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## PREFACE

This study is concerned with the aquifer properties of the alluvial terrace and floodplain deposits in Tillman County, Oklahoma. The primary objective is to determine the maximum annual yield for the basin from July 1, 1973, to July 1, 1993. A computer model is used to determine the maximum annual yield based on pumpage prior to July 1, 1973, and subsequent allocated pumpage until July 1, 1993.
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## CHAPTER I

## ABSTRACT

The alluvial terrace and floodplain deposits in the western half of Tillman County are associated with the Red and North Fork of the Red River, and extend over an area of approximately 285 square miles. Ground water in these deposits supply about 850 wells for domestic and irrigation purposes. Cotton, wheat and alfalfa are the major irrigated crops.

A finite-differencing digital model was used to simulate well drawdown over a 20-year period between July 1, 1973, and July 1, 1993. A maximum annual yield was determined based on pumpage prior to 1973 and subsequent allocated pumpage at different trial rates. Calibration techniques were also compared. A pattern recharge of 2.87 inches was selected for optimum design. Computer input data include evapotranspiration and well rate at the surface; water level, land and bedrock elevations; specific yield, coefficient of storage and leakage rate of the river bed.

Computer output is used to show a rate of 1 acre foot per acre could be recommended as an allocation to each one-quarter section of the 285 square mile aquifer area. At this rate, only one-half of the aquifer area would go dry during the 20-year period. On this basis, 70,048 acre feet per year was established as the maximum annual yield. This annual discharge rate will yield a total volume of $1,400,967$ acre
feet pumped from July 1, 1973, to July 1, 1993. The model results indicate that more than one half of the wells which belong to priorappropriative right owners would go dry if annual allocation was permitted at the recommended rate. Only four percent of the priorappropriative wells would go dry if annual allocation was not permitted.

## CHAPTER II

## INTRODUCTION

The Quaternary alluvial terrace and floodplain deposits along the Red River and the North Fork of the Red River in the western half of Tillman County comprise the major aquifer for the area. This aquifer supplies water for the municipalities of Frederick, Tipton, Davidson, and Manitou, as well as for domestic and irrigation uses in this area.

There are more than 800 water wells drilled in this area. Hydrogeologic information has been obtained from approximately 165 wells. The data collected from some of these wells include location, well elevations, water levels and total bedrock depth. A few pump tests have also been conducted. The locations of these wells are distributed throughout the area as shown in Figure 1.

The area has undergone extensive pumping during the last 20 years as a result of increasing irrigation development. Consequently, the water levels have been declining in some areas due to ground-water mining. In November, 1968, Tillman County was declared a critical ground-water area by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board.

Under Oklahoma Statute No.'s 82 § 1020.4 and 82 § 1020.5, the Oklahoma Water Resources Board is responsible for completing hydrologic surveys of each fresh ground-water basin or subbasin within the state of Oklahoma and for determining a maximum annual safe yield which will provide a 20-year minimum life for each basin or subbasin.

Oklahoma Statute No. 82 § 1020.5 states the following:

Figure 1. Well locations


After making the hydrologic survey, the Board shall make a determination of the maximum annual yield of fresh water to be produced from each ground-water basin or subbasin. Such determination must be based upon the following:

1. The total land area overlying the basin or subbasin;
2. The amount of water in storage in the basin or subbasin;
3. The rate of natural recharge to the basin or subbasin and total discharge from the basin or subbasin;
4. Transmissibility of the basin or subbasin; and
5. The possibility of pollution of the basin or subbasin from natural sources.

The maximum annual yield of each fresh ground-water basin or subbasin shall be based upon a minimum basin or subbasin life of twenty (20) years from the effective date of this act. An annual allocation in terms of acre feet per acre per year is to be determined based on the maximum annual yield and used as a basis for issuing permits to owners whose land is located within the aquifer area.

## Objectives

The objectives of this study are to utilize the available hydrological data of the area and determine the maximum annual yield and annual allocation of fresh ground water that can be produced from the alluvial floodplain and terrace deposits of the Red River and the North Fork of the Red River in Tillman County, OkTahoma for the 20-year period between July, 1973, and July, 1993. These objectives were achieved using the following methods:

1. Selection of hydrogeologic data including water levels and well data supplied by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board to be used as input data for the mathematical model.
2. Assignment of spatially distributed hydraulic properties to alluvial deposits based on available hydrogeological data.
3. Modification, calibration and validation of an existing mathematical model for predicting changes in an alluvial terrace and floodplain aquifer over a 20 -year period.

## Previous Work

The terrace deposits of the western part of Tillman County were briefly mentioned by Clifton (1929) as an area of Quaternary or recent exposures consisting of sands and alluvium. In November, 1944, a 24hour aquifer test was conducted on the irrigation well at the Southwestern Cotton Substation near Tipton. In May, 1945, five test holes were drilled in search of a supplementary water supply for public use. Read and Schoft (1947) discussed the water level fluctuations observed between 1940 and 1947 in the irrigation well at the Southwest Cotton Substation. The Layne Western Company of Wichita, Kansas, drilled 40 test holes in the terrace deposits for the city of Frederick in 1948.

Barclays and Burton (1953) wrote a hydrological report on groundwater resources of the Tillman Terrace. This report was updated by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board which published a hydrologic atlas of Tillman County in 1974 (Wickersham, et al., 1974). This report describes the saturated thickness and the areal extent of irrigation associated with the terrace deposits.

Loo (1972) used a mathematical model to study the effect of vertical permeability variation on the Ogallala aquifer. DeVries and Kent (1973) used a digital model developed for the Texas High Plains in order to assess the sensitivity of the model to vertical variability of aquifer properties. Kent, et al. (1973) evaluated the coefficient of
permeability and specific yield of the Washita River alluvium and determined that permeability and specific yield values could be assigned to layered sediments described on drillers' logs. This latter approach was subsequently used in this study,

The alluvial deposits are described as being discontinuous layers of clay, silt and sand which constitute an unconfined water-table aquifer. Bredhoeft and Pinder (1970) and Pinder (1970) designed a basic mathematical model to simulate two-dimensional aquifer problems. This model was used to simultaneously solve the finite-difference equations related to artesian and water-table conditions. Trescott (1973) and Prickett and Lonnquist (1971) added several problem options and inputoutput features. These features included the method of treating the storage coefficient and leakage in conjunction with evapotranspiration for combined artesian, water-table problems. This model has been modified several times by the U. S. Geological Survey. The 1974 version of this model was used in this study.

## CHAPTER III

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

## Location

The study area is defined by the limits of the "Tillman Terrace" aquifer located in the western half of Tillman County in southwestern Oklahoma. It includes portions of T2N through T4S, and R17W through R19W as shown in Figure 1. It is bounded on the north by Kiowa County and on the south and west by an imaginary line extending north and south, passing just west of the city of Frederick. The aquifer extends over 285 square miles in Tillman County. Its maximum length from north to south is approximately 29 miles and its maximum width is about 13 miles.

## Geology

The rocks exposed in the area range in age from Precambrian to Quaternary as shown in Figure 2. The oldest Paleozoic rocks were apparently deposited at the time when the area was relatively stable. Crustal deformation of the rocks occurred during the early Pennsylvanian resulting in the uplift of the Wichita Mountains. Sediments which might have been deposited between Permian and Quaternary times were eroded due to a long period of weathering and erosion.

The Precambrian granite is exposed at the surface in T2N, R18W, and is surrounded by the Quaternary sediments. The granite is believed to yield a very low quantity of water, except at the intersection of joints.

Figure 2. Geologic map


The Hennessey Formation of Permian age outcrops adjacent to and subcrops below the alluvial deposits. They are characterized by reddishbrown argillaceous siltstones intercalated with thin layers of gray and reddish-brown shale. The outcrop has a gentle regional dip to the southwest. The Hennessey Formation does not yield large quantities of water. Low to moderate yields might be obtained from lenticular sandstones.

The Quaternary sediments consist of alluvial and eolian sands which cover most of the area west of a low gradational escarpment formed by the contact between the alluvium and Hennessey shale (Figure 2). The contact trends north and south immediately west of the city of Frederick. The alluvium is predominately composed of terrace deposits. The terrace deposits consist of discontinuous layers of clay, sandy clay, sand and gravel. Generally the sand and gravel are not well sorted. These sediments vary in color from gray to brown and reddish brown. Scattered pebbles of quartz are found in the clay. The surface is gently undulated to flat, sloping gently westward to the North Fork of the Red River. Elevations range from 1,396 feet above mean sea level in the center of the area of the deposits to 1,131 feet above mean sea level in the southwest corner of the area.

The thickness of the terrace deposits vary from place to place due to irregularities of the underlying shale. Test drilling indicate that the average thickness of the terrace deposits is approximately 42 feet. These deposits thin northward to Kiowa County. Bedrock occurs at the surface in the south-central portion of the study area. Terrace gravels which overlie the bedrock in this area are shown in Figure 3. The terrace deposits are the major supply of ground water in the area. Wells

Figure 3. Hennessey shale and terrace alluvium. Terrace gravels typically occur on top of the Hennessey shale near the eastern edge of terrace alluvium in Sec. 34, T2S, R18W.

completed in these sediments may yield from 50 to 500 gpm and the average yield is 200 gpm . The average coefficient of permeability is $691 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ and transmissivity ranges between $100 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$ and 50,000 gpd/ft.

The floodplain alluvium ranges from less than one-half mile to one and one-half miles wide along most of the Red and North Fork of the Red River reaches. It is separated from the terrace deposits by a poorly defined topographic break. Its thickness varies from 27 feet to 47 feet, north to south, and averages 34 feet. The average well yield of the floodplain is 300 gpm . The average coefficient of permeability is 689 $\mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ and transmissivity ranges between $200 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$ and $60,000 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$.

Eolian deposits discontinuously overlie the floodplain alluvium of the Red River and the east side of the North Fork of the Red River. The thickness ranges from 15 to 68 feet and generally occur above the water table. Being highly permeable, they serve an important role in allowing precipitation to infiltrate and recharge the floodplain portion of the aquifer.

## Water Table

The terrace and alluvial deposits occur as an unconfined aquifer. A 1969 water table map is shown in Figure 4. The water table slopes at an average gradient of 18 feet per mile westward to the Red River forming an effluent stream condition. The North Fork of the Red River is generally influent with a gradient away from the river at 10 feet per mile. The water table slopes toward the Otter Creek tributary in the northern portion of the area:

Figure 4. 1969 Water-head elevations


## Climate

The area is characterized by a semi-arid climate. The average annual temperature for the city of Frederick is $63.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The average annual precipitation for the period of 1954 to 1974 is 24.95 inches. The highest precipitation occurs in May and the lowest in January. Precipitation data for the cities of Frederick and Tipton is shown in Table I for the period of 1954 to 1974.

## Recharge

The sandy soil of western Tillman County has a high rate of infiltration. Presence of discontinuous layers of clay and caliche within the terrace deposits above the water table does not regionally prevent infiltration of precipitation to the zone of saturation but they will generally decrease it. Hydrologic studies by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board (1975) have used nine percent of precipitation as an estimate of net change recharge to the water table.

The average precipitation for the cities of Tipton and Frederick as computed from Table I is 24.45 inches. A recharge of 2.2 inches per year $\left(6.6 \times 10^{-9}\right.$ feet per second) can be computed based on the percentage of nine percent and the 24.45 inches of rainfall. When this recharge is prorated over the 189,760 acres of the aquifer area, natural recharge is estimated to be 34,726 acre feet per year. Secondary recharge to the aquifer is the return flow from irrigation. A conservative percentage of 15 percent is estimated based on studies by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board (1975).

Evaporation and transpiration are important factors to be considered due to the shallow water table and semi-arid conditions. These two

TABLE I
PRECIPITATION DATA FOR THE CITIES OF TIPTON AND FREDERICK FROM 1954 TO 1974

| Year | Frederick | Tipton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 28.43 | 27.34 |
| 1973 | 35.03 | 34.61 |
| 1972 | 26.24 | 22.77 |
| 1971 | 22.51 | 19.77 |
| 1970 | 18.37 | 13.18 |
| 1968 | 29.11 | 30.73 |
| 1966 | 19.27 | 17.69 |
| 1964 | 25.54 | 26.52 |
| 1962 | 31.48 | 29.07 |
| 1960 | 31.42 | 31.15 |
| 1958 | 23.12 | 22.69 |
| 1956 | 18.05 | 19.29 |
| 1954 | 15.82 | 16.45 |

factors have been combined together because of the difficulties in computing transpiration alone. In this study, evapotranspiration was considered as a part of net recharge and as evaporation occurring within the first one foot of the surface.

## Irrigation

Farming is the major industry in this area. Cotton, wheat and alfalfa are the major crops. There is, however, small quantities of grain, grain sorghum, forage sorghum, oats and barley grown in the area. Pasture grasses are also grown for grazing during the fall, winter and spring months.

The irrigation period occurs predominantly in the months of June, July, August and September. The amount of irrigation differs from one crop to another. The water use for irrigation of different crop types in Tillman County are listed in Table II. The irrigation period as used in the model will include the months of June through September even though minor amounts of irrigation are applied during the early spring months.

TABLE II
1974 SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER USE FOR TILLMAN COUNTY (AFTER OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCE BOARD, 1974)

|  | Ground Water |  |  | Surface Water |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Water Use | Acres | Water Use |  |
| Crop | Irrigated | Acre-Feet | Irrigated | Acre-Feet |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 1,839 | 4,190 | 10 | 25 |  |
| Grain Corn | 33 | 26 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Silage Corn | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cotton | 6,140 | 4,904 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Horticulture | 46 | 42 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pasture | 2,380 | 4,203 | 0 | 261 |  |
| Peanuts | 0 | 0 | 200 | 1,999 |  |
| Wheat | 3,718 | 2,325 | 50 | 22 |  |
| Small Grain | 447 | 322 | 20 | 13 |  |
| Soybeans | 21 | 54 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Grain Sorghum | 823 | 652 | 50 | 66 |  |
| Forage Sorghum | 591 | 820 | 35 | 46 |  |
| Other Crops | 260 | 241 | 52 | 17 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 16,313 | 17,788 | 678 | 2,491 |  |

MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL

TOTAL/SOURCE

COUNTY TOTAL ACRES IRRIGATED:

Water Use Acre-Feet

1,306
0
0
0
0

1,306
Water Use Acre-Feet

0
0
0
0
0
0

2,491

16,991 WATER USE (A.F.): 21,585

# CHAPTER IV 

METHODOLOGY

## General

The hydrogeological data collected for the study area was analyzed and spatially distributed over the entire area. Steps employed to use the model for the desired results are summarized in Figure 5. The input data was divided into matrix and constant parameters. The matrix parameters included: water head elevations; land, top and bedrock elevations; coefficient of permeability; specific yield; river bed thickness and hydraulic conductivity; and well pumping rate. The constant parameters included storage coefficient of the river bed and rate and depth of evapotranspiration. Recharge rate was included in either category depending on the computer run used. These input data were transferred onto maps, gridded and punched on IBM cards.

A five-year computer simulation was performed for the period between 1969 and 1974 using the observed 1969 water-head elevations. This simulation was used to calibrate the model. Calibration was achieved by adjusting the recharge rate so that the simulated 1974 water-head elevations were within five feet of the observed 1974 water-head elevations. Three recharge versions were introduced: pattern recharge, calibration matrix recharge and constant recharge.

A 22-year computer simulation (1969 to 1991) was conducted using the calibration matrix and constant recharge versions. Two runs were made

Figure 5. Data input-out flow chart

for each version. Both runs were based on different pumping rates; one run uses the prior appropriative right ownership only and the other one incorporates both prior appropriative right ownership and the subsequent allocated pumping of 0.6 acre feet/acre/year. A final 20-year computer simulation was conducted for the 1973 to 1993 period using the pattern recharge version. Again, two runs were performed; one using prior appropriative right ownership only and the other run using prior appropriative right ownership and the subsequent allocated pumping of 1 acre feet/ acre/year.

Data output from these versions were plotted using the computer printer. Data was plotted for each five-year interval of the total simulation period. Computed output data included transmissivity, saturated thickness, and water-head elevations.

## Coefficient of Permeability

To determine the coefficient of permeability and transmissivity, well pump test data for the cities of Tipton and Frederick were analyzed using the Prickett-type (1965) curve method. The method is described in Tables III and IV and results are shown in Figures 6 and 7. The Tipton test resulted in a value of transmissivity of $17,054 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$ and a coefficient of permeability of $352 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ as shown in Table III. The transmissivity value obtained from the Frederick test is $21,015 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$ and the coefficient of permeability was $350 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ as shown in Table IV.

Problems arose because the limited available data from the 123 wells within the study area could not be used to directly furnish the coefficient of permeability and transmissivity for the entire area. Therefore, another method was used to generate the coefficient

## TABLE III

## PUMP TEST RESULT USING PRICKETT METHOD FOR SOUTHWESTERN SUBSTATION WELL, TIPTON



$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(U)=0.62 \\
& \frac{1}{U_{y}}=0.81 \\
& U_{y}=1.23 \\
& Q=210 \mathrm{gpm} \\
& S=0.71 \mathrm{ft} \\
& t=1450 \mathrm{~min} \\
& r=300 \mathrm{ft} \\
& T=\frac{114.6 Q}{S} W(U)=\frac{114.6(210)(0.62)}{(0.71)}=21,015 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft} \quad(22,000) \\
& S_{y}= \frac{T U^{\prime} t}{2693 r^{2}}=\frac{(21,000)(1.23)(1450)}{2693\left(300^{2}\right)}=0.155(.087) \\
& K=\frac{T}{t} \text { where } t=\text { thickness of aquifer } \frac{21,015}{60}=350 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 6. Water-table, fully penetrating, constant-discharge, timedrawdown type curves (modified after Prickett, 1965)


Figure 7. Pump test, time-drawdown graph for well "C" at Tipton, Oklahoma

of permeability and transmissivity for these wells and to distribute these values over the entire study area. Information related to thickness and lithology of the terrace deposits was obtained from driller's logs of 123 water wells. The driller's logs obtained from these wells describe the stratigraphic lithology contained in each well. The lithology is divided into four ranges: range one is associated with clay and silt; range two is very fine to fine sand; range three is fine to coarse sand; and range four is associated with coarse sand and gravel. A weighted average permeability was introduced by multiplying a weighting factor for the four ranges of size by the percentage of saturated thickness for each range and summing up the total for all the ranges. This method is described for the Tipton and Frederick pump test sites in Table V. The weighting factors for each range were obtained from the coefficient of permeability grain-size envelope developed by Kent et al. (1973) as shown in Figure 8. The ranges were chosen to represent various median grain sizes which correspond to the average coefficient of permeability for each range as shown in Figure 8. The values for permeabilities were converted from gpd/ft ${ }^{2}$ to units of feet/second by using a multiple of $1.55 \times 10^{-6}$. The computed weighted average permeability values were compared with the coefficient of permeability derived from pump test analysis as shown in Table VI. Both methods produced similar results. This was considered to be justification for using the envelope in Figure 8 to determine an average permeability coefficient for each well. The computed average weighted permeability coefficients for the wells were used to generate a contour map to represent the distribution of permeability values at a scale of one-half inch per mile. The permeability map is shown in Figure 9. A one-quarter mile grid of the same scale was

TABLE V
WEIGHTED AVERAGE PERMEABILITY FOR THE CITIES OF TIPTON AND FREDERICK

| Range | Coefficient of Permeability (GPD/FT ${ }^{2}$ ) | Interval <br> Thickness | \% Thickness | Weighting of Permeability Coefficient (GPD/FT ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 97 | 53 | 0.88 | 85.4 |
| 3 | 516 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 1,484 | 7 | 0.12 | 178.0 |

$263.4 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$(\bar{K})$ Average Weighted Permeability

| City of Frederick |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 97 | 34 | 0.66 | 64.0 |
| 3 | 516 | 3 | 0.07 | 36.1 |
| 4 | 1,484 | $(\bar{K})$ Average Weighted Permeability |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 8. Relationship between hydraulic coefficient ranges, medium grain size and the coefficient of permeability


TABLE VI
COMPARISON OF COEFFICIENTS OF PERMEABILITY AND TRANSMISSIVITY AS DERIVED FROM PUMP TESTS AND DRILLER'S LOGS

|  | Tipton | Frederick |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Computed Permeability <br> from Pump Teṣts | $352 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $350 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Computed Weighted <br> Average Permeability <br> Using Envelope in <br> Figure 8 | $500 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $264 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Transmissivity from <br> Pump Test | $17,954 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $21,015 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Computed Weighted <br> Average Transmissivity <br> Using Envelope in <br> Figure 8 | $17,697 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$ |  |

Figure 9. Coefficient of permeability map

overlaid onto the contour map. Coefficient of permeability values were assigned to each node by a perimeter-averaging technique described by Griffen (1949). This technique involved averaging interpolated values at the center of each node face with the node center. The digitized permeability values are shown in Figure 10.

## Specific Yield

Specific yield values were obtained for each range shown on the envelope in Figure 8. The graph in Figure 11 was used to provide a relationship between median grain size and specific yield. The dominant grain size scale shown in Figure 11 was considered to be equivalent to median grain size. The values for specific yield along with the corresponding permeability coefficients of the four ranges were plotted on a semi-logarithmic paper as shown in Figure 12. A parabolic relationship between permeability and specific yield was developed. Values of specific yield were assigned to each node by using the curve in Figure 11 and the average permeability coefficient determined for each node. The resulting specific yield contour map is shown in Figure 13 and the corresponding digitized version is shown in Figure 14.

## Aquifer Boundaries

The water levels of these wells were obtained from the Water Resources Board (1976): The 1969 and 1974 water levels and their well locations were transferred onto a quarter-mile grid and contoured. These maps are shown in Figures 4 and 15. Interpolated head values at the center of each node were used for the computer matrix.

The Hennessey shale underlies the aquifer as an impermeable boundary.

Figure 10. Digitized computer input of permeability
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Figure 11. Grain size distribution of cored samples from the Tia Juana Basin, California (after Johnson, 1967)


Figure 12. Relationship between specific yield and the coefficient of permeability


Figure 13. Specific yield map


Figure 14. Digitized computer input of specific yield
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Figure 15. 1974 water-head elevations


Figure 16 shows a representative Hennessey shale outcrop. It generally outcrops in the eastern half of Tillman County. The eastern boundary of the aquifer model was determined by using the topographic and geologic maps of Tillman County and verified by field investigation. The bedrock elevations for the study area under the terrace were obtained from the driller's logs. These data were contoured as subdatum elevations and assigned to the center of each node in the one-quarter mile grid. These data served as the lower boundary of the model. Contoured and digitized maps of the bedrock elevations are shown in Figures 17 and 18, respec tively.

The Red River forms a discharge-recharge boundary at the southern and southwestern edge of the aquifer model. The North Fork of the Red River serves as a recharge boundary on the northwest side of the aquifer and as a discharge boundary on the north edge. The discharge-recharge relationship is time dependent to the configuration of the water table with respect to the river shown in Figures 4 and 15 .

Land elevations were assigned to the quarter-mile grid by using a U. S. Geological topographic map. This information was used to establish a reference from which depth of evapotranspiration was measured. A printer output showing digitized values of "land" elevations is shown in Figure 19. The "top" elevation is a parameter incorporated in the program for denoting the top of a confined aquifer. A confined aquifer was assumed for the river boundary condition in the node. "Top" values, equivalent to two feet below land elevations, were used for all river nodes, whereas a value of 20,000 feet was applied to all other nodes. Other variables which were used in the computer program were thickness and hydraulic conductivity of the river bed. It was assumed that the

Figure 16. Hennessey shale with terrace alluvium gravels, Sec. 33, T2S, R18W


Figure 17. Bedrock elevation map


Figure 18. Digitized computer output of bedrock elevation
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Figure 19. Printer output of digitized land elevations
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river bed consisted of silts and clays and thus served as a local aquitard to the underlying aquifer material. Therefore, the river and nodes were used to represent vertical seepage movements in either direction. Water-level elevations for the river nodes were also obtained from the topography map.

A value of 0.01 was placed at the outer edge of all aquifer boundaries on the water-head elevation matrix (STRT). The value actually represents the areas where transmissivity is equivalent to zero. The specific yield and bedrock elevation matricies were left blank outside of these same boundaries.

Pumping rates were entered as a variable in the model. Two matricies were used. One matrix included pump rates reportedly used prior to July 1, 1973. These were established by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board as prior appropriative right owners. Distribution of these rates is shown in Figure 20. A second matrix was used to enter a constant pumping rate which was assigned to all nodes other than those with prior appropriative rights. An example of this matrix is shown in Figure 21. Those prior appropriative pumping rates with less than the assigned constant value were automatically assigned the larger rate. All other prior appropriative pumping rates remained unchanged. Prior appropriative ownership rates were converted from acre feet per year for the number of permitted acres to acre feet per acre per year and cubic feet per second. The annual rate was restricted to a four-month pumping session between June 1, and October 1 (one-third year). This required the annual rate to be increased by three times for the shorter period. All pumpage rates include a net return flow of 15 percent of total pumpage.

Figure 20. Distribution of prior appropriative right ownership and corresponding pump rates (pattern recharge)


Figure 21. Prior appropriative and allocated pumping rates
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## Simulation Period

The model was used to simulate pumping and corresponding water-level changes over a five- and twenty-year period. This is shown in the flow chart on Figure 5. The model was originally run between October 1, 1969, and October 1, 1974, in order to calibrate the model. The second period was changed to the interval between July 1, 1973, and July 1, 1993. The latter change was made because the Oklahoma Water Law No. 82 § 1020.5 requires that new allocations are to be assigned for a 20-year period between these two dates: .

The five-year calibration period included only prior appropriative pumping. The 20-year simulation included two separate runs; one using prior appropriative pump rates only and a second using prior appropriative rates combined with constant rates assigned to all other nodes. The model was designed to automatically turn the pumping period on or off at the beginning and end of each pumping period, respectively, for either the five-year or twenty-year periods. Therefore, ten pumping periods (five periods with pumps on and five periods with pumps off) were used for the five-year calibration period and 40 pumping periods were employed for the 20-year simulation. Simulated withdrawal of water was designed to automatically cease if the water-head elevation dropped to an elevation within five feet of the bottom (bedrock). It was assumed that a submersible pump would be placed within the bottom five feet. Pumping would cease as the water head dropped within this interval because air would be drawn and, consequently, eliminate the lift capacity of the pump.

## Data Input

The input data were digitized by punching values assigned to each node onto computer (IBM) cards. These data included other constant values punched as separate input data: QET--evapotranspiration rate; ETDIST--depth (1 foot) at which evapotranspiration ceases below land surface; ERR--error criteria for convergence of the mathematical solution (0.1 foot); ITMAX--maximum number of iterations per time step (50); NPER--number of the pumping perids; SSRIV--specific storage of river bottom; NUMT--number of time steps in pump period (assume time step of ten days); TMAX--number of days of the pumping periods; DELX--grid spacing in X-direction (2,640 feet); and DELY--grid spacing in $Y$-direction (2,640 feet); S--storage coefficient for river nodes only ( $2.0 \times 10^{-8}$ ); DIML--number of rows used in the model (63); and DIMW--number of columns (36). Other input cards were followed by variables entered as matricies: LAND--elevation of land surface; TOP--elevation of top of aquifer or the top of the bedrock; PERM--coefficient of permeability; SY--specific yield which ranges from 0.245 to 0.320 ; STRT--the 1969 water-table elevations; RATE--hydraulic conductivity of river bed; M--thickness of river bed; QRE--recharge used in calibration; and WELL--pump rates used when pumping is on. Complete listings of the data input is shown in the Appendix.

## Calibration

Calibration was achieved by comparing the 1974 observed head (water table) elevations with the computed values from the five-year computer simulation between October 1, 1969, and October 1, 1974 (Figure 5, Flow Chart). Recharge (QRE) was adjusted in order to reduce the calibration
error or residual values between observed and computed head elevations to $\pm$ five feet. Three approaches were used for calibration which included matrix, constant and pattern recharge. Where the matrix was used, recharge (QRE) was adjusted for each node. Matrix recharge values and the resulting calibration error are shown in Figures 22 and 23. In the constant recharge version, the mean of the matrix recharge values was used as a constant recharge for all nodes ( $7.5 \times 10^{-9}$ feet per second). Pattern recharge included the mean of matrix recharge values which were selectively assigned to nodes. The pattern recharge concept was introduced to eliminate some errors in recharge that might have been introduced in the calibration recharge version. This approach involved the adjustment of the rate of recharge for the calibration matrix nodes in such a way that nodes with negative recharge values were replaced by 0 or positive recharge values. Although the residual error could not be restricted to within $\pm$ five feet, the resulting error distribution was indicative of areas where varying degrees of reliability could be assumed in the simulation results. This error distribution is shown in Figure 24. The error represents the total effect of inaccuracies which may be associated with the input parameters or the assumptions used. However, the mean value of all matrix recharge values was 2.87 inches per year as compared to 2.2 inches per year estimated by nine percent. The similarity between calculated and estimated recharge is indicative of a successful model calibration.

Some of the 1969 and 1974 water-head elevations located near the bedrock boundaries occurred below bedrock elevations. They were treated as a boundary condition for the model in the matrix and constant versions. Some of the nodes started dry and became wet after five years of pumping.

Figure 22. Recharge values in inch/year (matrix recharge version)


Figure 23. Calibration error--matrix recharge version (computed 1974 head elevations-observed 1974 head elevations)


Figure 24. Calibration error--pattern recharge version


This phenomenon occurs due to recharge from neighboring nodes along the hydraulic gradient, In some cases, where pumping automatically stops within five feet of the bottom, a node is recharged by neighboring nodes. Recharge from neighboring nodes was generally reoccurring because of changing gradients between nodes. Because the total drawdown (downward change in water-head elevation) was affected by recharge from adjacent nodes and the surface, it was difficult to determine surface recharge values to calibrate the model using the matrix version; therefore, permeability adjustments were needed for this calibration in some northcentral portions of the study area.

A new formula was introduced to determine a surface recharge rate for the calibrations. The effects of lateral flow between nodes was considered by comparing the effects of drawdown in two or more adjacent nodes. Calculation of a new recharge rate is as follows:
(1) $\Delta \mathrm{Re}_{\text {New }}=\left(D D_{N_{1}} * \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} * \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} * 0.01 * \mathrm{C}\right)$
(2) $\Delta \operatorname{Re}_{01 d}=\left(D D_{N_{1}} * S_{Y_{1}} * C+D D_{N_{2}} * S_{Y_{1}} * C\right)$

Subtracting (2) from (1) we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{Re}_{\text {New }}-\Delta \mathrm{Re}_{01 \mathrm{~d}}=D D_{N_{2}} *\left(0.01 * C-S_{Y_{1}} * C\right) \\
&=D D_{N_{2}} \subset\left(0.01-S_{Y_{1}}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Re}=\text { Recharge } ; D D N_{N_{1,2}}=\text { Drawdown in nodes } 1 \text { and } 2 ; S_{Y}=
\end{aligned}
$$

Specific Yield; The constant $C$ is an emperical weighting factor. Simulation - 20 years.

Two 20-year computer runs were made for each of the three calibration versions as shown in Figure 5 (Flow Chart), The two runs included
simulation of prior appropriative right pumpage only and prior appropriative right pumpage in conjunction with allocations of 0.6 and 1.0 acre feet/acre/year, respectively.

The simulation period for the matrix and constant recharge versions was between October 1, 1969, and October 1, 1991. The third version was generated, using the pattern recharge approach, from July 1, 1973, to July 1, 1993. A new water-head matrix for July 1, 1973, was generated for the pattern recharge version after calibration was completed. This matrix is shown in Figure 25. An allocation of 0.6 acre feet/acre/year was used in the matrix and constant rechage runs while 1.0 acre feet/acre/ year was used for the pattern recharge.

Model simulation was mathematically based on computations of change in storage and corresponding water-head elevations of each node. These changes were simultaneously computed in order to represent the effects of lateral flow to and from adjacent nodes. The volume of water in each node was computed using Darcy's Law to calculate lateral flow (Q) at each node face:

Where:

$$
\pm Q_{n}=T_{n} I_{n} W_{n}
$$

$Q_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the lateral flow of water into (+) or out (-) of the node at the $n^{\text {th }}$ face; units in $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ per second.
$T_{n}$ is the average coefficient of transmissivity of two adjacent nodes; units in $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ per second per foot.
$I_{n}$ is the hydraulic gradient which was the difference in waterhead elevations (feet) in two adjacent nodes divided by the distance (2,640 feet) between the two nodes.
$W_{n}$ is the width (2,640 feet) of the common $n^{\text {th }}$ node face.

Figure 25. 1973 generated water-head elevation
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Transmissivity is calculated in the model by multiplying the value of permeability by the saturated thickness of each node. The distribution of transmissivity values is shown in Figure 26. The net flow into or out of each node is the algebraic sum of the lateral flows determined for the node faces as well as outflow due to pumpage and evapotranspiration and inflow due to surface recharge (calibration).

The computed values for inflow and outflow are summarized as a mass balance inTables VII, VIII and IX. A conceptual input-output model is shown in Figure 27 to represent the relationship between parameters used in the mass balance. The mass-balance tables are computed for each model time step. A model time step of ten days was used for each set of computer calculations of head change. The head change was computed by using the following relationship:

Where:

$$
\pm \Delta H_{n}=\left(Q_{n e t, n ; t=1}-Q_{n e t, n, t=2}\right) S y
$$

$$
\Delta H_{n}=\text { change in water-head elevation (drop }=- \text { and rise }=+ \text { ); }
$$

units are in feet.

$$
Q_{\text {net, } n, t=1,2}=\text { net flow into or from each } n^{t h} \text { node as }
$$ computed at the end of consecutive time steps ( $t$ ); units are in cubic feet.

$$
\text { Sy }=\text { Specific Yield; unitless. }
$$

The above relationship was used in sets of simultaneous equations for all nodes during each model time step. Subsequently, a relaxation procedure was used to adjust the resulting head elevations (former head $\pm \Delta H_{n}$ ) to within a model error of 0.1 foot.

Figure 26. Transmissivity distribution map


TABLE VII
PRIOR APPROPRIATION ONLY, OCTOBER 1, 1974 - OCTOBER 1, 1991 CONSTANT RECHARGE

|  | Average Annual Acre Ft |  | Total Acre Ft |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | In | Out | In | Out |
| Pumping | 0 | -16,737 | 0 | -267,799 |
| Leakage | 724 | - 8,227 | 11,580 | -131,630 |
| Constant Flux | 91 | - 68 | 1,458 | - 1,083 |
| Evapotranspiration | 0 | - 3,091 | 0 | - 49,462 |
| Recharge | 34,481 | 0 | 551,701 | 0 |
| Return Flow | 2,511 | 0 | 40,170 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 37,807 | -28,123 | 604,909 | $-449,973$ |
| Net Storage Change |  |  |  |  |

TABLE VIII
PRIOR APPROPRIATION, JULY 1, 1973 - JULY 1, 1993
PATTERN RECHARGE

|  | Average Annual Acre Ft |  | Total Acre Ft |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Pumpage | 0 | -23,923 | 0 | -478,453 |
| Leakage | 1,114 | - 8,465 | 22,285 | -169,299 |
| Constant Flux | 91 | - 68 | 1,823 | - 1,354 |
| Evapotranspiration | 0 | - 5,799 | 0 | -115,981 |
| Recharge | 45,760 | 0 | 939,310 | 0 |
| Return Flow | 3,588 | 0 | 71,768 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 50,553 | -38,255 | 1,011,078 | -765,087 |
| Net Storage Change | +12,298 |  | +245,991 |  |

TABLE IX
TILLMAN TERRACE MASS BALANCE, PRIOR APPROPRIATIVE + 1.0 ACRE FT/ACRE ALLOCATION JULY 1, 1973 - JULY 1, 1993 (PATTERN RECHARGE)

|  |  |  |  | $1 \text { Acre }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Pumpage | 0 | -93,971 | 0 | -1,879,420 |
| Leakage | 17,205 | - 634 | 344,105 | - 12,685 |
| Constant Flux | 91 | - 68 | 1,823 | - 1,350 |
| Evapotranspiration | 0 | - 302 | 0 | - 6,035 |
| Recharge | 45,760 | 0 | 915,202 | 0 |
| Return Flow | 17,096 | 0 | 281,913 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 77,153 | -94,975 | 1,543,043 | -1,899,490 |
| Net Storage Change | -17,822 |  | -356,447 |  |

$\qquad$

Figure 27. Mass balance distribution
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## CHAPTER V

## RESULTS

Calculated saturated thickness for the three versions were compared for October 1, 1991, (matrix and constant recharge) and July 1, 1993, (pattern recharge). The resulting saturated thicknesses were subdivided into ranges as shown in Figures 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 . The nodes which fall in zone 6 (saturated thickness range from 0 to 5.49 feet) are considered dry because of the assumed pump position at the bottom of the well.

The percentage of the total nodes $(1,186)$ are calculated for each version. They are listed in Table VII. As expected, the percent of dry nodes is directly proportional to the additional allocations.

Results shown in Table $X$ indicate that the constant and calibration matrix versions yielded similar results. However, the prior appropriative run, using pattern recharge, did reduce the dry area by 14 percent to 21 percent. This suggests that the pattern recharge calibration procedure produces optimistic results. A 24 percent to 25 percent increase in dry area is caused by the one acre foot per acre allocation as compared to the constant and matrix versions using 0.6 acre feet per acre annual allocation. Although the constant and matrix recharge simulation runs were terminated on October 1, 1991, instead of July 1, 1993, test runs indicate that only a small difference in results would be produced by extending the simulation time to July 1, 1993.

Figure 28. 1991 calculated saturated thickness prior rights only (matrix recharge)


Figure 29. 1991 calculated saturated thickness--prior rights plus 0.6 acre ft/acre annual allocation (matrix recharge)


Figure 30. 1991 calculated saturated thickness--prior rights only (constant recharge)


Figure 31. 1991 calculated saturated thickness--prior rights plus 0.6 acre ft/acre annual allocation (constant recharge)


Figure 32. 1993 calculated saturated thickness--prior rights only (pattern recharge)


Figure 33. 1993 calculated saturated thickness--prior rights plus 1.0 acre ft/acre annual allocation (pattern recharge)


TABLE X
COMPARISON OF CALCULATED SATURATED THICKNESS AND DRY NODE PERCENTAGES FOR THREE RECHARGE VERSIONS OF COMPUTER SIMULATION


* \% dry nodes for (0-5.01) ft saturated thickness intervals $=50 \%$

The pattern recharge version was selected as the version to represent the final results (Figure 33). These results show that the areas in the northeast corner, along the North Fork of the Red River, became dry due to subsequent allocated pumping. The average saturated thickness for the year 1973 was 18.4 feet as compared with the remaining 7.1 feet of saturated thickness in 1993 as inferred from the pattern recharge version in Figure 33. Computed initial and final areas and volumes of water which were determined from the pattern recharge version are shown in Table XI.

Maximum annual yield was determined by adjusting the amount of allocated pumpage which would cause 50 percent of the nodes to go dry by the end of the simulation period (pattern recharge version). Several simulation runs were made to obtain the 50 percent dry area. This is shown graphically in Figure 34. The maximum annual yield was determined to be 70,048 acre feet per year using a pumping allocation of 1 acre foot/ acre/year. This value was produced by dividing the total pumpage (1993) by the period of simulation of 20 years. A 20 -year sequence of areas which became dry are shown in Figures 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39 for the pattern recharge version. The final depth (1993) to the water table is shown in Figure 40. Dry nodes were found in the central and northcentral part of the study area and in areas which are closer to the bedrock boundary. The areas along the river generally remained wet with little change in saturated thickness and transmissivity. Recharge from the river to the nearby nodes contributed to the recharge of the area. Few of the appropriated right owners would go dry during the 20-year period; however, additional allocation was permitted and more of their wells went dry as expected. Figures 41, 42 and 43 indicate the areas where prior

TABLE XI
INITIAL MASS DATA
JULY 1, 1973

| SATURATED THICKNESS RANGE (FEET) | \% AREA | AREA (ACRES) | AVERAGE SATURATED THICKNESS (FEET) | SPECIFIC YIELD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STORED } \\ & \text { WATER } \\ & \text { (AC.FT.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-10 | 26.3 | 49,920 | 5.1 | . 3 | 77,066 |
| 10-20 | 29.9 | 56,800 | 15.6 | . 3 | 265,371 |
| 20-30 | 28.8 | 54,560 | 24.6 | . 3 | 401,874 |
| 30-40 | 12.1 | 23,040 | 33.8 | . 3 | 233,571 |
| 40-50 | 2.8 | 5,280 | 42.4 | . 3 | 67,146 |
| 50-60 | 0.1 | 160 | 50.0 | . 3 | 2,400 |
| TOTALS | 100.0 | 189,760 | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \\ & \text { (AVE.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1,047,429 |

MASS DATA FROM SIMULATION RUN USING PATTERN RECHARGE

JULY 1, 1993
1.0 AC.FT./AC./YR. AND/OR PRIOR APPROPRIATION

| $0-10$ | 86.7 | 164,640 | 4.9 | .3 | 242,140 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-20$ | 7.5 | 14,240 | 14.4 | .3 | 61,629 |
| $20-30$ | 3.2 | 6,080 | 25.0 | .3 | 45,614 |
| $30-40$ | 1.7 | 3,200 | 35.3 | .3 | 33,877 |
| $40-50$ | 0.8 | 1,600 | 43.9 | .3 | 21.073 |
| $50-60$ | - | - | - |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 189,760 |  | 404,332 |  |

Figure 34. Cumulative percentage of dry area using the pattern recharge version


Figure 35. Dry areas in 1973


Figure 36. Dry areas in 1978
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Figure 37. Dry areas in 1983
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Figure 38. Dry areas in 1988
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Figure 39. Dry areas in 1993


Figure 40. 1993 water depth from the surface


Figure 41. Prior rights affected by annual allocation of 0.6 acre ft/ acre (constant recharge)


Figure 42. Prior rights affected by annual allocation of 0.6 acre ft/ acre (matrix recharge)


Figure 43. Prior rights affected by annual allocation of 1.0 acre $\mathrm{ft} /$ acre (pattern recharge)

appropriative owners would go dry due to additional allocations. Approximately 31 percent, 33 percent and 69 percent of the prior appropriative owners would be adversely affected according to constant, matrix and pattern simulation runs, respectively.

A well spacing of one-half mile was determined using the pump test results and subsequent model simulation using the pattern recharge option. This is shown in Figure 44. A rate of one acre foot/acre/year was applied to a single well within each one-quarter section of a square mile (160 acres) and a 2,640 foot spacing between wells. The pump rate was equivalent to a continuous pumping of 100 gpm for one year or 300 gpm continuous pumping for four months during the irrigation season. Smaller spacings and pumping rates are recommended when more than one well is used in a single quarter section.

Simulated water-head elevations for 1973 and 1993 are shown in Figures 45 and 46. Comparison between 1969 head elevations and simulated head elevations indicate a significant decline in water level. Assessment of the predicted 1993 saturated thickness maps in Figure 33, and the water-head elevation maps, indicate that the possibility of pollution from high salt $\left(\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}\right)$ concentrations in the river exist within one and one-half miles of the North Fork of the Red River tributary and the Red River. This would result from an influent condition (gradient away from river) created by pumpage near the rivers where effluent conditions (gradient toward the river) currently exist.

Figure 44. Recommended well spacing for maximum annual yield
recommended well spacing for maximum annual yield


Figure 45. 1973 water-head elevations


Figure 46. 1993 simulated water-head elevations (pattern recharge only)


## CHAPTER IV

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Computer simulation is an effective tool for determining the maximum annual yield for the ground-water basin (aquifer) in the western half of Tillman County. The prior appropriative right owners and those who are allocated one acre foot/acre/year between July 1, 1973, and July 1, 1993, can use a total rate of 70,048 acre feet per year. The mass balance is summarized in Figure 47. A total volume of $1,400,967$ acre feet represents the cumulative amount of water pumped from storage at a discharge rate of one acre foot per acre during a 20-year period. A cumulative volume of 478,453 acre feet is pumped by the prior appropriative owners during the same period. A cumulative volume of $2,288,486$ acre feet is stored over the 20-year period. A ground-water storage of approximately 1,047,429 acre feet is computed to have existed in 1973. The computations are shown in Table XI. An additional 1,241,057 acre feet was accumulated due to recharge, especially during the non-pumping periods ( 8 months per year), as well as to river leakage and boundary flow from the north edge of Tillman County. A recovery factor of 81 percent of cumulative aquifer storage is also computed in Figure 47. This percentage represents the amount of ground water pumped from cumulative ground-water storage as of July 1, 1993. The recommended well spacing and corresponding pump rates are shown in Figure 48.

The reliability of the output results of the model, however

Figure 47. Mass balance distribution and recovery factor for maximum annual yield (pattern recharge version)
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Figure 48. Recommended well spacing for maximum annual yield
recommended well spacing for maximum annual yield

sophisticated, can only be as good as the data input upon which they are based. It is, therefore, essential in the modelling process to devote considerable care to the collection, interpretation and validation of these data.

In conclusion, the principal parameters from which the maximum yield was determined are:
(1) The total land area is 189,760 acres overlying the terrace and floodplain deposits in the aquifer (basin);
(2) The volume of water in storage in the aquifer as of July 1 , 1973, is $1,047,429$ acre feet; the cumulative volume of water in storage for 20 years is 2,570,399 acre feet;
(3) The estimated rate of natural recharge is 2.87 inches per year based on calibration results;
(4) The average specific yield for the basin is 0.30 ; and
(5) An average transmissivity (1973) is $13,230 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ft}$.
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## APPENDIX

COMPUTER LISTING OF DATA INPUT FOR GROUND-WATER MODEL (PATTERN RECHARGE VERSION)

```
//GWMDL EXEC PGM=LOADER
/1 PARM='SIZF=600K, REGION=600K
/*J\capBPARM K=0 NO PAGING
/*JRBPARM F=SOD1,N=2, DSN=SYSI.FORTLIB
#SYSLIB DD DISP=SHR,
l/SYSLIN DD DISP=SHR.
I/ DSN=OSU.ACT11236.AQUIFER1(GWMDL26)
|N DISP=SHP.
//DD DISP=SHR, (1236.AQUIFER1(MNPROG26)
// DD DISP=SHR,
|SN=OSU.ACT11236.AQUIFER1(DATAI26)
|D DISP=SHR.
// DSN=OSU.ACTi1236.AQUIFER1(COMPUT25)
|D DISP=SHR,
|SN=OSU.ACTI1236.AQUIFER1(COEF25)
#1)
| DD DISP=SHR211236.AQUIFER1(CHECKI25)
# DSN=OSU.ACTI1236.AQUIFER1(CHECKI25)
|DSN=OSU.\triangleCTI1236.AQUIFERI(PRNTAI)
// DD DISP=SHR,
| DSN=OSU.ACTI'1236.AQUIFER1(BLDATA25)
// DD DISP=SHO
// DSN=OSU.ACTI1236.AQUIFER1(PLTXI)
|}\mathrm{ OO DISP=SHR
// DSN=OSU.ACTIl236.AQUIFER1(PLTEI)
# DSN=OSU.ACTIl236.AQUIFER1(PLTEI)
/1 DD DISP=SHR
\primeOSN=OSU.ACTIl236.AQUIFER1(PLTTI)
I/ DO DISP=SHR,
OSN=OSU.ACTI1236.AQUIFER1(PLTAI)
// DD OISP=SHR,
// DSN=OSU.ACTI1236.AQUIFER1(PLTUI)
I/ DD DISP=SHR
// DSN=OSU.ACT11236.AQUIFER1(PLTFI)
//FTOGF001 DO SYSNUT=A
//FTORFOD1 DO SYSOUT=A,DCB=RECFM=UA
//FTSIFOOL DO SYSOUT=A,DCB=FRCFM=UA
//FT52FOO1 DD SYSOUT=A,DCB=RECFM=UA
//FTGOFOO1 DO SYSDUT=A:DCB=RECFM=UA
//FTGOFOOI DO OISP=SHR,
//FOSN=OSU.ACT11236.AQUSFER1(PLTDF)
// OSN=OSU.ACT11236.AQUIFER1(PLTDF)
1/FT22FOO1 DD DISP=OLD,
|FOSN=TFMP.ACT112336.FILE1
|FTZOFOO1 DAC DISP= NFW.CATIO1
//FT2OFOO1 DD DISP=(NNW,CATLSI' 
/ISYSLDUT DD SYSOUT=A
INPUT DATA FILE FOR CONTINUATION
output data file
```


$\begin{array}{llll} \\ / / F T S O F O O 1 ~ D D * & 30 & 30\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}90 & 30 & 30 & 10 \\ \text { TEXT } & 1 & \\ \text { FILE TITLE - DESCRIBE RUN HERE }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lccrr}\text { START } & & 2 & 1 & 52 \\ \text { UNIT } & 4 & 22 & 1 & \\ \text { OPENR } & 2 & 20 & 20 & \\ \text { LIMITR } & & 7 & & \end{array}$
LIMITR
READ
FUNCTION
FUNCTION
FUNCTION
UNIT
UNI
OPENW
OPENW
WR ITF
WR ITE
WR ITE
WRITE
WRITE
WRITE
WR ITE
WR ITE
WR ITE
WRITE
WRITE
25
5
1
5
5
1
4
5
6
7
8
10
10
12
13
16
$\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { WRITE } & 18 & & & \\ \text { WRITE } & 19 & & & 5 \\ \text { FUNCTION } & -5 & & & \\ \text { WRITE } & & & & & \\ \text { REGIN } & & 8 & 8 & & \\ \text { PERIOD } & 3 & 3 & & \\ \text { STEPP } & -2 & 21 & 19 & 4 & 0.0 \\ \text { COMPARE } & -2 & 21 & 21 & 21 & .55 \\ \text { COMPARE } & -12 & 21 & & 12 & \end{array}$




[^0]
## 127012701265126512651270127812921307131913291340135013681386

 127012701265126512651265127512851305131513271340135713701390 127012651265126512651265127012801298131213251347135613781396 126512651265126512651265127012751292131013291345136813811400 126512651265126512651265127012751289131013331355137713901270
1270
1270
1270
1265
1265
1270

1265126512651265126512651265126612651260 12601265127012701270127512751280129513201360
12601270127012751275127712801285130512651265126312621265126212621263126312601258 12601270127012751275127712801285130513361377

2125812581257125812601260126012521260 12601280129013071307130713101320126012601260125512521253125212501248124812501260 912801290130713071307131013201335 12701295131513201320132013251330 1285131013251330133013231330 130013051325133013301321325412511250124212331225123012401250125712701285 131013121320130133013281325

12461246124612461245123512301235125112601270128012951300 13201330133013281244
1314132013201330133013261330 13201320132013271330134112411238123712301220123012451244126812751292130313101315 13301335133513301330123912391238123412271219122912411255127112821301131113151317 133513501345134013301324

12361235123312231218122812381265127512901310132013201320 133513451345133513301322 133013401336132513201320


ETC.




ETC.



 $-$









 1
 $-$


 $\%$
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[^0]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}484 & 484 & 452 & 355 & 258 & 226 & 226 & 226 & 226 & 226 & 226 & 226 & 226 & 226 & 226 & 0\end{array}$ $87193510001000774484258 \quad 226 \quad 226 \quad 226 \quad 226-226 \quad 226226 \quad 0 \quad 0839$ 11291258148416131290968613419226226226226 0 1484158120322258161312909484842452061677167716771613161316131613161316131613 2258225822902129177414521129774258226286180618061806190319352065219422262258 226522652258193515811323106577435516131613171017421774196820652161219422262258 1935193518061645141911611000770129012901323135514521581164517421839187119031903 0351935180616451419116110007743870 1548152914521290116110978716450310321032106511941226132314521516158115811581 $1194112910321000903806645 \quad 0 \quad 03210321032103210321032112911481226125812581258$ $742645645484419387258 \quad 010321032103210651032935871839903935871806$ $194226452484290291516135512909599291045871725464436355355 \quad 290.226$ $194226452484290290 \quad 32310048412779811032800671387232116116116120$ 12922645248448451658160 $142226645871806806871612161214231162903813 \quad 790852774645465 \quad 552552$
    $01613158114521452142312901129.9681 .0611038 \quad 903790826 \quad 774725$
    ETC.

