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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Spine is a mechanical structure. The vertebrae articulate with each other in a controlled manner through a complex system of joints, ligaments and levers. The spine structure is designed in such a way as to protect the centrally located spinal cord. Research studies on human spine hold the key in comprehending many disorders like low back pain, scoliosis, clinical instability etc. Studies show that 80 percent of the human population complain about low back pain at some point in their lives. Statistics indicate that the most common cause for not reporting to work is low back pain. The costs of treatment and compensation for those suffering from back pain are estimated at 14 billion dollars a year. Low back pain has known to be taking its toll on active workers in the industry as well as sedentary workers in the office.
The prime cause for low back disorders is still an area to be explored. A detailed study including testing of cadavers in the laboratory, clinical studies on patients and theoritical analogies are necessary to aid in understanding the spine disorders. The intervertebral joint motion is considered to be important in the diagonosis and treatment

```
of many spinal disorders. Loading due to chronic
occupational activity is a common load exerted on the spinal
column. When the rate of damage due to chronic cyclic
loading exceeds the rate of repair by the cellular
mechanisms, serious disorders result in the spinal area of
the body.
```

Biomechanical Anatomy of the Spine

The normal spine is composed of 33 vertebrae seperated by intervertebral discs. Basically a spine is divided into five regions (Figure 1).

The Cervical region consists of 7 vertebrae numbered $C 1$ to C7. The Thoracic region consists of 12 vertebrae numbered $T 1$ to T12. The Lumbar region consists of 5 vertebrae numbered Li to LS. The Saccral region consists of 5 vertebrae.

The Coccygeal region consists of 4 vertebrae.
The Saccral and Coccygeal regions are fused and the combined region is termed as sacrum.

Kyphosis and Lordosis are used to describe the curvatures in the Sagittal plane.

Sagittal plane is defined as that plane which divides the left side of the body from the right side.

The plane perpendicular to the Sagittal plane is called the Frontal plane (Figure 2).

As viewed in the Frontal plane, the spine generally appears straight and symmetrical. In some individuals there may be a slight right thoracic curve which may be due to


Figure 1. Anatomy of Human Spine


Figure 2. Anatomical Terminology

```
either the position of the aorta or to the increased use of
the right hand. In the lateral or sagittal plane there are
four normal curves. These curves are convex anteriorly in
the cervical and the lumbar regions and convex posteriorly
in the thoracic and saccral regions. There is a mechanical
basis for these normal anatomic curves: they give the spinal
column increased flexibility and augmented shock-absorbing
capacity while at the same time maintaining adequate
stiffness and stability at the intervertebral joint level.
The thoracic curve is structural and is due to the lesser
vertical height of the anterior thoracic vertebral borders,
as opposed to the posterior. This is also true of the
saccral curve. Curvature of the cervical and lumbar regions
is largely due to the wedge shaped intervertebral discs.
Consequently, when distracting forces are applied to the
entire spine, there is a greater flattening of the cervical
and lumbar lordosis as compared to the thoracic kyphosis.
```


## Prime Functions of the Spine

a. To transfer the weights and the bending moments of the head and trunk to the pelvis.
b. To allow sufficient physiological motion between the head, trunk and pelvis.
c. To protect the spinal cord from possible damage.

## Vertebra

A vertebra consists of an anterior block of bone, the body and a posterior bony ring, known as the neural arch. The vertebral body is a roughly cylindrical mass of cancellous bone. Its top and bottom surfaces, slightly concave, are the vertebral end-plates. The neural arch comprises of two pedicles and two laminae. Throughout the spine, all the vertebrae are designed the same except that the size and the mass increase from Cervical to Lumbar regions (Figure 3).

## Intervertebral Disc

Considering the entire height of the spine, the net disc height comprises of $20-33 \%$. The intervertebral disc consists of three main parts (Figure 3).

Nucleus Pulposus. This is located at the center of the disc, and is composed of a very loose and translucent network of fine fibrous strands that lie in a mucoprotien gel containing various mucopolysaccharides. The water content ranges from $70-90 \%$ and tends to decrease with age. The lumbar nucleus fills $30-50 \%$ of the disc cross sectional area. In the low back, the nucleus is usually more posterior than central and lies at about the juncture of the middle and posterior thirds of the sagittal diameter. The size of the nucleus is greater in the lumbar region.


Two Views of a Typical Lumbar Vertebra


Figure 3. Anatomy of the Disc and the Vertebra

Annulus Fibrosis. This forms the outer boundary of the disc which is gradually differentiated from the boundary of the nucleus. This structure is composed of fibrous tissue in concentric laminated bands. These fibers are arranged in a heliciodal structure. They run in about the same direction in a given band but in opposite directions in any two adjacent bands. They are oriented at 30 deg. to the disc plane.

Cartilaginous End Plate. The annulus fibers are attached to the cartilaginous end-plates in the inner zone. It is composed of hayline cartilage that separates the other two components of the disc from the vertebral body.

The intervertebral disc has many functions and is subjected to a variety of forces and moments. Infact it is responsible for carrying all the compressive loads imposed on the trunk. The forces acting on a disc when a person is standing are much greater than the actual weight of the portion of the body above it. The force on a lumbar disc in a sitting position is determined to be more than three times the weight of the trunk. When dynamic load imposing activities like jumping and trauma are involved, the loads on the disc are much higher - as high as twice of those in static positions. These mainly result in compressive stresses. Tensile stresses are produced in certain portions of the disc during physiological motions of flexion, extension and lateral bending. Axial rotation of the torso
with respect to the pelvis causes torsional loads resulting in shear stresses in the disc.

The loads imposed on an intervertebral disc can be divided into two main categories according to the time duration of application:
a. Short duration high amplitude loads.
b. Long duration low amplitude loads.

The disc has certain time dependant properties such as fatigue and viscoelasticity characterized by hysteresis, creep and relaxation. Short duration loads cause irreparable structural damage to the disc when a stress higher than the ultimate failure stress is generated at a certain point in the disc. The mechanism of failure during long duration loading of relatively low magnitude is entirely different and is due to fatigue failure.

## Hysteresis

When an element is subjected to repetitive load and unload cycles, there is loss of energy. This phenomenon is called hysteresis. When a person jumps up or down, the shock energy is absorbed in between the feet and the head by the discs and veterbrae due to hysteresis. Hysteresis seem to vary with the load applied and the age of the disc, as well as its level. The larger the load, the greater the hysteresis. It is maximum in young people and minimum in the middle aged. It was observed that hysteresis decreased when the disc was loaded the second time. From this we may infer
that we are less protected against repetetive loads. Epidemiologic studies show that people who drive motor vehicles have a higher incidence of herniated discs. The repetetive axial vibrations may be the prime factor.

## Loads Imposed on Spinal Column

The difficulty lies in estimating a certain combination of the loads that represent the reality, because the exact loads in-vivo are not fully known. It was observed that the lamina is highly strained when the $s p i n e$ is inclined anteriorly and less so when inclined posteriorly. The motion segment provides greater resistance to failure when the loads are applied centrally rather than eccentrically or at some inclination. These observations would suggest that in lifting a weight, the $s p i n e$ should be kept as much in vertical position as possible.

## Bending

```
The vertebral arch has a cross section that is especially suitable for taking up bending loads. The moment of inertia of an elliptical cross section is greatest for the bending loads in the direction parallel to its major axis. Fibers on the concave side of the bent structure are compressed, while those on the convex side are elongated. It was observed, in-vivo, that the disc pressure and the axial load in the lumbar region increase when a person while
```



Assuming the external weight $W$ is balanced by only two internal forces :

$$
Q=W+P \quad P \cdot x=W \cdot y
$$

Figure 4. Estimation of Lumbar Trunk Loads


#### Abstract

sitting reaches and picks up a book which is at distance. The reason for this increase is that the weight of the book results in a substantial bending moment at the disc due to the long lever arm. This bending moment is counterbalanced by the bending moment provided by muscle and ligamentous forces, which have a much smaller lever arm and hence must exert large forces in order to maintain equilibrium (Figure 4).


## Torsion


#### Abstract

Since the lumbar spine is a curved structure, it is subjected to combined torsion and bending loads by simple axial rotation of the trunk with respect to the pelvis. Disc failure in low back pain may be due to these loads.


Fatigue, its Effects and Importance

Fatigue tests on the disc are important for establishing the number of load cycles which can be tolerated before failure occurs. As the biological capacity for repair and regeneration of the disc are thought to be low, its fatigue properties are important.

The lumbar region, which is located at the base of the spine is a crirtical zone because it bears high loads. The lumbar zone has been the topic of intensive research by many investigatiors.
Adams and Hutton [9] performed tests on the lumbar intervertebral joints. 18 specimens were flexed and loaded at a rate of 40 cycles/min for 4 hours, to simulate a vigorous day's activity. 23 out of 41 discs showed distorsions in the lamellae of the annulus fibrosus and in a few of these, complete radial fissures were found in the posterior annulus. The appearence of fissures suggest a slow distorsion of the lamellae in response to chronic loading or fatigue rather than sudden injury. Liu et al., [10] carried out low-cycle fatigue tests on 11 lumbar intervertebral joints applying cyclic compressive loads on an MTS machine at a rate of 30 cycles/min for 5.5 hours. The results have been represented as displacement vs cylces/time.It was observed that after 6000 cycles, the rate of maximum displacement increased as a function of the number of applied cycles i.e., the slope of the curve is constant. This slope was noted to increase with an increase in the loading level for a given segment level. Hardy et al., [14] conducted repeated transverse bending tests on 9 specimens with a frequency of loading of 120 cycles/min for 18 hours. Nucleus pulposus was observed to be ruptured and the discs were noticed to be pushed anteriorly. Liu et al.,[7] conducted torsional fatigue tests on 24 lumbar intervertebral joints using an MTS machine. An axial compressive preload of 440 N to simulate the supraincumbent torso weight was also applied and was held constant through out the experiment. A frequency of 30 cycles/min was used.

Failures observed were facet cracks, torn capsules, tears in annulus and lamina cracks. Important contributions were also made in the field of three dimensional kinematics. Soni et al.,[1-5] have presented the three dimensional kinematics of the lumbar spine. In their investigations, they were able to identify variations in the kinematic properties with respect to age, sex and disc levels.

All these studies are limited to conducting isolated fatigue experiments on human spine and experiments investigating the kinematics of the lumbar spine. However there is a need to investigate the effect of cyclic loading on the mobility of the human spine. Of particular importance is to study the three dimensional kinematics of the normal human spine and the spine subjected to fatigue loading.

## CHAPTER II

PROPOSED RESEARCH

## Objectives

The objective of this research is to study the effects of a variety of loads on different human lumbar intervertebral joints and to observe the mobility of motion segments. This study will be achieved by subjecting the lumbar vertebral specimens to different types of loads with the help of specially designed fixtures. The following events are to be carried out in meeting the objectives of the proposed research.
a. To design and fabricate the necessary fixtures to apply the required cyclic loads.
b. To conduct different fatigue tests on the Lumbar Intervertebral Joints.
c. To test the mobility of the motion segments at various cycles of load application.
d. To monitor the experiments with the aid of an IBM AT Micro Computer through a DT2805 A/D - D/A converter.

## CHAPTER III

THE FATIGUE TESTING APPARATUS

```
The objective of this research study is to conduct different fatigue loading tests on the lumbar intervertebral joints and observe the resulting effect of the laxity of the specimen. In order to subject the joints to a variety of loads including combined loads, special fixtures had to be designed and built.
The fatigue testing apparatus mainly consists of the following parts.
a. Loading applying mechanism
b. Fixtures to hold the vertebrae
c. Compressive preload arrangement
d. Cyclic load attachment
e. Load measuring setup
```

Loading Applying Mechanism

This is a compact bench mounted unit consisting of a rigid frame, variable throw crank, cyclic counter and variable speed drive (Figure 5). The mechanism is driven by a $1.5 \mathrm{HP}, 115$ Volts variable speed motor which is directly mounted on the bed through a pulley and belt arrangement. The speed is adjusted by a statotrol jr controller which is
connected to the motor. Force to the main shaft is derived from the motor and is transmitted through a four-link mechanism. The variable throw crank at the end of the main drive shaft acts as the driving link of the mechanism and transmits the force through a rigid coupler link to the output link. The output link, which is extended beyond the pivot joint, oscillates about the pivot joint which is a revolute pair. This oscillating motion of the output link is the source for applying bending and torsional loads to the intervertebral specimens. The effective length of the output link which is the length between the coupler link joint and the pivot joint can be varied by sliding the coupler link about the pivot joint along the longitudinal slots provided in both arms of the output link.
The input is given by the variable throw crank. The stroke has a range of 0 to 1.5 inches and is adjusted by loosening the four socket head screws around the face of the crank and adjusting the socket head screw about the eccentric. The coupler link, also called the connecting rod rides on a precision bearing.
A flywheel is mounted on the main drive shaft. The transmitted force as absorbed by the specimen and the loading fixture is never uniform during the cycle. The flywheel serves as a means to store energy during the period of time when not much energy is needed to drive the output link and to release the energy in that period when some extra energy is needed to drive the output link.


1. Variable throw crank
2. Crankhead assembly
3. Cycle counter 4. Coupler link 5. Output link
4. Attachment 7. Supporting frame 8. Adjustable vise

Figure 5. Load Applying Mechanism

The cycle counter is a six-digit unit which counts every 3 cycles. It is driven by a flexible shaft mounted inside an outer tubing.

Fixtures to Hold the Vertebrae


#### Abstract

Special cups were built to hold the intervertebral specimens. 8 holes were drilled and tapped on the circumference of the cup at 45 degree angle separation on two planes, 4 on each plane. 4 of the holes take pointed rods which drill into the body of the specimen to secure it in place. The other four holes take 4 more rods which are used in testing the mobility of the motion segment. The cup is attached to a long rod to facilitate the application of required loads.


Compressive Preload Arrangement

A pneumatic cylinder attached to a compressed carbondioxide bottle is used in applying the compressive preload to the specimen. The rod from the cup slides in two bronze bushings mounted in a cylinder. A key arrangement between the cup rod and the bushings prevents the rod from any rotary motion. This rod is attached to the pneumatic cylinder piston rod through a self aligning coupler which compensates for any misalignment between the piston rod and the cup rod. The whole setup is mounted on a railing which is bolted to the bed of load applying mechanism. When the


#### Abstract

pneumatic cylinder is activated by the compressed carbondioxide bottle it applies a constant compressive preload, to the specimen mounted in the cups, throughout the entire experiment. The regulator regulates the gas pressure and hence the load applied to the vertebral specimen is controlled.


## Cyclic Load Attachment

## Bending

The rod fixed to the base of the second cup is attached to the output link of the loading mechanism through a revolute pair to facilitate the application of bending load to the specimen. The rotary motion of the eccentric crank is translated as oscillatory motion to the output link. This motion of the output link applies bending load to the specimen. Strain gages are mounted on the cup rod to monitor the bending load (Figure 6).

## Torsion

A rod, which is fixed to the base of the second cup by a flange arrangement, is mounted in two self aligning pillar block bearings. The connecting link of the loading mechanism is attached to the cup rod through another small rod on which strain gages are mounted to monitor the torsional load.As the connecting link moves up and down, it rotates the cup rod and hence the cup (Figure 7).



1. Pneumatic Cylinder 2. Coupler 3. Axial rod
2. Bushing 5. Cup 6. Specimen 7. Bearing
3. Connecting rod

Figure 7. Fixtures for Torsional Fatigue Test

Load Measuring Setup

The bending moment or the torsional load applied to the vertebral joint is measured with the aid of two active strain gages mounted on either side of the load applying rod. The gages record equal and opposite strains as one monitors compression and the other tension. The gages are linked to the Vishay Strain Gage Amplifier which provides the circuit for the Wheatstone half bridge.

Strain gages are able to sense and respond to deformation. The measured quantity obtained from a strain gage is proportional to an average strain in the gage length of the gage. The basic principle involved is that a wire changes its electrical resistance when deformed. The resistance change which is accurately proportional to the strain is measured by the Vishay amplifier. Each gage has a gage factor which is a measure of the sensitivity of the gage. The gage factor is defined as the ratio of the unit change in resistance to the unit change in length.

$$
\mathrm{GF}=(\delta R / R) /(\delta L / L)
$$

GF - gage factor
$\delta R$ - total change in resistance
$\delta L \quad-\quad$ total change in length
The gages presently used have a GF of 2.11.
The basic part of the load measuring setup is shown in Figure 8.

From Wheatstone bridge circuit, the relationship for a balanced bridge with zero output is :


Figure 8. Basic Load Measuring Setup

$$
R_{A} * R_{D}=R_{B} * R_{C}
$$

When the rod is subjected to load $L$ acting downward, gage $A$ experiences tension and gage $B$ experiences compression, thus causing the above equation to become unbalanced. The magnitude of this unbalance is measured as the output of the bridge and is proportional to the strain of the member. If tension in gage $A$ in one arm of the bridge caused a certain unbalance resistance $\left(+\delta R_{A}\right)$ and , the compression in gage $B$ caused an opposite change ( $-\delta R_{B}$ ), then the output is doubled. The equation for the circuit would then be

$$
\left(R_{A}+\delta R_{A}\right) * R_{D}=\left(R_{B}-\delta R_{B}\right) * R_{C}
$$

The output from the Vishay Amplifier is fed to the IBM AT personal computer through a DT2805 A/D - D/A converter. PCLAB routines are called to measure the dynamic voltage outputs. While measuring the dynamic loads a sampling interval of 0.025 sec is used. Thus for a dynamic load of frequency 30 cycles/min, 80 samples are taken per cycle. This sampling period is given to the PCLAB routine SET_CLOCK_DIVIDER in terms of number of 'ticks', each 'tick' being assigned a span of 2.5 microseconds. Thus 10,000 'ticks' are chosen for a sampling period of 0.025 sec.

Routine ADC_SERIES is called to read the dymamic output voltage from the Vishay amplifier, where the sampling period of the output measurement is set by the routine SET_CLOCK_DIVIDER. Routine ADC_VALUE is called to read the voltage outputs from the $s i \times$ potentiometers of the linkage

```
transducer which is used in the mobility test. A pascal
program is written to call the required routines, which
stores the data in different output files (see Appendix A).
```


## Calibration of the Gages

Practically, the strain gages are calibrated by applying known loads and measuring the strain. The strain is converted into voltage through the Vishay Strian gage Amplifier. This voltage is recorded by the IBM AT personal computer through the 2805 A/D board. Caliberation charts are shown as Load vs Voltage (Figure 9, Figure 10), for both bending and torsional load measurement.


Figure 9. Calibration Curve for Bending Load Measurement


Figure 10. Calibration Curve for Torsional Load Measurement

## CHAPTER IV

## KINEMATICS OF SPINE

Kinematics is that science of mechanics which deals with the study of relative motion between rigid bodies. The manner in which a rigid body executes this relative motion defines the kinematic constraints. The vertebrae of the spine can be considered to behave as rigid bodies. An overall clear knowledge of spinal kinematics plays a supreme role in understanding the aspects of clinical analysis and management of $s p i n a l$ problems like spine trauma, scoliosis, low back pain etc.

## Degrees of Freedom

A rigid body has six degrees of freedom if it moves freely in the three dimensional space without any constraints. That is, its motion can be basically condensed into six characteristic movements: three rotations and three translations about and along a set of three orthogonal axes. These six movements are called six degrees of freedom of the rigid body. The number of independent coordinates in a coordinate system required to completely specify the position of a rigid body in space are called the degrees of freedom.


#### Abstract

The vertebra has all $5 i x$ degrees of freedom as the trunk is manipulated with respect to the pelvis.


## Representation of $3-D$ Displacement


#### Abstract

In three dimensional motion, when a rigid body is displaced from one position to another position in space, its motion can always be described as a rotation about a certain axis and a translation along the same axis. This constitutes helical motion. This motion is analogus to the motion of a screw and the axis of the screw is the helical axis of motion. Thus the helical axis is also called the screw axis.


Totally there are six parameters associated with this representation (Figure 11).

1. Rotation $\phi$ about the helical axis
2. Translation $T$ along the helical axis
3. Two of the three direction cosines $U_{x}, U_{y}$, and $U_{z}$ describing the orientation of the helical axis with respect to the $X O Y Z$ reference frame.
4. Two coordinates $P_{x}$ and $P_{y}$ of the point of intersection of the helical axis with the $X Y$ reference plane.

Rotation $\phi$ and translation $T$ are known as the laxity parameters.

The screw axis representation is one of the most precise ways to define the three dimensional motion of a rigid body. The advantage in using this representatin to


Figure 11. Screw Axis Representation


#### Abstract

describe a three dimensional motion is that the Rotation $\phi$  constant irrespective of the choice of the coordinate system.


## Motion Segment

The unit of study in spinal kinematics is called a Motion Segment or a Functional Spinal Unit. It represents the inherent biomechanical characteristics of the entire ligamentous spine. It consists of two adjacent vertebrae and their intervening soft tissues. The spine may be viewed as a structure composed of a number of motion segments connected in series and its total behaviour is a composite of the individual motion segments.

## Physiological Patterns of Motion

The traditional patterns of motion are classified as :
a. Flexion/Extension
b. Left/Right Lateral Bending
c. Axial Rotation

Bending forward in the sagittal plane is flexion and bending backwards is extension. Spine is more flexible in flexion than in extension. Bending sideways in the frontal Plane is left/right lateral bending (Figure 12).


Figure 12. Physiological Patterns of Motion

## CHAPTER V

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

## Specimen Preparation


#### Abstract

Fresh spine specimens are obtained and the intervertebral joints, in the lumbar region, consisting of two vertebrae including the disc inbetween are processed. The motion segments are cleaned by stripping away all the fat and muscle, but leaving the ligaments intact. These specimens are stored in sealed plastic bags at -250 C. The fact that storing $5 p i n a l$ specimens at $-25^{\circ} C$ does not alter the properties of the material is established by many investigators.

The forzen motion segments are thawed for 12 hours at room temperature before the actual testing. Each motion segment is comprised of two adjacent vertebrae with its intervening disc and ligamentous soft tissues.


## Specimen Mounting

[^0]specimen. By varying the thickness of the plumbers putty in the cup, the height of the vertebra relative to the cup is varied.

The specimen is mounted inside the cup (fixed cup) making sure that :
a. the center of the vertebral specimen coincides approximately with the center of the cup.
b. the plane of the intervertebral disc is parallel to the base of the cup.
c. about half of the vertebra is outside the cup.

Four steinman pins going through four holes on the circumference of the cup, which are at 90 degree seperation to each other, are drilled into the cellulous bone of the vertebra.

Bone cement is then poured in the cup, to the brim,covering the four rods securing the vertebra. Bone cement is a dough-type, radiopaque material comprising of a mixture of methyl methacrylate monomer and poly (methyl methacrylate) containing barium sulphate as opacifier. Bone cement, which solidifies in about 30 minutes, is a high strength material which excellently secures the vertebra, making it integral with the cup.

The second vertebra of the motion segment is mounted in another cup (moving cup) following the same procedure ensuring that the bases of the two cups are parallel to each other with the help of a spirit level.

When applying bending or torsional loads, one cup is
fixed while the other cup is moved and hence the notation fixed cup and moving cup.

Mobility Test

The data for the mobility test is collected at various cycles of the fatigue loading with the aid of linkage transducer. The linkage transducer is made up of seven links, and six rotary potentiometers. The transducer has negligible weight and does not load the specimen. It also does not interfere with the actual intervertebral motion to be measured. The data collection procedure is controlled by means of an IBM AT personal computer, with user interaction through a DT $2805 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ converter. The six potentiometers of the transducer are connected to the six channels of the $A / D-D / A$ converter. Pascal program is written to call the PCLAB routines to measure the static voltage output from the six potentiometers (see Appendix A).

The motion segment along with the cups is detached from the loading mechanism and is transfered to a special fixture designed to conduct the mobility test (Figure 13). This fixture consists of a base to which the fixed cup is tightly secured. The spine motion segment is able to execute its normal mode of motion in the frontal plane, sagittal plane and any other plane in between. The mobility fixture consists of a rotating circular base carrying four vertical bars and a horizontal bar. Shear and moment loads can be applied to the motion segment by means of cables, pulleys

and static weights. One end of the cable is attached to the top cup and the other end to a loading pan through a system of pulleys attached to the horizontal and vertical bars. The first link of the linkage transducer is attached to the fixed cup in a plane coincident with the sagittal plane of the vertebrae. The last link of the transducer is attached to the moving cup in a plane inclined at 45 degrees to the sagittal plane.

Different types of loads are applied to the moving cup both in the sagittal plane (O degrees) and the frontal plane (90 degrees). For each type of load, the output from the $5 i x$ potentiometers of the linkage transducer are recorded by the computer as $A D$ values.

The forces and moments which are applied while testing the mobility of the motion segment can be identified in engineering as well as in anatomical terms. These are summarized in Table 1 with reference to Figure 14.

The $A D$ values obtained from the computer are transfered to the program developed on the IBM 3081K mainframe, where they are processed to give the six degrees of freedom of the motion segment for each load.

TABLE I
SUMMARY OF LOADS APPLIED ON THE MOTION SEGMENT

| Magnitude/ <br> Direction | Plane of Application | Force/Moment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+F_{x}$ | Sagittal | Posterior Shear ( $0^{\circ}$ Positive Shear) |
| $-F_{x}$ | Sagittal | Anterior Shear ( $0^{\circ}$ Negative Shear) |
| $+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | Frontal | Right Lateral Shear (90年 Positive Shear) |
| $-F_{Y}$ | Frontal | Left Lateral Shear (90年 Negative Shear) |
| $+M_{x}$ | Frontal | Left Lateral Moment (900 Negative Moment) |
| $-M_{x}$ | Frontal | Right Lateral Moment (90 ${ }^{\circ}$ Positive Moment) |
| $+M_{y}$ | Sagittal | Extension <br> ( $0^{\circ}$ Positive Moment) |
| $-M_{y}$ | Sagittal | Flexion <br> ( $0^{\circ}$ Negative Moment) |
| $+M_{z}$ | Horizontal | Left Rotation (Positive Torque) |
| $-M_{z}$ | Horizontal | Right Rotation (Negative Torque) |



## CHAPTER VI

## DATA ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

A total of three tests are conducted in order to observe the kinematics of lumbar motion segments under different fatigue loads. The six degrees of freedom of the motion segment are calculated at specified cycles of load application. The motion segment is subjected to different types of loads while conducting the mobility test - Positive Shear (PS), Negative Shear (NS), Positive Moment (PM), Negative Moment (NM), Positive Torque (PT) and Negative Torque (NT). These loads are applied both in the sagittal and the frontal planes. Thus the data analysis is done with respect to the sagittal and the frontal planes.
The variation of Rotations and Translations are represented as bar charts, the height of each bar representing the respective magnitude. The instantaneous points of intersection of the Screw Axis with the respective planes are also plotted in order to observe the trend.

```
        Experiment 1
    This test is conducted to observe the variation of peak
bending fatigue load with respect to the number of cycles,
the angle of bending remaining constant.
Type of Loading : Bending in the Sagittal Plane with
                        Compressive Preload
Motion Segment Level: L3-L4
Frequency of Bending : 36 cycles/min
Angle of Bending : 8 degrees
Magnitude of Compressive Preload: 106.2 LB
```

Total cycles of load application : 2250

The variation of bending moment with respect to the number of cycles of load application is shown in Figure 15.

## Results

After 2000 cycles of load application, it is observed that the there is a drop of 19 percent in the bending moment.

This shows that the resistance to the external load


```
decreases with the increase in number of cycles, thus
increasing the laxity of the specimen.
    No visual damage is observed except that some fluid is
noticed to ooze out of the joint while the load is being
applied.
```


## Experiment 2

This test is conducted to observe the variations of the degrees of freedom of the motion segment subjected to cyclic torsional fatigue load. The mobility of the motion segment is tested at three different phases of the load application.

Type of Loading : Pure Torsion

Motion Segment Level : L1-L2

Frequency of Torsion: 30 cycles/min

Angle of Torsion : 2 degrees

Total cycles of load application : 5050

Initial peak torsional load (300 cycles) : 247.17 in-lb

Final peak torsional load (5050 cycles) : 223.96 in-lb

Net decrease in the torsional load : $9.4 \%$

Mobility tested at : a) O cycles
b) 2020 cycles
c) 5050 cycles

## Results

The variation of the laxity parameters, Rotation and Translation, are represented in Figure 16 through Figure 29 , the height of each bar representing the magnitude. As the cycles of load application increased, it can be observed from Figure 16 and Figure 24 , that the rotations about the Screw Axis increased in all type of loads.

The laxity parameter, rotation, Mas increased by 62 percent under the application of posterior shear, 34 percent under anterior shear, 4 percent under extension, 80 percent under flexion, 23 percent under right lateral shear, 29 percent under left lateral shear, 7 percent under right lateral bending, 27 percent under left lateral bending, 29 percent under left rotation and 46 percent under right rotation. Comparing all the shear loads, most significant increase is observed under the application of posterior shear (be percent). Considering all the bending loads, flexion recorded the highest increase ( 80 percent). Extension and right lateral bending recorded low increase, 4 percent and 7 precent respectively. Under torsional loads, right rotation showed the highest increase (46 percent).

Considering the three rotations $R_{x}, R_{y}$ and $R_{z}$ about the three orthogonal axes, the rotation $R_{y}$ under posterior shear showed a constant increase. Under anterior shear, $R_{z}$ showed a constant increase, $64 \%$ being the net rise.

The variation of translations, which are shown in Figure 17 and Figure 25, did not follow a definite pattern,
except that under right rotation a gradual increase has been observed. Between $O$ and 2020 cycles the increase recorded is 90 percent and between 2020 and 5050 cycles it is 43.3 percent. Thus the final result showed a total increase of 171 percent.

The points of intersection of the Screw Axis with the $X Y$ plane under torsional load are shown in Figure 30 . The cycles of load application are marked at each point on the figure. The points for right rotation and the points for left rotation are observed to occupy two distinct regions on the $X Y$ plane.

Drop in the peak torsional load has been observed to be 9.4 percent.

## Experiment 3

This test is conducted to observe the variations of the degrees of freedom of the motion segment subjected to cyclic bending fatigue load. The mobility of the motion segment is tested at three different phases of the load application.

Type of Loading : Pure Bending

Motion Segment Level: L3-L4

Frequency of Bending : 30 cycles/min

Angle of Bending: 10 degrees

Total cycles of load application : 5280

Initial peak bending load (180 cycles) : 132.86 in-lb

Final peak bending load (5100 cycles) : 108.03 in-lb

Net decrease in the bending load : $18.7 \%$

Mobility tested at : a) Ocycles
b) 2010 cycles
c) 5280 cycles

## Results

The variation of the laxity parameters, Rotation and Translation, are represented in Figure 31 through Figure 44 the height of each bar denoting the respective magnitude.

From Figure 31 and Figure 39 it is obvious that the rotations about the screw axis increased as the number of cycles of load application increased under all loads. The laxity parameter, rotation, has increased by 22 percent under the application of posterior shear, 56 percent under anterior shear, 41 percent under extension, 80 percent under flexion, 42 percent under right lateral shear, 16 percent under left lateral shear, 39 percent under right lateral bending, 21 percent under left lateral bending, 37 percent under left rotation and 81 percent under right rotation. Comparing all shear loads, most significant increase is observed under the application of anterior shear 〔56 percent). Significant increase in rotation is observed under flexion than in extension supporting the fact that the spine is more flexible under flexion. Also, considering all the bending loads, flexion recorded the highest increase ( 80 percent). Under torsional loads, right rotation showed higher increase (81 percent).

Rotation $R_{z}$ showed a constant increase in magnitued under posterior shear. Flexion recorded a constant increase in magnitude in rotation $R_{y}$. Left lateral bending showed a consistent rise, 20.67 percent being the net.

```
    Laxity parameter Translation did not particularly show
a definite pattern in variation except that under flexion, a
constant increase is observed and right rotation recorded a
constant decrease. Figure 45 represents the points of
intersection of the Screw Axis with the XY plane under the
application of torsional loads. The cycles of load
application are marked at each point on the figure. The
points for right rotation and left rotation are observed to
occupy distinct regions on the XY plane. The drop in the
peak bending load has been observed to be 18.7 percent.
```


## Conclusions and Recommendations

The laxity parameter, rotation, under all loads showed a distinct increase as the cycles of load application increased.

These results clearly indicate that the increase in rotations with the increase in the cycles of load application gives us a measure of the flexibility of the motion segment. In both experiments, comparing all bending loads, highest increase in the laxity parameter rotation is recorded under flexion.

Role of the laxity parameter, translation, in understanding the laxity of the motion segment did not particularly point out to a definite trend, though, under some loads it is observed to increase.

The points of intersection of the Screw Axis with the XY plane under counter clockwise torque and clockwise torque are observed to occupy distinct regions in both the experiments. This does not throw much light on the movement of the screw axis as related to the kinematics of the motion segment and does not point out to a particular trend. The reason for selecting the $X Y$ plane for the points of intersection is that the torsional loads are applied about the $Z$ axis and obviously the Screw Axis should intersect with a plane perpendicular to the $Z$ axis, which is the $X Y$ plane.

There are drops in the torsional fatigue load and the bending fatigue load indicating that the resistance to
external load decreases with increase in the cycles of load application and thus increasing the laxity of the specimen. This drop has been observed to be more in bending 18.7 percent) than in torsion(9.4 percent).

As the motion segment represents the inherent biomechanical characteristics of the entire spine, these results throw light on the three dimensional kinematics of the spine itself. The numerical data gives a clear relationship between the flexibility of the intervertebral joint and the cycles of load application in terms of the laxity parameters.

From the cadaver study, realizing the limitations of in-vivo situations, we may conclude that the laxity of the spine increases with increased physical activity involving enhanced movements of the spine.

## Future Scope

This study significantly contributes to the understanding of the three dimensional kinematics of the human spine. However, the following possible extensions are suggested in view of expanding the scope of the study.
a) To increase loading cycles upto 100,000
b) To conduct mobility test at more than three phases of load application
c) To apply constant peak fatigue load
d) To apply compressive preload


Figure 16. Rotations for Different Loads ( 0 deg .) Under Torsional Fatigue Load

TRANSLATION


Figure 17. Translations for Different Loads ( 0 deg.) Under Torsional Fatigue Load



Figure 19. Rotations Under Negative Shear ( 0 deg.) Under Torsional Fatigue Load


Figure 20. Rotations Under Positive Moment ( 0 deg.) Under Torsional Fatigue Load


Figure 21. Rotations Under Negative Moment ( 0 deg.) Under Torsional Fatigue Load



Figure 23. Rotations Under Negative Torque Under Torsional Fatigue Load


Figure 24. Rotations for Different Loads (90 deg.) Under Torsional Fatigue Load

## TRANSLATION



Figure 25. Translations for Different Loads (90 deg.) Under Torsional Fatigue Load


Figure 26. Rotations Under Positive Shear ( 90 deg. ) Under Torsional. Fatigue Load


Figure 27. Rotations Under Negative Shear (90 deg.) Under Torsional Fatigue Load



Figure 29. Rotations Under Negative Moment (90 deg.) Under Torsional Fatigue Load


* REPRESENTS POINTS FOR POSTIVE TORQUE - REPRESENTS POINTS FOR NEGATVE TORQUE

Figure 30. Points of Intersection of the Screw Axis With XY Plane for PT and NT Loads Under Torsional Fatigue Load



Figure 32. Translations for Different Loads ( 0 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 33. Rotations Under Positive Shear ( 0 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 34. Rotations Under Negative Shear ( 0 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 35. Rotations Under Positive Moment ( 0 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 36. Rotations Under Negative Moment ( 0 deg. ) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 37. Rotations Under Positive Torque Under Bending Fatigue Load



Figure 39. Rotations for Different Loads (90 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 40. Translations for Different Loads (90 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 41. Rotations Under Positive Shear (90 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 42. Rotations Under Negative Shear ( 90 deg. ) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 43. Rotations Under Positive Moment (90 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


Figure 44. Rotations Under Negative Moment (90 deg.) Under Bending Fatigue Load


* REPRESENTS POINTS FOR POSITVE TORQUE
- REPRESENTS POINTS FOR NEGATVE TORQUE

Figure 45. Points of Intersection of the Screw Axis With XY Plane for PT and NT Loads Under Bending Fatigue Load
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APPENDIX

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR DIGITAL DATA ACQUISITION

```
〔 TURBO PASCAL PRORGRAM WHICH USES PCLAB ROUTINES TO READ
    CONTINUOUS A/D VALUES}
PROGRAM TSTAD \INPUT, OUTPUT`;
{ ***** INCLUDE FILES ***** }
{$i PCLDEFS.TP}
    { include PCLAB routine definitions }
{$i PCLERRS.PAS}
{ include PCLAB error definitions }
TYPE
    BIG_ARRAY = ARRAY[0..1000] OF REAL;
    REALARRAY = ARRAY[0..20] OF REAL;
    INTARRAY = ARRAY[0..500] OF INTEGER;
    SM_INT_ARRAY = ARRAY[0..30] OF INTEGER;
    TITLE_ARRAY = ARRAY[0..50] OF STRING[40];
VAR
    VO:BIG_ARRAY;
    GAIN,NUMNEW,K,CLOCK_DIV,J,NUMREAD,NUM,ADVALUE,
                    STATUS, I,CYCLES:INTEGER;
    ANS:CHAR;
    V1,V2,V3,V4,V5,V6,VOLTS,A,AO,A1,A2,A3,A4,A5,A6:REALARRAY;
    CONT:INTARRAY;
    DATA_FILE:TEXT;
    FILENAME:STRING[12];
    CD,TIME,DUM,IR,PVOLTS,PADVALUE:REAL;
    TITLE:STRING[50];
    STORE:BOOLEAN;
```


PROCEDURE READ_CONTINUOUS_DATA;
BEGIN
$K:=0 ;$
REPEAT
CLRSCR;
$K:=K+1 ;$
TEXTCOLOR(O);
$I:=0$;
WRITELN(, GAIN BIPOLAR RANGE');

```
WRITELN<'
WRITELN!, 10
WRITELN!, 100
WRITELN!" 500
WRITELN;
WRITE('ENTER THE SELECTED GAIN .......');
READLN(GAIN);WRITELN;
WRITE('ENTER THE NUMBER OF CONVERSIONS....');
READLN(NUM);WRITELN;
WRITE('ENTER THE CLOCK DIVIDER NUMBER.....');
READLN(CLOCK_DIV);WRITELN;
CD := CLOCK_DIV;
WRITE{'ENTE\overline{R} THE NUMBER OF CYCLES ELAPSED..');
READLN(CYCLES);WRITELN;
WRITELN{'ENTER THE DATA FILE NAME');
WRITELN('*************);
READLN(FILENAME);
CLRSCR;
TEXTCOLOR(4 + BLINK);
WRITELN('PRESS RETURN TO MAKE THE READING');READLN;
CLRSCR;
TEXTCOLOR(1);
WRITELN("TAKING READINGS....');
                                    { *** COMMENTS ***}
STATUS := INITIALIZE; { initialize PCLAB routines}
STATUS := SELECTBOARD { 1 ); { select unit1 }
STATUS := SETUPADC(0,0,0,GAIN); { setting A/D parameters}
            { arguments: timing source, start channel,
                                    end channel, gain 3
STATUS := SETCLOCKDIVIDER(CLOCK_DIV);
                            { setting the period of internal clock }
STATUS := ADCSERIES(NUM,CONT[I]);
    { arguments: number of conversions, analog data array}
STATUS := TERMINATE; { stop the conversions }
{ *** CONVERT A/D value to vOLTAGE AND WRITE TO SCREEN *** }
CLRSCR;
NUMNEW := NUM - 1;
FOR J := 0 TO NUMNEW DO BEGIN
```

```
DUM := CONT[J];
    VO[J] := (({20 * DUM / 4096) - 10)/GAIN)*1000;
    WRITELN\' READING # ',J+1,' = ',CONT[J]:4,
    M VOLTS = ,,VO[J]:5:6);
```

END;

```
{ ***** STORE DATA ****** }
ASSIGN(DATA_FILE,FILENAME);
REWRITE(DATA_FILE);
    WRITELN(DATA_FILE,CYCLES);
    FOR I := O TO NUMNEW DO BEGIN
    IR := I;
    TIME:= IR * CD * 2.5E-6;
    WRITELN{DATA_FILE,TIME:8:6,' ',VO[I]:6:4);
    END;
CLOSE(DATA_FILE);
```

WRITELN;
TEXTCOLOR(4);
WRITE('DO YOU WISH TO MAKE ANOTHER CONTINUOUS READING (Y/N)?');
READ (KBD, ANS); WRITELN;
UNTIL UPCASE(ANS) = 'N';
END: \{procedure dynamic\}

```
{--------------------------------------------------------------------
```

PROCEDURE READ_IMMEDIATE_DATA;
BEGIN
J:=0; NUMREAD:=0;
REPEAT
CLRSCR;
WRITE('PRESS <ENTER> TO MAKE YOUR FIRST READING...');READLN;
I := I + 1; \{ ***** COMMENTS $* * * * *\}$
NUMREAD := NUMREAD + 1 ;
STATUS := INITIALIZE; \{ initialize PCLAB routines \}
STATUS := SELECTBOARD ( 1 ); \{ select board \#1 \}
\{ the function $A D C V A L U E(C H, \#, V A R)\}$
\{ reads an $A / D$ value from channel CH,$\}$
\{ gain \# and assigns to ADVALUE variable \}
STATUS := ADCVALUE ( 1,1, ADVALUE); $\{* * *$ CHANNEL 1 *** \}
A1[I] := ADVALUE;

```
STATUS := ADCVALUE (2,1,ADVALUE); { *** CHANNEL 2 *** }
A2[I] := ADVALUE;
STATUS := ADCVALUE(3,1,ADVALUE); { *** CHANNEL 3 *** }
A3[I] := ADVALUE;
STATUS := ADCVALUE(4,1,ADVALUE); { *** CHANNEL 4 *** }
A4[I] := ADVALUE;
STATUS := ADCVALUE (5,1,ADVALUE); { *** CHANNEL 5 *** }
A5[I] := ADVALUE;
STATUS := ADCVALUE(6,1,ADVALUE); { *** CHANNEL 6 *** }
AG[I] := ADVALUE;
STATUS := TERMINATE;
{ ***** convert A/D values to voltages ****** }
    V1[I] := (20 * A1[I]/ 4096) - 10;
    VZ[I] := (20 * A己[I]/ 4096) - 10;
    V3[I] := (20 * A3[I]/ 4096) - 10;
    V4[I] := (20 * A4[I]/ 4096) - 10;
    V5[I] := (20 * A5[I]/ 4096) - 10;
    VG[I] := (20 * AG[I]/ 4096) - 10;
{ NOTE: 'NUMREAD' AND 'I' ARE THE SAME }
WRITELN(*READING # ',NUMREAD);WRITELN;
```

| WRITELN(' | CHANNEL | VOLTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WRITELN ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | CHANNEL $2=$ ',AC[I]:5:1,' | VOLTS = ', V2[I]:5:2); |
| WRITELN(' | CHANNEL $3=$, A3[I]:5:1,' | VOLTS = , V3[I]:5:2); |
| WRITELN(' | CHANNEL $4=$, A4[I]:5:1, | VOLTS = ',V4[I]:5:2); |
| WRITELN(' | CHANNEL $5=$, AS[I]:5:1,' | VOLTS $=$ ', VS |
| WRITELN(' | CHANNEL | VOLT |

WRI TELN;
WRITELN\{'DO YOU WISH TO STORE THE DATA IN A FILE ? (Y/N)');
READ (KBD, ANS);
IF ANS =' $Y$ ' THEN STORE: =TRUE;
IF ANS='N' THEN STORE:=FALSE;
\{ $*^{* * * *}$ STORE DATA E**** $^{*}$ \}

IF STORE THEN BEGIN
WRITELN('ENTER THE DATA FILE NAME');
WRITELN(' $* * * * * * * * * * * * * ') ; ~$
READLN(FILENAME);
WRITE('ENTER THE NUMBER OF CYCLES ELAPSED...');
READLN(CYCLES);
WRITELN('ENTER THE TITLE FOR THE READING');

WRITELN('********************************************'); READLN(TITLE); CLRSCR;

```
WRITELN('STORING DATA');
ASSIGN(DATA_FILE,FILENAME);
REWRITE(DATA_FILE);
    WRITELN(DATA_FILE,TITLE);
    WRITELN(DATA_FILE,CYCLES);
    WRITELN{DATA_FILE,V1[I]:6:4);
    WRITELN{DATA_FILE,V2[I]:6:4);
    WRITELN(DATA_FILE,V3[I]:6:4);
    WRITELN{DATA_FILE,V4[I]:6:4);
    WRITELN{DATA_FILE,V5[I]:6:4);
    WRITELN{DATA_FILE,V6[I]:6:4);
```

    CLOSE(DATA FILE);
    END;
WRITE('DO YOU WISH TO MAKE ANOTHER STATIC READING (Y/N)?’);
READ (KBD,ANS); WRITELN;
UNTIL UPCASE(ANS) = ' $N$ ';
END; \{procedure read_immediate_data\}

PROCEDURE MAIN_MENU;

```
BEGIN
    REPEAT
        CLRSCR;
        GOTOXY(75,50);
        TEXTCOLOR(O);
        WRITELN(> 1. READ A/D CONTINUOUS, DYNAMIC');
        WRITELN;
        TEXTCOLOR(5);
        WRITELN(' 2. READ A/D IMMEDIATE, STATIC');
        WRITELN;
        TEXTCOLOR(6);
        WRITELN(' 3. EXIT PROGRAM');
        WRITELN;
        TEXTCOLOR(1);
        WRITE{' ENTER YOUR CHOICE (1-3)....');
        TEXTCOLOR(1);
    READ(KBD,ANS);
CASE ANS OF
    '1': BEGIN
                READ_CONTINUOUS_DATA;
                END; { end choice #1 }
```

```
    '卫': BEGIN
        READ_IMMEDIATE_DATA;
    END; { end choice #2 }
    END; { case statement end }
UNTIL UPCASE(ANS) = '3';
END; { procedure end }
{--------------------------------------------------------------------
{ ***** MAIN PROGRAM ******}
BEGIN
GOTOXY(50,50);
TEXTBACKGROUND(7);
    REPEAT
        MAIN_MENU;
        WRITELN('ARE YOU SURE (Y/N)');
        READ(KBD,ANS);
    UNTIL UPCASE(ANS) = 'Y';
END.
```
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[^0]:    Each vertebra of the motion segment is mounted in a cup specially designed to secure the specimen. First, a layer of Plumbers Putty is laid at the bottom of the cup. The thickness of this layer is dependent on the size of the

