930 0.31543227	0.57416535 11.97584971 34.63019209 66.26748750	0.36 7.56 21.87 41.86	0.5481 0.0068 0.0001 0.0001

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 4 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 5	VARIABLE VD ENTERED	R SQUARE	= 0.60830942	C(P) = 4.94262688		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION Error Total	5 130 135	299.89143989 193.10017114 492.99161103	59.97828798 1.48538593	40.38	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN RNASE VD AGE MENS	9.04256101 0.03098332 -0.39697854 0.00177625 -0.03952546 1.95542494	0.06467105 0.14333644 0.00057270 0.00857141 0.30677757	0.3/1093800 11.39357720 14.28887800 31.58553165 60.34959868	0.23 7.67 9.62 21.26 40.63	0.6327 0.0064 0.0024 0.0001 0.0001

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

THE FIRST 3 VARIABLES IN EACH MODEL ARE INCLUDED VARIABLES.

2 OBSERVATIONS DELETED DUE TO MISSING VALUES. WARNING: C(P) = 169.63611813STEP 0 INCLUDED VARIABLES ENTERED R SQUARE = 0.09586715F DF SUM OF SQUARES MEAN SQUARE PROB>F REGRESSION 47.26170202 15.75390067 3 4.67 0.0041 132 135 ERROR 445.72990901 3.37674173 TOTAL 492.99161103 TYPE II SS **B VALUE** STD ERROR F PROB>F INTERCEPT 5.03931456 23.02760638 -0.23372112 0.08949989 6.82 0.0101 EZN RNASE -0.05461288 0.21506202 0.06 0.7999 0.06459372 0.02899614 16.75707337 4.96 0.0276 ZN -------------STEP 4 VARIABLE MENS ENTERED R SQUARE = 0.51187641 34.68790165 C(P) =DF SUM OF SQUARES MEAN SQUARE F PROB>F 252.35077674 240.64083429 492.99161103 REGRESSION 63.08769418 1.83695293 34.34 0.0001 4 131 ERROR 135 TOTAL PROB>F B VALUE STD ERROR TYPE II SS F 1.82596038 INTERCEPT 0.06807595 0.16083075 0.02181935 1.33066271 7.98296152 0.3963 0.0390 0.72 EZN RNASE -0.33527572 4.35 0.01890086 1.37840694 0.75 0.3879 ZN 111.65 205.08907472 MENS 2.89667429 0.27414316 0.0001 -----\_\_\_\_\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST & VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

STEP 5	VARIABLE AGE ENTERED	R SQUARE = 0.57995525		C(P) = 14.27667882		
		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F	PROB>F
	REGRESSION Error Total	5 130 135	285.91307548 207.07853554 492.99161103	57.18261510 1.59291181	35.90	0.0001
		B VALUE	STD ERROR	TYPE II SS	F	PROB>F
	INTERCEPT EZN RNASE ZN AGF. MENS	9.41531464 0.04197144 -0.39621804 0.00902103 -0.04088065 2.02738773	0.06702561 0.15035427 0.22043204 0.00890611 0.31785959	0.62462280 11.06187426 0.31051360 33.56229875 64.80294119	0.39 6.94 0.19 21.07 40.68	0.5323 0.0094 0.6596 0.0001 0.0001

THE ABOVE MODEL IS THE BEST 5 VARIABLE MODEL FOUND.

# o∽ VITA

Wendy McGovern Sandoval

Candidate for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Thesis: INDICES OF ZINC STATUS IN ADOLESCENT FEMALES

Major Field: Home Economics

Biographical:

- Personal Data: Born in Albuquerque, New Mexico, October 29, 1948, the daughter of James E. and Edith M. McGovern.
- Education: Graduated from St. Pius X High School, Albuquerque, New Mexico, in May, 1966; received Bachelor of Science in Home Economics degree from University of New Mexico in 1971; received Master of Science degree in Home Economics from University of Nevada-Reno in 1972; completed Administrative Dietetic Internship, University of California, Berkeley, 1973; completed requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree at Oklahoma State University in July, 1982.
- Professional Experience: Food and Nutrition Specialist, Cooperative Extension Service, University of Nevada-Reno, 1/71 to 7/71; Graduate Research Assistant, University of Nevada-Reno, 8/71 to 12/72; Vocational Home Economics Teacher, Valley High School, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 1/73 to 6/73; Director, Dietetic Technician Program and Instructor, Oscar Rose Junior College, Midwest City, Oklahoma, 7/74 to 7/75; Nutritionist, Maternity and Infant Care Project, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 8/75 to 8/78; faculty member in Home Economics Department, College of Education, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 8/78 to present.
- Professional Organizations: American Dietetics Association, American Home Economics Association, New Mexico Dietetics Association, New Mexico Home Economics Association; Society for Nutrition Education, New Mexico Community Nutrition Council; Kappa Omicron Phi.