AN EXPLORATION OF CHANGES IN RESIDENTIAL LOCATIONAL CHOICE AS MEASURED BY HOUSEHOLD HEAD'S JOURNEY TO WORK FOR 1974 AND 1977

By

PAULINE A. STROMBERG SNYDER

California Polytechnic State University

San Luis Obispo, California

1979

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE July, 1981



AN EXPLORATION OF CHANGES IN RESIDENTIAL LOCATIONAL CHOICE AS MEASURED BY HOUSEHOLD HEAD'S JOURNEY TO WORK FOR 1974 AND 1977

Thesis Approved:

t Weber Thesis Adviser Vaula Dean of Graduate College

Preface

This study was my first attempt at exploratory research, and as such, has been very enlightening. In this type of study, one is never quite sure where to turn or how to approach the subject at hand. In the case of housing and commuting behavior, there were so many overlapping, yet diverse elements that it was easy to get carried away with the possibilities that presented themselves. On the other hand, as my committee members kept reminding me, this was not supposed to be a life's work, just a master's thesis, so it had to be necessarily limited in what could be addressed. Essentially the main thrust of this study was to have been to determine whether the rising costs of commuting had influenced the housing location choices of our nation, i.e. were people adjusting their home-work distance by moving closer to their workplace. While the present study approaches this question indirectly, the data did not lend itself to longitudinal study of particular households and their commuting behavior since it is based on housing units. Also, before exploring peoples' attitudes about their commuting and housing choices, it was necessary to determine if any changes had actually taken place in the time period studied.

I want to first of all thank my thesis advisor, Dr. Margaret Weber, for her encouragement and guidance in preparing this thesis, and for making my two years as a graduate research assistant such a meaningful experience. I also want to express my appreciation to my thesis committee members, Dr. Kay Stewart for her constant support and many

iii

helpful suggestions, and Dr. Richard Dodder for all of his time and patience in helping me sort through the data.

In addition, I want to thank Mrs. Iris McPherson, Mrs. Janice Hanson and all of the others at the Oklahoma State University Computer Center for their help in using the computer data tapes and in getting the computer to cooperate in the analysis of my data.

Thanks to my husband, Tim, for his love and understanding. Also, I want to thank my family for standing behind me despite their reservations about the value of so much education. To my friends, especially Bill Braun, Mary Dee Dickerson, Lisa Wafford, Sue Williams and Paula Lenhart, a special thanks for all the sharing of good and bad times which helped me to keep things in perspective throughout these last couple of years.

I want to express my gratitude to Dr. Carl Hall and the Department of Housing, Design and Consumer Resources for the financial support in the form of scholarship fee waivers and computer allotments, general moral support and my first graduate research/teaching assistantship. Finally, thanks to the Oklahoma State University Agricultural Experiment Station for funding my research assistantship, and for the use of the Annual Housing Survey data tapes, without which this study could not have been conducted in this manner.

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page	;
I.	INTRODUCTION	
	Statement of Problem2Purpose and Objectives3Research Questions4Assumptions6Limitations6Definitions7	
II.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE)
	Introduction	
	the Journey to Work 19 Regional and Metropolitan/Nonmetropolitan Location 22 Regional Difference 22 Metropolitan/Nonmetropolitan Differences 25 Summary 29	
III.	METHOD AND PRACTICE	
	Introduction31Samples31The AHS Samples31Ten Percent Subsample Used for this Study34Instrumentation35Methodology36Research Design and Analysis40Summary42	
IV.	ANALYSIS OF DATA 44	ŀ
	Introduction	

Chapter

• .

.

Ρ	a	g	е
_	-	0	~

Distance to Work and Year by Transportation Mode	
Used	51
Distance to Work and Year by Region of the U.S	55
Distance to Work and Year by SMSA/Non-SMSA	
Residence	57
Distance to Work and Year by Property Value to	
Income Ratio	60
Distance to Work and Year by Rent as Percentage	
of Income	62
Time of Travel to Work and Year by Transportation	
Mode Used	64
Time of Travel to Work and Year by Region of	
the U.S	67
Time of Travel to Work and Year by SMSA/Non-	
SMSA Residence	68
Time of Travel to Work and Year by Property	
Value to Income Ratio	72
Time of Travel to Work and Year by Rept as	, <u> </u>
Percentage of Income	75
Transportation Mode Used for the Journey to Work	75
and Pagion of the U.S. by SMSA /Non-SMSA Pagidanaa	75
Bronorty Value to Income and Posice of the U.S.	75
by SMCA/Non-SMCA Pagidanaa	80
Dy SHSA/NON-SHSA Residence	00
I C by CMCA Non CMCA Decidence	<u>00</u>
Currents	00 02
	00
U CINMARY OF EINDINGS CONCLUSIONS AND DECOMMENDATIONS	05
V. SUMMARI OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	05
Tetus Justian	05
	65
	8/
Conclusions	89
	95
A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	98
	1.0.0
APPENDIXES	102
APPENDIX A - U.S. CENSUS BUREAU REGIONS AND GEOGRAPHIC	
DIVISIONS OF THE UNITED STATES	103
APPENDIX B - EXAMPLES OF ANNUAL HOUSING SURVEY INSTRUMENTS	
USED IN 1974 AND 1977	105

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Pa	ges
I.	Regional Comparison of Urbanization and Metropolitan- ization for 1960	•	24
II.	Selected Characteristics of Sample by Year	•	37
III.	Comparison of Three Variables, by Percentages, from the <u>Current Housing Reports</u> (CHR) with the Ten Percent Sample and the One Percent Sample	•	38
IV.	Journey to Work Distance by Year	•	47
V.	Journey to Work Time by Year	•	47
VI.	Transportation Mode Used by Year	•	49
VII.	Region of the United States by Year	•	49
VIII.	SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence by Year	•	50
IX.	Property Value to Income by Year	•	51
Χ.	Rent as Percentage of Income by Year	•	51
XI.	Distance Traveled and Year by Mode of Transportation Used	•	53
XII.	Distance Traveled and Mode of Transportation Used	•	54
XIII.	Distance Traveled and Year by Region of the U.S	•	56
XIV.	Distance Traveled and Region of the U.S	•	57
XV.	Distance Traveled and Year by SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence	•	58
XVI.	Distance Traveled for SMSA/Non-SMSA Residents	•	59
XVII.	Distance Traveled and Year by Property Value to Income Ratio	•	61
XVIII.	Distance Traveled by Property Value to Income Ratio	•	62

Pages

.

XIX.	Distance Traveled and Year by Rent as Percentage of Income .	63
XX.	Distance Traveled by Rent as Percentage of Income	64
XXI.	Time Traveled and Year by Mode of Transportation Used	66
XXII.	Time Traveled by Mode of Transportation Used	67
XXIII.	Time Traveled and Year by Region of the U.S	69
XXIV.	Time Traveled and Region of the U.S	70
XXV.	Time Traveled and Year by SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence	71
XXVI.	Time Traveled and SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence	72
XXVII.	Time Traveled and Year by Property Value to Income	73
XXVIII.	Time Traveled by Property Value to Income	74
XXIX.	Time Traveled and Year by Rent as Percentage of Income	76
XXX.	Time Traveled by Rent as Percentage of Income	77
XXXI.	Transportation Modes and Region of the U.S. by SMSA/Non- SMSA Residence	78
XXXII.	SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence by Region of the U.S.	79
XXXIII.	Transportation Mode Used by SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence	79
XXXIV.	Property Value to Income and Region of the U.S. by SMSA/ Non-SMSA Areas	81
XXXV.	Property Value to Income Ratios by SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence .	81
XXXVI.	Rent as Percentage of Income	82
XXXVII.	Rent as Percentage of Income by SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence	83

Page

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	Page	
1.	Selected Variables: Main and Control	
2.	Example of Elaboration Using the Variables of Year, Time Traveled and Mode of Transportation Used	
3.	U.S. Census Bureau Regions and Geographic Divisions of the United States	

•

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The journey to work by the household head has long been a topic of discussion for economists, sociologists, geographers, urban planners and others who were interested in spatial movement, behavioral adjustments to the environment, residential location and so on. The home-work separation has been studied in terms of commuting theories, econometric models and mobility/migration theories. Today the journey to work has taken on a new significance as our nation faces economic constraints of rising prices and projected shortages of fuel for transportation.

The private automobile opened up a whole new way of life for workers, who were no longer forced to choose employment near their homes or move in order to take advantage of job opportunities. Suburban sprawl---America's answer to the good life---allowed people to have all the ammenities of life outside the city such as clean air and open spaces and still enjoy the jobs and opportunities of urban life. Rural residents could remain on their land, farm part-time and still commute to jobs elsewhere. All regions of the United States were affected, and today the majority of all workers travel from home to work by private automobily--many of them alone.

Past studies on residential mobility have indicated that proximity to the place of employment seems to be of little importance to most households (Goodman, 1974; Gallogly, 1974; Morris and Winter, 1978).

Many people have chosen to commute longer distances to work in order to choose housing based on factors related to family income, household size, neighborhood ammenities and type of dwelling--usually singlefamily, detached housing is preferred. Such choices became viable because of the increased use of the private automobile.

Economists, urban planners, sociologists and policy-makers have long been interested in commuting and locational theories. The use of modeling is one means of dealing with the complexities of residential housing and transportation decisions (Kain, 1975; Hirsch, 1977; Morris and Winter, 1978). There are also various theories on residential mobility and the propensity of households to move that relate to the household' decision-making process in housing selection, locational choices and satisfaction with houing based on various socio-demographic variables (Rossi, 1955; Foote et al., 1960; Morris and Winter, 1978). Housing decisions are based on many interrelated factors. Adding the dimension of rising energy costs for the journey to work makes decision-making an even more complex problem for households and policymakers alike.

Statement of Problem

The widespread use of the private automobile, vast networks of roadways and availability of gasoline have allowed Americans great flexibility in their residential locational choice. Many people have chosen to commute longer distances to work in order to increase their range of housing choices. The trade-off between commuting costs and locational choice could generally be justified when ammenities of the location were analyzed along with the convenience and relatively low cost of driving a private automobile to and from the workplace.

With today's rising transportation costs, the journey to work and its relationship to the household's location needs even greater attention. The economic aspects as well as differences among the regions of the United States, metropolitan/nonmetropolitan disparities and consequences of the transportation mode used should all be carefully studied to determine their importance to the future of housing locational decisions and the journey to work. The U.S. Bureau of Census in conjunction with the Department of Housing and Urban Development gather data yearly on various aspects of housing and transportation. Some of these data from the Annual Housing Survey were utilized for this study.

Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of the journey to work on residential locational choice of households in terms of commuting distance and time traveled by the household head from 1974 to 1977. The following objectives were identified for this study:

- To compare the a) categorized one-way distance and b) categorized time of housedold heads' journeys to work in 1974 with 1977.
- 2. To analyze the categorized journey to work distance for 1974 and 1977 by a) transportation mode used, b) region of the United States, c) Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA) versus Non-SMSA residence, d) categorized property value to income ratios, and e) rent as percentage of income categories.
- 3. To analyze the categorized time of journey to work for 1974 with 1977 by a) transportation mode used, b) region of the

United States, c) SMSA versus Non-SMSA residence, d) categorized property value to income ratios, and e) rent as percentage of income categories.

4. To analyze the control variables of a) mode of travel and region of the United States by SMSA/Non-SMSA residence, b) categorized property value to income ratios and region of the United States by SMSA/Non-SMSA residence, and c) rent as percentage of income categories and region of the United States by SMSA/Non-SMSA residence.

Research Questions

- Did the categorized, one-way distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work change significantly from 1974 to 1977?
- 2. Did the categorized, one-way time traveled by household heads in the journey to work change significantly from 1974 to 1977?
- 3. Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary by type of transportation mode used?
- 4. Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary by region of the U.S.?
- 5. Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?
- 6. Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary

according to property value to income ratios for homeowners?

- 7. Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary according to rent as percentage of income for renters?
- 8. Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary by transportation mode used?
- 9. Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary by region of the U.S.?
- 10. Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work for 1974 and 1977 differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?
- 11. Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work for 1974 and 1977 vary according to property value to income ratio for homeowners?
- 12. Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work for 1974 and 1977 vary according to rent as percentage of income for renters?
- 13. Did the transportation modes used by household heads in the journey to work for different regions of the United States differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?
- 14. Did the categorized property value to income ratios for home owners in different regions of the U.S. differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?
- 15. Did the rent as percentage of income categories for renters in different regions of the United States differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?

Assumptions

The following assumptions were necessary in order to conduct this study:

- It was assumed that households considered commuting costs in the choice of residential location and the economic trade-offs involved.
- It was assumed that the variables selected were sufficient measures of journey to work and the residential locational choice.
- 3. It was assumed that energy prices will remain high and continue to rise faster than other wholesale prices in the immediate future (Miernyk, 1978), making the journey to work an important consideration in residential choice.

Limitations

The following were limitations of this study:

- This study dealt specifically with the years of 1974 and 1977. This was a relatively short time span and the impact of the energy situation on decisions about the journey to work may not be fully realized in the data collected.
- The present study dealt with the journey to work for the heads of households only.
- 3. Actual transportation costs were not used in the analysis of the journey to work. Time, distance and travel mode used were the main variables analyzed in terms of the daily commute.
- 4. Generalizations about the behavior of households across broad categories of space such as the United States and use of large

sample sizes tend to blur distinctions among diverse groups in specific settings. The very size of the data base used in this study made it difficult to distinguish subtle changes that may have taken place in small areas and gave only the "big picture" of what happened from 1974 to 1977, in terms of the journey to work and housing location.

- 5. Participation in the Annual Housing Survey was voluntary. People who consented to being interviewed by the Census Bureau personnel could conceivably be different from those who refused to be interviewed.
- In the Annual Housing Survey, housing units were essentially longitudinally linkable, but households were not.

Definitions

The following terms were operationally defined for use in this study.

- <u>Commuting</u> is regular travel by the head of household in the journey to and from work.
- 2. Head of household refers to

the person regarded as the head by members of the household. However, if a married woman living with her husband is reported as the head, her husband was considered the head for the purpose of simplifying the tabulations (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1976, p. APP. 4).

3. <u>Housing locational decisions</u> refer to the household choices to move or stay in present housing based on rational exploration of possible alternatives and cost factors.

- Journey to work is the average one-way time and/or distance traveled by the head of household from place of residence to the workplace.
- 5. <u>Private transportation</u> refers to vehicular movement by a privately owned automobile.
- Property Value to Income Ratio refers to the homeowner's value of land, dwelling unit and any other durable goods associated with property in relation to the household income.
- Public transportation refers to any vehicle used for the journey to work except the privately-owned automobile and includes trains, busses/streetcars, subways/els and taxicabs.
- <u>Rent as Percentage of Income</u> refers to the renter household's payment for monthly rent as compared to the household's monthly income.
- <u>Region of the United States</u> refers to the U.S. Census Bureau designations of region and includes four major breakdowns of the United States (see Appendix A).
- 10. <u>Residential housing costs</u> refer to housing expenses that depend on how far a household is located from the workplace(s) and the quantity and quality of residential space that is consumed.
- 11. <u>Residential locational choice</u> is the place a household decides to live based on affordability of the residence, ammenities of the unit and location and the wants and needs of the household members.
- 12. Residential mobility refers to the

moving to a different dwelling within the local area (with a single labor market or housing market): it usually involves adjustments of actual housing conditions to better meet housing needs; one of the housing adjustment behaviors (Morris and Winter, 1978, p. 81).

- 13. <u>Rural housing</u> is residential housing not designated as urban housing (see below).
- 14. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA) is

an area designated by the Office of Management and Budget; it generally is made up of one entire county or several counties; it must include at least one core city (or in certain cases, an effective community) of 50,000 or more inhabitants . . . (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1979, p. 6).

- 15. <u>Non-SMSA</u> is any place that does not conform to the U.S. Housing and Urban Development definition of SMSA.
- 16. <u>Transportation costs</u> refer to the costs in monetary terms based on distance and time traveled in the journey to work, as a trade-off in the housing locational decision.
- 17. <u>Urban housing</u> refers to all residential housing units located

within one of the following places:

(a) 2500 inhabitants or more and incorporated as cities, burroughs (except Alaska), and towns (except the New England States, New York, and Wisconsin), but excluding those housing units in the rural portions of extended cities; (b) unincorporated places of 2500 inhabitants or more; and (c) other territory, incorporated or unincorporated, included in urbanized areas (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1976, p. APP-2).

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Introduction

Economists, sociologists, geographers, planners and others have studied the locational decision in terms of mobility theory and from the standpoint of commuting theories of minimization of home-work separation, structural and ecological models. These theories form part of the background for this study of housing locational decisions in terms of the head of household's journey to work. Of particular importance is the transportation mode used in commuting since it has been found that most commuters travel to work in private automobiles, many of them alone.

Past studies, particularly in mobility and migration, have shown that location in relation to the workplace is of minimal importance in the housing decision (Gallogly, 1974; Morris and Winter, 1978). However, economics have always played an important role in the housing decision and length of commute. Recently the economics of the journey to work have gained even greater importance as the cost of personal travel by automobile have risen dramatically. Some socioeconomic factors that have been found to be important are age, income group, family type and ethnic background, among other factors (Abu-Lughod and Foley, 1960). The household characteristic of tenure, too, is important to the housing decisions made and location of the unit.

Another factor that has been found to be significant in the housing locational decision and the commute to work was the location of the residence. Of particular importance was metropolitan/nonmetropolitan residence and in the region of the United States in which the household resides. Some studies have found that residents in the West pay more in housing and transport costs than residents in other regions (Hoch, 1972; Chinitz and Dusansky, 1972). In addition, differences have been found in the commuting costs between residents in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas (Gladhart, 1977). Coates and Weiss (1975) state that rising energy costs will probably put severe constraints on rural residents who must commute long distance.

Vast amounts of data are collected each year on various aspects of the American public's behavior by both public and private agencies. Of note, in relation to commuting, are management records and traffic studies, but the most common and easily accessible data are gathered by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (Schnore, 1960). In 1973, the U.S. Bureau of the Census joined with the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in conducting the first Annual Housing Survey. The Survey included questions on many aspects of housing quality and quantity; social, demographic and economic aspects of the respondents; and also included questions which dealt with energy issues from the standpoint of residential energy use and transportation to work by household heads. The unit of measurement in the housing unit itself, not the household and in succeeding years, efforts were made to survey the same units, if they existed, in order to keep track of America's housing stock. Data from the Annual Housing Survey Public Use Data Tapes were used in this study in order to examine housing locational choices and journey to work over time and for the major regions of the United States.

Journey to Work and Locational Choice

Commuting and Mobility Theory

The journey to work has been studied in terms of residential location in relation to selected industries, socioeconomic variables, transportation modes, mobility, and migration. Most of the research on commuting has been comducted in urban areas, though some researchers have shown interest in commuting patterns of particular rural areas (Beyer, 1951; Clemente and Summers, 1974). The primary theories developed to explain the relationship between residential locational choice and the journey to work are theories of mobility and migration in relation to workplace accessibility and the hypothesis of least effort, economic or structural models, and historic or ecological models.

Mobility is sometimes hypothesized to be an adjustment to the separation of home and workplace. It assumes that families consider the distance between home and work when choosing to move, and implies "that families are concerned about the distance household heads travel to work" (Catanese, 1970, p. 446). However, most studies of residential mobility do not support this hypothesis.

Gallogly (1974) found that accessibility to the workplace seemed only to serve as a "constraining factor in determining an acceptable radius within which the housing market may be explored" (p. 260). Morris and Winter (1978) state that,

Most locational variables (location per se rather than the character of the location) appear to be relatively unimportant in housing choice. Probably the least important of the locational variables is the relation of the housing unit to the place of employment. Ordinarily, people only require that it be within commuting distance. It is quite clear that quality of the physical and social environment is more important in the choice of a dwelling unit. Families are choosing to live in the suburbs, even though it may mean costly (in terms of time and money) commuting from home to work (pp. 138-139).

Goodman (1974) agrees, "Accessibility to the place of work is not a major factor in residential site selection" (p. 104). He further states that the high costs of commuting in terms of time and money do not have a significant effect on people's propensity to move closer to work and that the average move was to a location that was slightly less accessible to the workplace. This would probably mean an increase in commuting time and costs, which was not of particular concern to the respondents in his study. It should be noted, however, that Goodman's study was conducted between 1969 and 1971, well before the 1973 oil embargo.

Deutchman (1972) found that "the most significant variables influencing mobility were the household characteristics of age of head and persons per household and the location variables of tenure (own-rent) and place utility (environmental matchup)." He found that only about eight percent of his respondents gave as reasons for moving "to be nearer employment or more convenient" (p. 349). Catanese (1970) found similar results and concluded that, while

it could not be determined why families did not consider the distance to work to be an important reason for moving . . It could well be that families considered distance to work to be important in their decision-making for moving, but somehow they lost sight of its importance when compared with the vast array of other reasons for moving (p. 449).

The theory of work trip distance and time minimization is one of the more well-known and accepted economic theories of commuting. According to Catanese, "The embodiment of a unified behavioral theory of work trip minimization is found in the hypothesis which states that families act as work minimizers by trying to live close to the workplace of the household head" (p. 441). Carroll (1952) was an early proponent of the

theory, but Schnore in 1954 says that,

if the tendency to minimize effort is assumed to be <u>constant</u> throughout the population, it appears that the hypothesis offers a plausible explanation of the <u>concentration</u> of residences near work sites but fails to account for the equally obvious scatter away from these sites (p. 337).

According to Catanese, while the "ideal minimum distance can be computed for a given family or group . . . it is not generally the same as the observed distance from the home to the household heads' workplace" (p. 442). Despite these criticisms, the theory of workplace to home minimization is still widely used by planners and others who study commuting behavior.

The economic structural theory states that households often face a trade-off between transportation and housing costs. "Usually the further out the land is located in suburbia, the lower its price; at the same time, travel costs increase" (Hirsch, 1977, p. 268). According to Kain (1975), "It is assumed that the unit price the household must pay per unit of residential space of a stated quality and ammenity decreases monotonically from its workplace" (p. 32). Kain calls the sum of monthly rent and journey to work costs (including time and money considerations) the "gross price per unit of housing" (p. 7), and he says that household location is determined by finding the least cost location based on preference for and price of residential space, along with price and preference for other goods and household income. Schnore (1954) agrees with the assumption of the cost of occupancy of a site declining with distance from an activity center and goes on to say that "transport costs are assumed to increase with distance, at an approximately proportional rate, although significantly modified by the method of transport used" (p. 342).

A third economic theory of commuting is historical or ecological modeling. According to Wilson (1979), this theory focuses on the rental value of housing rather than the consumption of land like the structural model. Its basic assumption is that "accessibility to workplace is mroe strongly determined by characteristics of available housing than by individual attributes" (p. 89). In general, this means that the constraint is not within the respondents with their wants and needs, but rather in terms of what housing is available within the area of a work site's area.

As Wilson points out, however, "in general, none of the existing models, considered alone, are able to explain the relationship between workplace location and residential consumption patterns" (p. 93). Taken together, though, they do give some insights into the complex relationship between journey to work and the housing locational choice. While the theory of work trip minimization may have been valid to some degree in the past, it will probably become even more important as transportation and commuting costs rise. The same is true of accessibility to the workplace for the household head. The trade-off that Kain and others speak about in terms of housing costs versus transportation costs could change dramatically over the next several years. The next section deals with another important aspect of the journey to work which, in many ways, may be one of the most important factors in economic terms. The mode of transportation used in commuting has had a significant impact, in recent years, on residential location and the commute to work.

Transportation Mode Used in Journey to Work

Until gasoline prices started to rise, the use of the automobile

for commuting to and from work had steadily risen as industrial and business firms became more decentralized and extensive highway and street building made long distance commuting to work more viable. About 53 percent of metropolitan commuters drive to work alone in their cars with another 21 percent riding as passengers while "only about eight percent use buses, streetcars or subways" (Starling, 1979, p. 237). The percentage of commuters who drive or ride in automobiles is even higher in some areas where mass transit is limited or not available. According to Ross and Darmstadter (1980),

For many years, the price of fuel did not increase much with respect to other costs. Indeed, the real price of gasoline declined gradually through 1973, when the sharp price hike restored the price to about its 1957 level (p. 22).

During the 1973 oil embargo, in many parts of the United States, particularly the Northeast and Western regions, there were long lines at the fuel pumps, daily price hikes and eventually, even temporary programs of gas rationing in the areas hardest hit. American dependence on the private automobile was accentuated as never before.

Analysis of transportation costs with respect to mode of travel has shown automobile travel to be significantly higher than any other form of transportation, especially when one travels alone in the automobile (Reeder, 1956), but Reeder cautions that this does not necessarily argue for the use of public transportation, as planners and traffic experts often do, because "the use of the automobile is now considered a necessity by many Americans. It has, to be sure, become part of the urban way of life, tied in with the growing separation of work-place from place of residence" (p. 59). Morris and Winter (1978) confirm this observation,

An automobile appears to be a necessity rather than a luxury. Thus, ready access to community facilities and places of employment is easy to attain. The importance of location could be greatly altered if automobile transport becomes expensive (pp. 138-139).

According to Houstoun (1981), "with declining densities [of housing], public transportation has become less available to more people. Local plans and regulations increasingly require that movement among activities be accomplished through the use of private motor vehicles" (p. 76). The lack of availability of public transportation may be less important than the overall attitudes of the American public. Starling (1974) and others agree that it will take a good deal of convincing to get Americans to use their automobiles less. However, with the coming of the energy shortage, a new awareness seems to be taking shape. Houstoun (1981) states, "Five years ago America remained mesmerized by the inexorable growth of automobile travel. Today builders report that half of all potential purchaser want their homes closer to work" (p. 75), but he adds that time, more than money, may be responsible for this shift. He says, however, that households are now paying larger portions of their income for transportation and housing and that people have generally less money to spend for durable goods and savings. This fact, along with changing lifestyles (fewer children, dual wage-earners, etc.) may be causing a change in peoples' housing needs. His article suggests that there needs to be a balance between residences and employment opportunities in both metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas, brought on by sound planning policies at the local, regional and state levels in order to give households the option of living closer to the workplace rather than commuting long distances. Wilson (1979) agrees,

the influence of workplace location on residential decision making involves not only journey-to-work consideration but also the negative externalities associated with living adjacent to major employment centers. Metropolitan residents who want to maximize consumption of residential services and avoid commercial and industrial spillover effects have no real choice of living close to their workplace (p. 73).

The common use of the private automobile for the commute to and from work has had far-reaching effects on Americans and their choice of residential location. People are no longer tied to main transportation routes nor are they forced to live in undesirable locations in order to insure employment. However, with the coming of the 1973 oil embargo, the days of cheap gasoline and abundant supplies of energy were gone. While it will probably take a good deal of convincing to get Americans to use their automobiles less--particularly in their journey to work, adjustments will be made. How people make these adjustments could have important implications on residential locational choice.

Determinants of Residential Housing Decisions

The choice of a place of residence is part of the complex decisionmaking process of households and involves many considerations. A good deal of research has been done on the process of decision-making. Paolucci, Hall and Axinn (1977) studied families from an ecological perspective which views organisms and environments in interaction. They found that, "Families perceive and interpret messages from their environment on the basis of past experience and new information. They selectively decide what to do and behave accordingly" (p. 2). In relation to decisions on housing, Morris and Winter (1978) say, "Housing decisions are more likely than others to both deeply affect all family members and require their participation to produce satisfactory housing behavior" (p. 56). How families or households make housing decisions is based on several factors, but economics have always been of major importance. According to Abu-Lughod and Foley (1960),

housing decisions are related to changes in the family's needs and resources . . . The complex of needs, aspirations, limitations, and personal tastes which could conceivably influence the choice of dwelling are staggering to contemplate in detail (p. 95).

However, they do say that certian characteristics--chiefly age, income group, family type, and ethnic background--lead great segments of the population to share roughly the same goals in housing.

Socioeconomic Status, Housing Location

and the Journey to Work

Socioeconomic status is particularly important in the housing decision and it can be measured in various ways. Important variables usually used in such a measurement include education, occupation, income, and for housing and mobility studies, tenure. According to Catanese (1970), "family income was found to be the most significant measure of all socioeconomic characteristics for the family" (p. 449), because it was correlated to some degree with all the socioeconomic variables he studied. Generally, one hypothesis states that families with high incomes are more likely to live closer to their place of work since they have a wider range of choices for their housing location. This is based on the theory of minimization of work distance to place of residence, as well as the economic stuctural theory.

An alternative hypothesis is based on sociological studies of commuting behavior. In his study, Catanese found that "distance to work varied somewhat directly with income. Distance to work was longer for

higher-income families than for lower-income families" (p. 450). This study was based on two urban regions, however, so the findings may not be applicable to non-urban areas.

Wheeler (1967) says that metropolitan transportation studies conducted since the late 1950's have given evidence that those in high status occupations tend to travel longer distances to work than lowerstatus workers, though this relationship weakens or reverses for cities and towns of smaller size. Reeder found no significant differences in income and time/distance traveled in the journey to work in his 1956 Spokane study, but he did find a significant difference among occupational status categories with persons in upper socioeconomic categories tending to travel less time between home and work than respondents in lower socioeconomic occupational categories. Again, the study was limited to corporate limits of the city and may not be generalizable to non-urban areas.

According to the literature, the poor tend to pay more, proportionately for their housing than middle- or upper-income groups. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's <u>Housing Our Families</u> (1980) states,

Actual housing costs for low-income groups are much higher than the hypothetical measure and indicate that most rental households with incomes under \$10,000 spend more than 25 percent of their income on housing with nearly one-quarter spending more than 35 percent. As for homeowners with incomes less than \$10,000, most who have a mortgage spend more than 25 percent of their income on housing (p. 2-2).

Birch (1970) says, "Even during the 1960's, housing prices advanced relative to incomes. Furthermore, housing price increases were greatest at the lowest end of the scale" (pp. 3-14). The higher proportionate costs borne by lower-income groups has implications for location and

commuting in that they are already burdened with disproportionately large housing costs and the added burden of rising commuting costs may be even more difficult for them as a group than for those with higher incomes.

Tenure, i.e. whether a household owns or rents its housing, does not necessarily relate to the size of the housing cost burden, but Morris and Winter (1978) say, "analysis of housing income ratios should deal with differences in income that result from differences in housing tenure" (p. 234). Birch says that, "An estimate of households paying unusually high housing costs should consider both renters and owners (p. 4-4). According to Butler and Kaiser (1971), "Previous residential experience, especially tenure, provides a consistantly strong relationship to residential choice in predictions of mobility" (p. 483). Pickvance (1973) states that "Income is the means by which an individual pays for tenure of his dwelling . . [though] the status of a person's occupation is taken into account" (p. 281). He cites two studies which show evidence of a relationship between tenure and income and they both report the higher the household income the more likely it is to own its housing.

The importance of socioeconomic characteristics relate to the fact that excessive housing costs, especially for those in the lower income levels, severely constrain the housing locational choices available and in turn limit other choices of economic importance as they relate to the journey to work. As Catanese (1970) pointed out, higher income families do not generally face as severe a constraint to their housing choices as lower-income families. Birch says they concentrate on the lowerincome households "on the assumption that a high-income family spending a

larger percentage of its income for rent has, by choice, passed up opportunities for adequate housing at a lower cost" (pp. 4-5). These differences have important implications for the household in terms of its location and its journey to work.

> Regional and Metropolitan/NonMetropolitan Location and the Journey to Work

The comparison of regions and metropolitan/nonmetropolitan areas in terms of differences in journey to work and residential location is made particularly difficult by the diversity of inter-regional districts. However, acknowledging the gross nature of such comparisons and recognizing "the limitations inherent in the use of broad categories of place of work in the published tabulations of the Bureau of Census" (Goldstein and Mayer, 1964, p. 279), or any large body of collected data, one may be able to determine overall trends that do not become apparent when working with data from a limited geographical area. Reeder (1956) stated that, "we need research in cities of different size, or different ecological organization or pattern, or different economic bases, and in different regions of the country" (p. 63). While he was probably talking about many small, comprehensive studies, it also seems practical to look at the larger picture before breaking down the various components of journey to work and residential locational choice.

Regional Difference

"Regions" in this paper refer to U.S. Bureau of the Census regions, and include the following: Northeast, North Central, South, and Western regions (see Appendix A). Thygerson et al. (1978) state,

Region has a major influence on housing affordability. Only 10 percent of the homebuyers in the West bought homes costing less than \$30,000, while this percentage was 16 percent in the Northeast, 20 percent in the South, and 25 percent in the North Central region. Median net worth of homebuyers in the West is \$49,000, while that in the North-east is \$28,000, that in the South is \$29,100, and that in the North Central region is \$22,400 (p. 7).

These findings reflect regional cost of living differences in general, with the West much higher than the rest of the country. Hoch (1972) also found rents and transport costs to be much higher in the West than any of the other regions, and explains this may be due to a price effect or a possible difference in quality of housing. Hoch studied the journey to work in terms of transportation costs for renters versus homeowners by city size and region of the United States and found that "viewing transport cost and rent as joint costs, both can be expected to increase with city size" across regions, though viewed alone, transport expenditures tend to decrease with city size by region (p. 316).

The regional differences found in terms of the journey to work generally relate to the pattern of urban and metropolitan growth within the regions. Chinitz and Dusansky (1972) studied patterns of urbanization within regions of the United States and compared these patterns with the patterns of metropolitanization in the same regions. For a summary of their 1960 figures broken down into major regions and subregions, see Table I. They explain that, while percentage urban and percentage metropolitan correlate somewhat, they do correlate exactly because there are "many urban places outside metropolitan areas and many rural places intside metropolitan areas" (p. 289). They say, also, that "the basic argument is that the level of urbanization in a region is a function of a set of regional characteristics, such as industry structure, density of population, rates of growth, income, racial composition"

TABLE I

Region	% Urbanization	% Metropolitanization
Northeast	73.6	81.5
New England	75.1	79.6
Mid-Atlantic	72.1	83.5
Northcentral	61.7	55.2
East North Central	67.3	67.1
West North Central	56.0	43.3
South	51.9	46.1
South Atlantic	47.6	48.7
East South Central	43.5	36.0
West South Central	64.6	53.5
West	64.3	64.5
Mountain	60.4	48.8
Pacific	68.3	80.1
		•

REGIONAL COMPARISON OF URBANIZATION AND METROPOLITANIZATION FOR 1960

Source: Chinitz, B. and Dusansky, R. The patterns of urbanization within regions of the United States. <u>Urban Studies</u>, 1972, <u>9</u> (3), 289-297.

(p. 290). All of these variables have implications for patterns of settlement which in turn influence housing location and the length of journey to work. Clemente and Summer (1974) point out that,

metropolitan structure exerts a strong influence on commuting patterns, but due to the gross nature of available data, most researchers have been unable to remove the effects of the ecological arrangement of the communities they analyzed. . . of course, just as ecological patterns influence commuting in metropolitan areas so are they important in nonmetropolitan regions. For example, in rural areas, unlike large cities, there is no spatially continuous housing available. Rather, small towns and villages act as housing nodes (p. 217).

Metropolitan/Nonmetropolitan Differences

Since World War II, we have seen rapid growth of suburbs and a shift of population from the central business districts (CBD's) toward the peripheral areas of the city. According to Kain (1975), several researchers have concluded that

the rapid suburbanization following the end of World War II and the lower density character of this growth are largely attributable to the growth in per capita income, to declines in the marginal cost of commutation, and to a postponement of the major impacts of these forces during World War II. [While other investigators] alleged that rapid postwar increases in car ownership and use, extensive investments in urban highways, and a corresponding neglect of urban transit systems were responsible for the rapid changes in urban spatial structure (p. 3).

People found themselves in an era of prosperity which allowed more flexibility in their housing locational choices. Residences could be spread far into the countryside and no longer needed to be clustered close to the central business districts or along existing transportation lines to ensure employment. According to Goldstein and Mayer (1964), "the possiblity of commuting and thereby greatly extending the area in which job opportunities can be found without residential mobility provides an important alternative to migration. At the same time, [it] also permits members of the labor force to move away from their places of work to more desirable residential locations while still retaining their old jobs" (pp. 278-279). Foote (1960) discusses some of the advantages to households living farther from the city center,

The first choice involved in deciding where to live has become how far out to go. There are also disadvantages, like commuting time, in moving toward the periphery, but on a net basis these have been on the wane. . . The average journey to work has steadily lengthened, which implies that living in a more desirable location has either become more important or more feasible or both (pp. 328-329).

While there are advantages to moving out from centers of activity and away from the workplace, rising transportation costs may be making these trade-offs less viable than before fuel prices started to rise.

Most journey to work studies have been in terms of urbanized areas, and Clemente and Summers (1974) found that "the model of metropolitan commuting is not applicable to nonmetropolitan areas" in terms of the following variables: socioeconomic status, age and "length of employment upon distance between place of residence and place of work" (p. 212). Gladhart (1977) found that while there were no important differences in the residential energy useage between people living in urban versus rural areas, the "rural families used 42% more gasoline for private automobiles that did urban families" and "two and one half times as much gasoline per month for work as did urban families" (p. 272). Coates and Weiss (1975) state that

given the rising cost of gasoline. . . even mainstream Americans who prefer to live in small towns and rural areas are likely to suffer from constraints on their mobility [access to jobs] in the future because of their lower incomes and virtual necessity of traveling long distances to work" (p. ES-1).

Of note in this discussion, are the findings offered in the <u>1980 Handbook</u> of <u>Agricultural Charts</u> which says that metropolitan household heads traveled a median of 22 minutes and eight miles in 1975 as compared to nonmetropolitan workers whose travel distance (median) was 40 percent less and took one-third less time (p. 26).

In terms of rents and transport costs, Hoch (1972) found that transport expenditures ran in the opposite direction from housing expenditures and decreased with city size, though when considering transportation cost and rent as joint costs, both were expected to increase with city size. Thygerson, Jacobe, and Parliment (1978) agree that city size has an influence on housing costs, "Over 30 percent of homes purchased in nonmetropolitan areas cost less than \$30,000 as compared to 9.6 percent in the largest metropolitan areas" (p. 7). They also say that the incomes of homebuyers vary considerably between metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas.

The <u>1980 Handbook of Agricultural Charts</u> (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1980) states that "Nearly three-fourths of nonmetropolitan housing is owner-occupied. Renting is considerably less common in rural than urban areas, and continues to decline slowly" (p. 29). The figures show that in 1970, 61 percent of metropolitan residents owned their housing as compared to 72.9 percent of nonmetropolitan residents. Single family dwellings represent 79.4 percent of all dwellings in non-metropolitan areas as compared to 61.5 percent in metropolitan areas.

In addition, the location of the unit will have an important effect on the cost and quality of the housing. According to Birch (1973),

As might be expected, the intensity of different forms of housing deprivation varies from place to place. . households living in physically inadequate units are concentrated outside the nation's metropolitan areas, and conversely that those suffering a high rent burden are more concentrated within them (p. 4-11).

"Many people live in rural areas and commute to work. Some farm parttime and hold another job in an urban or developed area" (Woods, 1978, p. 1). In some cases, this commute can be quite costly because of
the long distances they must travel to find jobs that pay wages comparable to those paid in urban industrial areas. According to Gessaman and Sisler (1976), "Rural residents appear consciously to weigh benefits of rural living against these commuting costs and to decide in favor of rural locations" (p. 7). Though some households choose to live in rural areas despite their higher commuting cost burden, others have little choice.

Most rural Americans, even those with automobiles, suffer from the lack of alternative means of mobility because they generally must travel long distances to work and to services and ammenities and pay a disproportionate share of limited incomes for transportation (Coates and Weiss, 1975, p. P-2).

This problem of economics is not limited to rural residents. According to Wilson (1979), for some "metropolitan residents, particularly those with low incomes, residential choice is dictated by the availability of housing they can afford, and thus they may have little choice of any locational attributes" (p. 73). In addition, the institutional environment, which includes environmental factors such as the tax system and zoning ordinances, influences the availability and accessibility of housing (Hirsch, 1977). The amount of available residential space becomes even more restricted in urban areas because of limited space, which in turn causes a higher cost per unit of that space. Wingo and Evans (1977) state,

Urban rent theory argues that land values will vary inversely with transportation costs, or distance, from the center to the margin of the city. Since that distance will tend to vary with size of city, the sum of an individual's rent and commuting costs will also vary with size of city (p. 16).

Gessaman and Sisler (1976) predict, "Higher average levels of commuting may be expected in the future as the population becomes more widely spread across the countryside and expense per mile of travel increases"

(p. 7). Despite this prediction, the costs of private transportation may change enough in the near future to counteract any substantial savings in housing costs or perceived ammenities that may have prompted households to move farther from their place of employment.

Regional and metropolitan/nonmetropolitan differences in the journey to work and location differences can have important effects on the commuting behavior of the residents. The rising costs of transportation to and from the workplace will affect most commuters in most places in one way or another. While it is probably too early to define specific trends, the study of transportation mode used, socioeconomic class, regional and metropolitan/nonmetropolitan differences and examination of commuting behavior, in general, should yield some valuable information on the journey to work and its influence on housing locational choice.

Summary

The journey to work and its relationship to housing location has been studied in terms of various commuting and mobility theories. For the most part, past studies have found that distance to work was not a major consideration in residential locational decisions. Socioeconomic considerations are generally considered to be important aspects of the housing decision and the economic aspects have become even more important in relation to commuting because of rising energy costs of travel by private automobile.

The private automobile in the journey to work is considered by some researchers to be more of a necessity than a luxury. It is the most widely used mode of transportation in the work trip and there is little indication that its importance will lessen in the near future. The

rising costs of commuting in terms of both time and money may affect the household's view of the journey to work.

Some of the important factors of residential location to be considered in this study are the journey to work differences between metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas, differences among the four main Census regions of the United States, the socioeconomic factors of household income and tenure and the transportation mode used in the journey to work.

CHAPTER III

METHOD AND PROCEDURE

Introduction

This research was conducted using selected variables and a ten percent subsample of observations from the National Annual Housing Survey Public Use Tapes for 1974 and 1977. The Annual Housing Survey (AHS) was conducted each year using direct personal interview by Census Bureau Personnel. For this study, variables that related to the journey to work and residential locational choice were chosen for the analysis. Of particular importance were the distances and times of the heads of households' journeys to work and the principal transportation mode used. In addition, the differences between regional and SMSA/Non-SMSA residences property value to income for homeowners and rent as percentage of income for renters were studied. Data were analyzed by chi-square tests of significant differences and in some cases, gamma was used to elaborate the two main variables by a series of control variables.

Samples

The AHS Samples

Units included the Annual Housing Surveys were based on the 1973 Annual Housing Survey, which was, in turn based on the 1970 Census. The data collected on housing units were essentially longitudinal, with the

exception of the 1973 data and for units which had been added to or removed from the housing stock through construction or because they were destroyed, condemned or in some way no longer habitable. While the units included in the sample did not change appreciably from year to year, household movement made the data only comparable in terms of housing units.

The original units in the 1973 AHS were selected in several stages of sampling. First, a sample of Census Bureau Enumeration Districts (ED's) were selected with the probability of selection of an ED based, proportionately, on its 1970 population. Next, each sample ED was divided into a cluster of four neighboring housing units. The 1970 Bureau of the Census lists of addresses were used where possible to obtain the addresses to be included. In ED's where addresses were incomplete or inadequate, especially rural areas, area sampling was used for selection of housing clusters. Area sampling was accomplished by dividing the ED into segments with well-defined boundaries where there was an expected size of four or multiple of four units and were further divided to provide segments with four housing units each.

In order to account for newly constructed units each year, a sample of units was selected from building permits issued since the previous year's survey. Within each sample Primary Sampling Unit (PSU), the permits were ordered chronologically by month issued and divided into clusters of approximately four units each. They were then sampled at the rate of two per 1366. The overall sampling rate used to create the 1973 AHS sample was about one in 1366 units.

The Annual Housing Surveys were based on 461 sample areas (called Primary Sampling Units, or PSU's) which cover all 50 states and the

District of Columbia. For 1974, approximately 71,300 sample housing units were eligible for interview and 70,600 were eligible in 1977. In 1974, the refusal rate was about fifteen percent and for 1977, it was about five percent of the non-interviews.

The areas (PSU's) sampled in 1974 and 1977 were selected by the following procedure; the PSU's were grouped into 376 strata, 156 of which included only one PSU, usually larger SMSA's. These were called self-representing (SR) since the sample from this area represents only that PSU. The remaining 220 strata consist of grouped PSU's and are called non-self-representing (NSR). From each NSR stratum, one PSU was chosen based on the proportionate probability to the 1970 Census population of the PSU. The 220 selected PSU's from the NSR were then divided into 110 pairs and one stratum was chosen at random for each pair. From this stratum, an additional PSU was chosen independently of the PSU's already chosen. In 25 instances, the same PSU was chosen twice which resulted in an additional 85 NSR for a total of 461 PSU's or sample areas.

The segments of four housing units from the SR and NSR sampling types were then split into two parts. Two housing units were selected for surveying and two were held in reserve. The segments selected from the area sampling frame were not split, but rather, every other sample segment of four housing units was surveyed and the others were held in reserve. From 1974 onward, however, the AHS attempted to improve its estimates for rural housing characteristics by doubling the number of sampling units used. This was accomplished by including the reserve sample in the survey if the segment was in a rural area. This brought the overall probability of sampling for rural areas to two in 1366, while the overall probability of selecting a sample housing unit in urban areas remained at one in 1366.

In 1976, a Coverage Improvement Program was incorporated into the AHS to alleviate a number of coverage deficiences (See U.S. Department of Commerce, <u>Current Housing Reports Survey: 1977---Urban and Rural Housing Characteristics</u>, <u>Part E</u>, p. APP-45). Yearly additions to the AHS from new construction had increased the total sample size to about 81,000. The sample was reduced by about seven percent to about 75,000 and efforts are continuing to keep each year's sample at about 76,000. The overall probability of selection became about one in 1472 for urban units and about one in 736 for rural units.

Ten Percent Subsample Used for this Study

A ten percent, random sub-sample was computer-selected from the total AHS samples for each of the two years studied. This resulted in a sub-sample size of 9454 units for 1974 with 1713 missing observations and 9631 units for 1977 with 1910 missing observations. The missing observations represented numbers where units had once been part of the AHS sample, but had been deleted. In addition, for each year, there were people who did not fit into the designated categories, whose surveys were not useable, or for some other reason were "not applicable." In 1974, this group was 36.6 percent of the sub-sample and it was 47.9 percent in the 1977 sub-sample. General characteristics of the respondents in the sub-samples are exhibited in Table II. The table gives sociodemographic characteristics of the household heads, housing characteristics, number of household cars, and location of residence in terms of SMSA/Non-SMSA and urban/rural differences, all by percentages,

for each of the years sampled. Most of the houshold heads had had some high school education, were thirty years old or older, married, white and male. Most of the housholds had one or more family cars. Many owned or were buying their residence (this was 61.3 percent for 1974 but went down to 51.3 percent for 1977). From 1974 to 1977, the percentage of respondents in the lower income categories declined, but they increased in the upper income categories. More of the respondents were residents of rural areas and/or lived in SMSA's than were rural residents and/or living in a non-SMSA area. For this sample, the difference in urbanization and metropolitanization was slight, so only the SMSA residence versus non-SMSA residence was used in the analysis.

In order to verify that the ten percent sub-sample was similar to the original data, a comparison was made with (1) a one percent sample drawn from the original data and (2) data from the <u>Current Housing</u> <u>Reports</u> (U.S. Department of Commerce) for 1974 and 1977 on selected pertinent variables. The three samples compare favorably on the following variables: principal mode of transportation used, and one-way distance and time traveled by the houshold head in the journey to work (Table III).

Instrumentation

The Annual Housing Survey is a "joint undertaking of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Bureau of the Census" (U.S. Department of HUD, 1979, p. 5). It was first conducted in 1973 and is an effort by the federal government to determine quantity and quality of America's living environments in a comprehensive and timely manner. According to the U.S. Department of HUD (1979),

The Annual Housing Survey consists of two parts: (1) a national sample of housing units from urban and rural areas to be examined every year; and (2) metropolitan area samples from 60 selected Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA's), including the largest and many of the smaller, fast-growing ones, with one-fourth of them examined every fourth year (p. 6).

The first Annual Housing Survey data for the nation as a whole were available in early 1975 (conducted from August to October, 1974).

According to the 1979 U.S. Department of HUD publication, <u>A Guide</u> to the <u>Annual Housing Survey</u>, the Survey was designed to fulfill several

needs. Some of these are:

-Permit a year-to-year comparison of the number of housing units in the United States, broken down by type, location, and whether renter- or owner-occupied or vacant.

-Give comparative information on the price of housing and on the level of rents.

-Determine to what extent those people who move during the year upgrade their housing.

-Provide information on where "movers" come from and the reasons for their move (p. 7).

Only a small percentage of the available data were utilized for this study. Samples of the instruments used in the 1974 and 1977 Surveys are included in Appendix B.

Methodology

The original data for the Housing Survey were gathered by Census Bureau interviewers. An introductory letter was sent to inform the residents of the purpose of the study and alert them to the impending visit by interviewers. Households in the selected units that were occupied were directly interviewed. For unoccupied units, information was obtained from landlords, rental agents, or neighbors (U.S. Department

TABLE II

Characteristics	1974	1977
	<u>%</u>	%
Education of Household Head		
No School	.68	.71
Kindergarten-Grade 8	20.59	14.21
Grades 9-12	45.58	36.36
1-4 Years of College	18.16	18.36
5+ Years of College	6.09	6.13
Age of Household Head		
14-29	16.81	14.60
30-44	23.98	21.81
45 - 59	24.29	18.69
60+	26.02	20.67
Marital Status of Household Head		
Married	63.45	50,93
Widowed	12.39	9.60
Divorced/Separated	7.87	8.51
Never Married	7.39	6.73
Race of Household Head		
White	81.77	66.90
Black	8.26	7.68
Other	1.07	1.19
Sex of the Household Head		
Male	71.42	58.53
Female	19.68	17.24
Number of Household Cars		
None	14.04	58.53
One	44.37	36.03
Two	25.65	22.29
Three	4.83	4.81
Four or More	1.22	1.22
Not Applicable	9.89	24.23
Tenure		
Own or Buying	61.27	51.28
Rent for Cash	26.65	22.32
No Cash Rent	3.18	2.17
Urban/Rural Residence		
Urban	57.35	59.53
Rural	42.65	40.47

SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF SAMPLE BY YEAR*

Characteristics	1974	1977
	%	%
Income		
0-\$10,000	43.90	31.30
10,001-20,000	31.79	25.66
20,001-30,000	9.57	12.06
30,001-40,000	3.02	4.24
40,001-49,999	0.91	1.76
50,000+	0.93	1.75
SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence		
SMSA	56.08	57.48
Non-SMSA	43.92	42.52

TABLE II (Continued)

*Note: Percentages will not add up to 100 percent due to "not applicable" responses. Except where noted, these percentages are 8.90 for 1974 and 24.23 for 1977.

TABLE III

COMPARISON OF THREE VARIABLES, BY PERCENTAGES, FROM THE <u>CURRENT</u> HOUSING <u>REPORTS</u> (CHR) WITH THE TEN PERCENT SAMPLE AND THE ONE PERCENT SAMPLE

Variable	1974		riable 1974			1977	
	<u>CHR</u> N=50639	10% Samp. N=4328	<u>1% Samp.</u> N=436	<u>CHR</u> N=51699	10% Samp. N=4035	<u>1% Samp.</u> N=425	
Principal Tr	ans.						
Mode Used:							
Drives Self	69.0	68.8	68.8	70.1	71.0	71.8	
Carpool	14.1	14.4	13.3	16.2	16.3	17.6	
Mass. Trans.	5.9	4.5	5.5	5.4	4.1	2.6	
Bike/Motor-							
cycle	1.1	0.9	0.2	0.5	0.3	0	
Taxicab	2.1	0.3	1.1	0.1	0.2	0	
Walks Only	3.9	4.3	3.2	3.7	3.8	4.2	
Other means	1.9	2.0	0.9	0.4	0.4	1.4	
Works @ Home	3.0	4.2	5.7	2.3	3.6	2.3	
Not Reported	0.7	0.7	1.1	0.3	0.3	0	

Variable		1974			197	7
	<u>CHR</u> N=50639	<u>10% Samp.</u> N=4328	<u>1% Samp.</u> N=436	<u>CHR</u> N=51699	<u>10% Samp.</u> N=4035	<u>1% Samp.</u> N=425
<u>One-Way</u> Dista	ince					
to Work:						
1 mile	13.3	15.1	16.7	8.3	8.2	8.9
1-4 miles	23.1	21.5	22.5	26.7	24.1	27.3
5-9 miles	20.5	20.5	20.4	17.0	17.4	12.9
10-29 miles	30.2	30.0	26.1	27.1	28.0	23.8
30-40 miles	5.1	5.4	5.7	4.2	5.3	6.6
50 miles	1.9	2.4	3.4	1.3	1.5	3.1
<u>One-Way</u> Dista	ince					
to Work:						
Works @ Home	-	-	-	2.6	3.6	2.3
No Fixed Plac	e 4.2	3.9	3.4	11.6	11.1	13.9
Not Reported	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.1	0.8	1.2
One-Way Time						
to Work:						
15 min.	38.4	39.0	42.7	31.5	30.3	34.3
15-29 min.	29.8	29.1	28.0	30.9	31.3	24.9
30-44 min.	15.5	15.1	11.2	13.4	14.0	12.0
45-59 min.	5.7	5.7	6.0	4.8	4.8	4.9
60-89 min.	3.8	3.9	4.6	3.2	3.3	6.3
90 min.	1.2	1.7	1.8	1.1	1.0	0.9
Works @ Home	-	_	-	2.6	3.6	2.3
No Fixed Plac	e 4.2	3.9	3.4	11.6	11.1	13.9
Not Reported	1.3	1.5	2.3	0.3	0.5	0.2

TABLE III (Continued)

of HUD, 1979). All information gathered was strictly confidential, and aggregated to protect individual and household privacy. In addition, participation was voluntary and required the consent of the participant. The data gathered were compiled and made available to the public in the form of the <u>Current Housing Reports</u> (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1976; 1979) which gives selected types of information of the characteristics of the respondents and various aspects of the survey. In addition, all of the data were also transferred to computer data tapes by Columbia University personnel and made available to the public. The most common form of compilation is longitudinally linkable data from the years which have been completed and data are available for both the National sample and the SMSA's which have been surveyed. The years of 1974 and 1977 were chosen for this study. Complete data for the nation as a whole was first available in 1974, and 1977 was the most recent year with complete data available. The data used were taken from the AHS Public Use data tapes which contain longitudinally linked national data for 1974 through 1979. Data from 1978 and 1979 contained only the U.S. Bureau of the Census core data and did not contain data that were complete enough to be utilizied for this study. Only 15 of the over 600 available variables were used.

Research Design and Analysis

This study was designed to explore the head of household's journey to work in terms of residential locational patterns. First of all, the categorized one-way commuting distances traveled by household heads were compared for differences from 1974 to 1977. The categorized one-way commuting times were also compared for differences from 1974 to 1977. The chi-square statistic was used to determine significant differences among the proportions of commuters in the distance and time categories over time.

Then these main relationships (time and distance with year) were analyzed in terms of several control variables, including: transportations mode used in the journey to work by household head, regions of the

United States, whether the household lived inside or outside an SMSA, and the tenure to income variables of property value to income ratio for homeowners and rent as percentage of income for renters (see Figure 1). First the chi-square statistic was used to test the differences found in the categories of the control variable in terms of categories of the main variable, one at a time. This process was used to test the relationships among the distance and time variables for 1974 and 1977 in terms of the control variables mentioned above and in a further analysis of some of the relationships of the control variables among themselves.

Main Variables

Year Distance Time Control Variables

Transportation Mode Region SMSA/Non-SMSA Property Value to Income Rent as Percentage of Income

Figure 1. Selected Variables: Main and Control

The process of elaboration was used with the gamma statistic to test for significant associations among the categories of each control variable in terms of the main relationship being studied. Mueller et al. (1977) states that elaboration is "the analysis of the relationship between two categorized variables within subdivisions of a third (and possibly a fourth or fifth) variable" (p. 223). In this type of analysis, two types of relationships are studied. First, the relationship between the two main variables are "partialled out" in terms of categories of the control variable of interest. The calculated gamma values for each of these partial associations was examined for similarities. Then the "marginal relationships" between the control variable and each of the main variables is tested for significance using chi-square. In all cases, the level of significance for chi-square was established as p **(.01** as the minimum acceptable for this research. For example, the first elaboration examined the original relationship between distance traveled to work between 1974 and 1977 and was partialled out for the control variable of mode of travel, i.e. for each category of transportation mode used (drive alone, carpool, public transit or other), the relationship among distances traveled between 1974 and 1977 were examined. The the marginal relationships of transportation mode used by year and transportation mode used by distances traveled were examined. Figure 2 shows this analysis graphically. It should be noted that the relationships are connected with a circled "equals" sign. This is to alert the reader to the fact that this is not necessarily a true arithmetic equations where one side equals the other side. Though originally designed to be additive, this only holds true for certain statistics such as theta. In this case, using the gamma statistic, the elaboration represents a way of looking at the variables to determine the extent of association that holds true for the original relationship when introducing a third, control variable. For this example, the control variable is the mode of transportation used with the categories of "drives alone," "carpools," "public transit," and "other" means partialled out.

Summary

Data from the National Housing Survey Public Use data tapes were analyzed for the years 1974 and 1977 in terms of the household heads'



Figure 2. Example of Elaboration using the Variables of Year, Time Traveled and Mode of Transporation Used

journey to work and residential location. A ten percent sample of the AHS sample was utilized, giving a total sample size of 9545 for 1974 and 9641 for 1977. Discounting missing data, the actual sample sizes available for research were approximately 7832 for 1974 and 7721 for 1977. Comparisons of the three main variables utilized in this research on the ten percent sample and a one percent sample also drawn from the data tapes with data from the <u>Current Housing Reports</u> (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1976 and 1979) for 1974 and 1977 published by HUD and the Bureau of the Census revealed no substantial differences among the percentages found in the three sources of data.

The data were analyzed first in terms of differences among the categories for times and distances traveled by year for household heads. The chi-square statistic was used to measure the strength of these differences. Then these main variables were elaborated in terms of several control variables, including transportation mode used, region of the U.S., SMSA/Non-SMSA residence, and two tenure to income variables. The gamma statistic was used to examine the relationships among the categories of the control variable for each partial association tested. The marginal relationships were tested using the chi-square statistic. The level of significance of chi-square used for this research was p < .01.

CHAPTER IV

ANALYSIS OF DATA

Introduction

This chapter contains the analysis of data. The chi-square statistic was used to test the significance of differences among the pertinent variables. Due to the large sample size, however, several of the relationships were significant at the 0.0001 level, though with varying degress of strength. Consequently the data were further analyzed by elaboration and the gamma statistic was used to "partial out" the relationship between the two main variables across the categories of a third (control) variable. The possible relationship between each control variable with either of the main variables (or "marginal relationship") was also examined.

Analysis by Research Question

Each research question was analyzed separately. The first two questions were based on a comparison of differences from 1974 to 1977 among the categories of distance and time traveled by household heads in their journey to work. Figure 1 illustrates the variables used in this study. Analysis of the marginal relationships between 1974 and 1977 and the control variables including transportation mode used in the journey to work, region of the United States, SMSA/Non-SMSA residence, property value to income and rent as a percent of income follow. The data were

analyzed in preparation for the later analysis of the main variables by the control variables in order to better understand the "partial" relationships studied in the final sections. Chi-square was utilized for these analyses.

The remaining analyses consisted of the "partial" relationships of two variables in relation to categories of a third (control) variable. Questions three through seven dealt with distance traveled by year in terms of the control variables of transportation mode, region of the United States, metropolitan/non-metropolitan residence and the two tenure to income variables. Questions eight through thirteen consisted of the time traveled by year in the journey to work analyzed by categories of the same control variables as were used in the distance anal-The final questions explore some of the relationships among the vses. control variables themselves. The questions in these sections were analyzed first in terms of differences among the categories of the control variable for the two main variables using the chi-square sta-Then they were further analyzed in terms of association using tistic. the gamma statistic across categories of the control variable.

Distance to Work by Year

Question 1: Did the categorized, one-way distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work change significantly from 1974 to 1977?

For both 1974 and 1977, people who work at home were included in the "less than 1 mile" category. Comparison of the distances traveled to work by household heads in 1974 to 1977 showed a decrease in the proportions among commuters in several categories but not in the "1-4 mile" "10-19 mile" and "30-39 mile" categories. Of particular note was

the decrease in commuters who traveled more than 50 miles to the workplace. There was a substantial increase in the percentage of commuters whose workplace varies (2.3 percent in 1974 as compared to 4.8 percent commuters in 1977). This group of commuters was removed from the analysis in order to better examine changes in the actual distance traveled. The differences among the distances of journey to work by household heads between 1974 and 1977 were significant (Table IV).

Time To Work by Year

Question 2: Did the categorized, one-way time traveled by household heads in the journey to work change significantly from 1974 to 1977?

For both 1974 and 1977 people who worked at home were included in the "less than 15 minute" category. The times spent in the journey to work by household heads were compared for 1974 and 1977 (Table V). There was a decrease in the percentages of commuters for each time category from 1974 to 1977 except in the "15-29 minute" category where the percentage remained about the same for both years. There was a substantial increase noted in the percentages of commuters whose workplace varied (2.5 percent in 1974 as compared to 5.8 percent for 1977). This group was removed from the analysis in order to study actual times and how they may have changed. The chi-square analysis revealed a significant difference in the time spent in the journey to work between 1974 to 1977.

Marginal Relationships

The marginal relationships were the crosstabulations of the various control variables by each of the main variables used in the following

TABLE IV

JOURNEY	ΤO	WORK	DISTANCE	BY	YEAR

Distances in Miles	1974	1977
Less than 1 mile	$\frac{\frac{\%}{16.1}}{16.1}$	1 3 .4
1-4 miles	22.8	27.3
5-9 miles	21.3	19.8
10-19 miles	21.3	23.3
20-29 miles	9.7	8.5
30-39 miles	4.2	4.4
40-49 miles	1.8	1.6
Over 50 miles	2.8	1.7
N	4087	3553

χ²=37.49, df=7, p<0.0001

TABLE V

JOURNEY TO WORK TIME BY YEAR

Time in Minutes	1974	1977
Less than 15 min.	41.2	38 <mark>.</mark> 4
15-29 min.	30.8	35.4
30-44 min.	16.0	15.9
45-59 min.	6.1	5.4
60-89 min.	4.1	3.7
Over 90 min.	1.8	1.2
N	4097	3567

χ²=23.13, df=5,p<0.0003

sections. These particular analyses were included here because they were of general interest to more than one of the questions analyzed later in the paper and to give a better picture of the overall characteristics of the relationships studied. In each case, the control variables were studied in terms of change from 1974 to 1977. The other marginal tables that were pertinent to specific questions were included in that section for easier interpretation.

The first relationship of interest was the transportation mode used in the journey to work by year. For transportation mode used, carpooling included respondents who drove or rode with others in a private automobile. Public transportation included railroads, buses/streetcars, subways/els and taxicabs. The "other" category included walking, riding a bicycle/motorcycle, or any other means of movement not included in the above categories. This analysis looked at changes from 1974 to 1977 in the proportions of commuters using each of the four categories of transportation mode. There was a significant difference among the travel modes used from 1974 to 1977 (Table VI).

The second relationship to be analyzed was the proportion of respondents by region for 1974 to 1977. The regions used included the U.S. Bureau of the Census Regions: Northeast, North Central, South and West. (Figure 3, Appendix A). The chi-square value was significant (Table VII).

The proportions of respondents who lived inside and outside Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA's) were also analyzed by year. Inclusion in an SMSA is based on the U.S. Bureau of the Census definition of an SMSA (p. 9). There were no significant differences in the proportions of SMSA/Non-SMSA respondents for 1974 and 1977 (Table VIII).

TRANSPORTATION MODE USED BY YEAR

Transportation Mode	1974	1977
Drives Alone	69 <mark>.</mark> 3	71.2
Carpools	14.5	16.4
Public Transportation	4.7	4.3
Other	11.5	8.1
Ν	4296	4024

λ²=30.14, df=3, p<0.0001

TABLE VII

REGION OF THE UNITED STATES BY YEAR

Region	1974	1977
Northeast	22 <mark>.</mark> 7	20 <mark>.</mark> 6
North Central	26.4	26.6
South	34.6	35.1
West	16.3	17.7
N	7832	7721

χ²=12.86, df=3, p**≤**0.0049

The last two control variables which were analyzed by year were the tenure to income variables. These two variables were calculated to determine the housing cost burden of a household based on it's tenure status. The one for homeowners was called property value to income

TABLE VIII

Residence Status	1974	1977
SMSA	56.1	<u>%</u> 57.5
Non-SMSA	43.9	42.5
N	8830	6723

SMSA/NON-SMSA RESIDENCE BY YEAR

χ²=3.11, df=1, p<0.0776

ratio. It was based on the household's reported property value in relation to reported income. The other, for renters, was based on rent as percentage of income. The actual ratios and percentages were categorized into high and low, based on the hypothetical measure commonly used. The measure of 25 percent or a ratio of .25 was considered the maximum percentage that a household should pay for its housing. This translates into an actual 25 percent of income for renters, but for homeowners, this was equivalent to spending approximately two-and-one-half times the yearly income on a dwelling. The high category included all values over 25 percent (renters) or the ratio of .25 (homeowners). Both of these tenure to income variables were analyzed in terms of the proportions of respondents for the years 1974 to 1977. A significant difference was found in the proportions by year (Tables IX and X).

The foregoing analysis of selected marginal relationships was conducted to clarify the following questions. The sections that follow contain analyses of the data by research question. These analyses include the chi-square test of differences and elaboration of the main variables by the control variables using the gamma statistic.

TABLE IX	í.
----------	----

PROPERTY VALUE TO INCOME BY YEAR

Property Value to Income	1974	1977
Low	66 <mark>.</mark> 7	<u>%</u> 61.5
High	33.3	38.5
N	2975	2922
$\chi^2 = 17.26$, df=1, p<0.0001	****	

TABLE X

RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME BY YEAR

Rent as Percentage of Income	1974	1977
Low	65 <u>.</u> 5	58 <mark>.</mark> 3
High	34.5	41.7
Ν	1679	1605

χ²=20.37, df=3, p**<**0.0001

Distance to Work and Year by

Transportation Mode Used

Questions 3: Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 to 1977 vary by type of transportation mode used?

The distance traveled by household heads in 1974 and 1977 was analyzed in terms of the primary transportation mode used by the household head in the journey to work. The percentages of commuters who used the various modes of transportation differed significantly between 1974 and 1977 for those who drove alone and for commuters in the "other" category, but was not significant for those who carpooled or used public tranportation. The percentages of commuters who drove alone decreased for the following categories: "under 1 mile," "5-9 miles," and in the categories over 20 miles, except in the "40-49 mile" category where the percentage stayed about the same. The most substantial change in the "other" category of commuters was the increase in the percentages of commuters who traveled less than one mile to the workplace. All of the other categories of distance showed a decrease in the percentage of commuters in the "other" category (Table XI).

Examination of the partial relationships among the distances traveled by year for mode of transportation used showed that only the commuters in the "other" category had a moderately significant, negative gamma value. Among the other three categories, there was a consistency across the years but the gamma values were negative and low. A negative gamma meant that from 1974 to 1977 there was a decrease in the distance traveled to work, on the average, for commuters in this study. More information on the characteristics of the commuters in the "other" category would be necessary to determine why it was significant (Table XI).

There was a significant difference among the travel modes used by household heads for both years combined over the categorized distances traveled. Over 80 percent of the commuters in the "other" category traveled less than one mile in their journey to work. Many of these commuters include bicyclists and walkers so shorter distances were logical for them. Most of the commuters in the other three categories

TABLE XI

Distance	Mode of Transportation							
	<u>Drive</u> <u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Alone</u> ^a <u>1977</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>arpool^b 1977</u>	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Public</u> ^c <u>1977</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Other</u> ^d <u>1977</u>
Under 1 mile	8.9	7.3	4.3	2.6	2.5	0.6	78.2	87.9
1-4 miles	25.5	30.5	18.8	21.7	28.5	35.9	9.1	8.3
5-9 miles	24.3	22.8	19.5	17.4	22.3	19.5	5.1	0.3
10-19 miles	23.9	25.0	27.6	28.4	24.4	21.4	4.0	1.6
20-29 miles	9.7	7.7	13.3	15.5	14.2	10.7	1.9	1.0
30-39 miles	4.2	3.9	7.0	8.5	2.5	5.0	0.4	0.3
40-49 miles	1.2	1.5	4.7	2.4	2.5	3.8	0.2	0.0
Over 50 miles	2.3	1.3	4.8	3.5	3.1	3.1	1.1	0.6
N	2797	2495	501	580	197	159	473	. 315

.

DISTANCE TRAVELED AND YEAR BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION USED

^a χ^2 =31.59, df=7, p<0.0001, Υ = -0.042 ^b χ^2 =11.91, df=7, p<0.1035, Υ = -0.008 ^c χ^2 =6.91, df=7, p<0.4389, Υ = -0.033 ^d χ^2 =21.69, df=7, p<0.0029, Υ =-.337 traveled between one and thirty miles, one-way, to their workplace (Table XII).

TABLE XII

Distance		Mode	of Transportation	
	Drive Alone	Carpool <u>%</u>	Public <u>%</u>	Other <u>%</u>
Under 1 mile	8.16	3.47	1.69	82.11
1-4 miles	27.83	20.24	31.74	8.76
5-9 miles	23.60	18.45	21.07	3.17
10-19 miles	24.41	28.03	23.03	3.05
20-29 miles	8.71	14.39	12.64	1.52
30-39 miles	4.04	7.71	3.65	0.38
40-49 miles	1.34	3.56	3.09	0.13
Over 50 miles	1.89	4.15	3.09	0.89
N	5292	1181	356	788

DISTANCE TRAVELED AND MODE OF TRANSPORTATION USED

X²=3362.21, df=21, p<0.0001

A significant difference among the modes of transportation used in the journey to work by household heads was found in terms of distance traveled and year. The "other" category of commuters was moderately correlated with the distance and year. Transportation modes used were significantly different for the distances traveled by household heads.

Distance to Work and Year by Region of the U.S.

Question 4: Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary by region of the U.S.?

The distances traveled by household heads in 1974 and 1977 were analyzed by region of the United States. There was no significant difference among the four regions (Northeast, North Central, South and West) in terms of distance traveled by year. The general pattern in the proportions of commuters in the distance categories by year were somewhat similar for all regions. However, the Western region was the most similar between the two years in terms of the distance traveled as compared to the other regions, where the differences between the years tended to be more substantial.

The relationship between regions for distance traveled and year was not significantly correlated. There was an inconsistency noted among the gamma values with the gammas for two regions positive and two were negative, but no elaboration by region was found (Table XIII). "No elaboration" meant that the original relationship between the distance traveled and year remained essentially the same across categories of the control variable, region, and that region was negligibly related to year and distance traveled.

There was a significant difference in the proportions of commuters in the various categories of distance traveled by commuters in different regions of the United States, with the two years combined. There tended to be a higher percentage of commuters from the North Central region in the "under 1 mile" category and a higher percentage of Westerners in the "1-4 mile" category. The Northeast region had a higher percentage of commuter in the "10-19 mile" category (Table XIV).

TABLE XIII

Distance	Region			
	Northeast ^a	North Central ^b	South	West
	$197\overline{4}^{\frac{\%}{1977}}$	$1974 - \frac{\pi}{1977}$	197 <u>4</u> 1977	1974 1977
Under 1 mile	12.1 11.4	20.7 15.9	16.3 12.6	13.1 13.1
1-4 miles	20.9 28.2	22.4 27.6	23.2 27.0	24.9 26.9
5-9 miles	21.7 18.6	19.0 20.0	22.0 19.9	22.7 20.6
10-19 miles	25.0 25.3	22.9 22.9	19.4 23.2	22.6 22.0
20-29 miles	11.2 7.6	8.6 8.1	9.5 8.3	9.0 10.3
30-39 miles	4.4 4.8	3.6 3.6	4.3 5.3	3.9 3.3
40-49 miles	1.7 1.7	1.4 1.2	1.9 1.6	1.5 2.2
Over 50 miles	3.0 2.4	1.4 0.7	3.4 2.1	2.3 1.9
N	940 708	1112 991	1348 1203	687 651

DISTANCE TRAVELED AND YEAR BY REGION OF THE U.S.

^a χ^2 =17.00, df=7, p<0.0174, Υ =-0.070 ^b χ^2 =14.74, df=7, p<0.0395, Υ =0.008 ^c χ^2 =21,79, df=7, p<0.0028, Υ =0.016 ^d χ^2 =3.55, df=7, p<0.8291, Υ =-0.008

No significant difference was found among the regions in terms of the distances traveled by household heads for 1974 and 1977. No elaboration by region was found. The marginal relationship between distance traveled and region was significant.

TABLE XIV

Distance	Region				
	Northeast %	North Central	South <u> %</u>	West %	
Under 1 mile	11.83	18.45	14.54	13.08	
1-4 miles	23.97	24.82	25.01	25.86	
5-9 miles	20.39	19.45	20.97	21.67	
10-19 miles	25.12	22.92	21.21	22.27	
20-29 miles	9.65	8.37	8.94	9.64	
30-39 miles	4.55	3.61	4.78	3.59	
40-49 miles	1.70	1.33	1.76	1.79	
Over 50 miles	2.79	1.05	2.78	2.09	
N	1648	2103	2551	1338	

DISTANCE TRAVELED AND REGION OF THE U.S.

X²=70.78, df=21, p<0.0001

Distance to Work and Year by

SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence

Question 5: Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?

There was a significant difference in the proportions of household heads in the categories of distance traveled from 1974 to 1977 for the residents of SMSA's but there was not a significant difference for those who lived outside SMSA's. While the percentages of commuters in each distance category decreased or stayed about the same between 1974 and 1977 for the Non-SMSA residents, there were increases in the following categories for those who lived inside SMSA's: "1-4 miles," "30-39 miles," and "40-49 miles," while the other categories showed an overall decrease in the proportions of commuters. Analysis of the relationship among the distances traveled by year for the residents inside and outside SMSA's by the gamma statistic showed a negative relationship which was consistent for both SMSA/Non-SMSA residence. However, the gamma values were small and were considered negligible (Table XV).

TABLE XV

Distance		SMSA ^a	Non-S	Non-SMSA ^b	
	<u>1974</u>	<u>1977</u>	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1977</u>	
Under l mile	10.3	8.5	24.6	21.4	
1-4 miles	22.6	27.2	22.9	27.6	
5-9 miles	25.3	22.3	15.0	15.6	
10-19 miles	25.3	27.0	17.4	17.3	
20-29 miles	10.7	8.6	7.9	8.3	
30-39 miles	3.3	4.1	5.2	4.8	
40-49 miles	1.1	1.4	2.6	1.9	
Over 50 miles	1.4	0.9	4.4	3.1	
N	2469	2211	1618	1342	

DISTANCE TRAVELED AND YEAR BY SMSA/NON-SMSA RESIDENCE

^aX²=30.53, df=7, p<0.0001, γ =-0.014 ^bX²=15.15, df7, p<0.0341, γ=-0.009

There was a significant difference among the proportions of SMSA and Non/SMSA commuters in the distance traveled for 1974 and 1977 combined. The only distance categories where the percentages of respondents who lived outside SMSA's were substantially higher than the proportions of respondents inside SMSA's were the "under 1 mile" and "over 50 mile" categories. In the remaining categories, the SMSA proportions were higher or approximately equal to the Non-SMSA percentages (Table XVI).

TABLE XVI

DISTANCE TRAVELED BY SMSA/NON-SMSA RESIDENCE

Distance	SMSA	Non-SMSMA
	<u>%</u>	%
Under 1 mile	9.47	23.18
1-4 miles	24.79	25.03
5-9 miles	23.91	15.24
10-19 miles	26.11	17.33
20-29 miles	9.68	8.07
30-39 miles	3.68	5.03
40-49 miles	1.22	2.30
Over 50 miles	1.15	3.82
Ν	4680	2960

 χ^{2} =443.50, df=7, p<0.0001

While a significant difference was indicated for residents inside SMSA's in terms of the proportions of commuters in the distance categories by year, no significant difference was found for commuters who reside outside SMSA's. There was not a significant relationship between SMSA/Non-SMSA residence and the distance traveled by year. The marginal relationship between SMSA/Non-SMSA residence and distance traveled for 1974 and 1977 combined was significant.

Distance to Work and Year by

Property Value to Income Ratio

Question 6: Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary according to property vale to income ratio for homeowners?

The proportions of homeowner household heads differed significantly in terms of distance traveled in 1974 versus 1977 for respondents who reported their property value to income ratio as .25 or less. However, for homeowners whose property value to income ratio was greater than .25, there was no significant difference in the distances traveled for 1974 and 1977. There were no striking differences between the proportions of commuters from 1974 to 1977, in the "low" category. Most of the percentages stayed about the same with the exception of the decrease in commuters in the "under 1 mile" category and increased in proportions in the "1-4 mile" and "10-19 mile" categories. The gamma values were positive and low, indicating no correlation between the categories of property value to income in terms of distance traveled by year (Table XVII). The differences between the "high" and "low" categories of property value to income ratios were not significant in terms of the distance traveled by the household with the two years combined (Table XVIII).

TABLE XVII

Distance		Pr	operty Values to Inco	me
	<u>1974</u>	<u>w</u> a <u>1977</u>	<u>1974</u> <u>Hig</u>	<u>h</u> b <u>1977</u>
Under l mile	11.3	$\frac{1}{7}$.1	12.5	8 .6
l-4 miles °	22.9	25.9	24.5	25.8
5-9 miles	21.7	21.2	21.2	21.5
10-19 miles	23.6	26.6	21.9	24.7
20-29 miles	11.5	10.1	11.9	10.5
30-39 miles	4.7	5.7	3.5	6.0
40-49 miles	1.9	1.5	1.8	1.2
Over 50 miles	2.4	1.9	2.7	1.7
N	1567	1302	511	582

DISTANCE TRAVELED AND YEAR BY PROPERTY VALUE TO INCOME RATIO

^aX²=22.37, df=7, p<0.0022, Y =0.029 ^bX²=11.04, df=7, p<0.1370, Y =0.043

There was a significant difference only for the low category of property value to income in terms of the distance traveled in the journey to work by household heads for 1974 and 1977. There was no elaboration between the two categories of property value to income and distance traveled by year. There was no significant difference among the distances in proportions of respondents who reported high versus low property value to income ratios.

TABLE XVIII

Distance	Property Val	lue to Income
	Low <u>%</u>	High %
Under 1 mile	9.38	10.43
1-4 miles	24.26	25.16
5-9 miles	21.47	21.32
10-19 miles	24.96	23.42
20-29 miles	10.91	11.16
30-39 miles	5.15	4.85
40-49 miles	1.71	1.46
Over 50 miles	2.16	2.20
Ν	2869	1093

DISTANCE TRAVELED BY PROPERTY VALUE TO INCOME RATIO

X²=2.43, df=7, p<.9325

Distance to Work and Year by Rent

as Percentage of Income

Question 7: Did the relationship between the distance traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary according to rent as percentage of income for renters?

No significant difference was indicated between 1974 and 1977 in terms of distance traveled when rent as percentage of income was controlled. The gamma values indicated a negative, but essentially negligible relationship between the categories of the control variable, rent as percentage of income, and the proportions of respondents in each of the distance categories by year (Table XIX). The marginal relationship between the proportions of household heads who reported a high rent as percentage of income as compared to those who reported low on this variable was not significant in relation to the distances traveled to work (Table XX).

There was no significant difference found between the high and low categories of renters who traveled various distances in their journey to

TABLE XIX

Distance		Rent as Percentage	of Income	
	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Low</u> ^a <u>1977</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1977 </u> <u>%</u>
Under 1 mile	11.48	12.30	17.47	13.87
1-4 miles	28.47	33.78	27.05	36.45
5-9 miles	23.21	20.89	20.21	20.97
10-19 miles	22.97	20.15	23.29	21.29
20-29 miles	7.42	7.70	6.85	5.48
30-39 miles	3.11	2.22	3.08	0.97
40-49 miles	1.67	1.78	1.03	0.65
Over 50 miles	1.67	1.19	1.03	0.32
N	836	675	292	310

DISTANCE TRAVELED BY YEAR BY RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME

 ${}^{a}\chi^{2}=7.65$, df=7, p<0.3640, $\gamma = -0.073$ ${}^{b}\chi^{2}=10.94$, df=7, p<0.1414, $\gamma = -0.074$
work. In addition, no relationship between the categories of the control variable were found in terms of the distance to year relationship. The marginal relationship between the rent as percentage of income and the distances traveled by household heads in the journey to work was not significant.

Time of Travel to Work and Year by

Transportation Mode Used

Question 8: Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary by transportation mode used?

TABLE XX

Distance	Rent as Percentage of I	ncome
	Low <u>%</u>	High <u>%</u>
Under l mile	11.85	15.61
1-4 miles	30.84	31.89
5-9 miles	22.17	20.60
10-19 miles	21.71	22.26
20-29 miles	7.54	6.15
30-39 miles	2.71	1.99
40-49 miles	1.72	0.83
Over 50 miles	1.46	0.66
Ν	1511	602

DISTANCE TRAVELED BY RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME

The time of the journey to work for household heads in 1974 and 1977 was significantly different for commuters who drove alone but was not significant for the other three categories. For the commuters who drove alone, the general trend noted was a decrease in all categories of time except in the "15-29 minute" one where a slight increase was noted. However, for the categories of times traveled between the years, the proportion of carpoolers and those who used public transportation had mixed results. Both had an increase in commuters who traveled "30-44 minutes" from 1974 to 1977, and carpoolers had an increase in the proportion of commuters who were in the "45-59 minute" category (Table XXI).

The gamma values were low and indicated no elaboration of time traveled for household heads by year in terms of the transportation mode used. The marginal relationship between the times traveled was significant beyond the 0.0001 level. Of particular note was the large proportion of commuters in the "other" category who travel less than 15 minutes to work or work at home. In addition, about 50 percent of the people who traveled by public transportation lived 15-44 minutes from their workplace. The largest percentage of commuters who drove or carpooled traveled less than 45 minutes in their journey to work (Table XXII).

A significant difference was indicated for the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work from 1974 to 1977 for commuters who drive alone to work but no significant difference was indicated for commuters who carpool, use public transportation or other means of travel. No elaboration by transportation mode was indicated for times traveled by year. The marginal relationship between the time of travel for the two years combined by transportation mode used was significant.

TABLE XXI

TIME TRAVELED AND YEAR BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION USED

Time	Mode of Transportation							
	<u>Drive</u> 1974 <u>%</u>	<u>Alone^a 1977 <u>%</u></u>	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1977</u>	$\frac{1974}{\frac{\%}{2}}$	<u>blic^c 1977 <u>%</u></u>	<u>Oth</u> <u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>ner^d 1977 %</u>
Less than 15 min.	39.8	37.9	24.9	23.9	9.4	6.1	84.1	86.1
15-29 min.	34.2	39.5	34.9	34.4	23.9	25.1	9.3	9.4
30-44 min.	16.5	14.7	21.3	24.1	20.9	29.5	3.6	2.8
45-59 min.	5.3	4.5	8.8	9.9	20.4	13.5	1.1	0.3
60-89 min.	3.0	2.6	7.1	5.8	16.9	19.6	0.9	0.7
Over 90 min.	1.2	0.8	3.0	1.9	8.5	6.1	1.1	0.7
Ν	2802	2499	602	584	201	163	473	318

^a $\chi_{2}=19.35$, df=5, p<0.017, $\gamma = -0.011$ ^b $\chi_{2}=3.94$, df=5, p<0.5583, $\gamma = 0.009$ ^c $\chi_{2}=7.46$, df=5, p<0.1884, $\gamma = -0.014$ ^d $\chi_{2}=2.327$, df=5, p<0.8024, $\gamma = -0.085$

66

.

TABLE XXII

Time		Mode of	Transportation	
	Drive Alone <u>%</u>	Carpool <u>%</u>	Public <u>%</u>	0ther <u>%</u>
Less than 15 min.	38.92	24.37	7.97	84.96
15-29 min.	36.71	34.65	24.45	9.36
30-44 min.	15.66	22.68	24.73	3.29
45-59 min.	4.90	9.36	17.31	0.76
60-89 min.	2.81	6.49	18.13	0.76
Over 90 min.	1.00	2.45	7.42	0.88
N	5301	1186	364	791

TIME TRAVELED BY MODE OF TRANSPORATION USED

X²=1363.90, df=15, p<0.0001

Time of Travel to Work and Year by

Region of the U.S.

Question 9: Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary by region of the U.S.?

The times traveled to work by household heads in 1974 and 1977 were analyzed by regions of the United States. The proportions of respondents in the various categories by year were significantly different only for the Southern region. The respondents in the other three regions, the Northeast, North Central and West, were not significantly different on these variables. However, of note is the fact that in all regions, the proportions of commuters in many cases decreased or remained about the same for the categories of time traveled between 1974 and 1977 (Table XXIII).

The partial association among the times traveled by the household heads by year indicated an inconsistency with the Northeast and the North Central having gammas which were small and negative and for the South and West, the gammas were small and positive. Since all of the gamma values were small, however, no elaboration by region of the United States was indicated (Table XXIII).

The marginal relationship between regions of the United States and the times traveled by household heads in the journey to work was significant. Approximately 90 percent of all the commuters in each region travel less than 45 minutes, one-way, in their journey to work, though the percentage is close to 84 percent for those in the Northwest region. The main differences seem to be in the higher categories of time. For commuters in the Northeast, about 15 percent of the respondents travel greater than 45 minutes, one-way, to work. The percentages are smaller for the other three regions (Table XXIV).

There was a significant difference in the journey to work times traveled by year for the Southern region, but no significant difference was indicated in the other three regions. No elaboration by region was indicated, but there were reversed signs on the gamma values which may need further investigation. The relationship between time traveled by household heads and region of the United States was significant.

Time of Travel to Work and Year by

SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence

Question 10: Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?

TINDIN MATTE	TABLE	XXIII
--------------	-------	-------

Time	Region of the U.S.							
	<u>Northe</u> 1974 <u>%</u>	<u>east^a 1977%</u>	<u>North ()</u> 1974 <u>%</u>	<u>entral</u> b <u>1977</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>uth^C 1977</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Wes</u> 1974 <u>%</u>	<u>t</u> ^d <u>1977</u> <u>%</u>
Less than 15 min.	32.8	32.8	45.9	43.9	43.0	36.4	41.7	39.8
15-29 min.	32.5	34.7	29.6	35.0	29.1	36.1	33.7	35.6
30-44 min.	17.5	18.9	15.5	12.7	16.0	16.9	14.8	15.5
45-59 min.	7.7	6.1	5.9	5.5	5.6	5.6	4.9	4.3
60-89 min.	7.3	5.2	2.3	2.5	3.9	3.9	2.8	3.7
Over 90 Min.	2.2	2.4	0.7	0.4	2.2	1.2	2.2	1.1
N	943	710	1117	1000	1348	1206	689	651

4

TIME TRAVELED AND YEAR BY REGION OF THE U.S.

a $\chi_{2=5.50}$, df=5, p<0.3580, $\gamma = -0.032$ b $\chi_{2=9.25}$, df=5, p<0.0984, $\gamma = -0.002$ c $\chi_{2=20.90}$, df=5, p<0.0008, $\gamma = 0.060$ d $\chi_{2=4.44}$, df=5, p<0.4882, $\gamma = 0.016$

.

TABLE XXIV

Time		Region of the U.S	S.	
	Northeast <u>%</u>	North Central <u>%</u>	South %	West <u>%</u>
Less than 15 min.	32.79	44.97	39.90	40.75
15-29 min.	33.39	32.17	32.42	34.63
30-44 min.	18.09	14.17	16.44	15.15
45-59 min.	7.02	5.72	5.60	4.63
60-89 min.	5.41	2.41	3.92	3.21
Over 90 min.	2.30	0.57	1.72	1.64
Ν	1653	2117	2554	1340

TIME TRAVELED AND REGION OF THE U.S.

χ²=114.64, df=15, p<0.0001

The proportions of respondents in the categorized times traveled by household heads from 1974 and 1977 was significantly different for residents who lived outside SMSA's, but no significant difference was found for SMSA residents. There was an overall decrease in the proportions of commuters in all time categories with the exception of the "15-29 minute" categories for both SMSA and Non-SMSA residents (Table XXV).

There was a reversal of signs on the gamma values in the partial association between SMSA/Non-SMSA residence in terms of times traveled by year. However, the gamma values were negligible, therefore no elaboration by SMSA/Non-SMSA residence was found (Table XXV).

TABLE XXV

Time	SMSA ^a			Non-SMSA ^b	
	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1977</u> <u>%</u>		<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1977</u> <u>%</u>
Less than 15 min.	34.3	31.3		51.9	50.1
15-29 min.	35.5	39.5		23.6	28.7
30-44 min.	18.6	18.4		12.1	11.7
45-59 min.	6.7	6.1		5.1	4.2
60-89 min.	3.7	3.8		47	3.6
Over 90 min.	1.3	0.9		2.7	1.6
N	2476	2223		1621	1344

TIME TRAVELED AND YEAR BY SMSA/ NON-SMSA RESIDENCE

^a χ^2 =10.11, df=5, p<0.0720, γ =0.018 ^b χ^2 =14.58, df=5, p<0.0123, γ = -0.010

There was a significant difference between SMSA and Non-SMSA residents in terms of their commuting times. A larger percentage of the commuters outside SMSA's traveled less than 15 minutes to work, while a larger percentage of the SMSA residents traveled in the 15 minute to 44 minute range in their journey to work. For both SMSA and Non-SMSA residents, about 88 percent of the commuters traveled less than 45 minutes (Table XXVI).

There was a significant difference in the proportions of commuters in the various times traveled by year for residents in Non-SMSA areas, but this was not significant for SMSA residents. Despite a negative versus a positive gamma value for the SMSA/Non-SMSA relationship with

TABLE XXVI

Time	SMSA	Non-SMSA
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
Less than 15 min.	32.86	51.10
15-29 min.	37.37	25.94
30-44 min.	18.49	11.91
45-59 min.	6.45	4.69
60-89 min.	3.75	4.18
Over 90 min.	1.08	2.18
N	4699	2965

TIME TRAVELLED AND SMSA/ NON-SMSA RESIDENCE

 χ^2 =298.48, df=5, p<0.0001

time traveled and year, the values were negligible. The difference between SMSA and Non-SMSA residents in terms of their commuting times was significant.

Time of Travel to Work and Year by

Property Value to Income Ratio

Question 11: Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary according to property value to income ratio for homeowners?

There was a significant difference in time traveled by household heads from 1974 and 1977 for respondents who reported their property value to income ratio as .25 or less. However, there was no significant differences for those whose property value to income was greater than

.25. The source of this difference seemed to be in the first two categories of the time variable for the "low" property value to income group. There was a decrease in proportions of commuters in the "less than 15 minute" category and an increase in the "15-29 minute" category (Table XXVII).

TABLE XXVII

Time	Р	roperty Valu	ie to Income	Ratio
	<u>1974</u>	ow ^a <u>1977</u>	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	High ^b <u>1977</u>
Less than 15 min.	38.3	31.3	36.7	37.8
15-29 min.	33.4	39.3	34.6	34.0
30-44 min.	17.2	17.7	16.6	18.2
45-59 min.	6.	6.7	7.8	5.3
60-89 min.	3.5	3.7	3.1	3.9
Over 90 min.	1.7	1.2	1.2	0.7
N	1568	1307	512	582

TIME TRAVELED AND YEAR BY PROPERTY VALUE TO INCOME

^a χ^2 =18.44, df=5, p<0.0024, γ =0.079 ^b χ^2 =4.33, df=5, p<0.5028, γ = -0.022

Despite a reversal in the signs on the gamma values, no elaboration was found for time traveled by year in terms of the property value to income ratio (Table XXVII). No significant difference was found in time traveled by property value to income ratios (Table XXVIII).

TABLE XXVIII

Time	Property Value	to Income
	Low <u>%</u>	High <u>%</u>
Less than 15 min.	35.10	37.29
15-29 min.	36.10	34.28
30-44 min.	17.43	17.46
45-59 min.	6.30	6.49
60-89 min.	3.62	3.56
Over 90 min.	1.46	0.91
Ν	2875	1094

TIME TRAVELED BY PROPERTY VALUE TO INCOME

X²=3.68, df=5, p<0.5967

A significant difference was found in terms of the time traveled by household heads for 1974 versus 1977 for homeowners who reported a .25 ratio of property value to income, but no significant difference was indicated for those who reported a property value to income of greater than .25. No elaboration by property value to income was indicated in terms of the time traveled by year. There was no significant difference between the "high" and "low" categories of property value to income in the time traveled to work for the household heads.

Time of Travel to Work and Year by

Rent as Percentage of Income

Question 12: Did the relationship between the time traveled by household heads in the journey to work in 1974 and 1977 vary according to rent as percentage of income for renters?

No significant differences were found for either the "high" or "low" categories of rent as percentage of income in terms of the time traveled by the household head in the journey to work for 1974 and 1977. In addition, the gamma values were (both) low and negative which indicated a consistency between the categories, but no elaboration was indicated by this variable for time traveled by year (Table XXIX). There were no significant differences indicated between the categories of rent as percentage of income across categories of time traveled (Table XXX).

Transportation Mode Used for the Journey

to Work and Region of the U.S. by

SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence

Question 13: Did the transportation modes used by household heads in the journey to work in different regions of the U.S. differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?

The transportation modes used by household heads in the journey to work for the four regions of the United States were analyzed in terms of proportions of commuters who live in SMSA and Non-SMSA residences. The differences were found to be significant. Of note was the discrepancy in the percentages of commuters who used public transportation and lived within SMSA's as opposed to those who lived outside SMSA's. This is particularly substantial for commuters in the Northeast, where a larger

TABLE XXIX

Time	Re	nt as Percen	tage of Income	
	<u>1974</u> <u>%</u>	<u>w</u> ^a <u>1977</u> <u>%</u>	<u>1974</u> Hig <u>%</u>	<u>h</u> b <u>1977</u> <u>%</u>
Less than 15 min.	41.3	39.8	39.1	39.8
15-29 min.	29.6	35.5	32.0	39.2
30-44 min.	16.9	15.3	17.0	12.1
45-59 min.	5.7	5.0	6.8	5.4
60-89 min.	4.8	3.4	3.7	2.9
Over 90 min.	1.7	0.9	1.4	0.6
Ν	840	678	294	314

TIME TRAVELLED AND YEAR BY RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME

^a χ^2 =8.60, df=5, p<0.1263, γ = -0.027 ^b χ^2 =6.39, df=5, p<0.2703, γ = -0.076

overall percentage of commuters used public transportation. Also of interest was the fact that the "other" category seems to be more frequently indicated by residents outside SMSA's. This category included walking, riding a bicycle or motorcycle, and so on (Table XXXI).

No elaboration was indicated for transportation modes used across regions of the U.S. for SMSA/Non-SMSA residents. However, a consistant, negative gamma value was found. The marginal relationships included an examination of regional differences in terms of SMSA/ Non-SMSA residence, and transportation mode used by SMSA/Non-SMSA residence. Both

ļ

TABLE XXX

Time	Rent as Percentage of	Income
	Low <u>%</u>	High <u>%</u>
Less than 15 min.	40.65	39.47
15-29 min.	32.28	35.69
30-44 min.	16.21	14.47
45-59 min.	5.40	6.09
60-89 min.	4.15	3.29
Over 90 min.	1.32	0.99
Ν	1518	608

TIME TRAVELED BY RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME

ℓ²=4.070, df=5, p<0.5393

relationships were significant. The South had a substantially larger percentage of respondents in the Non-SMSA category than in the SMSA category when compared to the other three regions, followed by the North Central region. More commuters use public transportation in SMSA's than in Non-SMSA's (Tables XXXII and XXXIII).

A significant difference was found in the transportation modes used by household heads from the four regions of the United States by SMSA/ Non-SMSA residence. No elaboration by SMSA/Non-SMSA residence was found. The marginal relationship between region and SMSA/Non-SMSA residence was significant as was the relationship between the transportation modes

TABLE XXXI

Transportation Mode	SMSA ^a				Non-SMSA ^b			
	Northeast <u>%</u>	North <u>Central</u> <u>%</u>	South <u>%</u>	West	Northeast <u>%</u>	North <u>Central</u> <u>%</u>	South <u>%</u>	West %
Drives Alone	63.9	74.5	73.9	75.0	69.1	65.9	67.6	70.2
Carpools	12.7	13.9	16.4	13.0	16.6	12.3	20.2	16.7
Public	16.2	3.9	4.1	3.3	1.8	0.2	0.7	0.5
Other	7.2	7.7	5.6	8.7	12.5	19.6	11.5	12.6
Ν	1279	1359	1412	1067	512	929	1373	389

TRANSPORTATION MODES AND REGION OF THE U.S. BY SMSA/NON-SMSA RESIDENCE

^a χ^2 =245.31, df=9, p<0.0001, Υ = -0.0130 ^b χ^2 =51.43, df=9, p<0.0001, Υ = -0.033

TABLE XXXII

Region	SMSA	Non-SMSA		
	25	~~~		
Northeast	25.9	16.1		
North Central	25.3	28.1		
South	28.1	43.8		
West	20.7	12.1		
N	8830	6723		

SMSA/NON-SMSA RESIDENCE BY REGION OF THE U.S.

*χ*²=616.68, df=3, p<0.0001

TABLE XXXIII

TRANSPORTATION MODE USED BY SMSA/NON-SMSA RESIDENCE

Transportation Mode	SMSA	Non-SMSA
	%	<u>%</u>
Drives Alone	71.8	67.7
Carpool	14.1	17.5
Public	6.9	0.6
Other	7.2	14.2
N	5117	3203

X²=282.34, df=3, p<0.0001

used in the journey to work by household heads inside and outside of SMSA's.

Property Value to Income and Region of the

U.S. by SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence

Question 14: Did the categorized property value to income ratios for homeowners in different regions of the U.S. differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?

For homeowners inside and outside SMSA's the differences in property value to income between regions was found to be significant for the residents of SMSA's only. No significant difference was found for the Non-SMSA's between these two variables. Of note were the substantially lower percentages of homeowners who lived outside SMSA areas for the Western and Northeastern regions as compared to the other two regions, particularly the Southern region. On the other hand, the percentages in the "high category" for SMSA's were evenly distributed among the regions in the SMSA areas (Table XXXIV). The marginal relationship between SMSA/Non-SMSA residence and the property value to income ratio was not significant (Table XXXV).

There was a significant difference between the high and low categories of property value to income by region of the U.S. for SMSA residents only. No elaboration by the SMSA variable was indicated. There was no significant difference between the categories of the property value to become variable for SMSA/Non-SMSA residences.

Rent as Percentage of Income and Region

of the U.S. by SMSA/Non-SMSA Residence

Question 15: Did the rent as percentage of income categories

TABLE XXIV

Region	SMSA ^a		Non-SMS/	Non-SMSA ^b	
	Low %	High <u>%</u>	Low <u>%</u>	High	
Northeast	21.8	23.1	16.1	16.4	
North Central	30.5	24.9	28.3	27.3	
South	30.0	26.1	43.9	43.5	
West	17.7	25.9	11.7	12.8	
N	2283	1498	1274	842	

PROPERTY VALUE TO INCOME AND REGION OF THE U.S. BY SMSA/NON-SMSA AREAS

^a²₂=40.15, df=3, p<0.0001, **Y** =0.078 ^b²₂=0.75, df=3, p<0.8606, **Y** =0.014

TABLE XXXV

PROPERTY VALUE TO INCOME RATIOS BY SMSA/NON-SMSA RESIDENCE

Property Value to Income Ratio	SMSA	Non-SMSA
Low	<u>%</u> 50.38	60 . 21
High	39.62	39.79
Ν	3781	2116

½=0.017, df=1, p<0.8964

for renters in different regions of the U.S. differ by location inside and outside SMSA's?

There were no significant differences between the high and low categories of rent as percentage of income by region of the U.S. for residents inside or outside SMSA's. The partial association did not indicate an elaboration by SMSA/Non-SMSA residence but the signs on the gammas were reversed, (Table XXXVI). The rent as percentage of income variable was not significantly different by SMSA/Non-SMSA residence (Table XXXVII).

There were no significant differences between the SMSA/Non-SMSA residences in terms of the rent as percentage of income by region of the U.S. No elaboration by SMSA was indicated, but a negative and a positive

TABLE XXXVI

Region	SN	1SA ^a	Non-SMS	Non-SMSA ^b	
	Low	High	Low	High	
Northeast	30.5	32.2	13.9	18.0	
North Central	23.2	19.7	25.6	27.2	
South	25.6	24.0	46.9	40.0	
West	20.7	24.2	13.7	14.7	
N	1451	939	583	305	

RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME

^aX²=10.97, df=9, p<0.2779, Y=0.016 ^bX²=7.52, df=6, p<0.2752, Y = −0.077

TABLE XXXVII

Rent as Percentage of Income	SMSA	Non-SMSA
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
Low	60.58	65.58
High	39.22	34.32
N	2390	888

RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME BY SMSA/NON-SMSA RESIDENCE

χ²=8.74, df=3, p<0.0330

gamma was noted by category. No significant differences were indicated between the rent as percentage of income by region for SMSA/Non-SMSA residences.

Summary

Pertinent variables were chosen for analysis in terms of the head of households journey to work from 1974 to 1977. Of particular importance were the distance and time traveled and the mode of transportation used. These aspects of the commuting behavior were analyzed in terms of region of the United States, whether the residence was located in an SMSA or Non-SMSA area, and tenure to income variables. Chi-square was used to determine significance of the various relationships in terms of differences indicated. Since the sample was large, there tended to be significant difference among many of the relationships. Elaboration by the control variables on the main variables of distance traveled by year and times traveled by year was used to test for associations among the variables of interest. Then the marginal relationships between the control variable and each of the main variables of relationship was analyzed by chi-square for significant differences.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Introduction

The purpose of this research was to explore the relationship between locational choice and the journey to work. Americans have chosen to make the automobile the main transportation mode used in commuting to work (Starling, 1979). Higher gasoline prices and projected shortages have made decisions about the head of household's home to work separation more important in recent years (Houstoun, 1981). In addition to the transportation mode used by the household heads in the journey to work, regional and metropolitan/nonmetropolitan differences were examined. Hoch (1972) and others have found regional differences in housing and transport costs, with people in the Western region paying significantly more for these items than people in other regions pay. Gladhart (1977) found that, while there was little difference in the residential energy useage of rural families as compared to urban families, rural families used almost 50 percent more gasoline for their private automobiles and about two-and-a-half times as much fuel in their commute to work. Coates and Weiss (1975) agree that the rising costs of gasoline are likely to put severe constraints on commuters from small towns and rural areas, especially when they must travel long distances to take advantage of job opportunities. On the other hand, the 1980

<u>Handbook of Agricultural Charts</u> (U.S. Department of Agriculture) says that the median distance and time traveled was greater for metropolitan household heads than for nonmetropolitan household heads. Hoch found that transport expenditures varied inversely with housing expenditures and furthermore, decreased with city size. However, considered together, housing and transport costs were expected to increase with city size.

Tenure and housing costs were also found to differ regionally and in terms of metropolitan versus non-metropolitan residence. According to the USDA <u>1980 Handbook of Agricultural Charts</u>, about three-fourths of nonmetropolitan housing is owned as compared to about 61 percent of metropolitan housing. In addition, single-family dwellings were more common in nonmetropolitan areas than in metropolitan areas. Physically inadequate units were more commonly found in nonmetropolitan areas, but higher rent burdens were more often found in metropolitan areas.

The poor in any area generally pay proportionately more for their housing than other income groups so they tend to be the ones with the most severe constraints as costs rise (Birch, 1970; U.S. Department of HUD, 1980). This is especially true in terms of the journey to work and housing locational choice (Catanese, 1970). According to Houstoun (1981), people are paying more, in general, for housing and transportation, leaving less money for the purchase of durable goods and savings. As Catanese pointed out, higher income families do not face as severe a constraint on their housing choices as lower income families, to whom the journey to work may take on more significance, particularly from the economic standpoint.

Each year, vast amounts of data are collected on housing, transportation and social characteristics of the American public. For this

study, the Annual Housing Survey data collected jointly by HUD and the U.S. Bureau of the Census, were utilized to explore various aspects of the journey to work commute by household heads, and its relationship to the residental locational decision.

Summary of Findings

An overall decline in the proportion of commuters who traveled longer distances and times in the journey to work was noted from 1974 to 1977. The decline was especially noticeable for those traveling over 40 miles and greater than 30 minutes. There was also an increase from 1974 to 1977 in the proportions of commuters whose workplace varied.

For both distance and time, there was a slight increase from 1974 to 1977 in the proportions of commuters who drove alone or carpooled. Public transportation proportions stayed about the same but the proportions of commuters who used other forms of movement such as walking, biking or other means of travel declined. There was a slight but overall decrease in distance and time traveled to work for those who drove alone in private automobiles or used travel modes other than the private automobile or public transit, from 1974 to 1977. Little change was found for those using public transport or for those who carpooled.

Only the Southern region of the United States showed any substantial differences in the proportions of commuters in the various distance and time categories from 1974 to 1977. While some changes were noted in the North Central and Northeastern regions for distance and time traveled from 1974 to 1977, there were essentially no differences found in the Western region. However, it should be noted that about 45 percent of the commuters in the West traveled less than 15 minutes to work.

About 50 percent of the Non-SMSA commuters traveled less than 15 minutes and less than 5 miles to work or worked at home, as compared to about 30 percent of the SMSA residents. Beyond the 15 minute and 5 mile categories, however, the proportion of SMSA residents were substantially greater than Non-SMSA's, except for those who traveled greater than 50 miles. For SMSA residents, there was an overall decrease in the distance traveled from 1974 to 1977. For the Non-SMSA residents, there was a substantial, overall decrease in the time traveled in the journey to work from 1974 to 1977.

Property value to income for homeowners and rent as percentage of income were both analyzed in terms of distance and time traveled in the journey to work by year. The changes found in this study were in the "low" categories where respondents were paying 25 percent or less of their income on housing for renters or their property value to income ratio was .25 or less for homeowners. The changes, however, did not indicate any clear trends for distance or time traveled from 1974 to 1977 even though the differences were found to be significant statistically.

Generally, most people drive alone to work in their private automobiles, with carpooling a far second. The Northeast region was the only region where public transit was used to any degree and this only in the SMSA areas. Very little public transit is used in Non-SMSA areas and this may have as much to do with a lack of availability as preference by commuters. In Non-SMSA areas, the "other" types of travel such as walking, riding a bike or motorcycle, and so on tended to be more common. Most of the commuters in the "other" category traveled less than one mile and less than 15 minutes to work. In general, most

commuters travel less than 20 miles in their journey to work and a larger proportion of people carpooled at the longer distances.

Conclusions

The Annual Housing Survey data tapes presented some unique challenges for this research study. Use of broad categories, as for example, regions, allowed such diverse states as Montana, California and Hawaii to be lumped together in one category. Some form of cancellation of commuting effects would most likely be expected in such a case. Perhaps it would have been more practical if these areas could have been subdivided further to identify specific commuting behaviors for which explanations could have been proposed.

In the case of SMSA/Non-SMSA residents, the comparisons were also difficult to interpret. Cities as diverse as Chicago, Illinois and Lawton, Oklahoma were compared with nonmetropolitan areas across the country. In addition, the AHS introduces something of a bias by doubling its sample of rural housing units as compared to urban housing units. The findings which suggest that the Southern region was the only one where significant differences were found in commuting patterns may have been a function of the fact that the Southern region was essentially more rural than other regions, and therefore may be somewhat over-represented in the Non-SMSA differences found.

Another aspect of the data tapes considered was the fact that, in dealing with housing units, the movement into and out of specific units goes on every day. While one person may be making an adjustment in location by moving out of a unit, a consequent adjustment is made when someone else moves into that unit. In this way, adjustments in housing,

whether for reasons of commuting costs or whatever, are constantly being made and may have the effect of canceling each other out. This is especially true when one is dealing with as large and diverse a sample as was used here.

In addition, the property value to income ratio was not an accurate measure of yearly housing costs, though rent as percentage of income was probably a more accurate measure. However, with the fluctuations in housing costs in various locations of the U.S., differences in rents and rent controls, inflation and housing appreciation all contributing to this ratio or percentage, there could be some question as to what is really involved here. If it were all dealt with in constant dollars, if some of the fluctuations mentioned could be controlled and if one were talking about an area where the population or sample was more or less homogeneous in terms of their sociodemographic characteristics, then these types of variables may have had more meaning than what could be found here. This is not to say that tenure and income are not vital parts of the household's locational decision, but rather that perhaps the two combined together do not yield the kind of information which each may have given if used separately.

According to the findings of this study, there was an overall decline in the one-way categorical distances and times traveled by household heads in the journey to work from 1974 to 1977. This supported the theory of worktrip minimization based on adjustments to economic constraints. However, it cannot be assumed that the decrease was based solely on the rising costs of commuting to work. As Catanese (1970), Gallogly (1974) and Morris and Winter (1978) pointed out, accessibility to the workplace was not necessarily an important factor in housing locational choice. Further analysis of these findings to determine mobility patterns, reasons for moving and/or changing jobs and the socioeconomic characteristics associated with decisions of housing location should be undertaken. Also of importance would be the determination of the value, to the household, of the head's journey to work time and distance compared to satisfaction with their current housing.

Of note, too, in this study, was the substantial increase in the percentage of commuters whose workplace varied and in the percentage of respondents who were categoriezed as "not applicable." These were unanticipated findings, and may reflect recent adjustments to the rising costs of commuting. Respondents may have rejected employment that was too far away to commute economically, and accepted employment closer to their homes. On the other hand, relocation of industries and businesses into the suburbs was mentioned in the literature as having an effect on commuting patterns. Often people in the inner cities find it difficult or impossible to follow their jobs because of the expense of traveling longer distances to the workplace. In addition, the unavailability of public transportation outside of major metropolitan areas (Kain, 1975; Houstoun, 1981) could cause a severe constraint to those who do not own private automobiles.

As Starling (1979) pointed out, most commuters travel to work in private automobiles, and many of these travel alone. The findings of this study confirm this generalization. However, for most categories of distance and time traveled by household heads, there was an overall decrease in the percentage of commuters who drive alone from 1974 to 1977, and a slight increase in carpooling was noted in some categories. Carpooling beyond the forty mile distance was not very common. Perhaps

it was difficult to find persons with whom to share driving at such distances. As Houstoun (1979) said, public transportation is becoming less accessible to more people and the findings of this study show that there was an overall decrease in the use of public transportation from 1974 to 1977. The data do not indicate, however, whether this decline is due to lack of availability or choices made by commuters.

Regional differences in journey to work and residential locational choice were noted in the literature (Hoch, 1972; Chinitz and Dusansky, 1972; Thygerson et al., 1978). The most common finding cited was that housing and transport costs were highest in the West, followed by the Northeast, South and North Central region. From this, one would expect to find greater adjustments to be made in the home-work separation in the Western region, followed by the other three regions in order of the size of housing costs following the economic theories of structural, and even more, of ecological modeling (Wilson, 1979). According to the findings of this study, the home-work separation changed substantially only for the Southern region. Perhaps the expectation of change in the West had already taken place before these data were collected. If indeed the housing and transport costs have been higher in the West over a long period of time, adjustments may have gradually been made all along. The fact that such a large percentage of the Western commuters travel less than 15 minutes to work or work at home tended to support this idea. As for the changes noted in the South, and to a lesser degree, in the Northeast and North Central, the adjustments may have been more likely to be in response to the rising costs of commuting than was true in the West where transport costs were already higher before the oil embargo of 1973.

Clemente and Summers (1974) noted that metropolitan structure, as well as residence in metropolitan versus nonmetropolitan areas influenced commuting patterns. Some researchers (Gladhart, 1977; Coats and Weiss, 1975) have found that commuters in nonmetropolitan areas tend to spend more for gasoline in their worktrips due to longer distances traveled. Hoch (1972) found that city size also had an influence on transport and housing costs. The expectation, then, may be that commuters outside SMSA's would feel the constraint of rising transport costs more acutely than those inside metropolitan areas and adjustments of the workplace to home separation would follow. However, Gessaman and Sisler (1976) found that rural residents choose to live outside metropolitan areas in spite of the higher commuting costs and Wilson (1979) argued that metropolitan residents, particularly those in the lower income levels have little choice in housing location and that their housing choices were dictated by available housing they could afford rather than locational atributes. The findings of this study do not necessarily support the assumption that Non-SMSA residents travel farther in their journey to work. In fact, over half of these respondents traveled less than 15 minutes and almost half traveled less than five miles or worked at home. However, there was a slightly larger percentage of Non-SMSA residents who traveled over 50 miles to their place of work. Interestingly, there was a decrease from 1974 to 1977 in the distance traveled by commuters within SMSA's and a decrease in time traveled by commuters outside SMSA's. Perhaps time was a more important element in the NonSMSA resident's commuting decisions than distance, while distance may have become more of a consideration for commuters in SMSA's where ease of movement had become restricted. In the case of

congested metropolitan areas, time and distance may not be as closely related in the journey to work as in nonmetropolitan areas.

Socioeconomic status was cited in the literature as important to the housing locational decision (Abu-Lughod and Foley, 1960). Catanese (1970) found that income was the most significant of the socioeconomic variables because it was correlated to some degree with most other socioeconomic variables. According to the U.S. Department of HUD (1980) the poor pay proportionately more for their housing than higher income groups and Catanese, Wheeler (1967) and Reeder (1956) found differences in commuting based on socioeconomic variables. Homeownership, or tenure, was not found to relate directly to income (Birch, 1973), but Pickvance (1973) found that income and occupational status were important variables in whether a household is able to pay for costs of owning its dwelling. In this study, homeowners were not actually compared to renters, but similar findings in the commuting behavior by each group were found. In terms of distance and time traveled, the "high" property value to income and "high" rent as percentage of income would both be expected to show the most change over time due to the added strain of higher transportation costs. However, the most substantial decreases from 1974 to 1977 in distances and times traveled by household heads in the journey to work were in the "low" category. Though the changes noted were substantial, no clear trends were indicated. Here again, perhaps the expected changes in time and distance traveled for those in the "high" category may have already been made. The respondents who pay more than the prescribed housing costs may have found it necessary to make adjustments in their travel expenses before the costs of commuting rose sharply in 1973. This could indicate that further price increases

could put an even greater strain on already strained budgets, causing further evaluation of housing locational choices.

The findings of this study would seem to indicate some adjustments to the home-work separation, but beyond this, one would need to do a good deal more research to determine the extent to which the rising costs of transportation for the journey to work has influenced housing locational choices. In addition, choices concerning housing and transportation are made within the framework of an individual's or household's lifestyle and resources. No one factor can be given precedence over any of the other factors. However, economic constraints are real and, as the price of commuting increases, consideration concerning the length of the journey to work will inevitably enter into the housing locational decision.

Recommendations

Journey to work and housing locational decisions are highly complex and encompass many different fields of study. The rising cost of energy for transportation is only a small part of the broad subject of housing locational choice. In view of these observations and the researcher's experiences with this project, the following recommendations are made.

1. While analysis of the country as a whole can possibly illuminate broad categorical changes and overall trends in commuting behavior, a more in-depth study of a smaller geographical area, where controls can be placed on the nature of the data gathered, would be helpful in determining actual cause and effect relationships between consumer decisions and overt behavior.

- 2. Inclusion of analysis of socioeconomic data of the respondents would be helpful in determining whether such variables as age, sex or education of the household head had an effect on housing choices and the length of the journey to work.
- 3. Attitudinal data such as satisfaction with the house and/or neighborhood of residence, propensity to move due to rising transportation costs and household views on the energy situation, in general, would have strengthened the findings of this study.
- 4. If the research were to be replicated, commuting trends over time could be more easily measured by studying household changes rather than studying the housing unit and changes that occurred as a result of the household living in that unit.
- 5. The time period from 1974 to 1977, though chosen mostly due to the availability of data, was a relatively short time span in which to study such drastic changes as residential mobility in response to rising gasoline prices. Further analysis should be conducted as data becomes available.
- 6. Working with a data base as large and complex as the Annnual Housing Survey data tapes can be frustrating, time-comsuming and very rewarding but the use of such data should be approached with the understanding that it will never contain all the questions that the researcher wants to ask nor will the questions necessarily focus on the aspects of one's research in the most practical manner. However, there is a good deal to be learned from the use of such sources and as long as their limitations are recognized, they can be valuable in research such as this.

7. More in-depth study should include a closer examination of the relationship between time and distance traveled with the transportation mode used. Further clarification of findings could be strengthened if costs of transportation were utilized. In addition, further study could focus on the reasons for the increase in commuters whose workplace varied to determine if this was another form of adjustment to rising transportation costs.

A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abu-Lughod, J. and Foley, M.M. Consumer differences, and The Consumer votes by moving. In N.N. Foote, J. Abu-Lughod, M.M. Foley and L. Winnick (Eds.), <u>Housing Choices and Housing Constraints</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1960.
- Beyer, G.H. <u>Housing and Journey to Work</u>, Bulletin 877. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Agricultural Experiment Station, 1951.
- Birch, D., <u>America's Housing Needs</u>: <u>1970 to 1980</u>. Cambridge, Mass.: Joint Center for Urban Studies, December 1973.
- Butler, E.W. and Kaiser, E.J. Prediction of residential movement and spatial allocation. Urban Affairs Quaterly, 1971 (June), 477-493.
- Carroll, J.D., Jr. The relation of homes to work places and the spatial pattern of cities. Social Forces, 1972, 30, 271-282.
- Catanese, A.J. Commuting behavior patterns of families. <u>Traffic Quar</u>terly, 1970, 24, 439-457.
- Chinitz, B. and Dusansky, R. The patterns of urbanization within regions of the United States. <u>Urban Studies</u>, 1972, <u>9</u>(3), 289-297.
- Clemente, F. and Summers, G.F. The journey to work of rural industrial employees. Social Forces, 1974, 54, 212-219.
- Coates, V. and Weiss, E. <u>Revitalization of Small Communities: Trans-</u> portation Options. Vol. 1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of University Research, December 1975.
- Deutchman, H.D. The residential location decision: study of residential mobility. <u>Socio-Economic Planning Sciences</u>, 1972, <u>6</u>, 349-364.
- Foote, N.N., Abu-Lughod, J., Foley, M.M. and Winnick, L. <u>Housing</u> <u>Choices and Constraints</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1960.
- Gallogly, F.D. Housing decisions in selecting a residence in a planned townhouse development. <u>Home Economics Research Journal</u>, 1974, <u>2</u>(4), 251-261.
- Gessaman, P.H. and Sisler, D.G. Highways, changing land use, and impacts on rural life. <u>Growth and Change</u>, 1976, 7(2), 7.

- Gladhart, P.M. Energy conservation and lifestyles: an integrative approach to family decision making. Journal of Consumer Studies and Home Economics, 1977, 1, 265-277.
- Goldstein, S. and Mayer, K. Migration and social status differentials in the journey to work. <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 1964, <u>29</u>, 278-287.
- Goodman, J. Local residential mobility and family housing adjustments. In J.N. Morgan (Ed.), <u>Five Thousand American Families--Patterns of</u> <u>Economic Growth. Vol. 2.</u> Ann Arbor, Mich.: University of Michigan Survey Research Center of the Institute for Social Research, 1974.
- Hirsch, W.Z. The coming of age of the polynucleated metropolis. In H.J. Bryce (Ed.), <u>Small Cities in Transition: The Dynamics of</u> <u>Growth and Decline</u>. Cambridge, Mass.: Ballinger Publishing Co., 1977.
- Hoch, I. Income and city size. Urban Studies, 1972, 9(3), 299-328.
- Houstoun, L.O., Jr. Market trends reveal housing choices for the 1980's. Journal of Housing, 1981 (Feb.), 73-79.
- Kain, J.F. <u>Essays on Urban Spatial Structure</u>. Cambridge, Mass.: Ballenger Publishing Co., 1975.
- Miernyk, W.H. Regional economic consequences of high energy prices in the United States. In W.H. Miernyk, F. Giarrantani, and C.F. Socher (Eds.), <u>Regional Impacts of Rising Energy Prices</u>. Cambridge, Mass.: Ballinger Publishing Co., 1978.
- Morris, E.W. and Winter, M. <u>Housing</u>, <u>Family</u>, <u>and Society</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1978.
- Mueller, J.H., Schuessler, K.F. and Costner, H.L. <u>Statistical Reasoning</u> in Sociology, Boston, Mass.: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1977.
- Paolucci, B., Hall, O.A. and Axinn, N.W. <u>Family Decision Making</u>: <u>An</u> <u>Ecological Approach</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1977.
- Pickvance, C.G. Life-cycle, housing tenure and intra-urban residential mobility: a casual model. Sociological Review, 1973, 21, 279-297.
- Reeder, L.G. Social differentials in mode of travel, time and cost in the journey to work. <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 1956, <u>21</u>, 56-63.
- Ross, M.H. and Darmstadter, J. Automobiles and energy use: technology, economics and public policy. <u>Review of Regional Economics and</u> Business, 1980, 5(1), 22-29.
- Rossi, P.H. <u>Why Families Move: A Study in the Social Psychology of</u> <u>Urban Residential Mobility</u>. Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1955.
- Schnore, L.F. The separation of home and work: a problem for human ecology. <u>Social Forces</u>, 1954, <u>32</u>, 336-343.
- Schnore, L.F. Three sources of data on commuting: problems and posbilities. <u>American Statistical Association Journal</u>, 1960, <u>55</u>, 8-22.
- Starling, G. <u>The Politics and Economics of Public Policy</u>: <u>An Introduc-</u> <u>tory Analysis with Cases</u>. Homewook, Illinois: The Dorsey Press, 1979.
- Thygerson, K.J., Jacobe, D.J. and Parliment, T.J. <u>Homeownership</u>: <u>Re-analyzing the American Dream</u>. Chicago, Ill.: Economics Department, Department of the United States League of Savings Assoc., 1978.
- United States Department of Agriculture. <u>1980</u> <u>Handbook of Agricultural</u> <u>Charts</u>, (USDA Handbook no. 547), Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1980.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. <u>A Guide to the Annual</u> <u>Housing Survey: A Closer Look at the Nation's Housing and Neigh-</u> <u>borhoods</u>. Office of Policy Development and Research, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1979.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. <u>Housing Our Families</u>. Office of Policy Development and Research, Office of Economic Affairs, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1980.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. <u>Current Housing Reports, Annual</u> <u>Housing Survey: 1974--General Housing Characteristics, Part A.</u> (Series H-150-74A) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1976.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. <u>Current Housing Reports</u>, <u>Annual Housing Survey: 1977--Urban and Rural Housing Characteristics, Part E.</u> (Series H-150-77) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1979.
- Wheeler, J.O. Occupational status and work-trips: a minimum distance approach. <u>Social Forces</u>, 1967, <u>45</u>, 508-515.
- Wilson, F.D. <u>Residential Consumption</u>, <u>Economic Opportunity</u>, and <u>Race</u>. New York: Academic Press, 1979.
- Wingo, L. and Evans, A. <u>Public Economics and the Quality of Life</u>. Baltimore, Md.: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1977.

Woods, M. Influence of transportation costs on the workforce for selected industries in the Fort Smith area. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Arkansas, 1978.

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A

.

U.S. CENSUS BUREAU REGIONS AND GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS OF THE UNITED STATES



Figure 3. U.S. Census Bureau Regions and Geographic Divisions of the United States

APPENDIX B

EXAMPLES OF ANNUAL HOUSING SURVEY INSTRUMENTS USED IN 1974 AND 1977



FACSIMILE OF THE ANNUAL HOUSING SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE: 1974

17. When did (head) move into this		the second s
house (epertment)?	Atter April 1, 1970 p Thom (01-13) View	22e. How many statics (Marro) are in this bourse (building)? (Exclude basement) (building)? (Exclude basement)
	1 1965 to April 1, 1970 2 1960 to 1964 3 1950 to 1959 5 Aip to 20	OBSERVATION b. to there a parameter in this building? (1) 1 Yes 2 No
18. In which county and State did (head) tive on April 1, 19707		2). Han many reason do yor have in your have a (appricant)? On any reamit halfsman, parchas, balcanias, fayara, balls, ar half-reami. (1))Number
	County	24. Deas anak nasa in yau hasta (aparimani) have a waking electric well autor (well plug)? a [] No
	OR (11) • [] Outside the United States - Skip to 20	25. Have many bedrament do you have have to your have to (spontanent)? Count rooms aread mainly for strapting own if a code or white properties. (20)
19. Did (head) live inside the limits of a city, town or village?	(II) + [] Yes - Home of place p	08 e [] Hane - Skip te 20
		266. [s II nacessary to parts through anyout" balances to parts through anyout" eactualing both seane? I No
20. Was (head) in the U.S. Armed Forces on April 1, 19782		b. (b. II are an inter to part Month aryon 's B. () Yes
Mork all 3 parts (See item 17) (1) Nourabold head Hood have been 40 days		(See cc 154) Da nai ceuna presana with usual residence elsewhere unless entire household is URE: CHECK Household has 1 or 2 presans – Skip to 24 ITEN B Household has 1 or 2 presans – Ask 27e
CHECK ()) Hourschold hand Hord HTEM A here last winter ()) Househeld here an word here during the last 12 months	₩, □, Y ** 2 □ H* ₩ • □, Y ** 2 □ H*	27a. Are any bedrama and for slooping by 3 as more person? (1) 1 bedram 1 2 as more bedrams 1 2 as more bedrams 1 2 as more bedrams 1 2 as more bedrams 1 2 as more bedrams
210. How many living quarters, both accepted and vacant, are there in this house (kuilding)?	(1)) 1 ☐ Habile hone or trailer 2 ☐ One, deteched from any other house 1 ☐ One, atteched is ane or more houses 2 ☐ Dre, atteched is ane or more houses 1 ☐ 2	Aris any of the persons who presides the bedream (Mare bedream) 13 years of sea as older? () Yes () Yes () No () Yes () Ye
	• 5 to 9 • 10 to 19 • 10 to 19 • 10 to 49 • 51 to 27e	abbad verinings, den tri, a datased and a binn piped verinings, den tri, a datased a binn piped veri
b. In your makile bons (notion) anchared, that is, accural with theirways or by other means?	• [] Yes • No • [] Dan't know	enge s caktive of he seeks condition? b. Which of the times are not in a cohilo condition? (More all then time condition? (More all then time condition?
OBSERVATION z. to the mubile hame in a group of 6 or more mobile homes?	€ Y ** } 5k/p to 23	38. Which fuel to used most for centing? Gas
ORSERVATION d. Is any port of this property used as a commercial autabilishment?	(), [] Y 2 [] Ni	- 1] Boniled, suck as LP 5] Electrichy 4] Fuct all, henotene, etc. 5] Fuct all, henotene, etc.
Olise RVA HON • Is any poil of this property used as a modical or dental affice?	@ ; [] Y	e ["] Weed T [] Other fuet e [] He fuel wred

107

.

Section 1 - OCCUPIED UNIT	\$ (Include URE's) - Cantinuad	Section I - OCCUPIED UNIT	S (Include URE's) - Continued
31e. Do you got water from a public system (city water department, atc.) as private company, an individual wall, ar some abor source (a spring, stade, streer,	(1)] A public system or private company - Skip to 32 2] An Individual well - Ask 316 3] Some other source - Soccify =	34. INTERVIEWER Household head lived have l (Mark one) [] Yes [] No - Skip to 374	avi 90 days (See Check liem A(1), page H
elatarn, alc.)?		e. At any time in the last 90 days was there a breakdown in your flush totlas; that is, was it campiately unusable?	(1) 1 [] Y+# # [] N# - Ship 10 37#
b. In the well detilled or dug?	() 0-111ed 1 () Dug	b. Did any of share breakdowns last 6 consecutive have as more?	(1) Yes . 3() No - Skip 10)7a
32. INTERVIEWER Complete kitchen facilities (Mark on=) []Yes - Skip to 33 []N=	(See (tem 28)	e. Haw many at these breakdowns were there?	
Do you have piped wover - a. In this building?	((()) [] Yes - 54/9 (e 33 2 [] He	d. What was the (most common) reason you were completely without the use of your fluck tables for 6 consecutive hears or more - was it	(A) + [] Instde - Specify problem y
b. Available within 1/4 milet	(44) [] Y + 1 1] N+ } Skip to 37b	because of problems inside the building or problems outside the building?	a[]Ouisida - Specify problem 7
33. INTERVIEWER Household head lived here I (Mark one)	ast 90 days (See Check Item A (1), page 3)	370. So this house (building) connected to a public sewer?	(1)) + [] Yes - SLip to 30 ■ [] No
No - Skip to J4 . At any time in the last 90 days were yee COMPLETELY without rounding water the second	(((), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), ()	. b. What means of source disposal do you use?	(1) + [] Septic Lank er cespeð 1] Chemical teilet
c. How many Harat	s [] Dan't knaw]	38. INTERVIEWER Household head lived here I (Mark one) ["]Yes []Ne - Skip to 30	sal 90 days (See Check lirm A(I), page 3)
d. What was the (most common) reason you were completely without water for 6 consecutive hours ar more - was it because of problems inside the building a combine satisfie the building?	F() for more	 As any time in the less 90 days was there a breakdown in your public sewer (reptic tank as carepool); that is, was it completely unreakbal Did ony of these breakdowns less 6 conceptive 	() () Yee a () No - Skip in J9
	a [] Oursida - Specify problem y	haurs ar more?	() No
34. Do you have complete planking facilities in this house (kuliding); thei in, hat and cold piped water, a fluck fatter and a bathtab ar shower?	(1): [] Yes - Exclusive use 2 [] Yes - Also used by another household	e. How many of these brockdowns were theref 39. How is your house (sportment) heated - by gas, all, electricity, or with some after fault	()))) ())) ()) + mere ()) + mere ())
35 How many bothcome do pay have? A complete bothcome to a come with a fluck reliet, bothch ar schemes, and a washborin with piped wate: A half bothcome has at locar a fluck relief or a bothcoh ar shows, but dear not have all the facilities for a complete bothcom.	(Mark only one has) (Mark only one has) (93): [] Camplete plumbing facilities but not in one room * a [] I complete bathroom One complete bathroom plus half bath(s) a [] Itali Bath date NDT have flush tollet a [] Itali Bath date NDT have flush tollet bathroom flush of the structure of the st		<pre>(b) : [] Fram underground appra serving</pre>



Section 1 - OCCUPIED UNI	15 (Includo URE's) - Continued		Section 1 - OCCUPIED UN	ITS (Include UKE's) - Continued	
90. In these a backmark in this barner (building)? (A barner to in an excluded space to addite parame can all any pay under all as post of the building)? b. Dave the backmark show any algoe of water hearing lasted to from the estimate?	(1) Yes 1 He - Ship to Sl (1) Yes 1 He 1 He 1 Den't keaw	Side. Do you ear of of coath coath of a lab (port or provided b doma sithar or b. In she lab por A formingtang	og these questers without payment because they are provided as part formed by any hearsheld member), og a bland or relative, or for second aformed form-related or montenm-related d to be technics or second former, land	 t Travided by jab 2 Pravided by highd a 3 Other Form related 	r relativa } Skip ta 57
51. Doos the roof of this house (building) look?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		n faborar ar forsman, otc.; a nánfasm- ncludos a ministor, fanitar, rasidant -	the second former (and/or livested a D Form monoger b D Form laborer or a D Form laborer or a D Other - Specify	ent in crops i) Iarm foremen
520, Daes this house (opertment) have open crecks er holes in the interior wells or celling? (Do not include helvling crecks)	€ 1 □ Y + #			» [] Nonform related	
b. Does this haves (sportmont) have halve in the floor?	() () () () () () () () () () ((if rural trans if urban ask 57. Daes this als	scribe from cc leem 1 lb. or fill by observation.) oco havo 10 ocros or mora?		
53a, fo there any braken plastar or poeling paint an the cailing or inside walter	() () Yes a (No - Ship to Si		See Check frem C. page 9)	3 [] No	
b. Is the area of broken plaster or pooling point larger than this paper? (SHOW QUESTIONNAIRE)	₩ · □ Y • • • □ N •	CHECK	OWNED OR BEING BOUGHT		
S4. INTERVIEWER (Mork onr) II "Yos" wes marked (S0h, S1, S3a, and b, "No" marked in all a	te any of the flug previous questions and Stay - Ask S4 I the above tions - Skip to 55		[] One-unit structure on less establishment or medical items 21d and a., page 3) []] Hobila homa or traifer on []] All others — Skip to 87	a than 10 acres and there is no com ar dental offica on the property ("N – Ask 58 Tass than 10 acres – Ask 59a	nerclat a'' In
ts , , , (Specify the condition(s) mentioned in ony a five previous questions) as abjectionable that you w tike to mave from this house?	/ 1/64 14 (11) 1 [] Yas a [] No		RENTED FOR CASH If this is a -	a ohan 10 acres — Ship ta d e	
55. INTERVIEWER Hausehold head lived have (Mark one) U Yee [] Ne - Skip to Check J	last 90 days (Sre Check liam A(I), page 3) Iem C		[]One-unit structure on 10 (OCCUPIED WITHOUT PAYMENT OF If this is a -	cres er mere - Skip te 77 CASH RENT	
a. At any time in the lest 10 days have you even any mice or rote, or signs of mice or rote in this house (building)?	and I Tes a [] No - Skip to Check Item C		[_] One-unit structure en less [_] One-unit structure en 10 s [_] Twe-er-mere-unit structur	a than 10 ocres - Skip in 69 acres or more - Skip to 77 o, or a mobile home or trailer - Skip	5 te 67
b. Is this haves (building) sarrieed by an extended regularly, only when needed, tregularly, or not as a	IIT () () Acgularly a _) Only when needed a _] Irresularly e _] Hat at all	58. Haw wush da house and lo	your think this property, that is, a, would sell for an today's market? SHOW FLASHCARD B	(1) 1 [] Less than \$2,500 1 [] 5 2,500-5 4,179 1 [] 5,000- 7,419 4 [] 7,500- 9,119	
CHECK ITEN C (Item 10) OWHED OR BEING BOUGHT ITEM C (See litem 25a, { []] One w pope 3)	is structura, er a mobila hane ar valler - Skip ta 57 mare unit structure - Skip ta 67			€ [] 10,000- 12,499 €] 12,500- 14,999 7 [] 15,000- 17,499 9 [] 17,500- 19,999 9 [] 20,000- 24,979	Skip to #1
() OWHED AS A COOPERATIVE RENTED FOR CASH (See Here 21e, { [] Ogn-ur (See Here 21e, { [] The ee	DR COHDOMINIUM — Skip to 67 It structure — Skip to 57 "mar-unit structure, er a mobile humo er staller — Skip to 68			10[] 25,000- 29,999 11[] 30,000- 34,999 12[] 35,000- 39,999 13[] 40,000- 49,999 14] 50,000- 59,999	
CI DCCUPIED WITHOUT PAYHE	IT OF CASH RENT - Ask 56			10 [] 40,000 er mere	
	Page 9	·····		·ege 10	

.



21. 148. Included in rent or na charge - Skip in dell Step in b(I) Skip to 73d Stip in (11) al | Na. electricity not used 1 | No. these fuchs hat used as obtaloed fire . | No. Included in sent
 3. HILAVITER
 5. clina 1 - OCCUPED UNITS - (Include URE's) - Continued

 1. HILAVITER
 (Son time 7in, page 3)

 1. Control
 [1. planta base ar culter

 1. Planta
 [1. planta base ar culter

 1. Planta base ar culter
 [1. planta base ar culter

 0. Darrow of the mality base art or the fill
 [1. planta base ar culter
 (m) 8 at Has Included in cent 8 **0** (1) 1 10med - Stip in 10 rt | No. Included in rent 3 | No. 235 1101 USED . | Rented 1. I. (II) •1.]. on [] = en l'he ٢ -Are you paying a lower cont because the Fadoral. South, or lacal Government to pering part at the cost? la this haves (aratimat) in a public hovelag greject: that to, is to anned by a local havelag authority as estar public agency? b to the site cent facteded with the cent for the mabile home? (In addition to your cont) do you pay for c. What to the MONTHLY cost for the atta? (2) What Is the everage MONTHLY could (2) What is the average MONTHLY could ----4. (1) Oll, cool, korosono, wand, atc.? (3) Weils the YEARLY could (2) What is the YEARLY could . (1) Electricity? e. (1) Water? 4. (1) Carl I there treasently than once a month
 I (Leve treasently than once a month
 I (Once a month
 Netes .00 Per month Section 1 - OCCUPIED UNITS (Include URE's) - Continued (1) - 1 / 1 / 10 - 54 10 10 (1) (1) + [] 1 × + - Sup to M() 21 | 140 - Skip to d(1) (1))+(| Yes =| | No - Stip to 660 . 1 | 1000 | 1000 •n[]; ł During the part 12 martin (1) Voie any elditions mult by your property such a. (1) Voie any elditions mult by your property are a row, becomment, porch, or george? (1) Blue, yoo had ny napleessat Jaha ar pur penerity and a sambulating the and ar outs willy, subtring patients a daragarity, with subtring patient daragarit. Breather, a bandlay prod hardi. Articulate a patients with a chara-articulate application with a chara-cention, and De yeu have a garage er carpert on this property which is currently eveilable far yeur use? (1) How any distantion beam and a poor particly used as recordeding the further or tachware installing why, discretely, forces, them when a dam, a planlag how a dualing? (1) Have you made ony separing a your properiy such as painting as paparing a sone, as painting a diterary as bailed faces?
 (2) Did any jak cost \$100 as march 66e. In the next 12 menths, de yes plac to make orr additions, aftorations, replacements, errepoirs of the type I just acked yes about? 1. What is the MORTHLY read for module and the Mort of Mort b. De yeu espect any job to cost \$100 or more? (2) Did any jak cass \$100 is more? (2) Did any jak cost \$100 or more? (2) Did ony jak cost \$100 at mote?

FACSIMILE OF THE ANNUAL HOUSING SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE: 1974 (Continued)

Section 1 - OCCUPIED UNI	TS (Include URE's) - Continued	Section 1 - OCCUPIED UN	115 (Include URE's) - Continued
The distance in some could be some new for anything		The How many cars (seastenger outemobilies) are sward	
and trach collection?	(M) (D) Yes	or regularly used by members of your hearsheld?	(i) 1 (Nent
	a CT No - Skip to 74	(Count company cars kept at home, de NOT	- (<u>)</u>)
Non-territory of the territory of te		count trucks.)	»("12
	1		
b, What is the YEARLY cost?	.00		
14. INTERVIEWER (See Check Hem C. page 9)	19		
(Mark one)		b. How many trucks of ano-lon copacity or loss are award at resularly used by	(Id) I [] Nene
E1 Occupied without never	ent of each root - Ship to Chark item F	members of your households	
		(Count company trucks kept at home.)	
e. Do you cont this opertment (house) furnished	COLUMN TO E watched		
		79a. Did (kand) have a lab last work?	
	a Unfurnished - Skip to 74c	finclude if temporarily obsent from work	
b. Is the cast of this furniture included in the		and is livess' secones' takel' arc'	t Une - atip te Catta ttem r. pafe //
real, or do you pay for it coperately?	(11) + 1 _ Included in rent - Ship to 750	b. What is 's (hood) principal means	Private suite er carpest
	2 [] Separately - Ship to 74d	of transportation to work?	
c. Do you cont furniture fram some other source?			a Shares driving (carpool)
	(m) () Yes		Drives others to 79d
	2 No - Ship to 750		a Rides with someone ofse
		rimmen and the second se	* [] Welks enty
			. Warks at hame - Ship to Check Item F, page I
d. What is the HOHTHLY costf	(W)		7 C Railrood
Se. Are eaching facilities evoltable to connection			Subway or elevated
with this building?	(III) · [] Y * *		. Bus er streetcar
	2 Ho - Skip to 75e		to [] Tanicab
h De sta sent sent a senset			11 C Bicycle or matercycle
B. De you cant such a spacer	(B) [] Y		12 10ther means - Saecily -
	2 No - Skip to 75e		
c. What is the MONTHLY cost for this parking space?	(U) ³	e. Doos (head) usually AL 50 use on auto for	(m) (D)
d. Is the cast of the perking space included in			
the S (rent entered in 68), or do you pay	(13) Included in rent Skip to Check		2 [] No
ter H seperatelyf	2 Separately J frem E	d. How long does it usually take (head)	
Do you cant a making angre in the neighborhood		te get from huma ta work?	(W) 1 (") Under 15 minutes
other than that connected with the building?	(m) · [] Y · ·		+ (_) 15 to 29 minutes
	1 1 No		a [] 10 to 14 minutes
I then then the been th	1		4 [7] 45 to 59 minutes
CHECK (In Zie, pare 3)			ACT I have to I have 19 minutes
TEN E	te nome er trailer - 3kip te 77		
Twe-er-more-unit structure -	Ask 76a		
de. Dees the owner of this building live an	1	. That is's (head) ONE WAY distance	
this property?	(10) · [] Y + = Stip to 77	from home to work?	(10) Less than I mile
	3[]]Ne		a (] I to 4 miles
	a Den't knew		a 3 to 9 miles
b. Is there a resident manager, superintendent, or			4 10 te if miles
faulter who lives on this preparty?	(W) · [] Y · ·		a [7] 30 in 19 miles
	1 [] No		
	s Don's know		. j jo te jy miles
The sea as an marker of seas beneabed and a			7 [] 48 to 49 miles
second home or other living quarters which you	()) · [] Y**		• [] 50 miles er mere
actury comotions during the year?	3[]No		af The fixed place of work
accupy comotions during the year?	2 N N		» [] No fixed place of work

Section 1 - OCCUPIED	UNITS (Include URE's) - Continued	Section 1 - OCCUPIED UNITS (Include UME's) - Continued
C 1 UBE household (See cc.)	14m 251 - Ship to 102, page 22	Ble. Wes (Keed) the head of the household
CHECK (See Check liem A(1), page ITEM F [] Hend moved here bare 12	ge 3) live lass 12 months — Ask 80 senths er lenger — Skip to Check Item II, page 21	In his provides residence at the time he moved? (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3
10. What was the address of's (head) provious residence?	Address (Number and street)	{ } Ne spondent is not the head - Ask 826 s[]]No - Skip to Check Item II, page 21
	City or town	b. Were plus a manker of is (hard) Kourchald In the provincy residence? 2 100
		IN FERVIEWER I I the respondent is the brad, as "Yes" was marked in 87b - Ash gurstinns 81-98 in INSTRUCTION From of "your" periors residence, II "He" was marked in 82b - Ash gurstions 8 db is even of "badd" periors desidence.
	County Siste ZIP code	B3. How many reasons were in 's (great) (head) provings residence? Do not court bathrown, protes, balcente, halls, fayers, or ball course. balls, fayers, or
	QR (m) + (_) Cutolde the United States	It. Here many bediesnes were in 's (peu) (beed) It. Here many bediesnes were in 's (peu) (beed) For views residences (court many were mainly for stamping, even it used for other purposes. It
11. What is the mein reason (head) meved from his providus residence? (Write oil reasons mentioned below, and then	EMPLOYMENT (1) 1 Job transfer 3 Entered ar left U.S. Armed Farces	85. How many partons were in's (year) (bash protess residence at the time (year) (bash wardh)
mork the main (ecson.)	 \$[] Retiferent \$[] Computing reasons \$[] Computing reasons \$[] To attend school \$[] Other \$[All Y] 	86. Did, froud these consists planking facilities in's froud theod proviews continues the state of cold pland weter, a fluck tailet, and a banking or shower? 2010 - Also used by another household 2010 - Also used by another household 2010 - Also used by another household 2010 - Also used by another household
	(₩) + [] N-cdel larger house or operations I = [] N-cdel larger house or operations I = [] N-cdel larger house or operations I = [] Nowly manifed I = [] Nowly manifed I = [] Family decreased I = [] There are blish own household I = [] Other	 87. How many living quarters, both accould and arran or in the building account, is travel. (head provides residence was forciald) (head provides residence was forciald) (a) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
	OTHER (1) is [] Notghhamaad avercrawded is [] Change in racial ar ethnic composition af neighborhaad so [] Wanted better neighborhaad	7 [] 10 to 19 a [] 20 to 47 a [] 50 or more
	31[] Manied is som residence 32[] Lower rent or less expensive houso 32[] Manied better house 34[] Displaced by utam rennal, highway 25 (] Displaced by pilvate action 33[] Displaced by pilvate action	BB. Was 's (your) (hered) previous californa - Orand as being bought by comments in the household? (17):1 (17) Orned as being bought A comparative which was owned as boing bought by semione in the household?
	are	A conduction which was woned as being bought by sameone in the household?
	pi (1) Other	Occupied without payment of cash rent



	Section 1 - OCCUPIE	D UNITS (Include URE'	a) - Continued	
TRo. Ware parking connection wi	factfilles available in 16 the building?	()) · [] · ···	-	
		1 No - Skip to 1	18 e	
b. Did (you) a spato?	(head) cont such			
	WANTER V and for the			
parking space	1	(m) ¹	.00	
d. Was the cast In the S (you) (he	of the parking space included (cent entered in 92), or did ad) pay for it experisoly?	2 Separately	M } Skip to Check Item II	
a. Did (you in the neighbor connected with) (head) cont a parking space orhead other than that th the building?	₩ ' □ Y • • • □ N •		
CHECK ITEM H	INTERVIEWER READ The following questions are present neighborhood.	concorned with different	aspects of your	
TTo, Horo is a list in the vicinit you have? (f be defined as respondent's	of conditions which many peoply y of their homes. Which, if any, frespondent is uncertain, vicinit she area within a quarter of a m property.]	o bava , da ly may lla af	b. Daws () (condition) bather yaw?	e. Is it as abject- lenable that you would like to move from the netphochood?
(1) Street or ki	ishway solart	↔ () Yes - Ask b () No	Yes - Ask c No	Р П Үев • П Жө
(2) Heavy Irol	Het	() Yes - Ast b	Yes Ask c 2Ne	2 Yet 4 He
(3) Skeats or of repair, a	ooda continuelly in need or upon ditchest	1 Yes - Ask b	I Yee - Ask c J No	0 Yes 0 No
(4) Roods Imp water, atc.	esseble due te enew, P	Pres - Ark b	2 Yes - Ask c 2 No	1 Y+3 4 H+
(5) Inuduquata	street lighting?	100 - Ask b	□ ¥+1 - A14 c 2 □ N#	• [] Y • • •
(6) Notykharka	and colmot	(), () He	1 Yas - Ask c 9 No	3 [] Y+3 4 [] N+
(7) Tiosh, liv or roads, a In this not	er, or junk in the streets in empty late, or on properties ghborhood?	11) - No	Yes - Ask c 2 No	3 Y+3 4 H+
(A) Baardadia	e er ebendened structurest	1 Yes - Aik b	[]Yes - Ask c s[]Ne	3 [] Yes 4 [] Ho
(9) Occupied	iousing in rundown condition?	[]Yes - Ask 6	J Yes - Ast c J No	9 [] Y +9 4 [] N+
(10) Commercia raeldentia	i, Industrial, ar othar non- I activition?	110 - Ask b	PYes - Ask c 2 No	1 () Y 43 4 () N+
(11) Odora, am	aka, ar gast	1 - Aik b	TYes Ask c 2 DHo	0 [] ¥ es 4 [] H•
(12) Hotes from	aliptono froffict	() Yes - Ask 6 (1) () No	I Yes - Ask c a No	1 Yes 4 No
FORM \$148.1 (8.1.14)		Page 31		

Section 1 - OCCUPIED UNITS (Include URE's) - Continued 108. The fullowing questions are concerned with naighborhood services. b. fo it so inadequate ar uncettafactory that you would like to move from the noighborhood? e. De yeu have adequate or suitsfectory -. (B) () Yo (1) Public transportation? #[_] No - Ask # 1[]N. + Den't knew @•[]*• 2[] No - Ask b 1[]N. Den't know (3) Halphborhood shapping such as gracory stores or drug storest... @•[]*• ைபா I CINe - Ast b 2[]]Ne • [] Den't knew () · () · · · (m) () *** (4) Police protection? 1[]N. 1 [] No - Ask b 1 [] Den't knem ()) · [] *** (B) (C) Y** 1 1 No - Ast 6 1 (] He) Den't know (4) Hospitals or health clinical ()) · () Y** 1 - No - Att b +[]]No a 🗋 Dan's knew 101a. In view of all the things we have talked about, hav avoid you one this NEICHBORHOOD as a place to live - world you say it is excellent, good, hairs opposit. (1) C Excellent 1 [] Good) [] Falr 4[] Poer b. Haw would you cote this HOUSE (building) as a place to live - would you vay it is accellent, good, fair or pour? (IN) I Excellent 1 [] Good +C)Fall 4 () Peer OBSERVATION 107. Are there any buildings that appear to be abundaned and ar are there any buildings with windows braken as bearded up on this street? (m) () *** 1 () No [] URE Household (See Hem 7, page 1) - END AHS.7 QUESTIONS CHECK (See item 210, poge 3) ITEM I [] A one-unit structure, or a mobile home or trailer - Skip to IDS Two-or-more-unit structure - Go to 1030 -----Poge 32

FACSIMILE OF THE ANNUAL HOUSING SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE: 1974 (Continued)

116

j.

						Γ
Section I - OCCUPI	ED UNITS - Continued					Τ
DUSERVATION	(107. In the peet 1	Presta, 414 est member of 1410 testit teres for a		How muching	5
1034. Da the public halle in this building have light firitures?		e. Secial Securi	ir ar Reilrood Rottomont poyments?	(I) (I) (I) (I) (I)		81
	al No					IF
	of JNe public halls J amp to rote	b. Estates, hus	to as dividende?	() · · · /] · · · · ·	(M)	8
b. Are the light fistures in verking order?					([s
	(1)) - [] All In working erder	e. Interest on a	avings accounts as bonds?	() · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	
	a[]) Some in werking order			(18
	s [_] None in werking order	4. Hat rented In	come7	01 I 10 10 10 10	(m) -	1
104a. Are there lesse, bishes, or adjuring steps.		3				8
on ony common stategys lasta this building as attached to this building?						111
	af 1Ne common stativers - Skib to 105	f. Unemplaymen	d companiation)	······································		8
A Ace all state sulface firmly attached?		-				8
		S. Horkman b C.				11
	20	h. Covernant .	and a second	(m) [] Yes a [] W		8
-	s [] No state settings					IF
105. In the lost 12 months, how much did	Line Ne. America [Deflere aris]	I. Votorena pay		······································		8]
commissions (before taxes and deductions)?	[8
Obtain family income for head and all privices 14: in household releved to head	8					115
by blond or marilage. If the family has more than are members 14. combine		L. Allmony or of	Ald support to the second seco			8
the amounts for all other persons on the last "Amount" line.)	1	L. Ropelor cont	ibuttons from persons not fiving			8
						١I٢
•	(ii) (iii)	- Any hing also	······	·····	· · · · ·	8
•)			1		
	(m) (m)	CHECK ITEM J	Household Is panel 2 or 6 - Ast Household Is panel 1, 3, 4, or 5 -	END ANS-2 QUESTIONS		
•	(e)	Natas				
	(a)				•	
1044. In the past 12 months, hew much did Mis. femily sam is not facene from its own	8					
	all tost mener (Enter ensurt LOST on line above)					
b. In the part 12 months, how much did this foully over in not income from its own	w					
	. [] None					
	s [] Loss money (Enter amount LOST on line above)					
Frank 4110 \$ (1.1.71)	1.1	101-1-01 1-010 A A A	Ξ			

	VALANT (11115	Section 11 - VACA	LHT UNITS - Contaved
1. How many months has this house (speciment) here versal?	(100) · [] [from a public system (city woter deperiment).	(m) · [] A public system or private
	I I I month up to 2 months	ase.) as private company, on Individual wall,	
	s [] 2 manthe up to 6 menths	or sems other searce (s apring, grook, river,	
	a [] 6 menths up to 12 menths		
	. [] I year up to 2 years	b. Is the well delled as deal	Touties
	e []] 2 years of more		
2. Nov must litter susters, both seconded and			TIVE As the builder of the the second
vacant, are there in this bause (building)?		IT. Door Mit heats (building) have compared	of the Intended occupants?
	al _] Unt. drieched men any einer reuse	piped water, a flush seller and a bashab	(Fin) 1 ["] Yes - Enclusive ure - Att 11
	D Dure, attached to and or more houses	ar showor?	al No - Also used by another
	D		heutschold - Skip to 12e
			10 No - Stip to 12e
			(Mark and a me hash
	·[]101019 [Ship to Jo	FI. They many bailings and this nerve	
	•[] 30 10 49	A complete bookroom is a room with a flush	
	1 20 or merel	sollot, a batkick as shower, and a washbosta	
DAST RVATION		A told behave been been a flack toldal	one complete bathroom plus half bath(s) y
b. Is any part of this property used as a		or a builtub or shower, but does not bove	a [] Hait bark does NOT have flush tollet
commercial establishmon!		all he facilities for a complete bathroom.	4 [] Haif back has flush tellet
ONSERVATION			a []] 2 complete bathroems
c. Is any part of this property used as a medical			e []}there than 2 complete badweems
e: denial office?	*	12s. Is this house (building) connected to a	
DIRETIVATION		public source?	
30. How mony startes (Hours) are in this hours	(M) · ([]) · · 3 - Sub to ·		
		b. What seems of severy dispessions of the sever	(m) + () Sepule land or costpeed
			a [] Chemical tallet
	·[]] • ==•		
ONSERVATION			• Use factilities in another structure
V. I. Shere a persention alocator is this belief.			
4. Haw many rooms are in this house (aperiment)?			
Do not court beihrooms, porches, bolconios, layers, hells or helf-rooms.	(Ma) Number	13. What type of houting equipment door this	(m) + [] A central warmale furnace with ducts in
C P L I. dl. Land (mathematic		Il more than one, mark MAIN type of	
have a working electric wall evide		heating equipment)	Built-in electric units (primannuly
		-	installed in wall, celling, at baseboard)
6. flow many bodroome ate la this house (specimonifi			4 [] Floer, wall, or pipeless furnece
	Aumber		B Down brotes with flue at vent buining that a vent buining
	• None - Skip to B		• C Ream heaters without flue ar vent
To. to 11 necessary to pose through a badraom to	(m), [] Yei - Shiria -		7 1 Fireplaces, staves, as partable
gel tran and toom to unstact, excluding believent?	*		Jaom heaters
b. Is It accounty to part through a bodroom			a [] Unit has no heating equipment
to get to the bethroom?		14. Haw many rooms are there without hat air ducts or registers, redistors, ar room hosters?	()) + [] 1000
1. Deer shis haves (building) have camplete	Tres - Are these facilities only for the	(Eactude kitchen and bathrooms)	
kitchen facilities; that te, a kitchen sink with pired water, a rafigerator, and a range	use of the Intended occupanist		10,111
er e cestileve?	a		•[]] 1 rooms ar mar.
	•CJN•		
TL	1 33		**

	Section II - VACAN	T UNITS - Continued	Section II - VACANT	UNITS - Continued		
15a. Daes this house (renditioning?	(apartmant) have als	(1) Y to 1 No - Ship to 14	19. What Is the sale price acked for this property? SHOW FLASHCARD B	(Ba) 1 [Less than 32,500 4 [] \$ 2,500 - \$ 4,199 4 [] \$ 5,000 - 7,479		
h. Daos II have a co system or Individ	entrot all-canditioning dunt soom units?	(18) i ['] Central - Skip to Ið 2 [] Reom Units		4(1) 7,590 - 9,999 5(1) 10,000 - 12,499 8(1) 12,500 - 14,299 7(1) 15,000 - 17,499		
e. Il many join u	unite P	(1) + [_]] = ===============================		 a[] 17,500 - 19,399 b[] 10,000 - 24,999 ib]] 25,000 - 29,399 ib]] 10,000 - 14,999 		
 to all wiring in the concealed in the De not count appl coids, or chundel 	hta houso (aporimeni) walta er in metal coveringa? ilionco corda, antonstan llor corda.	(∰) {] Yes 1(] №		12[1] 35.000 - 39.997 12[1] 40.000 - 49.999 14[1] 50.000 - 59.999 14[1] 60.000 = mmre		
7. Is there a barama (A baramant is an can walk upitght	ent in this house (building)? n enclosed space in which persons under all er pert at the building)	() Yes z[] No	20. Is there a garage as carpert on this property, which is available for the use of accupanis?	(1) 1 [.] Yet 2 [] Na } Skip (n 26#		
CHECK ITEM A	VACANCY STATUS (cc (tem 26) FOR SALE ONLY (See item 2e, { 10ne-unit stru POBE 23) FOR RENT	icture – Ask 18 unit structure, er a mobile hame or traller – Skip to 20 riture – Ask 18	21. Whet is the MONTHLY rent? [I] rent is not to be poid by the month, mark the time period covered, compute the monthly rent in the "Notes" space, and enter the monthly rent on the line provided.] [Include site rent for mobile homes I/ II is to be poid sectorately.]	S [.50] Per manih [.50] Per manih [.] [Hare frequently than ance a manih a[.] [Less frequently than ance a manih a[.] Once a manih Nates		
	(See item 20, prize 25) { Two-or-more- ALL DTHERS (Other vacants, and similar unit	unit structure, or a mobile home or trailer - Skip to 27 units rented or sold, units held for accessional use 11 - Skip to Check from C	22. Is this house (apartment) in a public housing project; that is, is it award by a local housing controlly or other public agency?	س ۱[]۲۰۰ ۱] N•		
and similar uni (If numi transcribe from cc (tem 11b. If unbou ast an fill by observation) 18. Does this place have 10 acres or more?		(1) 1 1 Yes, 10 acres or more 3 1 Ne, less than 10 acres	 (3) In definite to real, does the contex of a pay is - o. Electricity? 	(11) + [] Yes 2 [] Ho, included in rent 8 [] Ho, electricity not used		
CHECK II	VACANT FOR SALE ONLY 1 this to a - [] One-unit structure on loos than 10 or medical or dental office on the) acres and there is no commercial establishment property (Items 26 and 2c, page 25) – Asis 19	b. Gost	Yes I No. Included In rent J_No. par not used		
	Alt others - Skip to 20		e. Water?	(1) + [] Yes a [] No, included in reni or no charge		
v 11	/ACAHT FOR RENT f this is a		d. Oll, čevel, karovana, waxd, otc.?	 i [] Yes a [] No, included in tent a [] No, these fuels not used or obtained free 		
	[]One-unit structure on loss than 10 []One-unit structure on 10 Acres or	i ocres - Skip to 21 more - Skip to 260	74. In uddision to cont, draw she conter also pay for garbage and track collection?	(B) (] Y** ≱] №		

.

Second newest vehicle

(12) ([Cur, station waynn al] Picking thuch al] Passerger van (nith mu al] Unite Amer (12th contan al] Other vehicle

	Section II - VACAN	IT UNITS - Continued	Section III - PURCHASES	AND OWNERSHIP (Automobiles and	eme Applicates}
	(See Item Za. page 25)		Now I have some pecalions about whiches	[111] [111 - Hoe man!	
CHECK	One-write structure, at a mebile h	ome er treiter - Skip te 26e	1. Dats aryone in Mis family own a car of shine ma		
	[]] Twa-er-more-unit structure - As	734	 Bern handlichten auf der freihen. 	(114) [1719 - Hen mart	
25a. Dave the aver	r al Ikis building live an	(1) 1 - VII - SIIP IA 740	 Under Brytens an prover specify provide a providence of whether proves and a providence of the schedule whether a provide of the schedule whether a provide of the schedule of th		
		a [] Ne e [] Den't knew	And J-19 for each valicle award. Ask for	Annow which	- Kenda
b. In there a res or joritor who	ident manoper, supertatondent s fires en Mis property?	(ii)-[],-	3. That hind of which is your forward which,	(II) ([]CH, HIMM THE	(II) 1[](n, 1110
		a 🗌 Na a 🗍 Dan't know	regen, trech as whal?	a belev have field contained)	Jahun ang
ONSERVA	TION			a Other which	=[]Other vehic
26s. Is the unit be	arded up?		4. What is the model year?	100 A	(ii) ii
DBSERVA	TION		3. Hen byy Climeter and it store		
building) that	r buildings fother then this 1 oppose to be abandoned and/or buildings with windows brakon		6. Pas Mis vencie nen en used aben yen purchaved M?	(11) ([] Her - Sup to 0	115 - 111 - 11 11 - 111 - 111
or bearded up	ise the street Sector 25		7. Tes H perchased from an automobile dealer or a princip party?	(JJ) (] Audo denter 2 Privade purty	(11) 1 . Auto destre
CHECK ITEM D	One-unit structure, or a mobile h	ada ar trailar - END INTERVIEW 232	 Is it used for any business purpose after than delying to and from mod? 	(M) () 741 - An 0 af 144 - Sue 16 18	11 - 111 - 11
77. Do the public lists figures	TION c halla la Alta bullding kovo P		 About shift percentage of the writely we man reducts to the burdness purposes after than ditring to and from noti? 	·	6
		T No public halls } Suip in 200	10. Les Mis vehicle puchased milles he part 12 months; Bal Is, since (month), 13937	(10)-[]]fer - Sup in 13 1[]M - Aib 11	111 - 111 - 111
b. Are the light	fictures in working order?	(11), [] All in working order	11. Is whet year use it purchased?		1
		e [_] Soma in working order p [] Nona in working order	12. Hen many thousands of miles and Mile which driven during Milesall 12. milital	(00 IR need reducts or question 20)	(10) (100 to mail 100)
No. Are there less	as, bishes, or aftering steps on	(@)-[_]'u	1). In that work was it purchased?		(1)
attached to the	terbing	(]	14. Han many thousands of milits has this schilds been driven since you and have the	(14) [141 100	() () () () () () () () () ()
b. Are all states	rellings Arady susched?	(B)-(1)-	15. How much did the car cool pflar any deduction for a trade-inf	100 100	iii
		ICIN END INTERVIEW	16. Hen much was your kude in alterance?		10) 100
Netes				er q. 70, page 37	
			[14. Tectre words spec Mel 1s, in faceth, 1913, did yes and the vehicle that yes haded lat	10m 10m	••[]• ••[]•
-			b. What type of vehicle was 117	(12) () Car, station ware af Picking linch	(1) (] Car, station at] Pricking funct
				s[] Fassengei van (olh vindones) 4[] Hober honer (seif contained) s[] Other vehicio	al Fassenper v - Judden home al] Other vehicl
			18. What was the model year?	(B)	(i)) (i)
			13. Hea any cylinders did It have?	(10)+[] Kove (14124) 3 []51 2[][1w +[]5124	(M) (Nove (initery
	Ĺ	1. 2	PORC 5115.1.1.8	211	

(13)1 (13)1

1(1)

(b) | | Nove (rater) | | |5:E

*

			
Section NI - FURCHASES AND	OWNERSHIP (Automobiles and Home	Appliances) - Continued	Section III - P 70. (Not Incl- Jing any vehic) have you sold or otherwis
			within the post 12 months 21. Did you own this vahicle
			in (-enit), 19737
	Third newest vehicle	Fourth newest vehicle	22. What type of vehicle was
3. That kind of vehicle is your (third newsel which a car station	(142) 11 Cm, station magen	(A) ([Car, station wagon	
wagon, liuch as mhol?	s["]Passenger van (with windows) «["]]tolow home (sett contained) »["]]Other vehicle	al JPassenger van (with windews) al JWalar hame (self contained) al JUber vehicle	23. That was the model year?
4. What is the model year?	(w) 19	(ki) II	24. How many cylinders did i
3. Haw many cylinders daes 11 hava?	(34) 1 Mone (rolary) 3 Sis 2 _JFout al_JEight	(1) I More (relary) of Six at Sraw at Sight	
6. Was this whicle new or used when you perchased 12?	(11) 1 New - Ship to 0 z[]Used - Ast 7	(1)9 1 [] Hen - Ship in 0 a [] Used - Auk 7	CHECK ([]He
 Vas it purchased from an automobile dealor or a private party? 	(170) 1 [] Aula desler 2 [] Privala party	(171) 1 Auls de aler a Privala party	()!!«
8. Is H used for any business purpose other than delving to and from work?	11) 1 Yes - Ask 0 al Me - Ship to 10	(1) 1()Yes - Ask P a()No - SAIp to 10	25s. Within the post 12 months bave you or another family sk canditioner?
 About what percentage of the infleage his this vehicle is for hysiness purposes other than driving to and from work? 	(17)1	(J) 1	
50. Was this vehicle purchased within the past 12 months; that is, since (month), 19737	(176) 1 () Yes - Ship to 13 2 () No - Ash 11	(1)) 1() Yes - Ship in 13 a() No - Aph 11	b. Tas # pwchosed new or s
11. In what your was It purchased?	(II) II (II)	(ii) II	c. How much did it cost?
12. Here many thousands of pilles was this vehicle driven during the past 12 months?	(10) [.60] (Oo to next vahicle or question 20)	(0 to evention 20)	26. Han wany black and while bove in your hand?
13. In what month was It purchased?	·····	•	
 How many thousands of wiles has this vehicle bern driven since yes purchased H? 	(10) (.00) (.00)	(1)	27. Han many calor folovision your hann?
13. How much did the car cost allor any deduction for a leade-in?		(W) · (M)	
16. Hen much nas your leads in allowance?	(18) 1	()) 1 1 []He hade in - Oo io q.20, page 32	280. Have you or another family a talevision sat within the that in, since (month), 197
170, Twolve months ago, that is, in (month), 1973, did you own the vehicle that you traded in?	()10) 1 [] Yes 2 [] No	(m) ([]Yei 2[]Ne	
b. Thei lype of vohicle was H?	(192) +[_] Car, slallen wagon a[] Pichup Inech	(m) (Cor, stoken wagen a[]Pickup kuch	b. Was It a blach and white a
	=[_]Passenger ven (with windows) =[_]Halor home (setf contained) =[_]Other vohicle	s[] Passenger van (mith windows) 4[] Molor home (sett centained) s[] Other vehicle	c. Was R perchased as we pe
18. What was the model year?	(m) II	(m) I9 (ii)	
19. Hen many cylinders did it have?	(J) I Home (rolary) S Six	(1) ()Hore (relay) ()Si a [] Foor 4 [] Eight	d. How much did it coal?
FORM ANE.4 (5-1-1+1	taa 1		

.

FACSIMILE OF THE ANNUAL HOUSING SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE: 1974 (Continued)

URCHASES AND OWNERSHIP (Automobiles and Home Appliances) - Continued ics which you have finded-la), in disposed of a vehicle i; that is, since (meanih), 1973? (19) 11 141 - Ask 21 (Il more than ore, ask 21 for most recently disposed of vehicle) al]Ne - Skip to Check liem A 12 menths age, that is, (1) 11 | Yes - Ask 22 2| | He - Ship to Check Jiem A H7 i [1 Car, statum wagan a [7 Pickup track b [7 Pastenger van (mith windows) a [3 Metan kame (seit cantained) a [3 Other vehicle (1) 19 __ () · () None (retory) have? 2[]four 2[]\$k 4[][ight ilon I, Itams 484-c, page 8) uschold has one ar more 1900 air conditioners - Aph 23a schold has central air conditioning only 5440 10 20 schold has no sir conditioning (1) { Yes - New mang? 1 [] { 4 2 [] ? es mese 3 [] Ng - Ship to 20 ; that is, since (menth), 1973 member purchased a room Fust mit Second unil (1) 1 Her 2 1 Used t be n telerisien cets de yes e I None s I One s I Two e I Note er mele (a) I || Hana I || Oria I || Two I || Three of mare sets de yes have in (10) [Yes - Naw many] 1 [] 4 2 [2 41 more 2 [No - Ship to 290 y newber purchased post 12 months, 137 First set Second set (11) 1 Diack and while 2 Color (1) | | | Black and while 2[] Color r color sail @: (1) · [] Hen ed? ... (i)) P+4+ 32



			Sec	Hen IV - I	HOUSEH	OLD PERSON'S PAGE				E			Sect	len IV	- HOUSE	HOLD PERSON'S PAGE - Continu	,d		
			1	RANSCRI	E FRO	H CONTROL CARD						TRANSCI	RIBE	ROM	CONTROL	CARD (BEGIN TRANSCRIPTION	N PAGE 35		
1.	Line number of hausel [cc 14 pr AllS-2, item	old reap Sd)	anda	NI		3. Highest grade complete	d by head (cc 2	3n)		Ē	~	46. Relationship to		HO	Ar. An	HARACTERISTICS - Continued 4d. Marital status (For persons 141)	de. Rece	41. 50.	
2.	Ethnie origin (cc 23)					Grades K through Tw	elve	2				household head (cc 15b)	L.		(cc 10)	(cc 17) 1 - Mairiad A - Suparatud 2 - Vidawod S - Navar marilad	(cc 20) 1 - White 2 - Negre	CIRC	21)
(D)	I Hextenn-America	n '				(1) II Kindergarien	• Sev	enth		1	5			JSE		3 - Diversed	1. Oiker		1
	1 Chicano					al Second	al INc	ath.						1			Child Cost	Fiale_	- male
	1 flexican					at 1 Third	11111	nth	- 1 I									1.	1 1
	•! Mexicano					st Fourth	111 161	eventh				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.1					
	SI IPuerte Rican					4] Filth	1111	elfth											
	I Cunan		_			7 ["] Stuth							1					1.	1 . 1
	I Other Seenish -	Sherify	-							-									
1.	1 10000 300000 =		,			College (Academic y	EATS)				.							<u>'</u>	1 2
							17[[[4						1	1				1.	1,1
Ι.	1 10ther - Specify						10,10			- H								· · · · ·	
~	PGM 4 -+ 1			HOUSEH	OLD CH	ARACTERISTICS							-					1	2
4. 1	46. Relationship to			Ac. Ann	44. Mart	tal status (For persons 141)	4. Rece	14. 5.					1	1	1.		1	1	1 1
	household hood			(cc 10)	(cc	17)	(cc 20)	10	21)			-							
1	(cc 15b) ,				!-	Maintad 4 - Separated	1 - Mhita			· 1.								<u> </u>	
ΖĚ		0,00	ICE	1.1	5.	Diverced	3 - Other	1	CE UNE		- 1		1.0	1.4					
ع د		01	LY			ENTER CODE	ENTER CODE	Mair	Female		1			1-					
		_						1		L	_	and a second 1 1961 11 Plansing Southerney Conception		_				1.1	2
							·	1	11					1				Ι.	1.1
								1.1	1,1	-			-					<u> </u>	
		-1-		Press and the second															2
						100 B		1	2				1-	T					
										-		-							1
								<u> </u>		- 1			1				1		
					1.1	1		1.	1 1	ľ	-		-	-					
										_ I.			_L	1	I		l	1	12
								1	1	- I'	Note	•							1
								۱.	1,1	- 1									
								<u>†-∸</u> -											
								1	2										- 1
				1				Ι.	1.1										
								<u> </u>											
				1.1				1	1 1										
-	The second s																		
								<u> </u>	11							1			1
								1											
								1	1										
									+										
								1											
0.00	A				P	• 35										Pag- 36			



Facsimile of the Annual Housing Survey Questionnaire: 1977

,

	2 PGM 2		
Section IIA -	VACANT UNITS	- III - Britan III -	VACANT UMTS
TRANSCRIBE FA	ION CONTROL CARD	To. Is this unit intended for processing wee, for	TEAR ROUND - Ait b
le. Number et living querters (cc 37a)	 If I tradit have an water for permanent Tender (1) - Star (1) Tender (1) - Star (1) Tender (1) Tender (1) Tender (1) Tender (1) Tender (1) 	accounts only on a sessional bool, of far see by algent wateref	(a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
	101 101 101 101 101		• [] higterit - State
	•	b. In this have (speciment) for real, for allo maly, reared and accepted, and an accepted, hald for eccenteral and, a contribute diref.	(10) 1 1 Vecani - fou real Vecani - fou rian 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
b. Other Heley quarters an property (cc 37d)			s () Renv d, nat accupited a () Sald, nat accupited s () Hold for accurated use
e. Commercial establishment on property (cc 274)	······································	 Hev wary wards has this have (speriment) been vecan? 	•] Other vacant - Specify (1) • [] Less than 1 month
d. Mudical or denial affice an property (cc 371)	.0. #D		1 2 Americk up to Concerts 1 4 4 Americk up to Concerts 1 2 Americk up to Concerts 1 2 Press of the
Za. Manhar at starting (Maasa) (cc. 39a)	(1) 1 - 5/9 (0) (1) 1	9. Her many bedreams are in this have (spectram))	(1) Acteorie 0 Acteorie 0 Acteorie
L. Parsanges elevetes (cc. 746)	10.10. 11.11.	10s. Is it meeting to go through anyond's bullions to get to any balkioon?	11. 11.
3. Humber of reams (cc 30)	(i) (mrs	b. It II necessary to go through anyone's badroom to got to any other room?	
 Maching electric well earlier (wellylug) in ell research (cc. 31) 	() 10 10 10	 Dora dia kuona (bullding) hava campleta bitchen facilitara: skat u., a bitchen aid- uitk pipad watar, a miligunetar, and a range un condutura? 	[] Yet
5. Concooled wining (cc)2)		12. Door Air Anno (kuliding) how complete plumbing terititury: Mart Jack and and plood broads - Mark helio and backsho	T Yes Are there facilities only for the
de. Severe of welon (cc 3)o)	(M) I [I A ONALIC SYSTEM OF DEVALUE COMMANY - RND TRANSCRIPTION 3 [] An Individual well - Go to b	to show of	1 No - Alto used by another household - Stip to 14a 1 No - Stup to 14a
	1 Sama other source - Specify - END	 Her many complete builtoness and helf bufficent deer Aits heres (aperiment) here? A complete bufficent is a ream with p 	(1) I () Complexe Alumbing facilities but not in over some
h. Type of well (cc 3)b)	• [Ibur	workhards with Dipod work. workhards with Dipod work. A half benknown has on least a fluck failts ar a bestick as a house, but	 I comprete methodom I comprete methodom C methodom plus a half bath A comprete hadroom also a half bath with flush beilet
END OF TR	ANSCRIPTION	eren mer mere all his mereline war	e [] 2 campirer bakiraans e [] Hare Wan 2 campirer baihrooms
		1014 Aug.4 14.11)	

	Louis III - VACAN	IT UNTL - CANANA	- HI-L	IB - YACAHT UNITS - Cantured
de. le Ikie houre (h public count	wilding) connected to a	(0) 11 1 411 - Stab to 15 21 1 140	[1] rural transershe from CC item 37h. 1] urban osh or firit by abservation.] 14. Deer this place have 10 acros er moret	(11) + [] Yes, IO acces a more at [] Ne, tess dam to acces
b. What means of a	arrent dispersi dara la farat	(1) 1 Sevie and ar certood 1 Formeri fulter 1 Forger 1 Porg 1 Daher - Specify	CHECK I billie OF IALE O CHECK II billie Of One candidate of enclosing of the officient of VACUAT FOOR REVI- 10 billie - OR REVI- 10 billie - OR REVI-	4.7 4
5. What type of he (speriment) here	reiling equipment door this here.	(6) 1. A control vom of fumeres with ducto In	[] One will struct	re on reas when to ecces - sup to re re an 10 acres ar mars - Skip to 2/s
H more thin an healing equitme	re, mail MAIN type of	1. Heat proof 1. Heat was very very a 1. Balina of Act very series 1. Balina deciti, active, beneated 1. Tras, active a generate deraves	N. Mai li ha via pilo aikad far his pro (condominium unit)? SHOW FLASHCARD B	(i)
		() Reach hadron WITHOUT files on seal		11,100 11,100 11,100 11,100 11,110 11,111<
		seem hooters of .) Unit has no heating equipment	21. Is there a garage of corport on Mis propo- which is available for the use of accupan	1, 0,010 viii) sub 10 viii
A. Har mary toon or selection, and count blackers	district vitrat has all dech district or room houser? Do not nd both some.	 1 [] Nort 1 [] 1 cont 1 [] 1 cont 1 [] 1 cont 	 Wastin, No. MOUTHLY read? Traiting and to be poind by the mouth, me fit read in not to be poind by the mouth, me the fite particular space, and prior the read in the "Moins" approx. 	th hty (10) [] there treasurely when nece a month
He. Dese this hear candidaning, of units as a contro b. Which dass if f.	- (spectronel) have alt that hadiefaal soom al sy stood ave?	(4) +(.) Yes 1.) Na - Silo 10 10 (4) +(.) Connal - Silo 10 10	monthly rend on the time provided.) (include the real for mobile homen 1/111) to be poind separately.)	Class frequently then and a month Class a manih Mana Mara
c. How many room	. anthe T	(i) A em volts	21. Is Als Aures (pariment) in a public Auro poloci; Ansi I, in it around by a local ha authority ar ather public apprect)	
1. Is there - bases 1. basement 1. con welk uprigh	mont in this house (building)? an enclosed space in which persons it under all as part of the building.)		24. In addition to root, door the ranter also p a. Elactricity?	r lus - ()), C. Yu 1 () 14. helved h con 1 () Mr. deciricity on und
	VACANCY STATUS (See Hem 76, pe POR SALE ONLY		k. Gee ?	(1))-1 (.) Yee 1 (.) Me, Meduded In rent 1 (.) Me, gas ned used
CHECK 1724 A	[] A condominit [See Crairof [] One unit stra Card item 27.0] [] 1 two or -mare	um - Stip to 20 krune - Ash 19 uris structure, er a mabile hanne er trailet - Stip to 21	c. Kateri	(1) [] 1 vei 1]_Ma, meluded in rent ar na churge
	FOR RENT (See Control 1 1 mm mumor (ard from 27a) 1 1 mm mumor	sciure - Aik 10 suist surveisure - e e maikite home er suister - Skip to 37	4. Oil, coal, toronor, -ood, oto?	(19)+ () Yest 1 () He, included in real 1 () He, increations are used an absoluted free
	I JALL OTHERS (Other vacants (See item) and similar units	units scaled, units held for occasional use, scasomal. 1) - Skip to Check New C. page ?	25. In eddition to ront, door the ronter also p for goineys and trach callection?	r (ii)
	h-		10 414 14. 14. 14. 14.	Page 4

-

	Section IIB - YACAHT	UHITS - Contineed					Section	an IIIA -	OCCUPIED UNITS (Include URE)			
CHECK	fSee Control Card siem 27 al						1	RANSCRI	BE FROM CONTROL CARD			
ITENC	[] One unit structure, or a mobile he	ime er traller - Skip to 27.0		1. L	Ine number of household		-	(cc 10)				
	I Two or more unit structure - Ask	26.		(ii).								
24s. Does the own	ner of this building. Do you (if speaking)) five an this preserv?	(11) · ([] Yes - Skip to 27a		HOL	SENOLD CHARACTER	13110	1 - D	O HOT LI	ST URE'S ("N" IN TIC) UNLESS E	NTIRE HOUSEH	01.0.15	
		t [] Don't know		1	7k Øalationskie			15. A. 1	14 Marthal status (Far assess	11	The C	
	sident manager, supplications	CO IL IVII			househeld he			100.14	14.) (cc 15)	(ce 14)	10	in
et janitar wh	a lives an this property?	1 (1) No		I	(** 1•)				1 - Meritad 4 - Superitad 3 - Videwad 5 - Nover marite	1 - White		
) [] Dan't know		ţĝ		1			3 - Birarzad	3 - 0m.,	CIRCI	LE ONE
OAST AVATI	ON	(i) (i) Yee		j j	INCLUDE HEAD	uit	OHLY		ENTER CODE	ENTER CODE	Hale	Femple
27 e. Is the unit b	varded up?	11 <u>1</u> H•	1 [Г					1,	2
ONSTRVAT	ON .	0	1 3									
b. Are those any that appear 1	y buildings (other than this building) to be abanduned and/or are there any	(m) (C) (m)	6			<u> </u>					<u> </u>	
buildings with	th windows biskon as baardadiup an	*{_}}N*				Ľ					1	1
	(See Control Cord Item 27 a)		1								1	1
CHECK	One-unit structure, or a mobile he	me or trailer - Skip to Check Item E				f-					1	
ITEM D	1.1 Two or-more-unit structure - Ask	20.0		ļ		⊦ _					<u>-</u> -	
ONSFRVAT	00	(W) (Tro				L					<u> </u>	1
28a. Do the public light features	c haife in this building have	st 3 He aublic halls Ship to 27e										1
						1~						
b. Are the light	flatures in working erder?	st Some in working order				-						
		st Hone in working order				L	1_1				1	1
Pe. Are there for	are, braken, ar mtexing stops on	(iii) ·[] Yes	1					1 1		1		1 1
eny common	stateways instda this building as his building?	113H+				ti -						- ,
		1 1 He common staliways - Skip to 30				i						
6. Are all state	rolfings firmly ottached?	(III) (E) Yes		_		L					1	1
		s []) Ne								1		1
DOLT BY AL												
10. Hew many at	laites (fleass) are there from the main	1 [] One (us er down)									·	I
ention co of i	the building to the main entrance	st 1 Two or more (up or down)				L						1
	Le stillet and have and added for start for	them blo - Bad AHS.2 Interview and as to	1							1	•	1 1
	T Gran bet marter in Control Car	Control Cord Hum 39				_						,
CHECK	BOTH "Rust" box marked in Contr	eF Card Item 37a AND				h						
ITEN E	() "No," "NA" at "DK" in Centrel	or 376 - Mile 37 Calid litem 37c or 37d - End AHS-2 Interview and				L.					·	1
		go to Control Cord Hom 39										1
11 During the -	and 12 months did unlaw of sums		1			F						,
live stack an	d ather form products from this	(13) 1 1 Yes End AHS.2 Interview and set a set a Control Cord Hom 39				<u>†</u> -						
		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				L					1	1

Stip to Bo 1 💭 Tenant fames (rent in crops and/ar fivestack) Skip to Be a 门 Farm laborri ar farm fereman z []] Provided by friend ar relarive I Occupied without payment of cash rent » [' | Owned ar bring bought as a candaminuum s ['] Owned or being hought as a cooperative • [] Other •{] Rented for cash by you of someone else 1) 1] Owned ar bring hought • ["] Other - Specify Sertion IIIA - OCCUPIED UNITS (Include URE) - Controod TRANSCRIBE FROM CONTROL CARD والمراجع المراجع () [Provided by Joh . | Nonfarm referred Form related . Page 10 Ta. Why as cash sont fee 26af b. Type of job (cc 200) Tonurg fee 25al 11-01-1-1-1-1-0 - - 2 Notes • (film) (5sm) College(Academer prant) College(Academer prant) College(Academer prant) (55 mm) (156 mm) al Seventh el Elphih od Nunth i Tenih i Eleventh i Turitih + Go to 4) 1 Pericon American 1 Contention 1 Perican 1 Perican 1 Perican 1 Perican 1 Colore South American 1 Colore South American 1 Other Stantha al 1965 to April 1, 1970 al 1960 to 1964 al 1950 to 1954 al 2, 1950 to 1954 (1) of "Never altended school Leafun IIIA - OCCUPED ANT DALLARD Leafun IIIA - OCCUPED VIT DALLARD VIEL - Continued TRANSCRIPE FOON CONFROL CARD . •| Other - Specify 5 After April 1. 1970 Honth (01 -12) 500 777 ٢ ۲ ٢ 1.1.4 5. When head moved in (cc 21) 1 Ethnic origin (cc 20) -----NOTES

Facsimile of the Annual Housing Survey Questionnaire: 1977 -- Continued

Section IIIA - OCCUPIED	UNITS (Include URE) - Continued	Section IIIA - OCCUPIE	D UHITS (Include URE) - Continued
TRANSCRIDE F	ROM CONTROL CARD	TRANSCRIBE	PRON CONTROL CARD
Ba. Humbor of Ilving quinters. (cc. 274)	(i)) 1 Habita hame as trailer (no primmint ream siteched) a One, detached from Any aither building 1 One, detached is one or more buildings 4] a. 5 hip to	1dø, Suuren of water (cc 339)	 I A public system as private company - Skip to IS An individual nell - I ill I db Some other source - Specify - Skip to ISo
	6 5 is 9 1 10 is 19 6 120 is 49 5 136 g x marce	6. Type of well (cc 336)	() () Duilted 3 () Duilted
6. Anchored mobile home (cc 276)	(07) + Yet 1 Ne { Don't know	(_) Two-or-more unit subucture - Skip to 14 15a, Storm windows (cc. 34a)	 Yes, all =indows Yes, same windows No
e. In group of & or more mobile homes (cc 27c)	(1) 1(1) Yes 2(1) No } Ship to to	b. Starm doors (ce 346)	() + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
2 : Rentri accupied - Skip to 8e d. Other Itving quasters an property (cc 27d)	(B) + (] Yes , (] Ne	e. Attle or roof insulation (cc 34c)	(@)([]Y**
e. Commercial establishment on property (cc 27e)	(i) +[_]Yes 2[]Ne		s () Dun't knew
4. Medical ar dental affice an property (cc 271)	(1) () Yes 21 1 No } Skip 10 100	Rented for cash or occupied without paymont of cash rent - Skip to 17 16, Gurage or carport available (cc 35)	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
To Your mobile have (trailer) acquired (cc. 20x)	(i) ''	17. Cooking fool (cc 36)	Ges
b. Mobile home (trailer) new when acquired (cc 28h)	@ 11 Yes 2] No		the nerghbothaud z [] Bussied, sank, or LP a [] Elecwicksy
e. Purchass pilce (re 20r)	(1) 3 (00) Purchase price Salp e(_) Net purchased		 a [] Furlant, kriatrné, štc. b [] Casi ar cake c] Wead t [] Wead t [] Other fuel a [] He fuel usted
10a. Numhar af starlas (Haars) (cr. 27a) 🥌	(1) 1 1 0 3 - 54 p 10 1 3 1 4 10 4 1 2 12 12 12 12 4 1 13 or more	18. Uso at iolophano (cc 300)	@;[]Yee ;[]Ne
b. Fassanger alavator (rc 296)		EHD OF	TRANSCRIPTION
11 Number of rooms (cc 30)	(1) Room1	NOIES	
12 Parking electric well entlet (well plug) in each room (cc 31)	(); [] Yei #[] Ne		
1) Concooled wiring (cc 32)	(i) ' [] Yes z [] Ne		

	Sector HIE - OCCUPI	th the TS /Include URE)		Section III - OCCUP	IED UNITS (Include URE)
CHECK (1) Hour ITEM A here	poris (See cc 21) schold head lived Tass 70 days	€0) + E∵Yea = 1 [] Ne	35. How many fupartment steeping a	bedreems de yeu have in yeus heure 1º Count sooms weed meinty for een it used for other purposes	(9)Brd:70m1
(3) Hous here (3) Heus durts	schold hend lived Tast winter schold hend MOYED here ng the fast 12 months	()) + [_`Y•; + [_`.₩• ()) + [_`Y•; +] - ; [_`₩•]	340. In 18 nores brdrasm to	eary to go through anyone's got to any bothroum?	• Hong - Skip ta J# • ' Yrs 31 'Ha
I JURE No (See co He INTERVIEWER OWNED OF	usehold (See item 7, page m 23 and AliS-2 Check Item 1 BEING BOUGIIT AND	1) - Skip 10 32 n A(3))	t le ff average	sary to go through anyons's gat to any ather saom?	() 1] Y 2[]H+
HARK 1 Cl House mark	schald hend maved here dui ind in Check Item A(3)) — A athers — Skip to 31	ing last 12 months ("Yes" ben 198 30a	CHECK ITEN B	(Ser cc IIc) Do not count persons w ["]Hausehold hos I or 2 pers ["Household has 3 or more p	ish usual residence else-here unless ensire household is URE. ans - Skip to 30 arsons - Ask 37e
30e. is this the first hame (us (his/hor) usual residence vacation homes, or homes p or rental purposes.)	boad) has aver annod at (Do not include purchased for commercial	(10) 1 [] Yes - Skip to 31 2 [] No - Ask 30b 3 [] Head is not the awner - Skip to 31	370 Are any bar 3 or main p	draams used for sleeping by arsons?	(1) Yes
 How many homes has (1Do not include vacation ho for commercial or renial pur for commercial or renial pur 	(head) owned altegether? omes, or homes purchased rpases.)	(4)) 1 { . } Two 2 { . } Three or more	Are any of	the persons — he use this bedroom norms) 13 years of ago or alder?	> [_] No - Skip to 30
31. Were (wes) yes (head) the E this hause (opertment) or di Hvo here before you (head)?	first accupants of 1d someone also 7	(d1) { } First occupants a { } Previously occupied	30. Do you have	e complete hitchen facilities in this	at the
32. On April 1, 1975, way Armed Forces?	(head) in the U.S.	() + [] Y++ + [] H+	piped voter contenant	, a refrigurator and a range or a	a[]Yes - Alto used by another household a[]No - Ship to 40
MARK 2	m 21) - 21 15 alter April 1, 1975 - - 21 15 April 1, 1975 or sail	Ask 33 Ier - Skip to 35	39. Are the kits range or cos condition?	han sink, rafrigazatar, and skatava all in uzabla	(NI) 1, Yes - Skip to Check Item C 2[] Ho
33. On April 3, 1975, in which (tawn, baraugh ar village) d	State, county and city Hd , (head) live?	State			
		County City (Tawn, Baraugh ar Villinge)	40. Do you hav a. In this buil	o pipad -ator - dingt	1 [] Yes - Ship In Check Item C 2 []Ne
		(1) + Ourside the United States - Stip to 25	. Aveilable .	tikin 174 milet	() 1] Y 11 3 [] No } Skip to 45b
INSTRUCTION	tewn, borough ar village)" e name entered in item 33, es" answers to item 34 for in item 33,	entered in them 33 - Ask item 34 of "thot" place. ask item 34 af "is city (town, bowugh er village). "is city" - Ask for name of place and enter it on	NOTES		
34. Did (head) live inside t rity (tawn, baraugh or villa	the limits of that (s) gol?	() 1 Yes			

~

Section (118 - OCCUPIED U)	tits (lacindo URE) - Continued	Section NIS - OCCUPIED U	HITS (Include URE) - Continued
Harden and head thead the set of the set of the set	(See Check Hern Ald), have 131	45a. In this house (building) connected to a	i(or) . I I Yes . Stich to Check Item f
CHECK Yes - At the		public source	1
41 At may time in the last 70 days were you COMPLETELY without rearing were?	(1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1	4. What mennes of second disposed do you used	((ur)) 1 [Sepuir Land an erethroad al [Fhranical laiter al [Privy
. Pers pre completelle uthered transley water for 4 consecutive boost as merel	(1) 11/1/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/1		41 Urs factures in mother structures
c. Nov. many thread	() 1 1 = ==============================	CINECK Houvehald head lived here taxi 90 day CINECK 1 Yes - Ast 400 TTEM F 1 No - Stib for 47	rs (See Check tion A(4), hoge ())
d. "What was the facat command reason you area completely without acts for & connecting have a more - was in the count of problems include the building.	(1) 1[.] Initia - Specify problem	the. At any time in the last Pd days was there a banddame in your public secon (regist tood or coorgadiy dard is, was it is completely number?	(ea) 1[]Yes 2[]46 - 51.6 to 47
	el :) Ouride - Sercify problem	b. Did any of these broaddowns lost & consecutive hours or more?	(ii) -1
42. De pue here complete plandleg facilities in Nit here (beliding): met te, her and cold producter. a flack talte and a bothub et sheere.	(1) 1 Yes - For this hourshold only 1 Yes - Also used by mether hourshold } Skip 1 No	c. Her many al Acce breaddance were Accel	11 10 mil to a function of the
4). Har were content behaviors and hell	(Mrd only me bos)		1 1] er mere
A semplers between it a seem with a Mark milet, between an abover, and a Mark milet, between an abover, and a souther is with place with a A bill	 and the one result 	47. Haw to you have forethers) haved - by ges. all, sheetifeity, as with some other faal?	Generation of the second
bertiken der all reder auf der auf der an erbitete er der auf der anderen all der tectititet for a comptete berkunne.	 (1) I choose a fluctuation (1) I constrained and the fluctuation (1) Constrained and the fluctuation (1) Constrained and the fluctuation (1) Fluctuation (1) Fluctuation 		el Rautes, Lonis, ar L.P. 2. Featail 6. Krausses, etc. 2. Electurity
CHECK Hausehold bred fixed here fart 90 days 11EM D [] Yes - Att 440 [] INO - Site in 450	i fsee Check liem A(I), bage I))		al Contractor
44e. At my time in the last 90 days was these a heatdawn in year Nuch tallel; that ie, was It completely available?	(0) +[]Y++ +[]N+ - Stip to 45a	48. What type of housing equipment does part house (measurement) have? (Aread answer does part house	el Na fuel verd (66) 1 [] A control vom alt funnes with duris in Laderdard rooms
b. Did ory of date broaddaws last A contecutive	(1) 1 [141 - SUP.10 150	(Mark heating equipment used most)	2]. Hear pump 2].] Stocks of her weiter agrican
4. Haw many of these bred downs were there?	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		 Thethele alerents water (presencedy landing to celling, celling, ar basebased) Theory well, and there is there are a set of a set of the se
 West was the front common overs you was completely without the use of your fluch tailed but A consecutive hours or more - west th 	(11) 1 [] histole - Socilly problem		7] Barn barner WITHOUT Har w ver barner grev, vil, er brevere }
berave of problems harde the building or problems outside the building?	af.] Outside - Specify problem		• [] [Interfaces, there, at prinds.] From 5 more than the set of the set o
			at 18

	Section HIB - UCCUFIED U	MISSINCIUM UREI - Continued	- CCUPIED UN	4 TO LIACIUGO VAEJ - Continued
CHECK ITEN F	Household head lived here LAST WINT { "Yes = Ask 49 [] Ha = Skip Iv 50	EA (See Check Hem A(2), page 13)	CHECK ITEN H ITEN H ITEN H ITEN H	(See Check Hem A(I), page 13)
19. During the w heating spati- heave to exec- regular spate toolade adde toolade adde toorces of h or a perioble	Anter of (gran), when your repulse two way making, did you, at any timo, additional sources a threat the caver your en did not provide usargh heart. On ant timort sources a di hast and a valedy, he rement energy shoringe. (Additional reat may be the blitchen store, a fireplace hearter.)	₩ 1 Yes s Ne	540. Here any electric fores as baskes autocher biom in your heure (apactment) in the fast 90 days? b. Haw many times did iki's happen?	() 1 Yes 1 No
•. How many im ar registers, rount blicher	ung da yaa have without het eir ducts radioturs, er woon houters? Do not a ar botkreams.	1 Nnne 2 1 ream 3 2 ream	550. Dava yaar haavaa (apartmant) haava garbaga raitactian xarrica (olihar public or privata)?	() + () Yes a () No
CHECK ITEA G	Hausehold head lived here LAST WHT 	-t, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	b. Now alies is the periods reliacied?	(m) 1 Less than ance a week 1 Dree a werk 1 1 Three ar meet lines a week 1 1 Three ar meet lines a week 1 1 Three ar meet lines a week 1
Io. At any time o there a break is, was it co. knort ar more b. Hew mony th	L. I. and a state of a state of the state of	(ii) +[_]Yes =[_]Ne = Skip to SZe (iii) +[_]1	c. How do you dispose at your gailage? (If arrie then one method used, mark the one used most.)	Incinerator I Trash chuit or compactor I Trash chuit or compactor I Grash chuit or compactor I Gravy out in be picked up I Gravy out in be picked up I Oher Specify
		4 # [] 3 > [] 13 = 4 {] 4 or more	SAs. Is there a basement in this hause (building)? (A basement is an enclosed space in which persons can writh unright under all as part of the huilding.)	€ + (; Yes
e. During the wi clase cartain you cauldn't clased salely the current	inter al., (year), did you campletaly reasus for a werk or langer because got them warm? Do not include rooms y for the purpose al saving fuel due to rease showton. Machine birthons	(1) 1 1 Yes 21 140 - Skip 10 53#	b. Dere the basement there are signs al water having leaded in from the cutilde? 7. Dere the cut of the hours (holdback lead)	() / Yrs 1 Ns 1 Don't know
and bathroom b, Which come		(m) t[]Living room		21 He 1 Don't knaw
177975 All (ha)	a ebbiA1	* # []] Dining room t []] One of mare brdrooms 4 []] Other - Spec <i>Hy</i> j	580. Does this house (operiment) have open creeks or holes in the Interior walls or ceiling? (Dn not include holitine crocks)	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
le. Do you have	ate conditioning, atther Individual		b. Dees this house (opertment) have heles In the Hoorst	(0) +[_]Yes 2[_]No
tasa units ar L. Which do you	n control system? .have?	(1) + () Central - Skip to Check litem H	590. Is there any area of broken plaster on the calling or Incide walls which is larger than this place of paper? (SHOW CLOSED IN TERVIEWER INFORMATION CARD BOOKLET)	(1) 1[]¥45 1[]No
1. 110- many re	on units da you hava?	2 [] Room Units (07) 1 Room Units	b. Is there any area of peoling point on the calling or inside walls which is larger than this piece of paper? (SION CLOSED INTERVIEWER)	() () Yes 1 Ne

Latin Min - OCCUPIPO INTA (Include UPF) - Cantinged	12 THE Section HIB - OCCUPIED UNITS (Include URE) - Continued
CITECK - 7 TIECK - 7	 How much do you shink this property, that is, knows and last, (condentation unit) would will for an today's maintent? SHOW FLASHCARD B 1 [] Lass than 35 000 1 [] 1,500 - 1 7,497
10	4 [] 10.000 - 12.079 9 [] 12.500 - 10.979 6 [] 15.000 - 12.479
UDIRECK Hausehild here lived here lass 90 days (See Check Nam A(1), page 13) ITEM J * [] Yee - Ash 64e [] Ne - Ship te Check Nem K	+ [] 17,500 - 19,979 + [] 30,000 - 24,979 + [] 35,000 - 19,979
Slo. At any time in the least M days have you take any mice or role, we algor at mice or role in this haves (building)? 	10 [] J0.000 - 34,979 11 [] J5.000 - 39,999 11 [] 40,000 - 49,979
b. Do you haaw whather they were mice or rely? (1)) 1 (765, mice 1 (761, rels) 2 (761, mice 3 (761, mice 4 (700) 1 (2000)	11 50.000 - 57,979 14 1 40,000 - 74,977 15 1 75,000 - 97,979
e. In Arks Lawse (Building) contribute year anternation to the second se	10 (, 100,000 - 14,797) 11 ((15,000 - 14,797) 15,000 er mere 150,000 er mere
TENURE (cc item 354)	CINECK (1000000000000000000000000000000000000
TTEM R OWNED OR BEING BOUGHT	64a. Do you own the mobile home (trailer) \$17E (1) 1 [-] Owned - Stip to c or to H rented? 5[Rented - Ask b
C Transmore unit two clubs - Skip to 80, page 24 Status - Skip to 71, Status - Skip to 71,	b. They is the MONTHLY used for the alter? •
I DECUPIED WITHOUT PATHENT OF CASH RENT - Are 62 Boge 22	c. Do you have an installment lean or contract or this making have fraction) or do you sum It from and clear - Ship to 67e
If within outs or fill by observation.) If the second seco	43. De you have a manipage, deed at trust, or land contract an this property, or de you own it from and clear? I Donned first and clear - Stip to 870
Othe Control Balling Bouldh T Othe Control Balling Bouldh T ITER Link ITER Link IDencemit structure on faces than 10 acres and there is no commercial auxiliary arrestical or denies affice on the property ("No" in Control Card Items 276 and 1) - 436 43 IDebits home as valloon faces than 10 acres - Stip to 640	640. In regard to the maripage (lease), what are the regarded payments to the leader? If sever than one maripage (leval as this operative (several) is hown on treatile), give saw at payments. (if there are approache leans on the mabilis home and its site, (numbine amounts.) (iii) s
ELAN adversion 5 sty to 80 Revited For CASH White is a	b. In regard to the antiperso (liven), do the required prymatic hickids - (1) Real cointy tensor on this property?
One-unit structure en los erben 10 erces - Skip to 7/ [] One-unit structure en los erces en mere - Skip to 80 OCCUPIED WITHOUT PAYMENT OF CASH RENT	(2) Fire and Learned Internet 7 (1) Yes
et + It this is a - () One-unit structure on less then 10 acres - Skip to Check Item N, page 23 () One-unit structure on 10 acres or mate - Skip to 80 () The acress unit structure, or a makile hand or waiter - Skip to Check Item N, page 23	c. What hind of montgage (loon) do you have? I I Federal Hinusing Administration I I Viterant Administration I I Federal Home Administration I I Home of the above
Farmann ann an an an Anna Anna Anna Anna An	r mau aut die 19.711 Page 10

Facsimile of the Annual Housing Survey Questionnaire: 1977-Continued

.

Bester III - OCCUPIED UN	H T3 (lactude UNE) - Continued	The second secon	at the set of states an actual to get at the states of a
43e. Did yeu place ar assuma e manjaya (Isan) mhan yeu acquired this proporty (makila hama)?	()) - 1, 1 vo - 54.0 to 40	890. During the part 12 months - (1) Mars any additions made to you property such as a ream, becamal, purch, as granged	()) + [] Y 1 [] No - Supinali)
k. How did you acquire this property (mobile hama)?	(1) + [] Internance of gift + [] Padd oil conh	(2) Did any jak cost \$200 at mare?	
4. De per pry fui - . (1) Elecatedry	(1) 1 [] Yes 1 [] No. decutcity not used - Step to b(1)	 (1) Have any obtained have and a per proper available and thickes a statistical statistical difference, income and heading. 	(1) · [] Y · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
[2] Mini ta Ma secondo MOHTHLY Lest?	•	(1) Did any jak cast \$200 as moved	
h. (1) Ga.1	(1) 1 [] Yes 2 [] Yes, gat met wird - Sing to c(1)	e. (1) Have you had any confactanced jobs an your property used an seculation the scal an outer walls, replacing puters of downipouts,	
(2) West is the overge MONTHLY could	8	er replacing er installing flord herding, dernited, ar plending equipment? (De net instate applierens aufort er chaher erabeliseren er 1.1	(■) + (_] Yee + (_] Nee - Step to 4(1)
	 1. 1 Yes 1 1 / 10. 1 10. More furth not used at absolute from - Stip to d(1) 	(2) Did any jub cost \$200 as mara?	*
(3) Muer Is the YEAMLY and	1 (i)	4. (1) Have you made any repells on pur properly and a painfing as populing a com-	(1) - [] Yei 1 [] No - Skip ia 70a
d. (1) Fire and heaved lawnearch (Also Include 1] Puul of mortage payments.)	(1) t.] ta a[] m - Steeadl	(3) 014	
(3) When is the YEARLY!	M	764. In the most 12 meradar, do you plan to make any additions, alterations, conferences, or combined the true libert added very about	
•. (1) Real astate based (Alter include if Bast of mortgage perments.)	(i)) •([] ו• •(] ו•	b. De yes seperi any jub to cost \$200 at more!	• Don't know } Skip to 80
(2) What is the YEARLY could fill not it fude lates in strengt from previous yours)	10	-	1 0 0 mil trans
(. (1) More upply ad series disputal, upperfoly fear and astate based.	 [1] 1 Yes. J. [1] Ne as payment included in cert J. [1] Ne as payment included in cert 	A Wart is the MONTHLY Freet If cert is a pour by the month, and there prived covered , compare MONTHLY Find in "Nexts" page, and entermonthly	🕒 t 🔛 Pu manth
(2) Whit is the REARLY could		rent on the tine previded.) (De not institute tite sent for mobile humes (1 it is poid separetaly.)	(W) 1 [] Have frequently than ance a manut 1 [] Lass frequently than ance a manut 1 [] Once a manut
 (1) Gerlage and work collection, asserticity, fean coll sticle based 	(1) 1(1) 744 1(1) 44, av payment included in ceal 11, 144, av payment included in ceal		Neta
13) Whoi is the YEARLY seeif			
100m ave. 1 10.111	0.31		1.1

0314N330 - 0H) UNITS (Include URE) - Continued	Section 110 - OCCUPIED 1	UNITS (Include URE) - Continued
CHECK [See Cantral Card Len 27a] ITEM N []Heble home of maller - A	4.72	74s. (In addition to provided) da pro pay for garbage and track collection?	(ii) 1 Y10 1 Na - Skip is Choirt from O
720. Do you one the mebile hears alto at 12 H realed?	((m) -[10mmed - Stip to 75	b. What to the YEARLY coul?	(ii) (iii)
	al Reared	CHECK [See Check Icm K, mare 19) [] Rented for cash - Ash 7/a	
b. What is the MONTILY cost for the slie?	8	ITEM 0 Occupied without payment	al cash sent - Ship to Chrek Item P
	et 10ccuerd eithean payment of cath tent - Step in 75	77e. De yeu rent dite operiment (heure) funtished er untuntished?	(G) if [funithed - State to 775
e. Is the site year lactuad with the sent las the makile hame?	(19) 11 1 Yet) 54 to 10 75	b. In the cost of this bankness forbuded in the	(1) 1 [] Included In 1011 - Skip 10 780
21. Is this haves (operators) in a public housing project; that is, is it owned by a local housing	(J) 1 1 1 4 4 5 4 10 10 75		a [] Separately - Sup to 774
Bulkarity or other public agoncy? 24. Air you opting a favor sont because the Federal.	(B) - 11-1	c. Da yeu sont furniture from some ather sources	(1) + (-] Y + - + [] M+ - 54 + 10 700
Start, or lacal vertingent is paying part of the rate?	1 	d. West to the MONTHLY cost?	
 (1) mildulan te yeur sant) de yeu pay far (1) Electricity? 	(1) 1(1741 al. No. Included in read	76. Are alleted parting facilities evellable to connection with this building?	(11) 1 [] 7 41 2 [] No - 540 10 JAc
	al " He. elecercity net used Skip to A(1)	L. De pre seaf ach a space?	(11) . [] Y ++ []] Now analysis =1 00 care charge - Sup to 18e
(3) What is the evenage MONTHLY cort?		L. 1. M. cost of M. publing space included in the S (cost currend in 11). or de yee pay for it assessed?	(11) ·[Included in rent - Skip in Christ View P
1 (1) Gart	(1) 1.1 1 Yes 1.1 No. Included In rent w supplied free Stan in c(1)	d. What is the MONTHLY cost for this parting speed	r (111) 1 [101] - St.o to Check Hern P
	1 Hee, get met weed	e. De yes cont e packing space in the neighborhood also than that connected with the building?	()
·····	(1) 1/ 144 1 144, hetward in rant or no charge - Stor to dill	CHECK (See Control Card Hen 21d) CHECK [] Done unit structure, we amable] Twe servage unit structure -	114 hame ar trailier - Ship ia 80 Ast 70a
[1]) Wind Lip 15 ART 17 cost	(e)	74. Dues the accuracil this building flue an this property?	(U)) 1 17es - Stip in #0 2 We 3 Deni'i time
4 (1) Dil. c). Januara,4. cl. 7	(33) († 1 Yee 1 No. included in renu 1 No. included in renu 1 No. incer fuelt	b. In there a rectident manager, superintendent, or parties and iters on this property?	(ii) 11 17.1 11 18.1 11 10.001 10.000
()) Mhai la rho YE AME Y cont?	(iii) the second of the second s	W. Dy yes or way and used by an anothed and weather and the state of the property of the pro- except and the state of the state of the state weather and the state of the s	(ii) if 1 ***
	ل		Nr. 14

.

135
. .

Sector NID - OCCUTED UP	#TS (laclude URE) - Com			Serten III - OCCUPIED UN	NTS (Inclu	ude URE) - Continued	
Bla. Haw many core as passangas detemabiles are awned	(1) 1 None			[] URE household (See New P	- [] allos	Stip to 105. Mgc JI	
et regulary use by memory of pur generation of NOT (Churt compary cars bent at home, do NOT court trucks.)	555		CHECK	[See Check New AN, page)) 	aniha - A44 83 jer - Skip in 1024, page 30	
	3[] 4 er mere		03. The fallowing	ng quaritant are about the place	×	iddeese (Number and sizeel)	
 How many functs of one-fan appeality of fore an award or rapitally used by members of your hostohold? 		Ship to Check Item Q. page 76		saddress of (hood)	<u> </u>	lity of town.	
(Courd company (rucks kept at home.) 12. FOR OFFICE USE						unity State State 21P cod	÷
MOLES							
					: 	OR	
					- L 2	If Ourside the United States - 51.p to 1020.	
			B4. What Is the	main rosson (beed) moved		MPLOYMENT	Т
			1.0 m 11 m 11	vieve residence?	<u> </u>	.] Job transfer	
			mark the me	asons mentioned below, and then da regson.)		ef Entered or left U.S. Armed Forces	
					•••	New job or looking for work	
				servers management and provide the server server is a size of		Commuting restors	
					• •		
				manufacture and the second s		A TIME	
-	-		and the second sec	- A statement of the same statement of the same second statement statement	<u>:</u>	Needed Inger house of apartment	
					•	//dowed	
					2 :]] Diverced	
			A A AND ADDRESS	And the second second second to be an experimental second second second second second second second second second	: 	1 Haved to be closer to relatives	
-		•			= :	Newly marsted	
			-		::	I] Fandly Increased	
	,				: :	[] Wanted to establish own household	
					: : 	1 June	
						1 Neizhbaihand avercrowdrd	
					:) Change in racial or ethnic composition and artichlachand	
					۹ 	L Wanted better neighbachaad	
						↓	
					::) Wanted better house	
					: 	construction, at other public activity	
-					::	f] Displaced by privore action f Schools	
						[]] Wanted to rent residence	
) [] Wanted residence with more conveniences - [] Natural diserter	
:					•••) 门 Wanied change of climate 📋 Other	
	P.4-15		In of a little news	Ē	1		

136

Section IIIS - OCCUPIED U	PHITS (Include,URE) - Continued] [Section IIIB - OCCUPIED UNITS	(Include URE) - Continued
85e, Max (kred) the head of the hearsheld in his previous residence of the time he moved?	(1) + Yes w (1) + (1) Respondent is the head - Skip to INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION 2 Respondent is not the head - Ask 85b 2 1 No - Skip to 1020, page 30	CHECK ITEM R	TENURE OF PAT VIOUS RESIDENCE OWNED OR BEING BOUGHT (See item 00 {1 Une with structure page 77) {1 Une with structure house or trailer - RENTED FOR CASH OR OCCUPIED	E (Sre itani 91, page 27) 5 - Ast 92a Blucture, ar a mobile Stop In 102m, page 30 WIHOOLY PANMENT OF CASH RENT
b. Were you also a member of ; b (herd) howshold in the providus residence?	(1) Yes a No		(See iten: 90, { 10ne unit structure page 27) { 1 wa-or-more unit home or trailer -	r - Stip In 94 structure, or a mobile Ship In Check Item S .
INTERVIEWER H the respondent is the head, a INSTRUCTION IN forms of "your" previous re 86-101 in terms of "head"s" p	or "Yes" was marked in 85h - Ask questions 86-101 sidence, 11 "Na" was marked in 85b - Ask questions wevious residence.	920, Was shat has	rse on a place of 10 ocras or maro?	(11) + [] Yes - Skip In 102n, prize 30 a [] No
Ad. Haw many spans were to , , , 's (your) (head) provious cosidence? Do not count bothrooms, parchus, botconios, hally, topare, ar half-sooms,	(1) Number	6. Was there a medical ar d	comporcial astablishment of antal office on the property?	(1) 11 Yes - Stip to 102a, page 10
 How many badraams ware in's (your) (head) providus residence? Count rooms used mainly far sleeping, even it used for other purposes. 	(1)	93. What was th (you) (head) that property sell far, ar	r value of thet property when , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(19) 1 Lets than 35,000 1 5 5,000 - 5 7,417 5 7,500 - 7,719 6 10,000 - 12,479
 Haw many persons were in 's (your) (hood) provious residence at the time (you) (hood) maved? 	(i)	1	SHOW FLASHCARD B	12,500 - 14,979 15,000 - 17,499 1,15,000 - 19,979 0,20,000 - 24,992
 Did (peut (head) have complete planking facilities in is (year) (head) processes residence (koriding), that is, base and celd pland were; a High teller, and a bothtub or shawer? 	Yez+ Were these feellittes used by's [frow] Rood horoschald anly 1 [] Yez - Used for the household only 2 [] Ho - Also used by another household 3 [] Ho			1. 25.000 - 39.797 Skip to 100e. 10.000 - 34.992 pref 10 10.000 11.3 35.000 - 19.797 pref 10 11.3 35.000 - 19.797 11.797 11.3 50.000 - 19.797 11.797 11.4 60.000 - 19.797 11.797 12.5 50.000 - 57.997 11.797
 Han many Itsing questers, bash accupied and vecont, more in the building where's (your) (head) provides residence was located? 	 1 } 10 bills home ar staller (no permanent som attached) a [.: One, detached from ony ather building b (Done, stached to one ar mote buildings 			19 75.000 - 99.979 14 1 100.000 - 124.999 17 1 125.000 - 149.999 19 1 1 155.000 ar mare
	4(_)2 3(_)3#4 4()3#9	94. Way that has 10 acres or	iso on a place at marat	(19) '1 (_ ' Yes = Skip In.102a, pige 10 3 (_ No
	r [] 10 te 19 • [] 20 te 49 • [] 20 o ar mare	CHECK ITEN S	[See item 11b, page 27] · { }Rented for cash - Ask 15 { }Occupied without payment of	cesh ient - Skip la 16
91a, War, (your) (koad) proving residence gamed as here, keight by camedas in the bounduld?	(1) Yes Wes 11 evented as a compressive ar condensinted (1) (1) No - State in Check Jiem A of [Yes, a compressive - Stap to 10/0, page 10	95. What was the (head) provided if the winnum to the "Motes" rent and enti- finctude site was part set	MONTHLY rent for 's (part) yes operment (basis)? on port by the month, write not the time period covered in spare, then compute AGON THLY rr on the time provided.) (rent for mohile homes if it barately.)	(11) s @ Prr month Notes
	5[] Yes, a condominium - Skip to 93 [] No - Ask 916	76. Was that has project; that housing out	ve (apertment) in a public housing 1s, was it awned by a local erity or other public agency?	(11) + Yes - Skip In #8 #[Na
b. Was it control for each cont or accupied without payment of each cont?	(14) A] Rented for cash s [] Occupied without payment of cash rent	97. Did (yes the Federal, paying part	,) (head) pay a lowar ront because Siste, ar facal Gavarnmont was of the cast?	(1) + Yes 21 No

Section III8 - OCCUPIED L	UHITS (Includo URE) - Continued
19. (In oddition to cont), did (you) (kood) pay for - o. (I) Electricity?	Yes Yes Yes Skip to brit Yes Yes
(2) What was the average MONTHLY cost?	
s. (1) Co.1	(The included in rem) 1, No., included in rem 1, No., and there 1, No., gas not used 3, No., gas not used
(2) What was the average MONTHLY could	(ii) • (ii)
e. (1) Watorf	(19) 1 [] Yes 9 [] No. Included in cont or no charge - Skip to d(1)
(2) What was the YEARLY could	······································
d. [1] Oll, cool, barosono, wood, ote.t	T : T : Y ::
(2) What was the YEARLY could	·
ta. (In addition to ront), dtd (yau) (houd) pay for gorbogo and trash callection?	(78) 1 [] Yes 1 [] No - Skip to Check liem 7
b: What was the YEARLY cost?	
CHECK (See Hem 916, page 27) TEM T Rentrd for cosh - Ask 100 TEM T Cocupled without payment	a of cash rant - Skip to 1020, page 30
Oo, Did (you) (head) cont the opertment (house) furnished or unfurnished?	(1) 1 [] Furnished = [] Unfurnished - Ask 100c
b. Wes the cost of the Territoria Included in the cont or did (you) (head) pay for it separately?	(*) : [] Included in cont - Skip to 101a a [] Separately - Ask 100d
e. Did	(11) 1 [] Yes 2 [] Ho - Skip to 1010
d. What was the MONTHLY cost?	(i) 1 (ii)
A.s. A.s	

Section HIB - OCCUPIED UNIT	1 Harlow WEST- Quantity
1014. Ware affetteet packing facilities available in connection with the building?	(1) 1 Ver 1 H 25/p to 1010
6. Did (you) (hoad) ront such a spacet	() 1 Vee 1 Ne er eratlable at no estre charge - Jars te tøte
e, Was the cast of the parting opera included in the S (rent entered in PS), as did (you) (hand) pay for it aspectaly?	(1) + [] Included In rent - 147p to 187p #] Superatury
d. What was the MONTHLY cast for that parking space?	(11) S (0) - 1+1, 10 1071
o, Did , (you) (head) rent a perking space in the noighborhood other than that connected with the building?	(),
NOTE - Ask all colegorles in 1020 before proceeding to 1026	HOTE - Ask 1026 only for those categories in 102a which were enswered "Yes,"
102a. The failt-wing questions are concerned with different aspects of your PRESERV anightenhad. Here is a list of conditions which many papels have an ideal stracts. Which, if any, do you have?	b. Here is a Flashered (Shar Flashered D) White of these d antennis have derived than he my worked showt (Condition)? (Pours) Does not builter per, bothere you a limit, bothere your way work, or bothere you as much you would like its mark.
(1) Street (highway) naise?	1 Doos hat bether s Hethers very much 4 Bethers o Hills a Buthers so much I washed tibe to mare
(2) Heavy halke?	1 Dass mat bather 1 Denter very much 2 Denters a little 1 Seithers a much 1 mould fibe to mare
(3) Streets or roads continually In good of repole, or open disclose?	1 Dees not bother = 0 Bethers very much 2 Bethers a Hitle = 0 Bethers as much.1 would like to mave
(4) Roods Imparsable due to (11) 1 (1 Yes snow, water, etc. ?	a Bathers a listle a Bathers ar much a Bathers a listle a Bathers ar much i a bathers a listle a Bathers ar much i apuld the sa move
(5) Poor street lighting?	3 Deas not bether 3 Dethers very much 5 Bethere a Hirle 6 Bethere se much 1 wewld like to move
(6) Halghborhaod eilmof (11) 1 (1) 4++ • 1 (1) He	s[]Daas net bather s[]Bethers very much s[]Gethers a little s[]Bethers is much i would like to move
(7) Treeh, litter, ar junk to the streats (reads), as an empty late, as an properties in this neighborhand?	F Ders not bether F Bethers vary much 4 Bethurp a Hitle F Bethers za mich I would like ta myre
(1) Desided up or obendened (7) 1 (1) Yes structures	5 Dees not bather = [] Bathers very much 6] Bathers o Hitle = 6] Bathers so mich I would like to mave
(1) Occupted having in [1] Yes Ivindewin conditiont	3 Dees not bether 5 Dethers very much 4 Bethers a little 6 Dethers in much 1 mould like to mave
(10) Industries, businesses, stores, (11), ([]) ves er ether nenrestdentiel ectivities?	3 Does not ballier & Asthers very much 6 Balkers a little & Rathers to much I would like to mark
(11) Odora, amaka, ar gast (1) r (1) Yaa • 1 (1) No	3 [] Doos not bathor 5 [Anthers very much 6 [] Bethore a flitte 6 [] Rethore so much 1 would fike to move
(12) Notse from aliptono troffic?	3 [] Dees not bother 6 [] Brithers very much 6 [] Reihers a flitte 6 [] Brithers so much t would file to move
HOTE - If "Yes" was answered for one or more of the coing	porces in 102a, ask 102b.

Section III - OCCUPIED U	HITS (Include URE) - Continued	Section HIC - OCCUPIED UN	HTS (Include URE) - Continued
NOTE - Ash AL, cutogrip to tOla before proceeding to 100s. 100. The failworks questions are concerned with neighbarhard services. •. Do you have satisfactory -	NOTE - 45 100 m/h /h /host cottacoites in 1010 which were dealword "No." 1. Please leak again as Flashcard D. Dats not buring satisfactory (foruitci) not bohor you, bahor you to much you any may a bahor you to much you would flash to much	(Ask for URE Howerholds only) 106 to this UNIT intended for processorial was, for encourage and for a constant basis of for use by migrant weiture?	(i) +() YEAN ADUND (accurred remotinative at time at interview) Seasant +e() Summers andy +i() Winers andy +i() Winers andy +i() Winers and +i() Winers
(1) Public transportation? (1) (Yes a No > () Dan kno	(72) + [' ; Ders not bother a [; Bothers a liste b [; Bothers a liste a [] Bothers so much I would like to move	ORSERVATION 1070. Do the public halls in this building have light fintures?	(1) 1 1 1 4 4 3 1 1 4 4 3 1 1 4 4 4 1 1 4 5 1 1 1 4 5 1 1 1 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
(2) Schools7	(27) 1 (Oors not bether s () Buthers = Hitle s () Bothers very much	b. Are the light flatures in working order?	(1) 1 [] All in working order 8 [] Same in working order 1 [] None in working order
(3) Heighberhand shapping such or gracery shares of drug sizers? 2 No 1 Dan	A [] Baihers so much t would like to move () ([] Dues not boiner t [] Boihers o little	1080 Are there lasse, broken, ar missing stops an any common steirways inside this building ar artacked to this building?	(i) 1[] Yes 1] No 3] No cammon stairwars - Skip to 109
(4) Polles protoction?	JE Dethers very much e[] Bothers to much I would like to move (2)) _'Dees not bother	b. Are all stole callings firmly attached?	<pre> i []Yee</pre>
(3) Outdoor recreation facilities s() Outdoor recreation facilities such as parts, playaraunds	2 { Beibers a little 5 { Beibers very much 4 { ; Beibers sa much I would like to mava	109. In the last 12 meets, here much did earn in rayes, solaries, tips and commissions (before tares and dedections)? Kobian (comis income for heari and all	Line He. Amount (Datiers only)
er sæteming peels (j) + Yes 2]Nes 1]Den' know	 (1) 1 [1] Dors not bother a Bothers a fittle b Bothers very much c Bothers very much c Bothers were much twented like to move 	persons I 4 · In household related to head by blood, morrner, or adobiuon. If the family has more than site members I 4 ·, combine the anounts for all other persons on the last 'Amount' 'Inte,)	
(6) Haspitale at health clinical . (1) (1) Yes 7 [No 1 [Don'	1 Dorte nat bother 1 Dorters a Utile 1 Dorthers a Utile 1 Bothers vary much 1 Bothers vary much 1 Bothers vary much		
NOTE - If "Not" was answered for one or more categori 104a. In view of all the things we have tabled about,	1 10]a, ask 10]b. (1) 1 . Excellent		
and see a set of the second se	1 Geod 1 Fair 4 Posi 1 Excritent 1 Good 1 Good 1 Fair 4 Posi	110n. In the poet 12 manks, here much did this family found area to not facene from the form) own building, polerational pretties are partnership (Exclude income previously reported in from 109.)	i
CINST AVA LIGN 105. Are there any buildings that appear to be abandon and as ore three any buildings with windows brake or basedwipp an this stream? CHEFLY [] [] URE Household (See (1rm 7, page	1) - Ank 100	b In the post 12 ments, how much did this family favor earn in not income from its frowd own form as reach? [Exclude means previously reported in items 109 and 100.1	(i) 1 [2]
ITEM U (Irm 2/a)	ucture, er a mobile hame ar trailer - Skip la 109 Init structure - Skip to 1070 Dese N		#[.]Less money (Enter amount LOST on line above)

Suction IIIB	- OCCUPIED UNIT	S - Continued				
NOTE - Ask Itta for all calegories before asking	1116.		HOTE - Ask Itth only for those categories in Itta which were answered "Yes,"			
(Obtain family income for head and all perso related in head by blond, marilage, or adupti 1934. In the past 12 menths, did any member of thi	ms 14+ in Anuschold ion.] 1		Filb. Haw much was received from (source of income)			
family (your receive any drancy from -			In the post 12 months?			
Rottromant poyments?	(W) 1 1 Yes	al 1110	(i), @			
{2} Estatus, trusts or dividendut	(N) + Y++	#{:;H+	(ii) · (iii)			
(3) Interest on sovings accounts or bunds?	1 1 Yes	21"1N+	(H) s (Ø)			
(d) Hat rental Incomo?	NB +[])***	8 <u>F.</u>]He	(11) • (20)			
(5) Waifara paymonts ar athar public assistance?	()) · [] Yes	r[_]Ho	(i) , (ii			
(4) Unomployment companiation?	()) + Yes	a[]Ne	(i) · (iii			
(7) Warkman's campansallan?	()) ·1.1Yes	2[]No	(i)); (b))			
(8) Government employee pensions7	() · (.) ···	≇[]]No	(j)) I			
(9) Valorona paymontat	()) () Yei	1(]No	(j)) 1 (Q)			
(10) Private panstans ar annuities?	()) +[] Yee	11.1140	(n) ı (n			
(11) Alimony or child support?						
(17) Repulse contributions from persons not (18) 1[] Yes al He (18) 5						
(13) Anything else?						
NOTE - If "Yes" was answered far one of more of the categories in 1110, ask 111b.						
OBSERVATION - Fill for mobile home in group of (18) 11(16-99						
A no more. 112. Her many makile komes are in this group? 2 [] 100 or more						
OBSERVATION - Fill for 2 or more unit structures (and 11) Hone as some time						
113. How many starles (flears) are there from the	mein #1	One (up or do	wnl			
entrance of the building to the main entrance the apertment?] Twe er more i	(up or down)			
CHECK (See Control Card item 11h)	, page 1) - Ship to	Check Item AA	, page 39			
ITEM V [] Household contains or	ily family members -	- Ship to Cheri	llem W, page 36 IE NEAD by bland			
marilage er adoption -	Ast 114, page 34	L-160 10 1	if it is by proof,			
* D=u Aug.g 18 JA 119 .	Page 33					

.

Facsimile of the Annual Housing Survey Questionnaire: 1977 - Continued

Socian IIIB - DECUPIED UNITS - Continued			
14. In the last 12 months, haw much did oam in wages, ou camulations (balance launs and deductions)?	erios, tips and		
(Obiain income for persons 14 + in household NOT RELATE	D TO HEAD by bi	ood, meniege	or eduption.)
15e. In the past 17 months, haw much did doin in not incomi own business, professional practice or portnorship?	from his/her		
b. In the past 12 months, haw much did ann in not incom- ave form or ranch?	from his/har		
NOTE - Ask 116b for each "Yes" response in 116a. Ask i helore asking 116c.	160 (and 1160, as	appropriate)	or all calegories
 In the past 12 months did (names of persons 14: NOT RELATED TO HEAD by blood, meirlage or adaption) receive any money from - 			116h. Who received th type of Income? (Enter ling numbers)
(1) Sactal Security or Railroad Rotirement payments?	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (21 'No	
(2) Estatus, sivate av dividande?	(m) i lina	₹LJN#	
(3) Interest on savings accounts or bondo?	@^+(_)Ye	2[]No	
(4) Hot rental Incamo?	(m) · [] · •	81] No	
(5) Walfara paymenta ar athar public assistance?	(ii) · [. Yei	8[]N.	
(4) Unemployment compensation?	@ 1[]*n	a (;) No	
(7) Waikman's componentian?	(m) + L 1 Yes	21]No	
(8) Gavernmant ampleyee pansians?	()) Y ++	2 [] No	
(9) Vatorans payments?	(i) + (: 1 ¥++	1[]No	
{10} Private pensions ar annutiles?	(ii) 1 JYes	21 No	
(11) Alimany or child support?	(iii) + [] Yes	= 1%+	
(12) Regular contributions from persons not living in this household?	(P) + [_] Yes	8[]No	
(13) Anything else?	(i) '() Yes	8 [] No	
DIES			

Pogo 34

140

.

									T
(m) Line N		ine No.	(ii) Line No.	(M)		(See Control Card item 250)			
(in) 1	1	8	(i) (ii)	M	CHECK ITEM W	[] OWHED BEING BOUGH	it (Regular, condominium, ar cae	iperative awaership) -	
(15e. (10b) 5	1 100	8	8 	136. 100		. Rented for cash or occupi	d without payment of cash sent	- 54.0 to 1176	
(M) 11 Mare	10 1 None	y (Enter	(1) 11 1 None 17 1 Loss money ff nter	(1) I Nane I I Loss money lEnter	1174. Do yes here	Insurance on your home and for any of the following?			
amment LOST	or the ob	DST ove)	emount LOST an line above	enount LOST en line ehove)	(1) 1446	f burglary	m 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	s [] Dan't inan	
ع م		8	4	1	(2) Floods.		n 1 = 1 + 1 1 = 1	1 Dan't trau	
(m) i l'hare	I Ivent			1 Nere	(3) Earlingue		· 1 1.	. Don't hnew	
I IL LOST	Ier (I Loui mont	s feater	I Lest money (Enter emount LOS F	· [] Loss money (Enter emount LOST	b. De ver here	laoverce en rour heuriheld	Public disc line		T
DA line above)	0 111 00	ave	SACE SUIL VE	34000 3411 1.	enterit (le	niture and belonging.) for Ilaring?			
116e. Hew much did inceive from fource	He. Her much did.		116c. How much did	I Ide. How much did receive from fanurer				- Dan't knew	
pert 12 months?	1 months 1		port 12 months?	Pert 13 and 1	(2) That and	. hugter	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 Dan't know	
		8	8	8	·····+ (C)	auch as Nood, or carihquaka	•NI] • • • • 1 J • •	muun t. wali te	
• •	(ii)	8	24		Ithe. At your pro-	ant address have you aver	(T
			5	3		nd koon refused automabili re insurance, theft insurance,	(m) - 1		
				8	YOU LIVE!	HE. BECAUSE OF WHERE	ri INo. Lond	• 11 OI O	
][And appropriate strategy strategy in the second state of the secon	1
•		8	· ·	(m) . (m)	A What yes	Inourante cavanga hava usud barawan al mhara	() I Automobile anly		
(iii) .		8	8	8	10.411 mod		. Flie only		
	1	8	8	•			ai Thefi and burglary .	ntr	
							•1 Harard anty		
· · · · ·		B	(()				s[]. Fire and hered		
		8	8	2	-		. Theil and harned		
(iii)	(1)	8	8	80			I . Any ether combinate	10	1
e (ini)		8	8	8	NOIES				
10		8		8					
	De								
		ק							
1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-									
11: 4"B 11: 1 P		1					Fags 14		

141

	to Fours		~ PGH 6	
Section IND - 0	CCUPIED UNITS - Contraved	Section 1118 - OCCUPIED UNITS - Continued		
111. Did (head) here a jab feut anal?	(1) 11 7 44 21 No - Sty to Check Hem 2, page 19	124	1. 1. 1. (B) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1
120. What is 's (head) principal means of transportation to work?	(i) (i Truck	1.1 Year like the neighbor in your present mighborhand?	11 . Yes	<u>.</u>
	BI Car ar cargool J w	(3) You like your hause (speciment) ⁹	(m) 1 1 × 10	• 1
	Drives alone	(3) Your present home Is class to good schools, as shurch?	en) 1 . Yes	21.1.
) (Drives others	(d) Your protent home is convenient to shops, recreation, and similar facilities?	(i) Yee	• No
	•[] Rides with someone else	(3) Tau present here is the jats of alkers (as the part of the head) in part (as it is a seal)	••••1.1vee	•1 1.
	(m) + Walks aniy	(6) Yew ren alleid yeur present hame?		
	• Works at home - Skip in Chrck Hem Z. puge 39	(7) Yaar to vood to your protect home, at you to confectable, or you've of under the deriver		i
	• [] Mailroad	(B) Same ather reason I have not already manifolianed?		- In
	. Bus or threetcar	ll "Yes," specify region(s)	(Skip to Note a	bive item (37)
	10]] Tavicab	c. What are the researt per dari't live closer to		
	1.1 ; Motorcycle	1. 1) Your And't 114. and Amuses which are classes to want?		1
	ref. Bicycle	(10) Yaw would not like to live among the type of paople in the		
	11 Other reason - Specify		D	
()) Deer (head) weally REPORT in the		(1) The methods's flots' to well and the second of the fact chart, a (12) The methods and a flots to well as incontant to shops, recontion, i		
same forestion to bogin work onch day?		er eiker similer facilities?	(ii) + 1 + e =	
		first the neighborhoods clairs' to	···· / / ····	•11
rec. Ders Inseed were is on scenesters		(14) Yeu cannot affeid heveling in noighborhands classes to week?	(11) 1 1	
	1 Don't know Stip to 124	(15) These is no closer housing evaluable?	(I) I 1	•11
123. Ders (head) five in the same sity.		. (16) Yee dun't like change; It's trauble to move?	(i) Yee	•N]=
teur, berough ar village that he the works in?	**	(17) (New 's) protect (ab 10 temperatury, at (New Y) aspects In change (abs)	()) I'''	* .
124. Now long date it would lake (head) to get from home to work?		(10) Same other reason I have not already montened?		i T
	(i) Hinutes	HAFE 4 2 OF MORE "Y CC" MASANTA IN COLORANS (1)-(19), ANA 1000 137 11 "Y CC"	ante in eff41. go	0
125. What Is 's (head) OHE-MAY distance from home to work?		 Cost Rates 7: All points into Costs (Inter 2. La visa e di a fila visana e stare fallad datas (Sector "Yes" sovress for social social a di a di a social e di a di a social e di a di a di a di a di forenta e di a d	r(i)	cason number
	of I Less than I mile	CHECK 1 "'Yes" in item 124c(14) and "No" in item 12) - Ast 128 ITEM Y : All outvise - State to Coeffirm 2)	
CHECK Heed with 5 miles of me ITEM X All 1266	sie fram home filem 135 is 5 mlfrs ar mare) –	128. Teo sud pre comunication hereing in neighberhoode charen to werd - sector in the state of the state of the state and a state of the sector in the state	ent 1.	
All atters - Night Chec	it liem Z. page 34	Tota stallans which set and caula siletaf	1 1000 11	1

142



Section IV - EHERGY CO	HSERVATION - Continued		Section IV - EMERGY CONSERVATION - Continued
36, le there a thermastat In your living quarters which controls your heat?	(1) + Yes 21 1Ho - Skip to de		70. During the part 17 manths was attic at (44) 1 Yes read insulation added at installed? 2 1 Ma - Skip to 7c
 b. Dero this iternestet here a clack- aperated satting is that the temperature can be located automatically? buring the besting searce de you aver change the temperature satting on the theorem is of an automatical the search 	(11) 1 Yes - Skip to do 2 No (11) Change setting 2 Keep uniform setting all		b. How much insulation was ADDED or INSTALLED to the still or real - was It less than 3 inches, 3 up to 6 inches, or 6 inches or more? (4) Jup to 6 inches or more 1 16 inches or more (16) Inches or more
eatting all the stand 4. Do you change it accestantly, that to, 3 or forcer days of the work, or do you	the time - Skip to 6		t. Dorting the peril 12 manifes was any toculation added as installed in the subsciew wells? I []] He
days of the work? days of the work? 4. Do you have hat placed water in this have fourther the second	1 jDon'l know	_	8. During the point 12 months was coulding or (400) 11 Yes wortherstripping added to the exterior 21 No doors or windows? 5. [] Oon's know
b. Which load to used must far heating the water?	1 No - Stip to Check Item C Gas		In addition to the types of thermal (heat) (1) Inverteiler already solid about, over any other (1) thermal (heat) (scularized about, over any other (1) the heat of the thermal (heat) (heat) (1) the heat of the
	b Electricity 4 Free all 5 Keasane 6 Caal or cake 7 Jolar heat 6 Other fuel - Specify		(Perfer to Control Card Hem 25a) [] O and ar being bough AND [] "Yes" moved in any of 5, 6, 7a, 7c, 8 or 9 above - Ask 10 [] All ashers - Go to Control Cord Hem No
CHECK (See Anternet Check Item) ITEM C (1) All as some storm windows (B) (1) All as	s [] Na fuel used Uniter (Bax I in Anference Check Isem) AND as Jin, Reference Check Isem) - Ask S eternnee Check Isem) - Skip to Check Isem D tem J8a		10. Must was the total cost of the (Specify "Yes" (11) 1 [3 1 - 49 distance metalined in steps 5, 8, 76, 76, 8 and 7 distance which was acceled as installed during the past 12 menths? (1) 00 - 199 c) 100 -
 During the past 12 months were any stem windows, double-gleend glues, or other protective curving, authors (associated shurters, plastic, sic., Instellad aver the window aponlage and this have? 	@ 1[]Yes 2[]Ne		NOTES
CHECK (See Reference Check Item) ITEM D [_] All ar some storm doors (Box [_] No storm doors (Box & In Refe	5 in Reference Çheck Ilem) - Ask Ø vence Chack Ilem) - Skip ia Check Ilem E		
6. During the past 12 months were any storm dears installed on this house?	(() 1] ¥++ 2 [] H+		
CHECK (See Reference Check liem) ITEM E [] "Yea" for astic a read insular [] "He" a "Dan's how" for asti (Baw B in Reference Check lie	lion (Box 7 In Reference Check Izem) - Ask 7# Ic or roof Intulation m) - Skip D 7c		
Part 116. 10-	1 • •1		Poku Link (18 38-17) Pege 47



.

. 145

VITA

Pauline Agusta Stromberg Snyder

Candidate for the Degree of

Master of Science

Thesis: AN EXPLORATION OF CHANGES IN RESIDENTIAL LOCATIONAL CHOICE AS MEASURED BY HOUSEHOLD HEAD'S JOURNEY TO WORK FOR 1974 AND 1977

Major Field: Housing, Design and Consumer Resources

Biographical:

- Personal Data: Born in Moorhead, Minnesota, January 2, 1952, the daughter of Mr. and Mrs. E.B.R. Stromberg.
- Education: Graduated from West Fargo High School, West Fargo, North Dakota, in May, 1970; received Associate of Arts degree from Monterey Peninsula College in June, 1975; received Bachelor of Science degree in Home Economics with Professional Preparation in Housing and Interior Design from California Polytechnic State University in June, 1979; completed requirements for the Master of Science degree at Oklahoma State University in July, 1981.
- Military and Professional Experience: Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Housing, Design and Consumer Resources, Oklahoma State University, 1979-81; construction draftsman in the California National Guard, 1976-77; Construction Draftsman in the U.S. Army, 1973-76.
- Professional and Honorary Organization: Omicron Nu, Phi Kappa Phi, American Association of Housing Educators, National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials.