VEGETATIONAL ANALYSIS OF BOBWHITE HABITAT

ON NORTHEASTERN OKLAHOMA

RANGELAND

by

ELLEN I. COLLINS

Bachelor of Arts

Gettysburg College

Gettysburg, Pennsylvania

1975

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE December, 1977





VEGETATIONAL ANALYSIS OF BOBWHITE HABITAT

ON NORTHEASTERN OKLAHOMA

RANGELAND

Thesis Approved:

G esis iser ſΛ∧ a Dean of the Graduate College

PREFACE

In order to facilitate submission of this thesis for publication, format specifications of THE JOURNAL OF WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT were followed. Information obtained during the course of study that was not suitable for publication is presented in appendices. Presentation of the thesis in this manner is based on the Graduate College's policy of accepting a thesis written in manuscript form and is subject to approval by the Graduate College of the thesis adviser's request for a waiver of the standard format in a letter dated 4 November, 1977.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to Dr. Ronald J. Tyrl, my major adviser, for his suggestions, encouragement, interest, and wit during the preparation of this manuscript and throughout my graduate career. I am grateful to the members of my graduate committee, Dr. Jeff Powell and Dr. Paul Vohs, for their assistance and advice, and Dr. William Warde for his help with statistical analyses of the data.

Thanks are extended to Dr. James Lewis for his assistance during the initial phase of this project and to Mr. W. W. Ingersoll, without whose cooperation, this project could not have been conducted. I am grateful also to Dr. Barbara Reed for reviewing this manuscript. Very special thanks are given to my family, Mr. Berte and Mrs. Mary Collins, John, Joe, Diane, and Kellie, for their constant support of all my endeavors.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page	
LUGC	

ABSTRACT	l
INTRODUCTION	2
STUDY SITE	3
METHODS AND MATERIALS	8
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	12
Trees. Shrubs. Vines. Food Plants. Taxa Encountered.	12 18 26 27 31
LITERATURE CITED	31

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Temperature and precipitation at Claremore, Rogers County, Oklahoma for the years 1931 to 1960	5
2.	Percent frequency of occurrence of trees encountered along sampling units, Rogers County, Oklahoma	13
3.	Sample size, standard deviation, and mean aerial cover (%) per covey home range of sampling units, draws, and slopes, Rogers County, Oklahoma	15
4.	Percent frequency of occurrence of the five shrub species encountered along sampling units, Rogers County, Oklahoma	20
5.	Percent frequency of occurrence in draws and on slopes of the three dominant shrub species, Rogers County, Cklahoma	21
6.	Percent frequency of occurrence in draws and on slopes of six important plant taxa consumed by quail, Rogers County, Oklahoma	28
APPENDI	X A	
1.	Analyses of variance of tree cover of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Slope cover	35
2.	Analyses of variance of shrub cover of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Slope cover.	- 36
3.	Analyses of variance of shrub cover of <u>Rubus</u> in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Shrub cover	37
4.	Analyses of variance of <u>Symphoricarpos</u> cover in the two intensive study areas. Rogers County.	

Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover

Table

Do	~~~
ra	ge.

	(C). Slope cover	38
5.	Analyses of variance of <u>Rhus copallina</u> in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Slope cover	39
6.	<pre>Analyses of variance of <u>Rubus</u> density in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total density (B). Draw density (C). Slope density</pre>	40
7.	Analyses of variance of <u>Symphoricarpos</u> density in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total density (B). Draw density (C). Slope density	41
8.	Analyses of variance of <u>Rhus copallina</u> density in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total density (B). Draw density (C). Slope density	42
APPEND	IX B	
1.	Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Rubus</u> cover (%) per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma.	444
2.	Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Symphoricarpos</u> cover (%) per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma	45
3.	Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Rhus</u> <u>copallina</u> cover (%) per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma	46
4.	Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Rubus</u> density (stems/m ²) per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma.	47
5.	Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Symphoricarpos</u> density (stems/m ²) per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma.	48
6.	Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Rhus</u> copallina density (stems/m ²) per covey home range	

of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County,

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Location of the McFarlin-Ingersoll Ranch and the two intensive study areas (crosshatched), Rogers County, Oklahoma	4
2.	Location of covey home ranges (crosshatched) and soil associations present in intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Walnut Pasture (B). Butler Pasture	7
3.	Three bobwhite quail covey home ranges and twenty five sampling units (not drawn to scale), Walnut Pasture, Rogers County, Oklahoma	9
4.	Five bobwhite quail covey home ranges and twenty five sampling units (not drawn to scale), Butler Pasture, Rogers County, Oklahoma	10
5.	Percent frequency of occurrence in draws (mottled) and on slopes per covey home range of the five dominant tree genera, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). <u>Cornus</u> (B). <u>Ulmus</u> (C). <u>Diospyros</u> (D). <u>Celtis</u> (E). <u>Salix</u>	14
6.	Cover class frequencies of the five dominant tree genera, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Draws (B). Slopes	17
7.	Cover class frequencies in draws (mottled) and on slopes (crosshatched) per covey home range of the five dominant tree genera, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). <u>Cornus</u> (B). <u>Ulmus</u> (C). <u>Diospyros</u> (D). <u>Celtis</u> (E). <u>Salix</u>	19
8.	Percent frequency of occurrence in draws (mottled) and on slopes per covey home range of the three dominant shrub species, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). <u>Rubus</u> (B). <u>Symphoricarpos</u> (C). <u>Rhus copallina</u>	22
9.	Total average density (stems/m ²) and density of the three dominant shrubs per covey home range, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Draws (B). Slopes	23

viii

Figure

Page

10.	Total average percent cover and percent cover of the three dominant shrubs per covey home range (draws), Rogers County, Oklahoma	24
11.	Total average percent cover and percent cover of the three dominant shrubs per covey home range (slopes), Rogers County, Oklahoma	25
12.	Percent frequency of occurrence in draws (solid line) and on slopes (hashed line) of six taxa consumed by bobwhites, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Lespedeza (B). Ambrosia (C). Rhus (D). Diospyros (E). Prunus	
	(F). Panicum	29

VEGETATIONAL ANALYSIS OF BOBWHITE HABITAT ON NORTHEASTERN OKLAHOMA RANGELAND

ELLEN I. COLLINS, School of Biological Sciences, Stillwater, 74074

Abstract: A vegetational analysis of bobwhite habitat on northeastern Oklahoma tallgrass prairie rangeland was performed using a modified line transect method of sampling. Results indicate that bobwhites are not species specific with regard to tree cover. When cover requirements are met, species composition is unimportant. Tree species composition and percent cover varied among covey home ranges. Cornus drummondii, Ulmus americana, Diospyros virginiana, Celtis spp., and Salix nigra dominated the tree canopy. Draws were more wooded than slopes. Rubus allagheniensis. Symphoricarpos orbiculatus. and Rhus copallina dominated the shrub canopy and occurred more frequently, with greater density, and provided more cover on slopes than in draws. Shrub frequency, density, and cover also varied among home ranges. Total tree cover, tree cover in draws, total shrub cover, shrub cover in draws, and shrub cover on slopes differed among pastures (P(0.70, P(0.85, P(0.62, P(0.66, P(0.69)) indicating that differences were due to chance most often and were not biologically important to the quail. Total tree cover, tree cover in draws, tree cover on slopes, and shrub cover in draws differed among home ranges (P<0.10, P<0.05, P<0.20, P(0.025) and among home ranges within a pasture (P(0.10, P(0.025,P<0.35, P<0.025). Frequency data indicate that the most important food

plants consumed by bobwhites were common in all covey home ranges, occurring more frequently on grassland slopes than in draws.

The prevalent agicultural practice of monoculture does not favor the existence of bobwhite quail (<u>Colinus virginianus</u>). The apparently diverse habitats required by bobwhites have gradually diminished both in quantity and quality as larger tracts of land are devoted to monoculture. This practice has also resulted in drastically reduced quail populations on lands that formerly supported large numbers of birds (Rosene 1969).

However, approximately 50 percent of Oklahoma is rangeland, which supports much of the state's quail population. Quail biology in farmlands has been extensively studied (Burger and Linduska 1967, Ellis et al. 1969, Stoddard 1936). With the exception of studies in Kansas (Robel 1965, 1969) though, little research has been devoted to bobwhite biology in the tallgrass prairie.

In 1975, the Oklahoma Cooperative Wildlife Research Unit began a study of the population characteristics of bobwhite quail in relation to environmental conditions on a northeastern Oklahoma tallgrass prairie. Utilizing information generated by this study, and based on the premise that an understanding of the vegetation comprising quail habitat is important for proper interpretation of behavior patterns and in the development of land management practices favoring bobwhite production, the present project was conducted to describe and compare the vegetation comprising the winter home ranges of eight quail coveys in the tallgrass prairie.

STUDY SITE

The study area is the McFarlin-Ingersoll Ranch, located in southeastern Rogers County, Oklahoma, 3.2 km north of Inola on Oklahoma Highway 88 (Fig. 1). The majority of the 4,700 ha ranch is tallgrass prairie rangeland located on the Dennis-Bates-Collinsville soil association. Level to gently sloping prairies broken by escarpments characterize the area. The temperate, continental climate is characterized by moderate, sunny winters and long, hot summers (Polone 1966). Cold periods usually last only a few days while hot periods are moderated by cool nights and occasional local showers. Heaviest seasonal precipitation occurs in spring and fall (Table 1). Mean annual temperature and precipitation are 15.6° C and 96.5 cm, respectively.

The ranch is used primarily as a cow-calf operation (Wiseman 1977a). Stocking rate is approximately one cow (1 animal unit) per 2.8 ha with year-long grazing on all grazing units. The owner, in cooperation with several field trial clubs which sponsor field trials on the ranch, manages quail and their habitat. Present quail management practices include <u>Sorghum almum</u> food plots located as close to woody cover as possible and maintenance of feeders (Wiseman 1977a). Winter bobwhite quail diet is supplemented with maize or corn.

A comprehensive vegetational analysis of the two 250 ha study areas selected by Wiseman (1977a) for his study of quail home range and habitat use was performed. Study Area One, commonly called the South Big Walnut Pasture, is located 4 km northeast of the main ranch office complex (R17E T20N Secs. 10 and 15). It is dominated by extensive grassland with wooded areas along major drainage pathways. The soil associations present include Collinsville stony loam, Bates-



Fig. 1. Location of the McFarlin-Ingersoll Ranch and the two intensive study areas (crosshatched), Rogers County, Oklahoma.

Average Daily Maximum	Average Daily Minimum	Average Monthly
Temperature C	C	Precipitation Cm
9.30	-2.74	4.74
12.04	-0.90	4.80
16.69	2.97	6.51
22.51	9.18	10.13
26.10	14.11	14.15
31.58	19.15	12.59
34.61	21.22	8.10
34.83	20.72	7.77
30.86	15.96	9.97
24.86	9.91	8.62
16.13	2.63	5.67
10.92	-1.12	4.59
22.51	9.24	97.64
	Average Daily Maximum Temperature C 9.30 12.04 16.69 22.51 26.10 31.58 34.61 34.83 30.86 24.86 16.13 10.92 22.51	Average Daily Maximum Temperature CAverage Daily Minimum Temperature C9.30-2.7412.04-0.9016.692.9722.519.1826.1014.1131.5819.1534.6121.2230.8615.9624.869.9116.132.6310.92-1.1222.519.24

Table 1. Temperature and precipitation at Claremore, Rogers County, Oklahoma for the years 1931 to 1960 (Polone 1966). Collinsville complex, Dennis-Bates complex, Breaks-Alluvial land complex, and Choteau silt loam (Fig. 2A). Study Area Two, commonly called the Butler Pasture, is located 4 km southeast of the main ranch complex (R17E T20N Secs. 27 and 28). It too, is characterized by tallgrass prairie slopes and wooded drainage pathways. The soil associations here are the same as are found in the South Big Walnut Pasture with the addition of Hector-Linker fine sandy loam, Hector stony sandy loam, and Linker fine loam (Fig. 2B). A brief description of each soil association (Polone 1966) is given below.

- 1. The Collinsville (lithic hapludoll) stony loam association is a steep to moderately sloping stony soil in upland prairies, formed from sandstone under tall prairie grasses. The dark, grayish-brown surface layer has moderate, medium, granular structure. The substratum of weathered sandstone is underlain by bedrock at a depth of about 25 cm. The soil is excessively drained and is susceptible to water erosion.
- 2. The soils of the Bates (typic argiudoll)-Collinsville complex are gently to strongly sloping on upland prairies. About 20% are Collinsville soils, which differ from the previous description by having thicker layers and fewer stones on the surface. The Bates series consists of a surface underlain by a subsoil about 36 cm thick. The upper part of the subsoil is strongly acidic heavy loam while the lower part is strongly acidic light clay loam. The substratum is weathered sandstone and shale underlain by bedrock. The Bates series of this complex differs from this description by having thinner layers.
- 3. The Breaks-Alluvial land complex consists of channels of intermittent streams 3 to 9 m wide, narrow valley floors 3 to 15 m in width, and sloping to steep valley sides 15 to 45 m. The soil is a mixture of mostly shallow Bates, Collinsville, and Dennis soils on the uplands and dominated by Vertigris soils on the bottomlands.
- 4. The Hector (lithic dystrochrept) stony loam is a shallow, sloping soil of forested uplands. Slopes range from 3 to 30% and are excessively drained and stony.
- 5. The Dennis (aquic paleudoll)-Bates complex is gently **s**loping, well-drained soils of upland prairies, composed of Dennis silt loam, Bates loam, Parsons silt loam, and Collinsville **soils**. The complex is subject to severe water erosion.

6. The Hector (lithic dystrochrept)-Linker (typic hapludult)



Fig. 2. Location of covey home ranges (crosshatched) (Wiseman 1976, personal communication) and soil associations present in intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Walnut Pasture (B). Butler Pasture. 1=Collinsville stony loam; 2=Bates-Collinsville complex; 3=Breaks-Alluvial land complex; 4=Hector stony sandy loam; 5=Dennis-Bates complex; 6=Hector-Linker fine sandy loam; 7=Choteau silt loam; 8=Linker fine sandy loam (Polone 1966).

7

fine sandy loams are moderately sloping sandy soils that developed under hardwood trees in the uplands. The soil is sandy and prone to water erosion and loss of fertility.

- 7. Choteau (aquic paleudoll) silt loam is a deep, dark-colored, gently sloping upland soil. The strongly acidic silt loam surface layer is about 66 cm thick with medium granular structure. The very acid subsoil is heavy silt loam of fine granular structure. Mottled clay loam alluvium comprises the substratum.
- 8. A moderately sloping soil, Linker fine sandy loam developed in the uplands from sandstone under hardwood trees.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The vegetation of the December 1975 - January 1976 home ranges of eight bobwhite quail coveys (Wiseman 1977b), three in the Walnut Pasture (Fig. 3) and five in the Butler Pasture (Fig. 4), was sampled. Sampling was performed during September and October, 1976, by means of a modified line transect. The line transect has been found to be a quick and easily performed method of sampling in forested areas (Bauer 1943). It has been adapted to sampling rangeland vegetation and has been found to yield reliable estimates of species composition, density, and ecological structure (Canfield 1941, Witman and Siggeirson 1954, Crockett 1963). Heterogeneous stands of vegetation are more accurately sampled by oblong or oval plots. The line transect develops length to the maximum, thus increasing the likelihood of encountering a greater number and diversity of individual plant species (Canfield 1941).

Several sampling units composed of two perpendicular line transects of unequal lengths were established within each home range by use of a cord marked at one m intervals with colored tape. The locations of sampling units were arbitrarily established along draws in each home range. In all cases, a 30 m transect crossed a draw at its midpoint at an angle of intersection acute enough to allow the line to more-or-less



- - Ranch Road

Fig. 3. Three bobwhite quail covey home ranges and twenty five sampling units (not drawn to scale), Walnut Pasture, Rogers County, Oklahoma (Wiseman 1976, personal communication).



---- Decomber-January Home Ranges

Fig. 4. Five bobwhite quail covey home ranges and twenty five sampling units (not drawn to scale), Butler Pasture, Rogers County, Oklahoma (Wiseman 1976, personal communication). parallel the draw. This insured intensive sampling of woodland areas occupied by quail. When the arbitrarily selected sampling site was located such that the transect line crossed the draw more than once or could not nearly parallel the draw, the nearest, most favorable location was used. A transect of 50 m in length was established perpendicular to the former at its midpoint and extended into grassland on one side of the draw, insuring intensive grassland sampling. In order to avoid bias, the direction in which each 30 m transect crossed a draw alternated. Grassland on both sides of a draw was sampled by alternating the direction of each 50 m transect.

The closest living taxon was recorded at each one m interval. Tree cover, shrub cover, and shrub density were also recorded for each transect. Total percent tree cover was determined by estimating the total transect length covered by tree canopy. Percent aerial cover per species was estimated according to the Braun-Blanquet method (Oosting 1956). Shrub cover was determined by estimating the total transect length covered by each individual species. The number of shrub stems per species within one m on either side of the transect line were counted. Density per m² was then calculated. Data was analyzed on an IEM computer using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) programs designed by Barr and Goodnight (1972).

Random plant collections were made every three to four weeks between April and October, 1976, in order to obtain a more complete floral inventory of both study areas. The mounted specimens were deposited in the Oklahoma State University Herbarium, Oklahoma.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trees

Rendering

The role of woody vegetation in providing cover has long been recognized as a major factor influencing the distribution and carrying capacity of bobwhite quail (Baker 1940, Damon 1946, Parmalee 1953, Wiseman 1977b). Results of the present study indicate that bobwhites in northeastern Oklahoma tallgrass prairie rangeland do not require specific tree species for cover. Rather when cover requirements are met, species composition is unimportant.

Thirteen genera were sampled in the eight home ranges (Table 2). Fraxinus, Bumelia, and Juglans were sampled only in home ranges of the Walnut Pasture, while <u>Prunus</u>, <u>Crataegus</u>, and <u>Quercus</u> were sampled only in Butler Pasture home ranges. These six genera, however, were common to both pastures outside the sampling units. Although tree species composition of the home ranges varied, five genera (<u>Cornus</u>, <u>Ulmus</u>, <u>Diospyros</u>, <u>Celtis</u>, <u>Salix</u>) occurred most frequently along sampling units, dominating the canopy layer. While draws were generally more wooded than slopes, frequencies of the dominants both in draws and on slopes varied among home ranges (Fig. 5).

Percent aerial cover also varied among home ranges (Table 3). This variation was reflected in the analyses of variance of the total cover, cover in draws, and cover on slopes (Appendix A). Differences among pastures were not statistically significant at the traditionally-used 0.05 significance level. The high observed significance levels for total cover and cover in draws (P<0.70, P<0.85) reveal that differences among pastures were due to chance and were not biologically important to the quail. In contrast, significant differences among all eight

	% frequency of occurrence
y ^{rced} Cornus drummondii	50
<u>Ulmus</u> americana	48
Diospyros virginiana	28
<u>Celtis</u> <u>laevigata</u> , <u>C</u> . <u>oec</u> identalis	24
Salix nigra	24
Quercus marilandica	14
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	10
<u>Prunus hortulana,</u> <u>P. munsoniana, P. serotina</u>	10
^{Loc} <u>Gleditsia</u> <u>triacanthos</u>	8
Morus rubra	6
<u>Crataegus</u> <u>mackenzii</u> , <u>C. coccinioides</u>	6
Quercus palustris	4
<u>Quercus</u> stellata	2
Bumelia lanuginosa	2
Juglans nigra	2

Table 2. Percent frequency of occurrence of trees encountered along sampling units. Rogers County. Oklahoma.



Covey									
Location	1 ^a n=10	2 ^a n=8	3 ^a n=7	4 ^b n=4	5 ^b n=5	6 ^b n=6	7 ^b n=4	8 ^b n=6	Total Average n=50
Sampling	25.41	33.45	8.34	11.00	12.35	31 .33	27.03	49.2 7	24.80
unit	±26.12	±19.91	±13.63	±9.58	±17.24	±31.41	±24.96	±30.30	±25.06
Draw	42.10	70.25	14.86	16.00	5.60	48.83	50.00	71.67	41.40
	±44.08	±41.70	±35.40	±23.66	±8.17	±46.13	±48.96	±39.71	±15.09
Slope	15.40	11.40	4.43	8.00	16.40	23.83	13.25	35.83	16.00
	±24.19	±7.48	± 5.53	±9.66	±22.69	±25.06	±10.81	±31.47	±13.96

Table 3. Sample size, standard deviation, and mean aerial cover (%) per covey home range of sampling units, draws, and slopes, Rogers County, Oklahoma.

^aWalnut Pasture

^bButler Pasture

home ranges were found at the 10, 5, and 20 percent significance levels, while differences among home ranges within a pasture were significant at the 10, 2.5, and 35 percent significance levels. This supports the conclusion that bobwhite quail on northeastern Oklahoma tallgrass prairie rangeland are adapted to variable amounts of tree cover.

<u>Ulmus</u>, <u>Cornus</u>, and <u>Celtis</u> contributed 50-100 percent of the total aerial cover more frequently in draws than on slopes (Fig. 6). Of these three species, <u>Ulmus</u> provided 50-100 percent of the total cover most frequently. This is explained by the species relatively large crown size and frequency of occurrence in draws and on slopes. While the differences between frequencies of <u>Cornus</u> and <u>Ulmus</u> were not great, crown size of the two species differed, with <u>Ulmus</u> owning the larger crown. Although the differences in crown size of <u>Celtis</u> and <u>Ulmus</u> were minor, the latter occurred on more than twice as many draws and slopes as the former.

<u>Diospyros</u> occurred more often in draws than on slopes, where unlike <u>Cornus</u>, it contributed less than 50 percent of the total cover most frequently. When encountered on slopes though, it tended to provide 75-100 percent of the total cover. Frequency and cover class frequency of these two species may be explained by their similar growth habit. Both habitually form thickets, with <u>Diospyros</u> tending to occupy more xeric sites than <u>Cornus</u>.

Although characteristically a bottomland tree species, <u>Salix</u> provided 75-100 percent of the total cover on slopes as frequently as in draws. However, it did not contribute as much cover to the slopes as the frequency of this cover class indicates. The small amount of total cover on one slope transect and the absence of tree species other than



Fig. 6. Cover class frequencies of the five dominant tree genera, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Draws (B). Slopes. 1=0-5%; 2=5-25%; 3=25-50%; 4=50-75%; 5=75-100%.

Salix from the canopies of two other slope transects caused the species to appear to provide more cover on slopes than it actually did.

When cover class frequencies are averaged per covey home range and graphed (Fig. 7), the previously discussed differences between draws and slopes are accentuated. In addition, the variability of species composition and cover class frequencies of each species among home ranges is illustrated.

Shrubs

Of the five shrub species sampled, <u>Rubus</u>, <u>Symphoricarpos</u>, and <u>Rhus</u> <u>copallina</u> occurred most frequently (Table 4). Wiseman (1977b) reported <u>Rubus</u> and <u>Symphoricarpos</u> to comprise the short shrub habitat preferred by coveys during fall, winter, and spring. These two species were the only shrubs to occur in all eight home ranges, with <u>Rubus</u> occurring more frequently than any other shrub. On the average, all three dominants occurred more frequently on slopes than in draws (Table 5), and except for the frequency of <u>Rubus</u> on slopes, frequencies of the shrubs varied among covey home ranges (Fig. 8).

Density and cover values of shrubs in draws and on slopes varied among home ranges (Figs. 9, 10, 11). On the average, the dominant shrubs occurred with greatest density and provided the greatest amount of cover on slopes. As trees were more scarce on slopes than in draws, the role of shrubs in providing cover increased in importance. <u>Rubus</u> generally occurred more frequently and with greater density than the other shrubs. However, it provided less cover than <u>Symphoricarpos</u> and <u>Rhus</u> in draws and more cover than the latter two species on slopes. Although <u>Rhus</u> provided the least amount of cover of the three, it was one of the tall shrub **species used in greater proportion than** was



Fig. 7. Cover class frequencies in draws (mottled) and on slopes (crosshatched) per covey home range of the five dominant tree genera, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). <u>Cornus</u> (B). <u>Ulmus</u> (C). <u>Diospyros</u> (D). <u>Celtis</u> (E). <u>Salix</u>. 1=0-5%; 2=5-25%; 3=25-50%; 4=50-75%; 5=75-100%.

Table 4. Percent frequency of occurrence of the five shrub species encountered along sampling units, Rogers County, Oklahoma.

	% frequency of occurrence
Rubus allagheniensis	100
Symphoricarpos orbiculatus	90
Rhus copallina	36
Cephalanthus occidentalis	34
Rhus glabra	2

Table 5. Percent frequency of occurrence in draws and on slopes of the three dominant shrub species, Rogers County, Oklahoma.

	% frequency of occurrence		
Species	draw	slope	
Rubus allagheniensis	90	100	
Symphoricarpos orbiculatus	72	82	
Rhus copallina	18	32	



Fig. 8. Percent frequency of occurrence in draws (mottled) and on slopes per covey home range of the three dominant shrub species, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). <u>Rubus</u> (B). <u>Symphor-</u> <u>icarpos</u> (C). <u>Rhus copallina</u>.







Fig. 10. Total average percent cover and percent cover of the three dominant shrubs per covey home range (draws), Rogers County, Oklahoma.





available within each covey's home range (Wiseman 1977a). The very low density values shown in Figure 9 are misleading until one considers the method by which density is calculated (Cox 1972). In this case, density was averaged over the entire draw and slope areas sampled within each covey's home range. Relative to each other, however, the values do indicate which home ranges were characterized by denser shrub growth.

The variation in total shrub cover, cover in draws, and cover on slopes is reflected in the analyses of variance of these values (Appendices A, B). High observed significance levels (P<0.62, P<0.66, P<0.69) indicate that pasture differences were due to chance and were not biologically important to the quail. While draw cover differed significantly both among all home ranges (P<0.025) and among home ranges within a pasture (P<0.025), the observed significance levels of differences among home ranges and among home ranges within a pasture for both total shrub cover and slope **shrub** cover varied from P<0.15 to P<0.75. This trend characterizes the analyses of variance of cover and density of the three dominant shrub species as well, and indicates that bobwhites are adapted to variable amounts of shrub cover and density.

Vines

Two vines, <u>Smilax bona-nox</u> and <u>Rosa setigera</u>, were also sampled along sampling units. Commonly an understory component of wooded areas, <u>Smilax</u> occurred almost exclusively in draws where it provided little useable cover for bobwhites. Both density and cover of <u>Smilax</u> in draws differed significantly **among** pastures (P<0.05, P<0.01). The total absence of <u>Smilax</u> in draws of the Butler Pasture contributed to the lack of **biologically significant differences among** all home ranges and among home ranges within a pasture. <u>Rosa</u> was sampled less frequently than
Smilax. It provided less cover and was also less dense.

Food Plants

In order to determine the food habits of bobwhite quail, Wiseman (1977a) conducted extensive crop analyses of birds collected on the McFarlin-Ingersoll Ranch. His observations and conclusions concerning the variability of seasonal consumption of foods, some of which are summarized below, are supported by the taxa densities and frequencies reported here.

Of the three principal plant foods (<u>Lespedeza</u>, <u>Rhus</u>, <u>Sorghum</u>) eaten by bobwhites, fruits of <u>Lespedeza</u> were consumed most frequently. Seven species, <u>L. intermedia</u>, <u>L. repens</u>, <u>L. stipulacea</u>, <u>L. striata</u>, <u>L. stuevei</u>, <u>L. violacea</u>, <u>L. virginica</u>, were sampled in the eight home ranges. Both <u>Rhus copallina</u> and <u>R. glabra</u> were sampled, with the former occurring more frequently than the latter. Cultivated in food plots or supplied via feeders, <u>Sorghum</u> was not sampled.

Generally, the most important taxa consumed by bobwhites occurred more frequently on slopes than in draws (Table 6). Frequency data (Fig. 12) also indicate that most of these taxa were common, if not abundant, in all covey home ranges. The frequencies and distribution of these species help to explain the variation in seasonal consumption reported by Wiseman (1977a). The increased utilization of <u>Lespedeza</u> from late summer to late fall corresponded to the period when fruits began to mature. Fruits remain attached to the plant throughout fall and winter and were therefore available during the winter months of December and January. Consumption during these months however, declined, while that of <u>Rhus</u> and <u>Sorghum</u> increased. While <u>Lespedeza</u> was more abundant than <u>Rhus</u>, quail often remained in woody cover during periods

Table 6. Percent frequency of occurrence in draws and on slopes of six important plant taxa consumed by quail, Rogers County, Oklahoma.

	% frequency of occurrence		
Species	draw	slope	
Lespedeza spp.	42	60	
Rhus spp.	18	36	
Ambrosia spp.	42	88	
Diospyros virginiana	22	16	
Prunus spp.	6	8	
Panicum spp.	84	100	



of inclement weather. Periods of high consumption of <u>Lespedeza</u> corresponded to periods of mild weather when quail were more likely to venture out into grassland areas where the fruits were most abundant. <u>Rhus</u> played an important dual role in providing both winter food and cover for quail.

The other most important food plants, <u>Ambrosia</u>, <u>Diospyros</u>, and <u>Prunus</u>, each comprised less than five percent of the average volume of food consumed by quail. Seasonal consumption of all three genera was variable(Wiseman 1977a). <u>Ambrosia</u> was the most frequently sampled of the three. Of the four species encountered (<u>A. artemissiifolia</u>, <u>A.</u> <u>bidentata</u>, <u>A. psilostachya</u>, <u>A. trifida</u>), <u>A. psilostachya</u> was most common. Like <u>Lespedeza</u>, <u>Ambrosia</u> occurred most frequently on slopes and was used to the greatest extent during the fall when fruits were most abundant. During October and November, only <u>Lespedeza</u> was consumed more frequently and in greater volume, indicating, as. Wiseman (1977a) concluded, a preference for these two genera during this time period.

Substantial amounts of <u>Diospyros</u> were consumed only during November when the ripened, fallen fruits were readily available to quail. The relatively low frequencies of <u>Diospyros</u> and the fact that it, like <u>Rhus</u>, tends to form discrete stands which provide cover as well as food support Wiseman's (1977a) conclusion that <u>Diospyros</u> is a preferred food. <u>Prunus</u> was less abundant than <u>Diospyros</u>. Three species, <u>P. hortulana</u>, <u>P. munsoniana</u>, <u>P. serotina</u>, were sampled in only three covey home ranges. The great increase in consumption during January and February may be related to the cover provided by <u>Prunus</u> thickets.

The most frequently eaten grasses were maize and Panicum species.

Maize was obtained from maintained feeders. Thirteen species of <u>Panicum</u> (Appendix C), representing several different habitats were sampled. It is not surprising, therefore, that this genus was sampled in every sampling unit. In all cases, <u>Panicum</u> comprised very little of the total percent volume consumed by quail. The availability of <u>Panicum</u> in all home ranges and the fact that it was **consumed in smaller** amounts than some of the less common food plants indicated that <u>Panicum</u> is not a preferred food.

Taxa Encountered

Two hundred seventy five species representing sixty families were pressed, identified, and deposited in the Oklahoma State University Herbarium. The three families represented by the largest number of species were Graminae (56), Compositae (42), Leguminosae (20), and together comprised 43 percent of the taxa collected. In addition, the Cyperaceae and Rosaceae each were represented by 11 species, while 10 species of the Euphorbiaceae were collected.

LITERATURE CITED

Baker, M. F. 1940. Age classes of winter cover used by the eastern bobwhite, <u>Colinus virginianus</u> L., in southern Iowa. Iowa State College, J. Sci. 15:3-11.

Barr, A. J. and J. H. Goodnight. 1972. A user's guide to the statistical analysis system. Student Supply Stores, North Carolina State Univ. 260pp.

Bauer, H. L. 1943. The statistical analysis of chaparral and other plant communities by means of transect samples. Ecol. 24:45-60.
Burger, G. V. and J. P. Linduska. 1967. Habitat management related

to bobwhite populations at Remington Farms. J. Wildl. Manage. 31:1-12.

- Canfield, R. H. 1941. Application of the line interception method in sampling range vegetation. J. For. 39:388-394.
- Cox, G. W. 1972. Laboratory manual of general ecology. Wm. C. Brown Co., Dubuque. 195pp.
- Crockett, J. J. 1963. Preliminary studies in the evaluation of grassland sampling techniques in tall-grass prairie sites. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci. 43:43-46.
- Damon, D. 1946. Seasonal relationships of bobwhite quail to woody vegetation in Nebraska. Nebraska Bird Review. 14:34-36.
- Ellis, J. A., W. R. Edwards and K. P. Thomas. 1969. Response of bobwhites to management in Illinois. J. Wildl. Manage. 33:749-762.
 Costing, H. J. 1956. The study of plant communities. W. H. Freeman

and Co., San Francisco. 440pp.

- Parmalee, P. W. 1953. Food and cover relationships of the bobwhite quail in east-central Texas. Ecol. 34:758-770.
- Polone, D. J. 1966. Soil survey of Rogers County, Oklahoma. U. S. Dept. of Agric. 65pp.
- Robel, R. J. 1965. Differential winter mortality in bobwhites in Kansas. J. Wildl. Manage. 25:261-266.
- . 1969. Food habits, weight dynamics and fat content of bobwhites in relation to food plantings in Kansas. J. Wildl. Manage. 33:237-249.
- Rosene, W. 1969. The bobwhite quail: its life and management. Rutgers Univ. Press, New Brunswick, N. J. 418pp.

Stoddard, H. L. 1936. The bobwhite quail: its habits, preservation and

increase. C. Scribner s Sons, N.Y. 599pp.

- Urban, D. 1972. Aspects of bobwhite quail mobility during spring through fall months. Pages 194-199 <u>in</u> J. A. Morrison and J. C. Lewis, eds. Proc. First Natl. Bobwhite Quail Symposium, Oklahoma State Univ., Stillwater. 390pp.
- Waterfall, U. T. 1972. Keys to the flora of Oklahoma. Okla. State Univ., Dept. of Botany and Research Foundation, Stillwater. 182pp.
- Wiseman, D. S. 1977a. Habitat utilization by bobwhite on northeastern Oklahoma rangeland. J. Wildl. Manage. (In press).
 - ______. 1977b. Food habits and weights of bobwhite from northeastern Oklahoma tallgrass prairie. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci. 57:110-115.
- Witman, W. C. and E. I. Siggeirson. 1954. Comparison of line interception and point contact methods in the analysis of mixed grass range vegetation. Ecol. 35:431-436.

ANALYSES OF VARIANCE OF TREE COVER AND SHRUB COVER AND DENSITY VALUES

APPENDIX A

Table 1. Analyses of variance of tree cover of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Slope cover.

Source	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value	Observed significance level (父)
(A). Pastures	1	275.54	275.54	0.218	0.659
Coveys within pastures	6	7601.32	1266.89	2.325	0.049
Transects within coveys	42	22887.92	544.95		
Coveys	7	7876.86	1125.27	2.065	0.069
(B). Pastures	1	208.08	208.08	0.048	0,828
Coveys within pastures	6	26203.62	4367.27	2.832	0.021
Transect s within coveys	42	64756.62	1541.82		
Coveys	7	26411.69	3773.09	2.447	0.033
(C). Pastures	1	1240.02	1240.02	2.577	0.158
Coveys within pastures	6	2887.35	481.23	1.215	0.317
Transects within coveys	42	16629.61	395.94		
Coveys	7	4127.37	589.63	1.489	0.197

Table 2. Analyses of variance of shrub cover of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Slope cover.

Source	Degrees of freedom	Sum of sq uare s	Mean square	F value	Observed significance level $(\widehat{\prec})$
(A). Pastures	l	54.00	54.00	0.288	0.614
Coveys within pastures	6	1122.08	187.01	1.658	0.155
Transects within coveys	42	4737.14	112.79		
Coveys	7	1176.08	168.01	1.489	0.197
(B). Pastures	1	126.09	129.09	0.226	0.654
Coveys within pastures	6	3349.29	558.21	3.051	0.014
Transects within coveys	42	7683.02	182,93		
Coveys	7	3475.37	496.48	2.714	0.020
(C). Pastures	1	25 .2 1	25.21	0.175	0.690
Coveys within pastures	6	862.73	143.79	0.697	0.655
Transects within coveys	42	8 666 .02	206.33		
Coveys	7	887.93	126.85	0.615	0.742

Table 3. Analyses of variance of <u>Rubus</u> cover in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Slope cover.

Source	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value	Observed significance level (え)
(A). Pastures	l	21.34	21 .34	0.269	0.626
Coveys within pastures	6	476.58	79.43	1.805	0.121
Transects within coveys	42	1848.32	44.01		
Coveys	7	497.91	71.13	1.616	0.157
(B). Pastures	1	178.98	178.98	1.812	0.226
Coveys within pastures	6	592.49	98 .75	6.443	0.000
Transects within coveys	42	643.69	15.33		
Coveys	7	771.48	110.21	7.191	0.000
(C). Pastures	1	0.41	0.41	0.004	0.952
Coveys within pastures	6	660.99	110.17	1.304	0.417
Transects within coveys	42	4473.22	106.51		
Coveys	7	661.40	94.49	0.887	0.525

Table 4. Analyses of variance of <u>Symphoricarpos</u> cover in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Slope cover.

Source	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value	Observed significance level (굿)
(A). Pastures	l	274.59	274.59	10.892	0.016
Coveys within pastures	6	151,26	25.21	1.391	0.239
Transects within coveys	42	760.73	18.11		
Coveys	7	425.86	60.84	3 .3 58	0.006
(B). Pastures	1	268.19	26 8 . 19	3.728	0.100
Coveys within pastures	6	431.59	71. 93	1.532	C.191
Transects within coveys	42	1971.83	46.95		
Coveys	7	699.7 8	99.97	2.129	0.061
(C). Pastures	1	278. 48	278.48	9•399	0.021
Coveys within pastures	6	1 77.7 7	29.63	0.893	0.509
Transects within coveys	42	1392.43	33.15		
Coveys	7	456.25	65. 18	1.965	0.083

Table 5. Analyses of variance of <u>Rhus copallina</u> cover in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total cover (B). Draw cover (C). Slope cover.

Source	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value	Observed significance level (え)
(A). Pastures	l	165.45	162.45	2.252	0.183
Coveys within pastures	6	432.80	72.13	2.379	0.045
Transects within coveys	42	12 73. 48	30.32		
Coveys	7	595.25	85.04	2.805	0.017
(B). Pastures	1	250.88	250.88	4.527	0.076
Coveys within pastures	6	3 32.5 1	55.42	0.391	0.882
Transects within coveys	42	5939.33	141.41		
Coveys	7	583.39	83, 34	0.589	0.762
(C). Pastures		118.58	118.58	1.121	0.332
Coveys within pastures		634.15	105.69	3.392	800.0
Transects within coveys		1308.79	31.16	•	
Coveys		752.73	107.53	3.451	0.006

Table 6. Analyses of variance of <u>Rubus</u> density in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total density (B). Draw density (C). Slope density^a.

Source	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value	Observed significance level (父)
(A). Pastures	l	3528. 00	3528.00	0.519	0.503
Coveys within pastures	6	40789.41	6798.23	2.858	0.019
Transects within coveys	42	99896.59	2378.49		
Coveys	7	44317.41	6331.06	2.662	0.022
(B). Pastures	1	3732.48	3732.48	2.095	0.197
Coveys within pastures	6	10689.86	1781.64	3.944	0.004
Transects within coveys	42	18974.15	451.77		
Coveys	7	14422.33	2060.33	4.561	0.001
(C). Pastures	1	2.88	2.88	0.001	C . 974
Coveys within pastures	6	16311.36	2718 .56	1.421	0.229
Transects within coveys	42	80312.64	1912.21		
Coveys	7	16314.24	2330.61	1.219	0.314

 a_{stems/m^2}

Table 7. Analyses of variance of <u>Symphoricarpos</u> density in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total density (B). Draw density (C). Slope density^a.

Source	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F valu e	Observed significance level (父)
(A). Pastures	1	14999.12	14999.12	4.116	0.087
Coveys within pastures	6	21863.45	3643.91	2.509	0.036
Transects within coveys	42	61009.75	1452.61		
Coveys	7	36862.57	5266. 08	3.625	0.004
(B). Pastures	1	2035.22	2035.22	3.319	0.117
Coveys within pastures	6	3678.21	613.03	1.768	0.129
Transects within coveys	42	14565.56	346.79		
Coveys	7	5713.42	816.20	2.354	0.039
(C). Pastures	l	5984.18	5984.1 8	3.254	0.119
Coveys within pasture	6	11031 .3 8	1838 .56	1.419	0.229
Transects within coveys	42	54418 .62	1295 .6 8		
Coveys	7	17015.56	2430.79	1.876	0.098

^aStems/m²

Table &. Analyses of variance of <u>Rhus copallina</u> density in the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma. (A). Total density
(B). Draw density (C). Slope density^a.

Source	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value	Observed significance level (父)
(A). Pastures					
	T	2394.32	2394.32	1.510	0.264
Coveys within pastures	6	9478.43	1579.74	2.286	0.053
Transects within coveys	42	29028.37	691.15		
Coveys	7	11872.75	1696.11	2.455	0.033
(B). Pastures	1	292.82	292.82	3.547	0.107
Coveys within pastures	6	495.29	82.55	0 .36 8	0.895
Transects within coveys	42	9425.90	224.43	• •	
Coveys	7	788.12	112.59	0.502	0.829
(C). Pastures	1	1012.50	1012.50	0.824	0 .59 8
Coveys within pastures	6	7372.19	1228.69	2.588	0.031
Transects within coveys	42	19943.08	474.84		
Coveys	7	8384.69	1197.81	2.523	0.029

^astems/m²

APPENDIX B

SAMPLE SIZES, MEANS, AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

OF TREE COVER AND SHRUB COVER

AND DENSITY VALUES

Table 1. Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Rubus</u> cover (%) per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma.

Cover	Covey	Sample size	Mean	Standard deviation
Draw	la	10	0.80	0.86
Slope		10	3.75	9.25
Draw	2 ^a	8	0.13	0.23
Slope	· · · · ·	8	11.75	20.70
Draw	3 ^a	7	0.86	0.63
Slope		7	2.07	2.15
Draw	4 ^b	4	2.75	2.50
Slope		4	7.63	12.27
Draw	5 ^b	5	1 4.00	11.20
Slope		5	10,80	2.28
Draw	6 ^b	6	1.62	2.73
Slope		6	5.00	4.83
Draw	7 ^b	4	0.75	0.96
Slope		4	2.38	2.49
Draw	6 ^b	6	2.65	3.84
Slope		6	2.92	3.69

a Walnut Pasture

^bButler Pasture

Table 2. Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Symphoricarpos</u> cover (%) per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma.

Cover	Covey	Sample size	Mean	Standard deviation
Draw	la	10	5.0 5	10.06
Slope		10	2.85	2.81
Draw	2 ^a	8	3 . 3E	4.57
Slope		8	2.44	2.56
Draw	3 ^a	7	0.07	0.19
Slope		7	2.29	4.39
Draw	4 ^b	4	4.50	3.69
Slope		4	7.50	12.48
Draw	5 ^b	5	14.40	10.16
Slope		5	6.70	8.04
Draw	6 ^b	6	4.83	4.02
Slope		6	6.75	4.49
Draw	7 ^b	4	6.05	9.35
Slope		4	2.75	3.59
Draw	6 ^b	6	8.43	4.83
Slope		6	11.16	7.68

a. Walnut Pasture

^bButler Pasture

Cover	Covey	Sample size	Mean	Standard deviation
Draw	la	10	6.40	20.24
Slope		10	0.20	0.63
Draw	2 ^a	8	0.25	G .7 1
Slope		8	0.38	C.74
Draw	3 ^a	7	8.71	18.57
Slope		7	11.43	14.50
Draw	4 ^b	4	0.00	0.00
Slope		4	0.13	0.25
Draw	5 ^b	5	3.00	6.71
Slope		5	1.40	3.13
Draw	6 ^b	6	0.00	0.00
Slope		6	0.00	0.00
Draw	7 ^b	4	0.00	0.00
Slope		4	0.00	0.00
Draw	d ₃ 3	6	0.00	C.00
Slope		6	0.08	0.20

Table 3. Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Rhus copallina</u> cover (%) per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma.

a. Walnut Pasture

^bButler Pasture

Table 4. Sample size, mean, and standard deviation of <u>Rubus</u> density $(stems/m^2)$ per covey home range of the two intensive study areas, Rogers County, Oklahoma.

Cover	Covey	Sample size	Mean	Standard devi ation
Draw	la	10	10.70	24.39
Slope		10	61.00	38.79
Draw	2 ^a	8	4.25	3.92
Slope		8	81.88	53 . 54
Draw	3ª	7	14.29	9.19
Slope		7	42.71	32.46
Draw	4 ^b	4	53.75	40.78
Slope		4	79.75	70.58
Draw	5 ^b	5	57.40	32.91
Slope		5	93.00	45.80
Draw	6 ^b	6	8.83	8.28
Slope		6	56.83	35.49
Draw	7 ^b	4	20.50	23.69
Slope		4	33.25	21.23
Draw	8 ^b	6	19.33	18.22
Slope		6	49.00	43.34

a Walnut Pasture

^bButler Pasture

rable	5.	Sampre	sıze,	mean,	and	standar	ca (devia	tion	OI	Sympho	oricar	pos
densit	ty	(stems/m ²	2) per	covey	home	range	of	the	two	inte	ensive	study	
areas	R	ogers Cou	inty, (Oklahor	na.								

Cover	Covey	Sample size	Mean	Standard de via tion
Draw	la	10	18.60	23.69
Slope		10	17.30	22.49
Draw	2 ^a	8	15.50	19.00
Slope		8	33.88	32.71
Draw	a 3	7	0.86	2.27
Slope		7	13.29	21.42
Draw	4 ^b	4	17.00	16.71
Slope		4	52.25	71.67
Draw	5 ^b	5	31.2 0	17.21
Slope		5	40.20	37.41
Draw	6 ^b	6	17.00	16.33
Slope		6	40.33	23.18
Draw	7 ^b	4	1 8. 00	21.79
Slope		4	7.00	9.69
Draw	8 ^b	6	39.50	20.86
Slope		6	67.33	55.94

a. Walnut Pasture

^bButler Pasture

Table 6.	Sample :	size,	mean,	and	standar	d	devia	tion	of	Rhus	copal]	ina
density (s	stems/m ²) per	covey	home	range	of	the	two	inte	ensive	study	, ,
areas, Rog	gers Cou	nty, (klahor	na.								

Cover	Covey	Sample size	Mean	Standard deviation
Draw	la	10	9.20	29.09
Slope		10	2.10	6.64
Draw	2 ^a	8	1.38	3,89
Slope		8	3.75	7.98
Draw	3 ^a	7	8.71	13.43
Slope	*.	7	39•57	50.67
Draw	4 ^b	4	0.50	1.00
Slope		4	4.00	6.06
Draw	5 ⁰	5	7.00	12.12
Slope		5	13.20	29.52
Draw	6 ^b	6	0.00	0.00
Slope	•	6	0.83	1.60
Draw	7 ^b	4	0.00	0.00
Slope		4	0.00	0.00
Draw	d ₃	6	1.00	2.45
Slope		6	2.67	4.18

a. Walmut Pasture

^bButler Pasture

APPENDIX C

LIST OF TAXA COLLECTED IN THE TWO INTENSIVE STUDY

AREAS BETWEEN APRIL AND OCTOBER, 1976

A list of the taxa occurring in the two intensive study sites on the McFarlin-Ingersoll Ranch, Rogers County, Oklahoma, is given below. Families are arranged according to the Engler-Prantl classification scheme. Genera within a family are listed alphabetically. Nomenclature follows that of Waterfall (1972).

GRAMINAE

Andropogon gerardii Vitman A. saccharoides Sw. A. scoparius Michx. <u>A. ternarius Michx.</u> A. virginicus L. Aristida dichotoma Michx., var. dichotoma <u>A. longespica</u> Poir. A. purpurascens Poir. A. ramosissima Engelm. Bouteloua hirsuta lag. Bromus secalinus L. B. tectorum L. Chloris verticillata Nutt. Cinna arundinacea L., var. inexpansa Fern. & Grisc. Digitaria filiformis (L.) Koel D. Ischaemum (Schreb.) Muhl. Echinochloa crusgalli (L.) Beauv. Elymus canadensis L. E. virginicus L. Eragrostis hirsuta (Michx.) Nees E. intermedia Hitchc. E. spectabilis (Pursh.) Steud. E. trichodes (Nutt.) Nash Festuca octoflora Walt. Hordeum pusillum Nutt. Leersia oryzoides (L.) Sw. L. virginica Willd.

Leptoloma cognatum (Schultes) Chase

<u>Muhlenbergia Schreberi</u> J.F. Gmel. <u>M. sobolifera</u> (Muhl.) Trin. <u>M. sylvatica</u> Torr.

Panicum agrostoides Spreng.

P. anceps Michx.

P. capillare L.

P. dichotomiflorum Michx.

P. dichotomum L.

P. lanuginosum Ell.

P. <u>malacophyllum</u> Nash

P. oligosanthes Schultes

P. philadelphicum Bernh.

P. polyanthes Schultes

P. scoparium Lam.

P. sphaerocarpon Ell.

P. virgatum L.

<u>Paspalum laeve</u> Michx., var. <u>laeve</u> <u>P. setaceum</u> Michx., var <u>stramineum</u> (Nash) D. Banks

Phalaris caroliniana Walter

Poa annua L.

Setaria geniculata (Iam.) Beauv.

Sorghastrum nutans (L.) Nash

Spartina pectinata Link, var. Suttiei (Farw.) Fern.

<u>Sporobolus</u> <u>asper</u> (Michx.) Kunth <u>S. vaginiflorus</u> (Torr.) Wood

<u>Tridens flavus</u> (L.) Hitchc. <u>T. strictus</u> (Nutt.) Nash

Uniola latifolia Michx.

CYPERACEAE

<u>Carex cephalophora</u> Muhl. <u>C. Frankii</u> Kunth <u>C. Muhlenbergii</u> Schkuhr, var. <u>enervis</u> Boott <u>C. stipata</u> Muhl. <u>C. stricta Iam.</u>, var. <u>elongata</u> (Boeck) Gleason

Cyperus strigosus L. C. uniflorus Torr. & Hook.

Eleocharis compressa Sulliv. E. obtusa (Willd.) Schultes E. radicans (Poir.) Kunth

Scirpus loilolepis (Steud.) Gleason

COMMELINACEAE

Tradescantia ohiensis Raf.

JUNCACEAE

<u>Juncus brachycarpus</u> Engelm. <u>J. Dudleyi</u> (Weig.) Hermann <u>J. interior</u> Wieg. <u>J. marginatus</u> Rostk. <u>J. secundus</u> Beauv.

LILLIACEAE

Allium canadense L.

Nothoscordum bivalve (L.) Britton

Smilax Bona-nox L. S. tamnoides L.

AMARYLLIDACEAE

Hypoxis hirsuta (L.) Coville

IRIDACEAE

Sisyrinchium angustifolium Miller

ORCHIDACEAE

Spiranthes cernua (L.) Richard

SALICACEAE

Populus deltoides Marsh.

Salix nigra Marsh.

JUGLANDACEAE

Carva tomentosa (Poir.) Nutt.

Juglans nigra L.

FAGACEAE

<u>Quercus marilandica</u> Muenchh. <u>Q. palustris</u> Muenchh.

Q. stellata Wang.

<u>Celtis laevigata</u> Willd. <u>C. occidentalis</u> Pursh

Ulmus americana L.

MORACEAE

Morus alba L. M. rubra L.

POLYGONACEAE

Eriogonum longifolium Nutt.

Polygonum aviculare L. <u>P. coccineum</u> Muhl. <u>P. hydropiperoides</u> Michx. <u>P. pennsylvanicum</u> L. <u>P. Persicaria</u> L. <u>P. punctatum</u> Ell.

<u>Rumex hastatulus</u> Baldw. <u>R. hymenosepalus</u> Torr.

NYCTAGINACEAE

Mirabilis albida (Walt.) MacM.

PHYTOLACCACEAE

Phytolacca americana L.

AIZOACEAE

Mollugo verticillata L.

PORTULACACEAE

Claytonia virginica L.

CARYOPHYLLACEAE

Arenaria Drummondii Shinners

Cerastium brachypodum (Engelm.) Robinson

Stellaria media (L.) Cyrillo

NYMPHACEAE

Nuphar advena (Ait.) Ait.

RANUNCULACEAE

<u>Anemone caroliniana</u> Walt., forma <u>violacea</u> Clute <u>Delphinium tricorne</u> Michx., forma <u>albiflora</u> Millsp.

Myosurus minimus L., var. interior Boivin

MENISPERMACEAE

Cocculus carolinus (L.) DC.

FUMARIACEAE

Corydalis crystallina Engelm.

CRUCIFERAE

Capsella bursa-pastoralis (L.) Medic.

Cardamine pennsylvanica Muhl.

Lepidium virginicum L.

ROSACEAE

Agrimonia parviflora Ait.

<u>Crataegus coccinioides</u> Ashe <u>C. Mackensii</u> Sarg. <u>C. viridis</u> L.

Geum canadense Jacq.

Potentilla simplex Michx.

Prunus hortulana Bailey P. Munsoniana Wright & Hedrick P. serotina Ehrh.

Rosa setigera Michx., var. setigera

Rubus allagheniensis Porter

LEGUMINOSAE

Amorpha canescens Pursh., forma canescens

Astragalus crassicarpus Nutt., var. crassicarpus

<u>Baptisia australis</u> (L.) R. Br., var. <u>minor</u> (Lehm.) Fern. <u>B. bicolor</u> Greenm. & Iarisey <u>B. leucophaea Nutt.</u>, var leuc**ophaea** Desmodium ciliare Nuhl. D. laevigatum (Nutt.) DC. D. sessilifolium (Torr.) Y. & G. Lespedeza intermedia (L.) Britt. L. repens (L.) Bart. L. stipulacea Maxim. L. stipulacea Maxim. L. striata (Thunb.) H. & A. L. violacea (L.) Pers. L. virginica (L.) Britt. Medicago minima (L.) Bartilini Petalostemum purpureum (Vent.) Rydberg Psoralea tenuiflora Pursh Schrankia uncinata Willd.

<u>Stylosanthes biflora</u> (L.) BSP., var. <u>hispidissima</u> (Michx.) Pollard & Ball

LINACEAE

Linum rigidum Pursh.

OXALIDACEAE

<u>Oxalis stricta</u> L. <u>O. violacea</u> L., var. violacea

POLYGALACEAE

Polygala sanguinea L. P. verticillata L.

EUPHORBIACEAE

<u>Acalypha gracilens</u> Gray <u>A. virginica</u> L.

<u>Croton capitatus</u> Michx. <u>C. glandulosus</u> L., var. <u>septentrionalis</u> Nuehll. Arg. <u>C. Lindheimerianus</u> Scheele <u>C. monanthogynous</u> Michx.

Euphorbia corollata L. E. supina Raf.

Stillingia sylvatica L.

Tragia betonicifolia Nuttall

ANACARDIACEAE

 $\frac{Rhus copallina}{R. glabra L.}$ $\frac{R. glabra L.}{R. radicans L.}$

AQUIFOLIACEAE

Ilex decidua Walt.

VITÁCEAE

Parthenocissus guinguefolia (L.) Planch

<u>Vitis cinera</u> Engelm. <u>V. vulpina</u> L.

MALVACEAE

Abutilon Theophrasti Medic.

VIOLACEAE

<u>Viola Langloisii</u> Greene <u>V. pedata L., var. liniariloba</u> DC. <u>V. primulifolia</u> L. <u>V. Rafinesquii</u> Greene

CACTACEAE

Opuntia sp.

LYTHRACEAE

Cuphea petiolata (L.) Koehne

ONAGRACEAE

Gaura filiformis Small

Jussiaea peploides (HBK) Raven, var. glabrescens (Ktze.) Shinners

Ludwigia alternifolia L.

Oenothera linifolia Nuttall

UMBELLIFERAE

Chaerophyllum procumbens (L.) Crantz C. texanum Coulter & Rose

Cicuta maculata L.

Polytaenia Nuttallii DC.

Ptilmnium Nuttallii (DC) Britt.

CORNACEAE

<u>Cornus</u> <u>Drummondii</u> Meyer <u>C. obliqua</u> Raf.

SAPOTACEAE

Bumelia lanuginosa (Michx.) Pers.

EBENACEAE

Diospyros virginiana L., var. virginiana OLEACEAE

Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marsh., var. pennsylvanica

GENTIANACEAE

Sabatia campestris Nuttall, forma campestris

APOCYNACEAE

Apocynum cannabinum L., var. glaberrimum A. DC.

ASCLEPIADACEAE

<u>Asclepias hirtella</u> (Pennell) Woodson <u>A. tuberosa</u> L. <u>A. viridis</u> Walt.

POLEMONIACEAE

Phlox cuspidata Scheele

HYDROPHYLLACEAE

Phacelia strictiflora (Engelm. & Gray) Gray

VERBENACEAE

 $\frac{Verbena}{V.} \frac{bracteata}{V.} \frac{Lag. \& Rodr.}{L.}$ Britton V. hastata L.

LABIATAE

Monarda fistulosa L., var. fistulosa

Physostegia angustifolia Fern.

Prunella vulgaris L., var. lanceolata (Bart.) Fern.

Pycnanthemum tenuifolium Schrad.

Salvia sp.

Scutellaria parvula Michx.

Teucrium canadense L.

SOLANACEAE

Datura Stramonium L.

<u>Solanum carolinense</u> L., forma <u>albiflorum</u> (O. Ktze.) Benke <u>S. rostratum</u> Dunal

SCROPHULARIACEAE

Collinsia violacea Nuttall

<u>Gerardia fasciculata</u> Ell. <u>G. heterophylla</u> Nuttall

Gratiola virginiana L.

Linaria canadensis (L.) Dumont, var. texana (Scheele) Pennell

Penstemon tubaeflorus Nuttall

Veronica peregrina L. V. polita Fries

ACANTHACEAE

Justicia americana (L.) Vahl.

Ruellia humilis Nutt.

PLANTAGINACEAE

<u>Plantago</u> <u>aristata</u> Michx. <u>P. virginica</u> L.

RUBIACEAE

Cephalanthus occidentalis L.

Diodia teres Walt., var. setifera Fern. & Grisc.

Galium Aparine L.

Hedyotis crassifolia Raf. H. nigricans (Lam.) Fosb.

CAPRIFOLIACEAE

Symphoricarpos orbiculatus Moench

VALERIANACEAE

Valerianella radiata Dufr.

CAMPANULACEAE

<u>Specularia leptocarpa</u> (Nuttall) Gray <u>S. perfoliata</u> (L.) A. DC.

IOBELIACEAE

<u>Lobelia appendiculata</u> A. DC. <u>L. spicata</u> Lam.

COMPOSITAE

Achillea lanulosa Nutt., forma lanulosa

Ambrosia artemisiifolia L., var. <u>elatior</u> (L.) Descourtils <u>A. bidentata</u> Michx. <u>A. psilostachya</u> DC., var. <u>coronopifolia</u> (T. & G.) Farw. <u>A. trifida</u> L., var. <u>texana</u> Scheele

Antennaria sp.

Artemisia ludoviciana Nuttall

<u>Aster ericoides</u> L. <u>A. praealtus</u> Poir.

Bidens polylepis Blake

Boltonia asteroides (L.) L'Her.

Chrysopsis pilosa Nuttall

Cirsium altissimum (L.) Spreng

Coreopsis grandiflora Hogg

Conyza canadensis (L.) Cronq., var. glabrata (Gray) Cronq.

Echinacea pallida Nuttall

Elephantopus carolinianus Willd.

Erigeron philadelphicus L. E. strigosus Muhl. ex Willd. <u>Eupatorium altissimum</u> L. <u>E. perfoliatum</u> L.

<u>E. rugosum</u> Horett. <u>E. seroti**n**um</u> Michx.

D. DOLOVINAN MICHA

Gaillardia lanceolata Michx., var. fastigiata (Greene) Waterfall

Gnaphalium obtusifolium L.

Gutierrizia dracunculoides (DC.) Blake

<u>Helenium amarum</u> Raf. <u>H. autumnale</u> L.

Krigia oppositifolia Raf.

<u>Liatris aspera Michx.</u>, var. <u>aspera</u> <u>L. punctata Hooker</u>

Pyrrhopappus scaposus DC.

Rudbeckia hirta L.

<u>Solidago canadensis L., var. gilvocanescens</u> Rydb. <u>S. canadensis L., var. scabra</u> (Muhl.) T. & G. <u>S. gymnospermoides</u> (Greene) Fern. <u>S. missouriensis</u> Nutt. <u>S. nitida</u> T. & G. <u>S. radula</u> Nutt.

<u>Vernonia altissima</u> Raf. <u>V. Baldwinii</u> Torr.

Xanthium strumarium L., var. canadense (Mill.) T. & G.

ELLEN I. COLLINS

Candidate for the Degree of

Master of Science

Thesis: VEGETATIONAL ANALYSIS OF BOBWHITE HABITAT ON NORTHEASTERN OKLAHOMA RANGELAND

Major Field: Botany

Biographical:

- Personal Data: Born in Hackensack, New Jersey, May 28, 1953, the daughter of F. Berte and Mary E. Collins.
- Education: Graduated from Park Ridge High School, Park Ridge, New Jersey in June, 1971; received Bachelor of Arts degree in Biology from Gettysburg College in June, 1975; fulfilled the requirements for the Master of Science Degree at Oklahoma State University in December, 1977.
- Professional Experience: Graduate teaching assistant, School of Biological Sciences, Oklahoma State University, 1975-77; Graduate teaching assistant, University of Oklahoma Biological Station, summer, 1976; Field researcher, Oklahoma Natural Heritage Program, summer, 1977.
- Professional Organizations: Beta Beta Beta Honorary Biological Society.