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PREFACE

It has been known [2], [6] that for each $n \geq 1$, the Grothendieck representation ring $R\left(S_{n}\right)$ of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ forms a special $\lambda$ ring with respect to the exterior power. Since the Hopf ring $H$ of the symmetric function in infinite number of variables is a free $\lambda$-ring on the first elementary symmetric function $a_{1}$, the graded Hopf ring $R(S)=$ $\left\{R\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ is also a special $\lambda$-ring by pulling back the $\lambda$-structure on $H$ through the Frobenius isomorphism $F: R(S) \longrightarrow H$. However, it has remained to be answered what $\lambda$-structure on $R(S)$ is, in fact, compatible with $F$ (see p. 135 [6]). In this paper it is shown that the desired structure is derived from the outer plethysm [5].

In Chapter $I$, it is shown that the divided polynomial Hopf ring $C=\left\{C\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ of integer valued class functions defined on the symmetric groups is a special $\psi$-Hopf ring. In Chapter II, a $\lambda$-ring structure is introduced in $R(S)$ in terms of outer plethysms so that $R(S)$ forms a $\psi-$ Hopf ring. The character formula of outer plethysms is given in Chapter III, by taking advantage of this formula it is shown that $R(S)$ forms a special $\psi$-Hopf ring with respect to the Adams operations derived from outer plethysms. In Chapter IV, we answer a question raised by Knutson. A summary of the results in Chapter $V$ concludes this thesis.
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THE SPECIAL $\psi$-HOPF RING C

The aim of this chapter is to show that the divided polynomial Hopf ring $C=\left\{C\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ of integer valued class functions defined on the symmetric groups $S_{n}$ is a special $\psi$-Hopf ring.

Definition 1.1 Let $K$ be a commutative ring with unity. A Hopf algebra $A$ is a $K$-module $A$ which is both a $K$-algebra with product $\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ with unit $\eta: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{a} K$-coalgebra with comultiplication $\Delta: A \longrightarrow A \otimes A$ with co-unit $\varepsilon: A \longrightarrow K$ such that:
(i) $\quad \eta: K \longrightarrow A$ is a homomorphism of coalgebras.
(ii) $\varepsilon: A \longrightarrow K$ is a homomorphism of algebras.
(iii) $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a homomorphism of algebras.

Definition 1.2 Let $K$ be a commutative ring with unity and let $G$ be a finite group. A K-valued class function is a map $f: G \longrightarrow K$ satisfying $f\left(a^{-1} b a\right)=f(b)$ for any $a, b \in G$.

Let $S_{n}$ denote the symmetric group of degree $n$. $C\left(S_{n}\right)$ denotes the abelian group of all integer valued class functions on $S_{n}$ with addition defined by $(f+g)(s)=f(s)+g(s)$ for all $f, g \in C\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $s \in S_{n}$. Consider the graded connected abelian group $C=\left\{C\left(S_{n}\right) \mid n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ where $C\left(S_{0}\right)=Z$. Then it is well known [3] that $C$ forms a graded Hopf ring with respect to the following operations. First we define a multiplication
$\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{C} \otimes \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$. Let $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}}$ be an embedding defined by

$$
i_{p, q}(\sigma, \tau)(j)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\sigma(j) & \text { if } \quad 1 \leq j \leq p \\
p+\tau(j) & \text { if } p+1 \leq j \leq p+q,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

for $(\sigma, \tau) \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}$. Note that in dividing up the $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}$ symbols permuted by $S_{p+q}$ into one set of $p$ symbols and another set of $q$ symbols, there are $\binom{p+q}{p}$ ways, but any two such ways of constructing an injection $S_{p} \times S_{q} \rightarrow$ $S_{p+q}$ give conjugate subgroups of $S_{p+q}$. Hereafter it is assumed that $S_{p} \times S_{q}$ is considered as a subgroup embedded by $i_{p, q}$ in $S_{p+q} ; i_{p, q}$ induces the restriction map:

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{S_{p}}^{S_{p} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}}: \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)
$$

by defining

$$
\left(\operatorname{Res}_{S_{p} \times S_{q}}^{S_{p+q}} f\right)(t)=f(t), \text { for any } f \in C\left(S_{p+q}\right) \text { and for any } t \in S_{p} \times S_{q}
$$

Also, we have the induction map:

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{p}}^{S_{p+q}} \times \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{p} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}}^{\left.\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)(\mathrm{s})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{q}!}} \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}}}} \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{t}^{-1} \mathrm{st}\right)\right. \\
\mathrm{t}^{-1} \mathrm{st} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}
\end{array}
$$

for any $g \in C\left(S_{p} \times S_{q}\right)$ and for any $s \in S_{p+q}$.
Let $f_{t} \in C\left(S_{p}\right)$ and $g_{s} \in C\left(S_{q}\right)$ be characteristic functions of the conjugacy class $\bar{t}$ in $S_{p}$ and the class $\bar{s}$ in $S_{q}$, respectively, then the characteristic function $h$ of the conjugacy class $(\overline{t, s})$ in $S_{p} \times S_{q}$ is defined
by $h(\sigma, \tau)=f_{t}(\sigma) \cdot g_{s}(\tau)$. The characteristic functions of the conjugacy classes of $S_{p}$ form a base for $C\left(S_{p}\right)$. Hence we have an isomorphism

$$
\psi_{p, q}: C\left(S_{p}\right) \otimes C\left(S_{q}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C\left(S_{p} \times S_{q}\right) .
$$

Define the multiplication $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}: \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \otimes \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}}\right)$ by the composition

$m_{p, q}=\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{p} \times S_{q}}^{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}}{ }^{\circ} \psi_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$.
Setting $\Delta_{p, q}: C\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow C\left(S_{p}\right) \otimes C\left(S_{q}\right)$, for each $p, q$ with $p+q=n$ by $\Delta_{p, q}=\psi_{p, q}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Res}_{S_{p}}^{S_{p+q} \times S_{q}}$, we define a comultiplication $\Delta_{n}: C\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\underset{p+q=n}{\sum} C\left(S_{p}\right) \otimes C\left(S_{p}\right)$ by $\Delta_{n}=\underset{p+q=n}{\sum} \Delta_{p, q}$. We also define a unit map $n: Z \rightarrow C$ by the injection onto $C\left(S_{0}\right)=Z$, and a co-unit map $\varepsilon: C \longrightarrow Z$ by the projection of $C$ onto $C\left(S_{0}\right)$.

Now we introduce some notations on partitions.

Definition 1.3 If $n$ is a positive integer, then a partition $\pi$ of $n$ (in notation, $\pi \vdash n$ ) is a sequence $\pi=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}$ such that $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+$ $\ldots+n_{k}, n_{i}>0$. If $\pi_{1}$ of the $n ' s$ are equal to $1, \pi_{2}$ are equal to 2 , etc., this partition is denoted by $\pi=\left\{1^{\pi} 1,2^{\pi}, \ldots, n^{\pi} n^{n}\right.$, where $\pi_{i}$ 's are nonnegative integers.

The length of $\pi$, denoted by $\ell(\pi)$ is defined by $\ell(\pi)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i} . \pi$ !
stands for $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i}!$ and $|\pi|=\pi!\prod_{j=1}^{n} j^{\pi}$.
An element $s \in S_{n}$ is said to have shape $\pi$ if the disjoint cycle decomposition of $s$ produces the partition $\pi$. A conjugacy class in $S_{n}$ is said to have shape $\pi$ if a representative has shape $\pi$.

Let $K_{\pi}$ be the characteristic function of the conjugacy class of shape $\pi$; that is $K_{\pi}$ maps a conjugacy class in $S_{n}$ of shape $\pi$ into 1 and all other conjugacy classes in $S_{n}$ into zero. Then $\left\{K_{\pi} \mid n \vdash \pi\right\}$ is a base for $C\left(S_{n}\right) . K_{\{n\}}$ will be denoted by $c_{n}$. It is known (for example, see [9]) that $C=\left\{C\left(S_{n}\right) \mid n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a divided polynomial Hopf ring with generators $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}, \ldots$, where the degree of $c_{n}$ is $2 n$.

Definition 1.4 By a divided polynomial ring $D[x]$ with one generator $x$ of an even degree, we mean a graded abelian group $\left\{z_{n} \mid n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ with a base $x_{0}=1, x_{1}=x, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots$, such that the multiplication is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}} \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q})!}{\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{q}!} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}} \cdot \\
& \text { Given } \pi=\left\{1^{\pi}, 2^{\pi_{2}}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}^{\pi} \mathrm{p}_{\}} \vdash \mathrm{p}, \sigma=\left\{1^{\sigma_{1}}, 2^{\sigma_{2}}, \ldots, \mathrm{q}^{\sigma^{\sigma}}\right\} \vdash \mathrm{q},\right. \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$ $s \in S_{p+q}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(K_{\pi} \cdot K_{\sigma}\right)(s) & =\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{p} \times S_{q}}^{S_{q}+q} \circ \psi_{p, q}\left(K_{\pi} \otimes K_{\sigma}\right)\right)(s) \\
& =\frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{t \in S_{p+q}} \psi_{p, q}\left(K_{\pi} \otimes K_{\sigma}\right)\left(t^{-1} s t\right) \\
t^{-1} s t \in S_{p} \times S_{q} & \text { if the shape of } s \text { is not } \pi \vee \sigma \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \frac{p}{p!q!q!}|\pi \vee \sigma| \\
\text { if the shape of } s \text { is } \pi \vee \sigma .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $K_{\pi} \cdot K_{\sigma}=\frac{(\pi \vee \sigma)!}{\pi!\sigma!} K_{\pi \vee \sigma}$, where $\pi \vee \sigma=\left\{1^{\pi_{1}+\sigma}{ }_{1}, 2^{\pi_{2}+\sigma_{2}}, \ldots\right\} \vdash \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}$. For each $\pi \vdash n$, by definition we have

$$
\Delta_{n}\left(K_{\pi}\right)(s, t)=\sum_{p+q=n} \psi_{p, q}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Res}_{S_{p}}^{S_{n}} \times S_{q}\left(K_{\pi}\right)(s, t)
$$

Now $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{\mathrm{P}}}^{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}$ takes value 1 on conjugacy classes with shape $\pi$ in the embedded subgroup $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and takes the value 0 otherwise. An element $(s, t) \in S_{p} \times S_{q}$ with $s$ and $t$ having shape $\sigma$ and $\tau$, respectively, is embedded by $i_{p, q}$ as an element with shape $\sigma \vee \tau$, and conversely. Hence

$$
\Delta_{n}\left(K_{\pi}\right)=\sum_{\sigma V \tau=\pi}^{\sum} K_{\sigma} \otimes K_{\tau} .
$$

Definition 1.5 A commutative Hopf ring $R=\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ of even gradings is called a $\psi$-Hopf ring if there exists a sequence of operations $\psi^{k}: R_{n} \rightarrow$ $R_{k n}$ for $k \geq 1$, satisfying (1) $R_{0}=z$, (2) $\psi^{1}(x)=x$, and (3) $\psi^{k}(x+y)=$ $\psi^{k}(x)+\psi^{k}(y)$ for $x, y \in R_{n}$.

Definition 1.6 A special $\psi$-Hopf ring is a $\psi$-Hopf ring $R=\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ which satisfies additional conditions:
(1) $\psi^{k}(1)=1$,
(2) $\psi^{k}(x y)=\psi^{k}(x) \cdot \psi^{k}(y)$,
(3) $\Delta \psi^{k}(x)=\left(\psi^{k} \otimes \psi^{k}\right) \Delta(x)$, and
(4) $\psi^{\ell}\left(\psi^{k}(x)\right)=\psi^{\ell k}(x)$
for $\ell, k \geq 1$, where $\Delta$ is the comultiplication for $R$. The condition (3) states that $\psi^{k}: R \rightarrow R$ is a coalgebra morphism in the sense that the following diagram is commutative


Now we are going to make $C=\left\{C\left(S_{n}\right) \mid n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ a special $\psi$-Hopf ring. For $k \geq 1$, define

$$
\psi^{k}: C\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow C\left(S_{k n}\right)
$$

by $\psi^{k}(1)=1, \psi_{\pi}^{k}\left(K_{\pi}\right)=k^{\ell(\pi)} K_{k \pi}$, and extend linearly, where $k \pi=\left\{k^{\pi_{1}}\right.$, $\left.(2 k)^{\pi_{2}}, \ldots,(n k)^{\pi_{n}}{ }^{\pi}\right\}$. Note that $k \pi, k n$, since $\pi_{1} k+\pi_{2}(2 k)+\ldots+\pi_{n}(n k)=k n$, for any $\pi \vdash \mathrm{n}$.

Proposition 1.7 The divided polynomial Hopf ring $C=\left\{C\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a special $\psi$-Hopf ring.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the operations $\psi^{k}$ satisfies the above axioms for basis elements.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{k}\left(K_{\pi} \cdot K_{\sigma}\right) & =\psi^{k}\left(\frac{(\pi \vee \sigma)!}{\pi!\sigma!} K_{\pi \vee \sigma}\right)=k^{\ell(\pi \vee \sigma)} \frac{(\pi \vee \sigma)!}{\pi!\sigma!} K_{k(\pi \vee \sigma)} \\
& =k^{\ell(\pi)+\ell(\sigma)} \frac{(k \pi \vee k \sigma)!}{(k \pi)!(k \sigma)!} K_{k \pi \vee k \sigma}=\psi^{k}\left(K_{\pi}\right) \cdot \psi^{k}\left(K_{\sigma}\right) \cdot \\
\psi^{k}\left(\psi^{\ell}\left(K_{\pi}\right)\right) & =\psi^{k}\left(\ell^{\ell(\pi)} K_{\ell \pi}\right)=\ell^{\ell(\pi)} \psi^{k}\left(K_{\ell \pi}\right)=(\ell k)^{\ell(\pi)} K_{(k \ell) \pi}=\psi^{k \ell}\left(K_{\pi}\right) \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show that $\psi^{k}$ is a coalgebra morphism. Consider the following diagram


$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\psi^{k} \otimes \psi^{k}\right) \circ \Delta\left(K_{\pi}\right) & =\left(\psi^{k} \otimes \psi^{k}\right)\left(\sum_{\sigma \vee \tau=\pi}^{\sum} K_{\sigma} \otimes K_{\tau}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \vee \tau=\pi}^{\sum} \psi^{k}\left(K_{\sigma}\right) \otimes \psi^{k}\left(K_{\tau}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \vee \tau=\pi} k^{\ell(\sigma)+\ell(\tau)} K_{k \sigma} \otimes K_{k \tau}=k^{\ell(\pi)} \sum_{k \sigma \vee k \tau=k \pi}^{\sum} K_{k \sigma} \otimes K_{k \tau} \\
= & k^{\ell(\pi)} \sum_{\sigma^{\prime} \vee \tau^{\prime}=k \pi}^{\sum} K_{\sigma^{\prime}} . \otimes K_{\tau^{\prime}}=k^{\ell(\pi)} \Delta\left(K_{k \pi}\right)=\Delta \circ \psi^{k}\left(K_{\pi}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the proof is complete.

For each $k \geq 0$, define $\sigma^{k}: C\left(S_{n}\right) \otimes Q \rightarrow C\left(S_{k n}\right) \otimes Q$ by $k \sigma^{k}(f)=$ $\sum^{k} \sigma^{k-i}(f) \psi^{i}(f)$ and $\sigma^{0}(f)=1$ for any $f \in C\left(S_{n}\right) \otimes Q$ where $Q$ is the field of i=1 rationals. Then it is evident that for each $k \geq 1$ and for any $f \in C\left(S_{n}\right) \otimes Q$, we have $\psi^{k}(f)=(-1)^{k-1} Q_{k}\left(\sigma^{1}(f), \sigma^{2}(f), \ldots, \sigma^{k}(f)\right)$, where $Q_{k}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ is the $k$-th Newton polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions $a_{1}$, $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ of $k$-variables.

## CHAPTER II

## OUTER PLETHYSM

In this chapter our objective is to define a $\lambda$-structure $\lambda^{k}: R\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow R\left(S_{k n}\right), k \geq 0$ on the graded Hopf representation ring of symmetric groups $R(S)=\left\{R\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$. It is shown in $\S 3$ of this chapter that the desired structure is derived from the outer plethysm. In §l we introduce basic facts relative to representations of finite groups, and the graded Hopf ring $R(S)$ is constructed. In $\S 2$ we define the wreath product of two symmetric groups. In $\S 4$ we study the Adams operations $\psi^{k}: R\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow R\left(S_{k n}\right)$ for $k \geq 1$, and show that $R(S)$ is a $\psi$-Hopf ring.
§1 Introduction to Representation Theory of

## Finite Groups

Definition 2.1 Let $G$ be a finite group. A linear representation of $\underline{G}$ over a complex field $\mathbb{C}$ is a finite dimensional vector space $V$ over $\mathbb{C}$ together with a group homomorphism $\rho: G \longrightarrow$ Aut V.

While, strictly speaking, a representation of $G$ is given by a pair $(V, \rho)$, we will often speak of the representation $V$.

We often write $\rho(\mathrm{g})$ simply as g and $\rho(\mathrm{g})(\mathrm{v})=\mathrm{gv}$. If we use this notation then Definition 2.1 implies that for $g, g^{\prime} \in G, v, v^{\prime} \in V$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,
(1) $\quad\left(g g^{\prime}\right) v=g\left(g^{\prime} v\right)$
(2) $g\left(v+v^{\prime}\right)=g v+g v^{\prime}$,
(3) $g(\alpha v)=\alpha(g v)$,
(4) $1 \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}$.

Hence the representation $V$ of $G$ can be considered as a left G-module.

Definition 2.2 A map of G-modules $f:(V, \rho) \rightarrow\left(W, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ is a linear map of vector spaces $f: V \rightarrow W$ such that $f(g v)=g f(v)$ for any $v \in V$ and $g \in G$.

Definition 2.3 Two G-modules are said to be isomorphic if there exists a map of $G$-modules between them which is also a vector space isomorphism.

Let $V$ and $W$ be two representations of a finite group $G$. Then the sum of $V$ and $W$, denoted by $V+W$, is constructed by considering the underlying vector space as the direct sum $V \oplus W$ on which $g \in G$ acts diagonally, that is $g(v, w)=(g v, g w)$ for any $(v, w) \in V \oplus W$.

Let $F(G)$ be the free abelian group generated by the totality of isomorphism classes of $G$-modules. Let $N(G)$ be the subgroup generated by all elements of the form $[V+W]-[V]-[W]$. Define $R(G)=\frac{F(G)}{N(G)}$. Then $R(G)$ is called the representation group of $G$ or the Grothendieck group of $G$.

Definition 2.4 $\mathrm{A} G$-module V is said to be reducible if $\mathrm{V}=\{0\}$ or if there is a subspace $W$ of $V$ such that $W$ is stable under $G$ (i.e., $G W \subset W$ ), with $W \neq\{0\}$ and $W \neq V$. If $V$ is not reducible it is said to be irreducible.

An inner product
$<,>: R(G) \times R(G) \longrightarrow Z$,
is determined by its value on representations $V$ and $W$
$\langle V, W\rangle=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, W)$.

An immediate consequence of Schur's Lemma [7] is that if $V$ and $W$ are irreducible representations of $G$ then

$$
\langle V, W\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } V \nsubseteq W \\
1 & \text { if } V \simeq W
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Proposition 2.5 The set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $G$ form an orthonormal basis for $R(G)$ with respect to the inner product $<,>$. The rank of $R(G)$ equals the number of conjugacy classes of $G$.

The proposition is a basic fact whose proof can be found in any standard textbook on the representation theory.

Let $H$ be a subgroup of a group $G$. $H$ acts on $G$ as a set of permutations by $h(g)=$ gh. Let $\mathbb{C} G$ be the vector space with basis the elements of G. This action of $H$ makes $\mathbb{C G}$ a right $H$-module. Hence we have

Definition 2.6 Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Let $V$ be a representation of $H$. Then the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V$ of $G$ is defined as the vector space $\mathbb{C} G \otimes V$, modulo the subspace generated by all elements of the form $g h \otimes v-g \otimes h v . G$ acts on $I n d_{H}^{G} V$ by, for $g_{1} \in G, g_{1}(g \otimes v)=\left(g_{1} g\right) \otimes v$.

For use in later discussion, we state without proof (see for example [7])

Proposition 2.7 (Frobenius Reciprocity Formula) Let $H$ be a subgroup of a finite group $G$. Let $V$ and $W$ be two complex representations of
$G$ and $H$ respectively. Then

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{~V}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{W}, \operatorname{Res}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{~V}\right\rangle .
$$

Proposition 2.8 (Induction is Transitive) If $H \subset H^{\prime} \subset G$ are groups, and X is an H -module, then

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{H^{\prime}}^{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{H}{ }^{\prime} X\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} X .
$$

Proof: By associativity of the tensor product, together with the natural isomorphism $\mathbb{C G} \underset{H^{\prime}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C H} \simeq \mathbb{C G}$ we have
as $\mathbb{d}$-modules. The isomorphisms all commute with the action of $G$ from the 1eft. This completes the proof.

Proposition 2.9 If HCG and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \subset G^{\prime}$ are groups, $X$ is an $H$-module and $Y$ is an $H^{\prime}$-module, then

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} \mathrm{X}\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H^{\prime}}^{G^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{H}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{G} \times \mathrm{G}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{X} \otimes \mathrm{Y}) .
$$

Proof: We may identify $\mathbb{C}\left(G \times G^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathbb{C G} \otimes \mathbb{C} G^{\prime}$ as right $H \times H^{\prime}$-modules. Define a map
by $\phi\left(\mathrm{g} \otimes \mathrm{g}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{x} \otimes \mathrm{y}\right)=\mathrm{g} \otimes \mathrm{x} \otimes \mathrm{g}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{y} . \quad \phi$ is well defined since for any $\left(h, h^{\prime}\right) \in H \times H^{\prime}$,
$\phi\left(g h \otimes g^{\prime} h^{\prime} \otimes x \otimes y\right)=g h \otimes x \otimes g^{\prime} h^{\prime} \otimes y=g \otimes h x \otimes g^{\prime} \otimes h^{\prime} y=\phi\left(g \otimes g^{\prime} \otimes h x \otimes h^{\prime} y\right)$.

It is a routine to show that $\phi$ is a $G \times G^{\prime}$-module isomorphism. This com-
pletes the proof.

Let $R\left(S_{n}\right)$ be the Grothendieck group of the symmetric group $S_{n}$. Consider the graded connected abelian group $R(S)=\left\{R\left(S_{n}\right) \mid n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ with even gradings, where $R\left(S_{0}\right)=Z$. As in the case of the graded abelian group of class functions $C=\left\{C\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$, we define multiplication

$$
m_{p, q}: R\left(S_{p}\right) \otimes R\left(S_{q}\right) \longrightarrow R\left(S_{p+q}\right)
$$

by the composition

$m_{p, q}=\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{p}}^{S_{p+q}} \times S_{q} \circ \psi_{p, q}$, where for any irreducible representations $M$ and $N$ of $S_{p}$ and $S_{q}$, respectively,

$$
\psi_{p, q}: R\left(S_{p}\right) \otimes R\left(S_{q}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R\left(S_{p} \times S_{q}\right)
$$

given by $\psi_{p, q}([M] \otimes[N])=[M \otimes N]$ is an abelian group isomorphism. A comulti$\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{S} \text { ication } \Delta_{\mathrm{n}}}: \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \underset{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}}{\sum} \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \otimes \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ is defined by $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}=\sum_{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}} \psi_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{-1}$ 。 $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{p} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}}^{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}}$. It is known (for example, see [4], [9] or [10]) $\stackrel{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{th}$ (S) forms a graded Hopf ring with respect to these operations where the unit map $n: Z \longrightarrow R(S)$ is defined by injection onto $R\left(S_{0}\right)$, and the co-unit map $\varepsilon: R(S) \longrightarrow Z$ is defined by projection of $R(S)$ onto $R\left(S_{0}\right)$.

The wreath product of $S_{n}$ by $S_{k}$, denoted by $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$, is constructed as
follows: Let $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]=S_{n}^{k} \times S_{k}=\left\{\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right) \mid g_{i} \in S_{n}, h \in S_{k}\right\}$, with multiplication defined by the rule

$$
\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}\right)=\left(g_{1} g_{h^{\prime}}^{\prime}-1\right), \ldots, g_{k} g_{h}^{\prime}-1(k)
$$

Clearly under this multiplication, $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ is a group, with $1=\left(e_{n}, \ldots, e_{n}\right.$; $e_{k}$ ) and $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)^{-1}=\left(g_{h(1)}^{-1}, \ldots, g_{h(k)}^{-1} ; h^{-1}\right)$, where $e_{n}$ and $e_{k}$ are the identity elements of $S_{n}$ and $S_{k}$, respectively.

Remark 2.10 By construction, $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ is a semi-direct product $S_{n}^{k} \times S_{k}$, where $\theta: S_{k} \rightarrow$ Aut $\left(S_{n}^{k}\right)$ is a group homomorphism given by $\theta(h)\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.g_{k}\right)\right)=\left(g_{h^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, g_{h^{-1}(k)}\right)$ for $h \in S_{k}, g_{i} \in S_{n}$. In other words, the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow s_{n}^{k} \xrightarrow{\alpha} s_{k}\left[s_{n}\right] \xrightarrow[\gamma]{\longrightarrow} S_{k} \longrightarrow 1
$$

is split, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right)=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; e_{k}\right), \\
& \beta\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)=h, \text { and } \\
& \gamma(h)=\left(e_{n}, \ldots, e_{n} ; h\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $\phi: S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right] \rightarrow S_{k n}$ given by

$$
\phi\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)\right)=\binom{(j-1) n+i}{(h(j)-1) n+g_{h(j)}(i)} \begin{aligned}
& 1 \leq i \leq n \\
& 1 \leq j \leq k
\end{aligned}
$$

is a canonical embedding of $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ into $S_{k n}$. Hereafter, $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ is considered as a subgroup of $S_{k n}$ by the embedding $\phi$.

For a representation $M$ of $S_{n}$ and for $k \geq 1$, the $k-t h$ tensor product $M^{\otimes} k$ is a representation of $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ with a group action given by

$$
\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)\left(X_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X_{k}\right)=g_{1} X_{h^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{k} X_{h}^{-1}(k)
$$

where $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right) \in S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$, and $X_{i} \in M$. The following proposition will be useful in the sequel.

Proposition 2.11 Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be $S_{n}$-modules. Then for any $k \geq 1$,

$$
\left(M_{1} \oplus M_{2}\right)^{\otimes k} \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \operatorname{Ind}\left(S_{k}^{\left[S_{n}\right]}\left(S_{k-i} \times S_{i}\right)\left[S_{n}\right]\left(M_{1}^{\otimes(k-i)} \otimes M_{2}^{\otimes i}\right)\right.
$$

Proof: First note that,

$$
\left(M_{1} \oplus M_{2}\right)^{\otimes k} \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{k} W_{i}
$$

where $W_{i}=\sum_{J_{i}} M_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes M_{i_{k}}$, and the index set $J_{i}=\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \mid i_{p}=1\right.$ or $\left.2, \sum_{\ell}^{i_{\ell}}=2 i\right\} .{ }^{i}$ It suffices to show that

$$
W_{i} \simeq \operatorname{Ind}\left(S_{k-i}^{\left[S_{n}\right]} \quad\left[S_{n}\right] M_{1}^{\otimes(k-i)} \otimes M_{2}^{\otimes(i}\right)
$$

for each i. By definition

$$
\text { Ind } \begin{aligned}
& S_{k-i}^{\left[S_{n}\right]} \\
& \left(S_{k-1}\right)\left[S_{n}\right]
\end{aligned}\left(M_{1}^{\otimes(k-i)} \otimes M_{2}^{\otimes i}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(S_{k-i}\left[S_{n}\right]\right) \quad \otimes S_{i}\left[S_{n}\right] \quad\left(M_{1}^{\otimes(k-i)} \otimes M_{2}^{\otimes i}\right)
$$

Define a map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi: \mathbb{C}\left(S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]\right) \underset{\left(S_{k-i} \times S_{i}\right)\left[S_{n}\right]}{ }\left(M_{1}^{\otimes(k-i)} \otimes M_{2}^{\otimes i}\right) \rightarrow W_{i} \text { by } \\
\phi\left([ g _ { 1 } , \ldots , g _ { k } ; h ] \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \leq j \leq k-i \\
k-i+1 \leq \ell \leq k
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

In order to show that $\phi$ is well defined let $\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k-i}^{\prime}, g_{k-i+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime}\right.$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)\right) \in\left(S_{k-i} \times S_{i}\right)\left[S_{n}\right], \text { and consider, } \\
& \quad \phi\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right]\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} ;\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\underset{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k-i \\
k-i+1 \leq \ell \leq k}}{\otimes}\left(X_{j} \otimes Y_{\ell}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(\left[g_{1} g_{h}^{\prime}-1(1), \ldots, g_{k} g_{h}^{\prime}-1(k) ; h\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)\right] \otimes\left(\otimes\left(X_{j} \otimes Y_{\ell}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\|\left(g_{j} g_{h}^{\prime}-1(j)_{(h \sigma)^{-1}(j)}^{X} \otimes g_{\ell} g_{h}^{\prime}-1(\ell)\left(h \sigma^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(\ell)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right] \otimes\left(\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} ;\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\otimes\left(X_{j} \otimes Y_{\ell}\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\phi\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right] \otimes\left(\otimes\left(g_{j}^{\prime} X{ }_{\sigma}-1(j) \otimes g_{\ell}^{\prime Y}{ }_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{-1}(\ell)\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\otimes\left(g_{j} g_{h}^{\prime}-1(j) \sigma^{X}-1\left(h^{-1}(j)\right) \otimes g_{\ell} g_{h}^{\prime}-1(\ell){ }^{Y}{ }^{\prime}-1\left(h^{-1}(\ell)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\phi$ is well defined. It is a routine to show that $\phi$ is an $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$-map. Since $W_{i}$ is a direct sum of ( $\binom{k}{i}$ copies of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ which are permuted transitively by $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ it follows that $\phi$ is surjective. However

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ind} \underset{k}{S_{k-i}\left[S_{n}\right]} S_{i}\right)\left[S_{n}\right] \\
&\left.\left(M_{1}^{\otimes(k-i)} \otimes M_{2}^{\otimes i}\right)\right)=\left|\frac{S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]}{\left(S_{k-i} \times S_{i}\right)\left[S_{n}\right]}\right| \operatorname{dim}\left(M_{1}^{\otimes(k-i)^{*}} \otimes M_{2}^{\otimes i}\right) \\
&=\binom{k}{i} \operatorname{dim}\left(M_{1}^{\otimes(k-i)} \otimes M_{2}^{\otimes i}\right) \\
&=\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\phi$ is injective. It follows that $\phi$ is an $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$-isomorphism. This
completes the proof.

$$
\text { §3 Outer Plethysm } \sigma^{k}([M])
$$

Let $M$ be an $S_{n}$-module, and $N$ an $S_{k}$-module. Then $M^{\otimes k} \otimes N$ is an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$-module where the group action is given by

$$
\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)\left(X_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X_{k} \otimes Y\right)=g_{1} X_{h^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{k} X_{h}^{-1}(k) \quad \otimes h Y
$$

where $g_{i} \in S_{n}, h \in S_{k}, X_{i} \in M$ and $Y \in N$. Because for any $\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}\right) \in$ $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}\right)\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)\right)\left(X_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X_{k} \otimes Y\right) \\
= & \left(g_{1}^{\prime} g_{h^{\prime}}-1(1), \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} g_{h^{\prime}}-1(k)\right. \\
= & g_{1}^{\prime} g_{h^{\prime}}-1 h_{(1)} X\left(X_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X_{k} \otimes Y\right) \\
& \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{k^{\prime} g_{h^{\prime}}-1}^{(k)} X_{\left(h^{\prime}\right)}^{-1} X^{-1}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}\right)\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)\left(X_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X_{k} \otimes Y\right)\right) \\
& =\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}\right)\left(g_{1} X_{h^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{k} X_{h^{-1}(k)} \otimes h Y\right) \\
& =g_{1}^{\prime} g_{h^{\prime}}{ }^{-1}(1){ }_{h}{ }_{h}^{-1}\left(h^{-1}(1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is immediate to verify all other conditions.

Definition 2.12 The outer plethysm of $M$ and $N$ denoted by $M \theta N$ and is defined by

$$
M 0 N=\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]}^{S_{k n}}\left(M^{\otimes k} \otimes N\right)
$$

For $k \geq 1$ and for any $S_{n}$-module $M$ define

$$
\hat{\sigma}^{k}(M)=\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]^{M}}^{M^{8 k}} \in R\left(S_{k n}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\sigma}^{0}(M)=1 \in R\left(S_{0}\right)
$$

Note that $\hat{\sigma}^{k}(M)=M \odot l_{S_{k}}$, where $1_{S_{k}}$ is the trivial one-dimensional representation of $S_{k}$. For any $[M] \in R\left(S_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{k}}([\mathrm{M}])=\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]^{\mathrm{M}^{2 \mathrm{k}}}\right] .}\right.
$$

Proposition 2.13 $\hat{\sigma}^{k}$ satisfies the following:
(1) $\hat{\sigma}^{k}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\rho_{k}$,
(2) $\hat{\sigma}^{1}([M])=[M]$,
(3) $\quad \hat{\sigma}^{k}([M]+[N])=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([M]) \hat{\sigma}^{i}([N])$,
where $\rho_{k}=\left[1_{S_{k}}\right]$ and $[M],[N] \in R\left(S_{n}\right)$.
Proof: The first two formulas are immediate from definition. The third formula is verified as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}^{k}([M]+[N]) & =\hat{\sigma}^{k}([M \oplus N]) \\
& =\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]}^{S_{k n}}(M \oplus N)^{\otimes k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

by proposition 2.11

$$
\left.\left.=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]}^{S_{k n}} \operatorname{Ind}_{S_{k-i}}^{S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]}{ }_{n}\right] \times S_{i}\left[S_{n}\right]^{M(k-i)} \otimes N^{\infty i}\right)\right]
$$

by proposition 2.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{k-i}}\left[S_{n}\right] \times S_{i}\left[S_{n}\right] M^{M(k-i)} \otimes N^{\otimes i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

by proposition 2.9

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{S}^{S_{k n}}{ }_{(k-i) n} \times S_{i n} \operatorname{Ind}_{S_{k-i}\left[S_{n}\right]}^{S} M^{\otimes(k-i)}\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{i}\left[S_{n}\right]^{N i}}{ }^{\otimes i n}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([M]) \hat{\sigma}^{i}([N]) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the proof is complete.

For any $S_{n}$ representation $M$, consider a system of linear equations in variables $\lambda^{1}([M]), \lambda^{2}([M]), \ldots, \lambda^{k}([M])$

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([M]) \lambda^{i}([M])=0
$$

where $\lambda^{0}([M])=1, \lambda^{0}(n)=1$ and $\lambda^{k}(n)=0$ for $k \geq 1$ and for any integer $n$. By solving this system of equations, we obtain $\lambda^{k}([M]) \in R\left(S_{k n}\right)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with unity 1 . Let $1+R[[t]]^{+}$ be the totality of formal power series in one variable $t$, with constant term 1 . Then $1+R[[t]]^{+}$is an abelian group with respect to formal power series multiplication.

For any $[M] \in R\left(S_{n}\right)$, let
$\hat{\sigma}_{t}([M])=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}^{k}([M]) t^{k}$ and

$$
\lambda_{t}([M])=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k}([M]) t^{k}
$$

Then, the defining relations for $\lambda^{k}$ is equivalent to the fact that $\lambda_{t}([M])=\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{-t}([M])}$, since
$\lambda_{t}([M]) \hat{\sigma}_{-t}([M])=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([M]) \lambda^{i}([M])\right) t^{k}$.
Proposition 2.14 For any $[M],[N] \in R\left(S_{n}\right)$ we have
(1) $\quad \hat{\sigma}_{t}([M]+[N])=\hat{\sigma}_{t}([M]) \hat{\sigma}_{t}([N])$,
(2) $\quad \lambda_{t}([M]+[N])=\lambda_{t}([M]) \lambda_{t}([N])$,
(3) $\quad \lambda^{k}([M]+[N])=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda^{k-i}([M]) \lambda^{i}([N])$.

## Proof:

(1) $\quad \hat{\sigma}_{t}([M]+[N])=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}^{k}([M]+[N]) t^{k}$
by Proposition 2.13

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([M]) \hat{\sigma}^{i}([N])\right) t^{k} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}^{i}([M]) t^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{\sigma}^{j}([N]) t^{j}\right) \\
& =\hat{\sigma}_{t}([M]) \hat{\sigma}_{t}([N]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{t}([M]+[N]) & =\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{-t}([M]+[N])} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{-t}([M])}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{-t}([N])}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\lambda_{t}([M]) \lambda_{t}([N])
$$

(3) It follows immediately from (2).

Hence the proof is complete.

Let $M\left(S_{n}\right)=\left\{(M, N) \mid M, N \quad S_{n}\right.$-modules $\}$. Define addition on $M\left(S_{n}\right)$ by $(M, N)+\left(\mathbb{M}^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)=\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}, N \oplus N^{\prime}\right)$.

With respect to this operation $M\left(S_{n}\right)$ is a semi-group. We define an equivalence relation $\sim$.on $M\left(S_{n}\right)$ by $(M, N) \sim\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $M \oplus N^{\prime} \simeq M^{\prime} \oplus N$. We denote by $\langle M, N\rangle$ the equivalence class containing ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}$ ).

Let $\overline{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) / \sim . \overline{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is a group with $0=\langle\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D}\rangle$ and $-\langle\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}\rangle=$ $<N, M>$. It is clear from the construction that the map $h: \bar{R}\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow R\left(S_{n}\right)$ defined by $h(<M, N>)=[M]-[N]$ is a group isomorphism. Hereafter, we identify $\bar{R}\left(S_{n}\right)$ with $R\left(S_{n}\right)$ by $h$.

For each integer $k \geq 1$, we define a map
$\tilde{\sigma}^{k}: M\left(S_{n}\right) \longrightarrow R\left(S_{k n}\right)$ by
$\tilde{\sigma}^{k}((M, N))=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([M]) \lambda^{i}([N])$, and
$\tilde{\sigma}^{0}((M, N))=1$.
Because of defining relations for $\lambda^{k}$, it is immediate to see that for any $k \geq 1$,

$$
\tilde{\sigma}^{k}((D, D))=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([D]) \lambda^{i}([D])=0,
$$

for any $S_{n}$-module $D$. Then $\tilde{\sigma}^{k}$ is well defined, because

$$
\tilde{\sigma}^{k}((M \oplus D, N \oplus D))=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([M]+[D]) \lambda^{i}([N]+[D])
$$

by proposition 2.13 and proposition 2.14,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i-j}([M]) \hat{\sigma}^{j}([D]) \sum_{\ell=0}^{i} \lambda^{i-\ell}([N]) \lambda^{\ell}([D])\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k} \tilde{\sigma}^{k-i}((M, N)) \tilde{\sigma}^{i}((D, D))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\tilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{k}}((\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N})),
$$

for all $S_{n}$-modules $M, N$ and $D$. Hence the map $\tilde{\sigma}^{k}$ preserves equivalence classes; consequently, let

$$
\sigma^{k}: R\left(S_{n}\right) \longrightarrow R\left(S_{k n}\right)
$$

be the map induced by $\tilde{\sigma}^{k} ; \sigma^{k}([M]-[N])=\tilde{\sigma}^{k}((M, N))$ for any $k \geq 0$. If $[M] \in R\left(S_{n}\right)$, then
$\sigma^{k}([M])=\tilde{\sigma}^{k}((M, 0))=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \hat{\sigma}^{k-i}([M]) \lambda^{i}([0])=\hat{\sigma}^{k}([M])$.
This definition of $\left\{\sigma^{k} \mid k \geq 0\right\}$ is equivalent to the one defined by
$\sigma_{t}([M]-[N])=\hat{\sigma}_{t}([M]) \lambda_{-t}([N])$.
Proposition $2.15 \lambda^{k}$ satisfies the following:
(1) $\lambda^{k}\left(n_{1}\right)=\eta_{k}$,
(2) $\lambda^{1}([M])=[M]$,
(3) $\lambda^{k}([M]-[N])=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \lambda^{k-i}([M]) \sigma^{i}([N])$
where $\eta_{k} \in R\left(S_{k}\right)$ is represented by the sign representation $A 1 t S_{k}$ of $S_{k}$, and $[M],[N] \in R\left(S_{n}\right)$.
Proof: Since $\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} \rho_{k-i} \eta_{i}=0$ and since $\sigma^{k-i}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\rho_{k-i}$, we obtain $\lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\eta_{k}$. $\quad$ The rest of the proposition follows from the defining relations. This completes the proof.

Definition 2.16 A commutative graded ring $R=\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ of even gradings is called a $\lambda$-ring if there exists a set of maps $\lambda^{k}: R_{n} \rightarrow R_{k n}$ for $k \geq 0$,
satisfying the following axioms:
(1) $\lambda^{0}(x)=1$,
(2) $\lambda^{1}(x)=x$ and
(3) $\lambda^{k}(x+y)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda^{k-i}(x) \lambda^{i}(y)$ for $x, y \in R_{n}$.

Thus we have

Theorem 2.17 The graded Hopf representation ring of symmetric groups $R(S)=\left\{R\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a $\lambda$-ring.

## §4 Adams Operations

Now, we will investigate certain operations derived from the $\lambda$-operations which are easier to handle.

Let $R$ be a $\lambda$-ring, we now define operations $\psi^{k}: R \rightarrow R, k \geq 1$ in terms of $\lambda^{k}$. To do this, set $\psi_{t}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi^{k}(x) t^{k}$, for any $x \in R$ and define $\psi_{t}$ by the formula:

$$
\psi_{-t}(x)=\frac{-t \frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda_{t}(x)\right)}{\lambda_{t}(x)}
$$

where $\lambda_{t}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k}(x) t^{k}$.
Proposition 2.18 The map $\psi^{k}: R \rightarrow R$ is additive for any $k \geq 1$.
Proof: $\psi_{-t}(x+y)=-t \frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda_{t}(x+y)\right) / \lambda_{t}(x+y)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-t\left[\frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda_{t}(x)\right) \lambda_{t}(y)+\lambda_{t}(x) \frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda_{t}(y)\right)\right] / \lambda_{t}(x) \lambda_{t}(y) \\
& =-t\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda_{t}(x)\right)\right) / \lambda_{t}(x)-t\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda_{t}(y)\right)\right) / \lambda_{t}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\psi_{-t}(x)+\psi_{-t}(y)
$$

and therefore, by comparing coefficients, we have $\psi^{k}(x+y)=\psi^{k}(x)+\psi^{k}(y)$. This completes the proof. If we solve $\psi_{-t}(x)=-t\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda_{t}(x)\right)\right) / \lambda_{t}(x)$ for $\psi^{k}(x)$ explicitly then we obtain the following formulae which may serve as a definition of the $\psi^{k}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi^{1}(x)-\lambda^{1}(x) & =0 \\
\psi^{2}(x)-\psi^{1}(x) \lambda^{1}(x)+2 \lambda^{2}(x) & =0 \\
\vdots & \psi^{k}(x)-\psi^{k-1}(x) \lambda^{1}(x)+\ldots+(-1)^{k-1} \psi^{1}(x) \lambda^{k-1}(x)+(-1)^{k} k \lambda^{k}(x)=0
\end{array}
$$

If we solve this system of equations for $\psi^{k}(x)$, we obtain

$$
\psi^{k}(x)=Q_{k}\left(\lambda^{1}(x), \lambda^{2}(x), \ldots, \lambda^{k}(x)\right)
$$

where $Q_{k}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ is the $k-t h$ Newton polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ of $k$-variables. Note that for any $x \in R$, $\psi^{1}(x)=\lambda^{1}(x)=x . \quad$ The maps $\psi^{k}: R \rightarrow R$ are called the Adams $\psi$-operations in $R$.

In $\S 3$ we have shown that the graded Hopf representation ring $R(S)=$ $\left\{R\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a $\lambda$-ring. Hence $R(S)$ is a $\psi$-ring where for $k \geq 1$, the Adams operation

$$
\psi^{k}: R\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow R\left(S_{n k}\right)
$$

is defined by $\psi^{k}(x)=Q_{k}\left(\lambda^{1}(x), \ldots, \lambda^{k}(x)\right)$, thus we have

Proposition 2.19 The graded Hopf representation ring $R(S)=\left\{R\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a $\psi$-Hopf ring.

Proposition $2.20 \psi^{k}(x)=(-1)^{k-1} Q_{k}\left(\sigma^{1}(x), \sigma^{2}(x), \ldots, \sigma^{k}(x)\right)$ for any $x \in R\left(S_{n}\right)$.

Proof: Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{-t}(x)=-\lambda \frac{d}{d t}\left(\lambda_{t}(x)\right) / \lambda_{t}(x) \\
&=t \frac{d}{d t}\left(\sigma_{-t}(x)\right) / \sigma_{-t}(x), \\
&\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \psi^{i}(x) t^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{j} \sigma^{j}(x) t^{j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} k \sigma^{k}(x) t^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating coefficients of $t^{k}$ we obtain the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi^{1}(x)-\sigma^{1}(x) & =0 \\
\psi^{2}(x)+\psi^{1}(x) \sigma^{1}(x)-2 \sigma^{2}(x) & =0 \\
\vdots & \\
\psi^{k}(x)+\psi^{k-1}(x) \sigma^{1}(x)+\ldots+\psi^{1}(x) \sigma^{k-1}(x)-k \sigma^{k}(x) & =0
\end{array}
$$

Solving for $\psi^{k}$ by Cramer's rule we obtain

$$
\psi^{k}(x)=(-1)^{k-1} Q_{k}\left(\sigma^{1}(x), \sigma^{2}(x), \ldots, \sigma^{k}(x)\right)
$$

## CHAPTER III

## CHARACTERS OF OUTER PLETHYSMS

Let $x: R(S) \rightarrow C$ be the character map and let $\rho_{\pi}=\rho_{1}{ }_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n}{ }_{n}$ for $\pi \vdash \mathrm{n}$ be a basis element in $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$. In this chapter we are going to investigate $\chi\left(\sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right)$ in terms of a base $\left\{K_{\tau}|\tau|-k n\right\}$ for $C\left(S_{k n}\right)$. In §1 we introduce some necessary preliminaries. In $\S 2$ we describe the conjugacy classes of a wreath product $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$. In $\S 3$ the character formula of outer plethysms is given. The formula enables us to prove in $\S 4$ that $R(S)$ forms a special $\psi$-Hopf ring with respect to the Adams operations derived from outer plethysms.

## §1 Preliminaries

By the usual inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{t \in S_{n}} f(t) g\left(t^{-1}\right)
$$

for $f, g \in C\left(S_{n}\right) \otimes Q$, the vector space $C\left(S_{n}\right) \otimes Q$ becomes an inner product space over $Q$.

The Frobenius reciprocity theorem [7] states that for any subgroup $H$ in $S_{n}$ and for any $f \in C\left(S_{n}\right) \otimes Q$ and $g \in C(H) \otimes Q$,

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{S}{ }_{n}{ }_{f, g\rangle}=\left\langle f, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{S} n_{g>}\right.\right.
$$

If a bilinear form $\beta$ is defined on $C \otimes Q$ by the orthogonal sum such that for $f \in C\left(S_{p}\right) \otimes Q$ and $g \in C\left(S_{q}\right) \otimes Q$

$$
\beta(f, g)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } p \neq q \\
\langle f, g\rangle & \text { if } p=q
\end{array}\right.
$$

then the graded vector space of finite type $C \otimes Q$ becomes an inner product space. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle K_{\pi}, K_{\pi},\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{t \in S_{n}} K_{\pi}(t) K_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(t^{-1}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \pi \neq \pi^{\prime} \\
\frac{1}{|\pi|} & \text { if } \pi=\pi^{\prime}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

because the cardinality of a conjugacy class of shape $\pi$ is $\frac{n!}{|\pi|}$.
For each partition $\pi=\left\{1^{\pi}, 2^{\pi}, \ldots, n^{\pi} n^{n}\right.$ of $n$, let $S_{\pi}$ stand for the subgroup of $S_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\pi} & =\frac{\pi_{1}}{s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{1}} \times \ldots \times \overbrace{S_{n} \times \ldots \times s_{n}}^{\pi_{n}} \\
& =S_{1}^{\pi_{1}} \times \ldots \times s_{n}^{\pi_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the trivial representation of $S_{\pi}$ is denoted by $1_{S_{\pi}}$, we denote the induced representation by $\rho_{\pi}=\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} 1_{S_{\pi}}\right]$. It is known that $\left\{\rho_{\pi} \mid \pi \vdash n\right\}$ forms a basis for $R\left(S_{n}\right)$.

Let $X: R(S) \longrightarrow C$ be the character map. Then it is well known (for example, see [7]) that $X$ is a ring monomorphism.

$$
\text { §2 The Conjugacy Classes of } \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]
$$

In this section we shall describe the conjugacy classes of a wreath product $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$. To do this we consider an element $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}, \mathrm{~g}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{h}\right) \epsilon$
$S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$. Let $h=h_{1} \ldots h_{\nu} \ldots h_{c(h)}$ be a cycle decomposition of $h$. Then we can associate with the $v$ th cyclic factor $h_{\nu}$ of $h$ a uniquely determined element of $S_{n}$ denoted by $g\left(h_{\nu}\right)$, which we call the cycle product associated with the cycle $h_{v}$. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{p(n)}$ be the conjugacy classes of $S_{n}$ and let $c\left(g\left(h_{v}\right)\right)$ be the conjugacy class represented by $g\left(h_{v}\right)$. If $\ell\left(h_{\nu}\right)$ denotes the length of the cycle $h_{\nu}$, then we have $\sum_{\nu=1} \ell\left(h_{\nu}\right)=k$. Define a non-negative integer $a_{i j}$ with $p(n) \geq i \geq 1$ and $k \geq j \geq 1$ by,

$$
\sum_{v} \delta_{\ell\left(h_{v}\right), j} \cdot \delta\left(c\left(g\left(h_{v}\right)\right), c_{i}\right),
$$

where the first $\delta$ denotes the Kronecker delta, and

$$
\delta\left(c\left(g\left(h_{\nu}\right)\right), c_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } c_{i}=c\left(g\left(h_{\nu}\right)\right) \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Then it is immediate to see $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{p(n)} j \cdot a_{i j}=k$. If $M_{k}$ denotes the set of all matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ of order $p(n) \times k$ with non-negative integral entries $\mathrm{k} p(\mathrm{n})$ such that $\sum_{j=1} \sum_{i=1} j \cdot a_{i j}=k$, a surjective map

$$
\alpha: S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right] \rightarrow M_{k}
$$

is defined by $\alpha\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right)\right)_{i j}=a_{i j}$.
Proposition 3.1 Two elements $\xi$ and $\varepsilon$ of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ are conjugate if and only if $\alpha(\xi)=\alpha(\varepsilon)$.

This is Theorem 4.2.8 of [5].

Definition 3.2 An element $\xi$ of $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ is said to be of type $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ if $\alpha(\xi)=A$.

The order of a conjugacy class of $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ which is characterized by the type $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is given by

Proposition 3.3 The class of elements of type $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ in $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ is of order
$\frac{\left|S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]\right|}{\prod_{i, j} a_{i j}!\left(j k!/\left|c_{i}\right|\right)^{a_{i j}}}$.
This is Lemma 4.2.10 of [5].
For notations's sake, the characteristic map of the conjugacy class consisting of elements of type $A$ will be denoted by $K_{A}$, which is a class function of $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$.

## §3 The Character Formula for Outer Plethysms

Let $M$ be an $S_{n}$ representation. Then $M^{\otimes k}$ is an $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ representation. For later purposes it is useful to know the character of the represention $M^{\otimes k}$. If $g\left(h_{v}\right), c(h) \geq v \geq 1$, are the cycle products of $h$, we have the following formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Proposition } 3.4 \text { For each } \xi=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{k} ; h\right) \in S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right], \\
& \chi\left(M^{\otimes k}\right)(\xi)=\prod_{v=1}^{c(h)} \chi(M)\left(g\left(h_{v}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is Lemma 4.3.9 of [5].
Let us consider the shape of a conjugacy class of $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ in $S_{k n}$, as is observed in 4.2.17, [5]. As usual, let $P(k n)$ denote the set of the partitions of kn . Consider a map

$$
\beta: M_{k} \rightarrow P(k n)
$$

defined by

$$
\beta(A)={\underset{j=1}{k}}_{v}^{p(n)} \quad a_{i=1} a_{i j}^{*}\left(j \pi_{i}\right),
$$

where $\pi_{i}=\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{l}\right\}$ denotes the shape of the conjugacy class $c_{i}$, $j \pi_{i}=\left\{j m_{1}, \ldots, j m_{\ell}\right\}$, and $a_{i j} *\left(j \pi_{i}\right)$ stands for the union $V$ of $a_{i j}$ number of $j \pi_{i}$ s. Since $a_{i j} *\left(j \pi_{i}\right) \nmid a_{i j} j n$, we have $\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} j n=k n$. Hence $\beta$ is well defined.

Let $\pi=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{\ell}\right\}$ be a partition of $n$ and set $S_{\pi}=S_{n_{1}} \times \ldots \times S_{n_{\ell}}$ be a subgroup of $S_{n}$. For each $t$ in a conjugacy class $c_{i}$ of $S_{n}$ define $\theta_{i}(t)$ by the number of elements $s \in S_{n}$ such that $s^{-1} t s \in S_{\pi}$. Since $\theta_{i}(t)$ does not depend upon the choice of $t$ in $c_{i}$ and only depends upon $\pi, \theta_{i}(t)$ will be denoted by $\theta_{i}(\pi)$.

Definition 3.5 For each $\pi \vdash n$ and for each conjugacy class of $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ whose type is A, define

$$
\theta(A, \pi)=\Pi_{i, j}\left(\frac{\theta_{i}(\pi)}{\left|S_{\pi}\right|}\right)^{a_{i j}}
$$

Definition 3.6 For each conjugacy class of $S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ whose type is A, define

$$
\Phi(A)=\prod_{i, j} a_{i j}!\left(j\left|\pi_{i}\right|\right)^{a_{i j}}
$$

where $\pi_{i}$ is the shape of $c_{i}$.

Then we have the following

Theorem 3.7 For each basis element $\rho_{\pi}$ with $\pi \vdash n$ in $R\left(S_{n}\right)$ and for
$\tau \vdash \mathrm{kn}$ we obtain

$$
\left\langle\chi \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right), K_{\tau}\right\rangle=\sum_{\beta(A)=\tau} \frac{\theta(A, \pi)}{\Phi(A)} .
$$

Proof: Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\chi \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right), K_{\tau}>=<\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{k}}^{S_{k n}}\left[S_{n}\right] \quad \chi\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} 1_{S_{\pi}}\right)^{8 k}, K_{\tau}> \\
& =\left\langle\chi\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} I_{S_{\pi}}\right)^{\infty k}, \operatorname{Res}_{S_{k}}^{S_{k n}}\left[S_{n}\right] \quad K_{\tau}\right\rangle=\underset{\beta(A)=\tau}{\sum}\left\langle\chi\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} I_{S_{\pi}}\right)^{\otimes k}, K_{A}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show that for each $A$ with $\beta(A)=\tau$,

$$
\left\langle\chi\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} 1_{S_{\pi}}\right)^{囚 k}, K_{A}>=\frac{\theta(A, \pi)}{\Phi(A)}\right.
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{\left|S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]\right|} \underset{\alpha(\xi)=A}{\sum} \chi\left(\text { Ind }_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} 1_{S_{\pi}}\right)^{* k}(\xi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In virtue of Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$
x\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} 1_{S_{\pi}}\right)^{\otimes k}(\xi)=\prod_{i, j}\left(x\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} 1_{S_{\pi}}\right)\left(t_{i}\right)\right)^{a_{i j}}
$$

where $t_{i}$ is a representative of $c_{i}$ for $p(n) \geq i \geq 1$. By definition,

$$
\chi\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} 1_{S_{\pi}}\right)\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|S_{\pi}\right|} \quad \sum_{s \in S_{n}, s^{-1} t_{i} s \in S_{\pi}} \quad \chi\left(1_{S_{\pi}}\right)\left(s^{-1} t_{i} s\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{\theta_{i}(\pi)}{\left|S_{\pi}\right|}
$$

Hence we obtain $\chi\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} 1_{S_{\pi}}\right)^{\otimes k}(\xi)=\theta(A, \pi)$. Note that the order of the conjugacy class $\alpha^{-1}(A)$ is $\frac{\left|S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]\right|}{\Phi(A)}$ (see Proposition 3.3), it is immediate to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\chi\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{\pi}}^{S_{n}} I_{S_{\pi}}\right)^{\otimes k}, K_{A}> & =\frac{1}{\left|S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]\right|} \cdot \frac{\left|S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]\right|}{\Phi(A)} \cdot \theta(A, \pi) \\
& =\frac{\theta(A, \pi)}{\Phi(A)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.8 For any $\pi \vdash n$ we have

$$
\chi\left(\sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right)=\sum_{A \in M_{k}} \frac{\theta(A, \pi)}{\Phi(A)}|\beta(A)| K_{\beta(A)}
$$

Proof: Notice that if there does not exist $A \in M_{k}$ such that $\beta(A)=\tau \vdash k n$, then $\left\langle\chi \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right), K_{\tau}\right\rangle=0$. Hence the corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.7.

$$
\underline{\text { Corollary } 3.9}<\chi \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{n}\right), \chi\left(\rho_{k n}\right)>=1
$$

Proof: Since $\theta(A,\{n\})=1$, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\chi \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{n}\right), \chi\left(\rho_{k n}\right)\right\rangle=\sum_{\tau \mid-k n}^{\sum}\left\langle\chi \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{n}\right), K_{\tau}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{A \in M_{k}}\left\langle\chi \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{n}\right), K_{B(A)}\right\rangle=\sum_{A \in M_{k}} \frac{1}{\Phi(A)}=\sum_{A \in M_{k}} \frac{\left|\alpha^{-1}(A)\right|}{\left|S_{k}\left[S_{n}\right]\right|}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

```
§4 Special \psi-Hopf Ring R(S)
```

Let $M_{k}^{i j}=\left\{A=\left(a_{r s}\right) \in M_{k} \mid a_{i j} \neq 0\right\}$. For each pair ( $\left.i, j\right)$ with $p(n) \geq i \geq 1$ and $k>j \geq 1$, define the maps

$$
(i, j)+: M_{k}^{i j} \rightarrow M_{k-j}
$$

and $(i, j) \uparrow: M_{k-j} \rightarrow M_{k}$
by the rule

$$
(i, j) \downarrow(A)=\left(a_{r s}^{\prime}\right) \text { for } A=\left(a_{r s}\right)
$$

and $(i, j) \uparrow(B)=\left(b_{r s}^{\prime}\right)$ for $B=\left(b_{r s}\right)$
satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{r s}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}a_{r s} & \text { if }(r, s) \neq(i, j) \\
a_{i j}-1 & \text { if }(r, s)=(i, j)\end{cases} \\
& b_{r s}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}b_{r s} & \text { if }(r, s) \neq(i, j) \\
b_{i j}+1 & \text { if }(r, s)=(i, j)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hereafter, $(i, j) \downarrow(A)$ and $(i, j) \uparrow(B)$ will be denoted by $A_{i j}$ and $B^{i j}$, respectively.

Lemma 3.10 In $M_{k-j} \times M_{k}$ we have

$$
\left\{\left(B, B^{i j}\right) \mid \forall B \in M_{k-j}, \quad p(n) \geq i \geq 1\right\}
$$

$$
=\left\{\left(A_{i j}, A\right) \mid \forall A \in M_{k}^{j}, \quad p(n) \geq i \geq 1\right\}
$$

where $M_{k}^{j}=\underset{i=1}{p(n)} M_{k}^{i j}$.

Proof: Note that $\left(B, B^{a j}\right)=\left(\underline{B}, \underline{B}^{b j}\right)$ with $p(n) \geq a, b \geq 1$ if and only if $B=\underline{B}$ and $a=b$. The same is true for $\left(A_{i j}, A\right)$. Thus the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.11 For any $\pi \vdash n$ and for any $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
x \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)=\sigma^{k} x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right),
$$

where $\rho_{\pi}=\rho_{1}{ }_{1} 1 \cdot \rho_{2}^{\pi_{2}} \ldots \rho_{n}{ }_{n}$.
Proof: This theorem is proved by induction on $k$. If $k=1$, the equality is true by definition. From Corollary 3.8 and definition of $\psi^{j}$ for $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{j} \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{k-j}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right) \psi^{j}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{B \in M_{k-j}}^{\sum} \frac{\theta(B, \pi)}{\Phi(B)}|\beta(B)| K_{\beta(B)}\right)\left(\psi^{j}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p(n)} \frac{\theta_{i}(\pi)}{\left|S_{\pi}\right|} K_{\pi_{i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{B \in M_{k-j}}^{\sum(B)} \frac{\theta(B, \pi)}{\left.\Phi(B) \mid K_{B(B)}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p(n)} j^{\ell\left(\pi_{i}\right)} \frac{\theta_{i}(\pi)}{T_{\pi} \mid} K_{j \pi_{i}}\right), ~(n)}\right. \\
& =\sum_{B \in M_{k-j}}^{p(n)} \theta(B, \pi) \frac{\theta_{i=1}^{(\pi)}}{\left|S_{\pi}\right|} \frac{|B(B)|}{\Phi(B)} j^{\ell\left(\pi_{i}\right)} \frac{\beta\left(B^{i j}\right)!}{\beta(B)!\pi_{i}!} K_{\beta\left(B^{i j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the fact that $\theta(B, \pi) \frac{\theta_{i}(\pi)}{\left|S_{\pi}\right|}=\theta\left(B^{i j}, \pi\right)$, and

$$
\frac{\Phi\left(B^{i j}\right)}{\Phi(B)} \frac{|\beta(B)|}{\left|\beta\left(B^{i j}\right)\right|} \frac{\beta\left(B^{i j}\right)!}{\beta(B)!\pi_{i}!} j^{\ell\left(\pi_{i}\right)}=\left(b_{i j}+1\right) j\left|\pi_{i}\right| \frac{1}{\left|\pi_{i}\right|}=\left(b_{i j}+1\right) j,
$$

it follows that

$$
\sigma^{k-j}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right) \psi^{j}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right)=\sum_{B \in M_{k-j}}^{\sum_{i=1}^{p(n)}}\left(b_{i j}+1\right) j \frac{\left|\beta\left(B^{i j}\right)\right|}{\Phi\left(B^{i j}\right)} \theta\left(B^{i j}, \pi\right) K_{\beta\left(B^{i j}\right)},
$$

where $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$. Then, by Lemma 3.10 , we obtain

$$
\sigma^{k-j}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right) \psi^{j}\left(\chi\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right)=\sum_{A \in M_{k}}^{p(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i j} \frac{|\beta(A)|}{\Phi(A)} \theta(A, \pi) K_{\beta(A)}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
k \sigma^{k}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma^{k-j}\left(\chi\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right) \psi^{j}\left(\chi\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{A \in M_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{p(n)} a_{i j} j \frac{|\beta(A)|}{\Phi(A)} \theta(A, \pi) K_{\beta(A)} \\
& =\sum_{A \in M_{k}} k \frac{|\beta(A)|}{\Phi(A)} \theta(A, \pi) K_{\beta(A)} \\
& =k \chi \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right) . \text { This completes the proof. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.12 The polynomial Hopf ring $R(S)$ of representations of the symmetric groups is a special $\psi$-Hopf ring with respect to the Adams operations derived from outer plethysms.

Proof: It is sufficient to show that $\psi^{k}$ for $k \geq 1$ commutes with the character map $\chi: R(S) \longrightarrow C$, because $C$ is a special $\psi$-Hopf ring. Since $\psi^{k}$ is additive and $\left\{\rho_{\pi}|\pi|-n\right\}$ is a base for $R\left(S_{n}\right)$, it suffices to show $\chi \psi^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)=\psi^{k} \chi\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)$. From Theorem 3.11 it follows that

$$
x \psi^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)=(-1)^{k-1} Q_{k}\left(x \sigma^{1}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right), x \sigma^{2}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right), \ldots, x \sigma^{k}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(-1)^{k-1} Q_{k}\left(\sigma^{1}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right), \ldots, \sigma^{k}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\psi^{k}\left(x\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right) . \text { This completes the proof. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER IV

SPECIAL FREE $\lambda$-RINGS

In this chapter, we first construct the graded Hopf ring of symmetric functions $H$. We then turn our attention to the notation of a special $\lambda$-ring and the special free $\lambda$-ring on one generator is introduced. Finally we answer a question raised by Knutson (see p. 135 [6]).

## §1 The Hopf ring of the Symmetric Functions $H$

Consider the ring $Z\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ of polynomials in $n$ independent variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with integer coefficients. The symmetric group $S_{n}$ acts on this ring by

$$
\sigma\left(f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=f\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right)
$$

for all $\sigma \in S_{n}$ and $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in Z\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, and a polynomial is said to be symmetric if it is invariant under this action. Thus the symmetric polynomials form a subring

$$
H_{n}=z\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{S}
$$

where $Z\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{S_{n}}$ denotes the set of all $S_{n}$-fixed polynomials.
The fundamental theorem of symmetric functions states that $H_{n}$ itself is also a polynomial ring on $n$ algebraically independent generators,

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]
$$

where $a_{n, k}=a_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ denotes the $k$-th elementary symmetric function in $n$ variables. $H_{n}$ is a graded ring: We have

$$
H_{n}=\left\{H_{n, k} \mid k=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

where $H_{n, k}$ consists of the homogenous symmetric polynomials of degree $k$, together with the zero polynomial. To each partition $\pi=1^{\pi_{1}} 2^{\pi_{2}} \ldots n^{\pi_{n}}$ of $n$, we can associate the monomial $a_{1, k}^{\pi_{1}}{ }_{a_{2, k}}^{\pi_{2}} \cdots a_{n, k}^{\pi_{n}}$, this monomial will be denoted by $a_{\pi}$. Thus $H_{n, k}$ is a free abelian group with basis $\left\{a_{\pi} \mid \pi\right.$ $\vdash n,|\pi|=k\}$. For non-negative integers $m, n$ with $m \geq n$, and for all $\mathrm{k} \geq 0$, consider the homomorphism

$$
\pi_{n, k}^{m}: H_{m, k} \longrightarrow H_{n, k}
$$

which sends each of $x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ to zero and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ to themselves. Since $\pi_{n, k}^{m} \circ \pi_{p, k}^{n}=\pi_{p, k}^{m}$ for all integers $m \geq n \geq p$, we have an inverse system of z -modules. Consider the inverse limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{, \mathrm{k}}=\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\lim } \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}} . \\
& \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

An element of $H, k$ is by definition a sequence $f=\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$, where each $f_{n}=f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a homogeneous symmetric polynomial of degree $k$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, and $f_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ whenever $m \geq n$. Since $\pi_{n, k}^{m}$ is an isomorphism for all $m \geq n \geq k$, it follows that the projection

$$
\pi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}: \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}
$$

which sends $f$ to $f_{n}$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geq k$, and hence that $H, k$ has a $Z$-basis consisting of the monomial symmetric functions $a_{\pi}$ (for all partitions $\pi$ of $k$ ) defined by $\pi_{n, k}\left(a_{\pi}\right)=a_{\pi}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, for all $n \geq k$.

Hence $H$, $k$ is a free $Z$-module of rank $P(k)$, the number of partitions of $k$.
Now let $H=\{H, k \mid k=0,1,2, \ldots\}$, so that $H$ is the free $Z$-module generated by $a_{\pi}$ for all partitions $\pi$. The graded ring $H$ thus defined is called the ring of symmetric functions in infinitely many variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots$

The graded $Z$-module $H=\{H, k \mid k=0,1, \ldots\}$ becomes a Z-algebra by defining

$$
\pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{f} \cdot \mathrm{~g})=\pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f}) \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

for $f \in H, p$ and $g \in H, q^{\text {. }}$ It is well known (for example see [3]) that $H$ is a polynomial Hopf ring $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}\left[\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}, \ldots\right]$ if we define a comultiplication by $\Delta\left(a_{n}\right)=\sum_{i+j=n} a_{i} \otimes a_{j}$.

Remark 4.1 A different and quite useful basis for $H_{n, k}$ is $\left\{h_{\pi} \mid\right.$ $\pi|n,|\pi|=k\}$, where for a partition $\pi=1^{\pi_{1}} 2^{\pi_{2}} \ldots n^{\pi_{n}}$ of $n, h_{\pi}=$ $h_{1, k}^{\pi_{1}} \cdots h_{n, k}^{n_{n}}$ and $h_{n, k}=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{k} \leq n} x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}$, is the $k-t h$ homogeneous symmetric function in $n$ variables. It is well known [3] that $H=P_{Z}\left[h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right]$ where $h_{k}$ is the $k-t h$ homogeneous symmetric function in infinite number of variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$

Proposition 4.2 The Frobenius isomorphism $F: R(S) \longrightarrow H$ maps Z-basis elements $\rho_{\pi}$ into $h_{\pi}$ and $\eta_{\pi}$ into $a_{\pi}$.

This is proposition 4.4 of [9].
$\S 2$ Special Free $\lambda$-Rings

In $\S 3$ of Chapter II, we have shown that the graded Hopf representation ring of symmetric groups $R(S)=\left\{R\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a $\lambda$-ring. In the present
section, it is shown that $R(S)$ is in fact a special free $\lambda$-Hopf ring, and this $\lambda$-structure is compatible with the Frobenius isomorphism $F: R(S) \longrightarrow H$.

Definition 4.1 A special $\lambda$-ring $R$ is a $\lambda$-ring in which
(i) $\lambda^{k}(x y)=P_{k}\left(\lambda^{1}(x), \ldots, \lambda^{k}(x), \lambda^{1}(y), \ldots, \lambda^{k}(y)\right)$, where $P_{k}$ is the unique polynomial for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{i, j}{\Pi\left(1+\xi_{i} \varepsilon_{j} t\right)=} & \sum_{k} P_{k}\left[a_{1}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right), \ldots, a_{k}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right), a_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\ldots, a_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)\right] t^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

in the ring $Z\left[\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}, t\right]$ for all $m$, $n$.
(ii) $\lambda^{k}\left(\lambda^{\ell}(x)\right)=P_{k, \ell}\left(\lambda^{1}(x), \ldots, \lambda^{k \ell}(x)\right)$, where $P_{k, \ell}$ is the unique polynomial for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{\ell}<n}{\pi}\left(1+\xi_{i_{1}} \xi_{i_{2}} \ldots \xi_{i_{l}} t\right)= & \sum P_{k, \ell}\left[a_{1}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\cdots, a_{k \ell}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)\right] t^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $Z\left[\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, t\right]$ for all $n$.

Remark 4.2 By the fundamental theorem of symmetric functions, $P_{k}$ and $P_{k, \ell}$ are polynomials with integer coefficients, and are independent of $n$ and $m$ as long as $n \geq k$ and $m \geq k$ in the first case, and $n \geq k \ell$ in the second.

Since $P_{k}$ and $P_{k \ell}$ have integer coefficients, they are well-defined over any ring with unity, and so are sometimes referred to as universal polynomials.

In $\S 1$ of this chapter we have seen that

$$
H=P_{z}\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, \ldots\right]=P_{Z}\left[h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right] .
$$

In [2], a $\lambda$-structure is defined on $H$ such that $\lambda^{k}\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{k}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Using the universal polynomials, we can evaluate any expression of the form $\lambda^{k}\left(f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)$, where $f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \in H$. With respect to this $\lambda$ structure, $H$ becomes a special $\lambda$-ring. Atiyah called $H$ the special free $\lambda$-ring generated by one element $a_{1}$.

It is known [2], [6] that if $R$ is a special $\lambda$-ring then $R$ is a special $\psi$-ring. The converse of this is not always true, but if $R$ is torsion free it has a converse which will be useful in verifying that the $\lambda$-Hopf ring of representation $R(S)$ is in fact a special $\lambda$-ring.

Proposition 4.3 Let R be a torsion free $\lambda$-ring. Let operations $\psi^{n}$, be defined by, for $x \in R$,

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\log \lambda_{t}(x)\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+1} \psi^{n}(x) t^{n}
$$

Suppose $\psi^{\mathrm{n}}(1)=1, \psi^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{xy})=\psi^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}) \psi^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{y})$, and $\psi^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\psi^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})\right)=\psi^{\mathrm{nm}}(\mathrm{x})$ for all $x, y \in R$ and integers $n, m \geq 1$. Then $R$ is a special $\lambda$-ring.

Now it is known [9] that $R(s)$ is a polynomial Hopf ring $P_{Z}\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, \eta_{n}, \ldots\right]$ with $\eta_{n}=\left[\right.$ Aut $\left.S_{n}\right]$. Moreover, $R(S)$ is a torsion free $\lambda$-ring and a special $\psi$-Hopf ring from Theorem 3.12, thus we have the following

Theorem 4.4 The polynomial Hopf ring $R(S)=P_{Z}\left[n_{1}, \ldots, n_{n}, \ldots\right]$ is a special free $\lambda$-ring on one generator $\eta_{1}$ such that $\lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\eta_{k}$.

Finally we answer a question raised by Knutson.

Theorem 4.5 Let $R(S)$ be a special free $\lambda$-Hopf ring of representations of the symmetric groups and let $H$ be a special free $\lambda$-Hopf ring of
symmetric functions in infinite number of variables. If $F: R(S) \longrightarrow H$ is the Frobenius isomorphism, then $F$ is an isomorphism of Hopf rings preserving $\lambda$-structure.

Proof: That F is an isomorphism of Hopf rings is known [9]. It remains to show that $F$ preserves the $\lambda$-structures. First we show that for a basis element $\eta_{\pi}$ of $R\left(S_{n}\right)$ with $\pi \vdash n, F \lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{\pi}\right)=\lambda^{k} F\left(n_{\pi}\right)$ by induction on $\ell(\pi)$. If $\ell(\pi)=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F \lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{\pi}\right) & =F\left(\lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right)=F\left(\lambda^{k}\left(\lambda^{n}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)=F\left(P_{k n}\left(\lambda^{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda^{k n}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& =F\left(P_{k n}\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{k n}\right)\right)=P_{k n}\left(F\left(\eta_{1}\right), \ldots, F\left(\eta_{k n}\right)\right) \\
& =P_{k n}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k n}\right) . \\
\lambda^{k} F\left(\eta_{\pi}\right) & =\lambda^{k}\left(F\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right)=\lambda^{k}\left(a_{n}\right)=\lambda^{k}\left(\lambda^{n}\left(a_{1}\right)\right)=P_{k n}\left(\lambda^{1}\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda^{k n}\left(a_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =P_{k n}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $F \lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{n}\right)=\lambda^{k} F\left(\eta_{n}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Now suppose } \ell(\pi) \neq 1 \text {. Let } \pi=\{\beta\} \vee \pi^{\prime} \text {, then we have } \eta_{\pi}=\eta_{\pi}, \eta_{\{\beta\}} \text {. } \\
& F \lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{\pi}\right)=F \lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{\pi}, \cdot \eta_{\{\beta\}}\right)=F\left(P _ { k } \left(\lambda^{1}\left(\eta_{\pi}\right), \ldots \lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{\pi},\right), \lambda^{1}\left(\eta_{\{\beta\}}\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\ldots, \lambda^{k}\left(\eta_{\{\beta\}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =P_{k}\left(\lambda^{1}\left(F\left(\eta_{\pi},\right)\right), \ldots, \lambda^{k}\left(F\left(\eta_{\pi},\right)\right), \lambda^{1}\left(F\left(\eta_{\{\beta\}}\right)\right), \ldots, \lambda^{k}\left(F\left(\eta_{\{\beta\}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\lambda^{k}\left(F\left(\eta_{\pi}, F\left(\eta_{\{\beta\}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\lambda^{k} F\left(n_{\pi}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we show that $F \lambda^{k}(x+y)=\lambda^{k} F(x+y)$, where $x$, $y$ are monomials.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F \lambda^{k}(x+y) & =\sum_{i=0}^{k} F\left(\lambda^{k-i}(x) \cdot \lambda^{i}(y)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} F\left(\lambda^{k-i}(x)\right) F\left(\lambda^{i}(y)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\lambda^{k-i}(F(x))\right)\left(\lambda^{i}(F(y))\right) \\
& =\lambda^{k} F(x+y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that F commutes with the $\lambda$-structures. Hence the proof is complete.

Corollary 4.6 The $\lambda$-structure on $R(S)$ which is derived from the outer plethysm coincides with the pull back $\lambda$-structure induced by $\mathrm{F}^{-1}$. Proof: It is evident.

## CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This paper's aim is to construct a $\lambda$-structure on the graded Hopf representation ring $R(S)=\left\{R\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ which is compatible with the Frobenius isomorphism $F: R(S) \longrightarrow F$.

In Chapter $I$, it is shown that the divided polynomial Hopf ring $C=\left\{C\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}$ of integer valued class functions defined on the symmetric groups is a special $\psi$-Hopf ring. In Chapter II, a $\lambda$-ring structure is introduced in $R(S)$ in terms of outer plethysms so that $R(S)$ forms a $\psi$ Hopf ring. The character formula of outer plethysms is given in Chapter III, the formula enables us to prove that $R(S)$ forms a special $\psi$-Hopf ring with respect to the Adams operations derived from outer plethysms. Finally, in Chapter IV, we answer a question raised by Knutson.

## A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

(1) Atiyah, M., "Power Operations in K-Theory", Quart. J. Math. (2) 17 (1966), 165-93.
(2) Atiyah, M., and Tall, D., "Group Representations, $\lambda$-Rings and the JHomomorphism", Topology 8 (1969), 253-279.
(3) Geissinger, L., "Hopf Algebras of Symmetric Functions and Class Functions", Springer Lecture Notes, No. 579 (1976).
(4) Hoffman, P., $\tau$-Rings and Wreath Product Representations. SpringerVerlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 746 (1979).
(5) James, G., and Kerber, A., The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 16, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1981).
(6) Knutson, D., $\lambda$-Rings and the Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group. Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 308 (1973).
(7) Serre, J. P., Linear Representations of Finite Groups. SpringerVerlag, N. Y. (1977).
(8) Tom Dieck, T., Transformation Groups and Representation Theory. Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. $7 \overline{66 \text { (1979). }}$
(9) Uehara, H., and Divall, R., "Hopf Algebra of Class Functions and Inner Plethysms", Hiroshima Math. J., Vol. 12, No. 2 (1982).
(10) Zelevinsky, A., Representations of Finite Classical Groups - A Hopf Algebra Approach. Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 869 (1981).

VITA<br>Essam A. Abotteen<br>Candidate for the Degree of<br>Doctor of Philosophy

Thesis: CHARACTER FORMULA OF OUTER PLETHYSMS
Major Field: Mathematics
Biographical:
Personal Data: Born in Irtah, Jordan, May 11, 1953, the son of Mr. and Mrs. Abdel-Latif Abotteen.

Education: Graduated from Keifan Secondary School, Kuwait, 1972; received Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics from Kuwait University, Kuwait, 1975; received Master of Science degree in Mathematics from Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, Texas, 1978; completed requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree at Oklahoma State University in May, 1983.

Professional Experience: Served as a high school mathematics teacher, Ministry of Education, Kuwait, 1975-1976; served as Lab Assistant, Department of Mathematics, Sam Houston State University, 1977-1978; served as Graduate Teaching Associate, Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, 1978-1983.

Professional and Honorary Organizations: Received E. K. McLachlan Award in mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, 1981; member of the American Mathematical Society, The Mathematical Association of America and Pi Mu Epsilon.

