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## CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Need for Economic Impact Analysis

The forest products industries (FPI) comprise an important portion of the Oklahoma economy. For example, they accounted for over $\$ 782$ million in output, and directly employed over 8,800 Oklahomans in 1978. This represents some five and one-half percent of the total output of all manufacturing sectors and nearly four percent of the total manufacturing employment. Yet these figures still do not fully reveal the total impact forestry and the FPI have on the Oklahoma economy.

Input-output (I-0) analysis (Leontief, 1966), a widely accepted approach for estimating such economic impacts, has been applied in several states in which forestry and the FPI represent an important portion of the eocnomy, e.g., Mississippi (Terfehr, 1976) and Oregon (Youmans, Darr, Fight, and Schweitzer, 1979). These studies show that forestry and the FPI have some of the highest output and employment multipliers in the economies of these states. In Mississippi, for exampie, forestry and the sectors in the FPI ranked number four, five, and six in output multipliers and number one in income and employment multipiiers. In Oregon forestry also ranked high with the fourth highest output multiplier in the state's economy.

Although forestry and the FPI have large multipliers in these states, little is known about the economic impacts of these industries in Oklahoma. Federal, state, and local governments need estimates of economic impacts of proposed legislation or regulation concerning forestry and the FPI. Legislators and planners need to know, for example, how a ban on clearcutting in the state might affect the total output, income, and employment of not only the forestry and FPI sectors, but the entire state as well.

Programs and policies of government and industry can best be served if the decision makers have access to reliable estimates of the economic interrelationships that exist in the state. Such information should be continuously updated and available for decision making in both government and industry.

## Past Research in OkTahoma

Several authors have applied the I-O analysis in Oklahoma. Little and Doeksen (1968) divided the 1959 Oklahoma economy into nine endogenous (processing) sectors and seven exogenous (final demand) sectors, and determined the interindustry flow table and output, income, and employment multipliers for these sectors. This study revealed that the agriculture sector had the highest output multiplier with livestock and livestock products having the second largest and manufacturing the third largest. ${ }^{1}$ Furthermore, it was found that agriculture also had the highest income multiplier followed first by manufacturing and second by livestock and livestock products. Of the employment multipliers, the manufacturing sector had the largest multiplier followed by agricultural processing and then mining.

Mapp and Badger (1970) used I-0 techniques to analyze the impact of outdoor recreation on the economy. The region selected for this study was the Kiamichi Economic Development District. They adapted an interindustry model by Sand (1969), and developed output, income, and employment multipliers for 12 endogenous sectors with special emphasis on outdoor recreation. The objective was to analyze the potential benefits that increased outdoor recreation would bring to this economically depressed area of Oklahoma. They found that recreation ranked relatively low with respect to output, income, and employment multipliers.

Doeksen (1971) developed a social accounting system for Oklahoma which included an interindustry account, a capital account, and a human resource account. The objectives of the study were to use these accounts to develop a simulation model for Oklahoma which projected output, income, employment, revenue, and other economic variables to 1980. This simulation model was then used to evaluate various development plans as well as provide data for industrial and governmental planners. The interindustry account consisted of the transactions table, the direct coefficients, and the interdependence coefficients for the 12 endogenous and five exogenous sectors representing the 1963 Oklahoma economy. ${ }^{2}$

Sarigedik (1975) expanded Doeksen's social accounting system for Oklahoma by adding a government account. The objectives of this study were to develop an economic model to evaluate state planning strategies as well as project various economic variables from 1967 to 1985. The interindustry flow table was constructed from various secondary data sources to represent the 1967 Oklahoma economy. The table
consisted of 17 endogenous and five exogenous sectors. The direct coefficients matrix and the interdependence coefficients matrix were also calculated.

## Need for This Study

Previous studies done in Oklahoma have provided valuable information concerning the interrelationships that exist in the Oklahoma economy. However, since the forest products industries have historically been aggregated into another manufacturing sector, the detailed role of these sectors cannot be determined from these models. (One assumption of I-0 analysis is that all industries contained within a sector produce similar products and have homogeneous input requirements, i.e., there are no errors of aggregation.) The FPI must be disaggregated to some extent to obtain a more accurate picture of the interrelationships between these industries and the remainder of the Oklahoma economy.

The FPI in OkTahoma have been changing at a relatively rapid pace throughout the past decade. For example, since the latest I-0 model for the state was developed, one of the largest pulp and paper mills in the United States began full operation in southeast Okalhoma. Second, some dramatic changes have occurred in the structure and operations of the lumber industry in the state. For example, capital expenditures in the lumber and wood products industry have increased from one million dollars in 1970 to over $\$ 10$ million in 1976. Employment in this industry has increased from just over 1,500 to 3,250 during the same period (USDC Bureau of the Census, 1972 and 1978a). In addition, land management has apparently changed substantially as well,
as witnessed by the fact that sawtimber volume harvested has increased some 40 percent from 1966 to 1976, while the amount of commercial forestland has declined 12 percent over the same time period (Earles, 1976).

These changes in the structure and operations of the FPI in Oklahoma have no doubt caused the economic interrelationships of these industries to change. Incorporating these changes in a new I-0 model would provide a clearer, more accurate description of the economic impacts of the FPI in Oklahoma on the state's economy. These impacts, as seen in other states, may prove to be substantial.

## Objectives

The objective of this study was to quantify the economic impacts of the FPI in Oklahoma using I-O analysis. Specifically, the objectives were to:

1. Quantify the interrelationships that connect the FPI in Oklahoma with the rest of the Oklahoma economy, and
2. Estimate the FPI mutlipliers in terms of output, income, and employment, and, based on these,
3. Evaluate the relative importance of the FPI in the Oklahoma economy.

## ENDNOTES

$1_{\text {FPI sectors }}$ were included in the manufacturing sector.
${ }^{2}$ Readers interested in the development of the simulation model used by Doeksen are referred to Doeksen (1971), and Doeksen and Schreiner (1971a).

CHAPTER II

METHODS

## The Input-Output Technique

As stated previously, the I-0 technique is especially useful in the analysis of the interrelationships that exist in the economy. The formal technique of I-0 analysis is well documented by Leontief (1966), Miernyk (1965), Doeksen (1971), Curtis and Waldrop (1971), Isard (1960), and many others. The following discussion is but a brief overview of the I-0 techniques presented by these scientists.

## Transactions Matrix

The foundation for I-O analysis is the transactions matrix, or flow table. This matrix provides for the simultaneous description of the supply and demand relationships of an economy. As such, it represents the dollar value of all transactions which must occur at a given level of economic activity.

Assuming a four sector economy with three producing (endogenous) sectors and one final demand (exogenous) sector, the transactions matrix would appear as in Figure 1. Across the rows each $x_{i j}$ gives the dollar amount of sales that the sector named at the beginning of the row makes to all other sectors in the matrix. Sales to final demand (Y) represent final consumption, i.e., goods do not reenter the production process. Reading down the columns, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is interpreted as the
dollar amount of purchases made by sector $j$ from sector $i$. Value added (VA) represents payments to households, depreciation, business taxes, and other non-primary type inputs. The sum of all $x_{i j}$ and VA in a column equals the total purchases $\left(X_{j}\right)$ necessary to produce total output of $\left(X_{i}\right)$. That is, total sectoral inputs must equal total sectoral output, i.e., sum of the column for a given $\operatorname{sector}\left(X_{j}\right)$ equals the sum of the row $\left(X_{i}\right)$ for that sector. This occurs because the inputs of a sector are defined as a linear homogenous production function of the output of that sector, with imports and exports figured as residuals. This relationship requires that inputs equal outputs for all processing (endogenous) sectors in the transactions matrix.

| Producing Sectors | Purchasing Sectors$\begin{array}{lll} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | Final Demand | Total Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ${ }^{1} 1$ | ${ }^{x_{12}}$ | ${ }^{x} 13$ | $Y_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{x}_{21}$ | ${ }_{22}$ | ${ }^{2} 2$ | $Y_{2}$ | $x_{2}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{x}_{31}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{32}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{33}$ | $Y_{3}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| Value Added | VA 1 | $V A_{2}$ | $V^{\prime}{ }_{3}$ | $Y_{4}$ | VA |
| Total | ${ }_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Y | X |

Figure 1. Transactions Matrix

## Technical Coefficients Matrix

The transactions matrix serves as a foundation for the technical
coefficients matrix. This matrix is determined by dividing each column element $\left(X_{i j}\right)$ in the transactions matrix by the total input $\left(X_{j}\right)$ of that sector. Mathematically this can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i j}=\frac{x_{i j}}{x_{j}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { Where: } \left.\begin{array}{rl}
\alpha_{i j}= & \text { dollar value of the output of sector } i \\
& \text { required to produce one dollar's worth }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The technical coefficients matrix is represented in Figure 2 for the three endogenous sectors.

| Producing <br> Sectors | Purchasing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Sectors |  |
|  | $\alpha_{11}$ | $\alpha_{12}$ | $\alpha_{13}$ |
| 2 | $\alpha_{21}$ | $\alpha_{22}$ | $\alpha_{23}$ |
| 3 | $\alpha_{31}$ | $\alpha_{32}$ | $\alpha_{33}$ |
| VA | $\alpha_{41}$ | $\alpha_{42}$ | $\alpha_{43}$ |
| Tota1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Figure 2. Technical Coefficients Matrix

A technical coefficient ( $\alpha_{i j}$ ) represents the direct input requirements necessary to produce one dollar's worth of output. The sum of
each column in the technical coefficients matrix equals one, or 100 percent. These coefficients can only be interpreted down each column. There is no longer a direct relationship between a sector's row and column elements.

## Interdependence Coefficients Matrix

The third matrix calculated in I-0 analysis is the interdependence coefficients matrix. This matrix is the result of solving a set of simultaneous equations, each of which represents the gross output of each sector. In matrix notation the interdependence coefficients matrix is determined by subtracting the technical coefficents matrix from an identity matrix. The inverse of this provides the interdependence coefficients matrix.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-A) X=Y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: $I=$ identity matrix of same order as $A$ $A=$ technical coefficients matrix $X=$ column vector of total sectoral output $Y=$ column vector of sectoral final demand

The (I-A) matrix is referred to as the Leontief matrix. All diagonal elements in this matrix are positive and all off diagonals are negative. The system of equations is solved for total outputs (X) by pre-multiplying both sides by the inverse of the (I-A) matrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=(I-A)^{-1} Y \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (I-A) ${ }^{-1}$ matrix contains the interdependence coefficients (Figure 3).

| Producing <br> Sectors | Purchasing Sector |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $A_{11}$ | $A_{12}$ | $A_{13}$ |
| 2 | $A_{21}$ | $A_{22}$ | $A_{23}$ |
| 3 | $A_{31}$ | $A_{32}$ | $A_{33}$ |

Figure 3. Interdependence Coefficients Matrix

Each $A_{i j}$ element of the (I-A) ${ }^{-1}$ matrix represents the dollar amount of commodity $i$ that the economy is required to produce in order to deliver one dollar's worth of commodity $j$ to final demand. In this manner both the direct and indirect requirements are described simultaneously.

The interdependence coefficients matrix is the foundation for the output, income, and employment multipliers. These multipliers are discussed in detail in Chapter 4.

Approaches to Data Gathering

The construction of a state or regional transactions matrix can be done in one of three ways. First, all primary data can be used. This requires that all industries in all sectors be interviewed so that the data represents the actual transactions, both sales and purchases, that occurred in a given year for the entire economy being studied.

The second method represents the opposite extreme in terms of data collection. Here all data used in the construction of the
transactions matrix is from secondary sources. These sources include the Detailed I-0 Structure of the United States: 1972 (USDC, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1979a), the Census of Manufacturers (USDC, Bureau of the Census, 1975), Statistical Abstract of the United States (USDC, Bureau of the Census, 1978b), Employment and Earnings, States and Areas (USDC, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1978a), Survey of Current Business (USDC, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1979b and 1979c), and other appropriate state and national data sources.

A third method used in the construction of the transactions matrix is to combine the first two approaches. Primary data is collected on sectors of particular interest and secondary data is utilized for the remainder of the economy.

I-O analysis in its purest sense should be performed using all primary data. That is, the transactions matrix should be constructed using the first method, wherein actual sales and purchase data is collected for all sectors. This method provides the researcher with the most precise picture of the interrelationships that exist in a given economic setting. There is no reliance on secondary and national data and therefore no need to adjust the data to represent a state or regional transactions matrix.

Such a precise picture, however, is often unwarranted for two basic reasons. First, the cost of collecting all primary data is extremely high. Second, research has shown that non-survey techniques yield a regional or state table which is close to a survey based table (Shaffer and Chu, 1969). Therefore, it is often the case that the disadvantages of collecting primary data for as large an area as Oklahoma far outweigh the increased accuracy such collection would allow.

On the other hand, using all secondary data to construct the transaction matrix is not nearly so expensive. Data is available from numerous state and national governmental agencies, and is obtained with only minimal travel. Thus, a state transactions matrix can be developed at a minimal cost and, as mentioned above, describe the econmic interrelationships that exist with sufficient accuracy for most purposes.

The use of all secondary data also has disadvantages. First, the data sources necessary to construct the transactions table are published a number of years after the data has been collected. For example, the data necessary to construct the 1972 transactions matrix for Oklahoma was not available until late 1979. If large changes in the economic structure of the state have occurred since 1972, then the interrelationships expressed in this model may not accurately describe the 1979 economy. Second, as will be discussed in more detail later, the use of I-0 analysis requires the assumption of fixed, homogenous, production functions. This implies constant technology, no external economics or diseconomies, and no possibility of substitution due to relative price changes. These assumptions, and the lag in necessary data, are especially limiting in sectors of the economy which are new or rapidly expanding.

A compromise between the accuracy of the primary data and the lower cost, but less accurate secondary data, can be made by combining the two approaches. Richardson (1972) states:

A crucial next step in regional I-0 research is to use a non-survey technique systematically to estimate the elements in the I-O matrix but to replace the entries in the rows and columns relating to a few critical key or problem industries with survey based estimates (p. 129).

## Procedure for This Study

The approach of combining survey and non-survey techniques was used in this study. The sectors chosen for survey were the forest products industries. These sectors, as described in the Introduction, represent a significant portion of the Oklahoma economy and have experienced numerous structural changes since 1972.

Collecting primary data on the FPI sectors kept the costs of building the transactions matrix down and also allowed the prediction of more accurate production functions for the FPI sectors. The remainder of the economy was determined from the various secondary sources mentioned previously to keep costs at an acceptable level.

The sectors for this model are based on Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) (Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, 1972). To maximize use of the most recent data all manufacturing sectors were grouped by two digit SIC codes (Appendix A). The forest products industries were divided into seven sectors representing the major processing divisions.

The procedure for determining the 1972 Oklahoma transactions matrix began with the National I-0 table for 1972 (USDC, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1979a). This table shows the dollar value of inputs necessary to produce one dollar's worth of output for each of the 79 endogenous sectors that represent the United States economy (i.e., the technical coefficients matrix for the United States).

Next, the 1972 sector output estimates for Oklahoma were obtained from a study conducted simultaneously in Agriculture Economics (Ghebremedhin, 1981). These Oklahoma outputs were distributed into a requirements matrix by multiplying each column element of the national technical
coefficients matrix by the total Oklahoma output of that sector. This calculation assumes that the production functions in Oklahoma are the same as those in the United States, i.e., input requirements per dollar output are the same. The sum of each column equals the total output of that sector (since each column of the technical coefficients matrix for the United States sums to one). However, because each column was determined independently as a linear function of national output, the elements in a given row do not sum to the Oklahoma total output of that sector. Instead, the row sums represent the requirements of the processing sectors of Oklahoma for the goods and services produced by each sector without regard for imports and exports.

The accounting for imports and exports will be formally addressed in the discussion of location quotient. It is sufficient to say here that imports will alter the national production function such that sector outputs will indeed equal sector inputs for the endogenous sectors.

An estimate of final demand was then added to the requirements matrix. Final demand was broken down into personal consumption expenditures, private capital formation, change in business inventories, federal government expenditures, and state and local government expenditures. The addition of these five final demand columns yields a total requirements matrix.

If all the data used were secondary, the requirements matrix would be developed at this point. However, as mentioned previously, 1978 primary data were collected for the FPI sectors in Oklahoma. Therefore, two additonal tasks are necessary. First, the primary data must be incorporated into the secondary model described above and second, the

1972 requirements matrix must be adjusted to represent 1978 dollars and 1978 production levels. The procedures used to collect data for the FPI are described below. The updating procedures used for the secondary data is described in the next chapter.

Data Collection for the Forest
Products Industry

The FPI was delineated into the following six sectors. The standard industrial classifications (SIC) included in these sectors are listed in Appendix A. These sectors were:

1. Logging
2. Sawmills
3. Other lumber and wood products
4. Wooden furniture and fixtures
5. Paper and allied products
6. Paper containers and boxes

A sample was drawn from each of these six sectors to estimate their total input requirements for 1978. Each of the firms chosen for the sample were then interviewed in person or by phone, using the appropriate questionnaire given in Appendix B.

The questionnaires are based on similar questionnaires used in Minnesota (Hughes, 1970), Kansas (Emerson, Atencio, Brooks, and Reed, 1969), and Oklahoma City (Department of Planning, 1977), and were designed to collect as much information as possible in what was perceived to be the longest acceptable length of interview. The
extensive data requirements of these questionnaires made it necessary for each firm to be interviewed in person with the interviewer aiding in the gathering of the data. This insured that all firms answered the questions correctly and in a similar manner, thus minimizing the possibility of miscommunication.

Since the forest products industries were to be personally interviewed for this study, a decision was made to collect the data necessary for updating the Oklahoma Forest Industries, 1975 (Bertelson, 1977) at the same time. This required data on the amount of roundwood received and the products produced, of all forest industry firms in Oklahoma (Forest Service Questionnaire, Appendix B). The Forest Service's survey of Oklahoma Forest Industry required that every firm which processed roundwood in Oklahoma during 1978 be interviewed. This sample requirement influenced the sample size of the sawmills, other lumber and wood products, and paper and allied products sectors of this study as well.

## Sawmills

The first problem in surveying the sawmills was to obtain an accurate list of the mills in production in 1978. Because of the relative ease with which firms may enter and exit the sawmilling sector, such a list did not already exist. It was therefore necessary to compile such a list from two major sources. First, the firms identified in the 1975 Oklahoma Forest Industries survey (Bertelson, 1977) were used as a first approximation of all the sawmills operating in 1978. Each of the sammills listed here was visited and the operators were
asked the questions on the Primary Manufacturer's questionnaire as well as the previously mentioned Forest Service questionnaire, both in Appendix B.

Second, upon completion of the interview, the respondent was asked to examine the list of sawmills operating in his area, and add the mills which came into existence since 1975. In this manner it was felt that a complete listing of all mills operational in 1978 was obtained.

The data obtained from the interviews of the operators who responded was then used to represent the total input requirements of the sawmills sector in the following manner. The mills were first separated into categories according to the amount of roundwood received in 1978. The categories were as follows:

1. $<100 \mathrm{MBF}$ (1000 board feet, Doyle log scale)
2. $100<500 \mathrm{MBF}$
3. $500<1000 \mathrm{MBF}$
4. $1000<2000 \mathrm{MBF}$
5. $\geq 2000 \mathrm{MBF}$

All sawmills were visited so that a brief on-site inspection of the plant and facilities could be made, even if an interview was not granted. Such inspections were used to determine the proper category for non-response firms by comparing the physical facilities and method of operation of the non-response firms with those of the responding firms.

Some firms, for one reason or another, were unable to permit an interview at the time they were visited, but indicated a willingness to help at a later date. Because of the expense involved in returning to the firm for a personal interview, these firms were interviewed
over the phone using the In State Manufacturer questionnaire (Appendix B). This questionnaire is an abbreviated form of the questionnaire used in the personal interviews. The long form questionnaire was felt to be too extensive to lend itself to a phone interview. Therefore, the abbreviated form was used to more accurately determine the proper roundwood received category the firm belonged in. This allowed for a better estimation of the input requirements of all firms in the samills sector.

The personal interviews, phone interviews, and on-site inspections gave what was believed to be an accurate account of the total number of firms in each of the roundwood received categories. For each category, the total number of firms was divided into the total number of firms responding to the personal interviews to determine the actual sample size. The data collected on each category was then expanded to represent the total input requirements of the sector based on this estimate of sample size. The input requirements of the entire sawmills sector was determined by summing the input totals of each of the five categories. This yielded a column vector of inputs for the sawmill sector for 1978. This column was inserted into the Oklahoma requirements matrix as column number eight (Table I).

The row vector representing the sales of the sawills sector to other sectors was not determined from primary data. This would have required interviewing all other sectors in the economy since sawmill operators do not know the final destination and use of their outputs. The output row of the sawmills sector was estimated along with (and as) the inputs for the other endogenous sectors. For the other FPI, primary input data for these sectors was used and secondary data was used to estimate the rows.

TABLE I
REQUIREMENTS MATRIX FOR THE FOREST PRODUCTS INDUSTRY SECTORS, 1978


## Logging

The logging sector is comprised of all persons involved in the harvesting and hauling of roundwood. As was the case with sawmills, a list of loggers again had to be developed since no formal list is published in Oklahoma. This list was developed by asking each of the roundwood using firms to provide a list of all loggers which supplied them with roundwood in 1978. The list obtained in this fashion contained some 70 names from which a random sample of 30 was chosen. These 30 loggers were interviewed by telephone using the Timber Operator Questionnaire in Appendix B.

The inputs required from each sector by the logging sector were estimated by multiplying the average inputs per unit volume of wood produced by the loggers surveyed, times the total amount of wood logged in Oklahoma in 1978. The total amount of wood logged was determined by summing the amount of in-state roundwood received by Oklahoma mills and OK1ahoma roundwood exported to out-of-state mills. This information was obtained from the Forest Service Questionnaire (Appendix B). This column of inputs was added to the requirements matrix (Table I) for logging (column seven).

## Other Lumber and Wood Products

The other lumber and wood products sector is comprised of roundwood users other than sawmills (e.g., post operations, charcoal plants, and handle mills) and secondary manufacturers. As for the sawmills sector, a census survey was conducted for the primary manufacturers. The initial list came from the Oklahoma Forest Industries, 1975 (Bertelson, 1977).

Firms classified in SIC 243-49 comprised the secondary manufacturers of this sector. A firm is classified under the SIC system by the product it produces. If a firm produces more than one product it is classified by the product which accounts for the greatest dollar volume of sales. For I-0 analysis, the secondary products produced by a sector must be removed from that sector's output if the secondary products produced by the sector are the primary products of another sector in the mode1. In such cases the dollar value of the secondary products are removed from the sector in which they are secondary and added to the sector in which they are primary. Ritz (1979) and Parker (1979) describe the procedure used in the 1972 National inputoutput model. However, the disaggregation of forest industry into the seven sectors mentioned previously has minimized the problems normally associated with secondary products.

Due to budget and time constraints, a sampling procedure was used to collect data for the secondary firms of this sector. In this procedure, firms were grouped into the following categories: 0-19 employees ( 77 firms), 20-49 employees (23 firms), and $50+$ employees (8 firms). The sampling intensity was $13 \%$ and $26 \%$ respectively for the first two categories and all firms were surveyed in the $50+$ category. The larger firms were sampled with a greater intensity since they represent a larger portion of the total production in the sector.

Information about the total number of firms was obtained from the Oklahoma Employment Security Commission (OESC, Research and Planning Division, 1979c). However, since the information the OESC has on individual firms is confidential, the actual sample was drawn randomly from the Directory of Manufacturers for Oklahoma, 1978 (Oklahoma

Industrial Development Department, 1978). This publication is only a partial listing of firms, and lists firms by SIC code corresponding to each type of product produced, instead of by primary product as needed. To ensure firms were properly classified by primary product, the procedure used was to draw a firm's name randomly from the Directory of Manufacturers and have the OESC confirm the SIC classification and employment category.

This procedure, while not perfect, was found to be the best available. Since there were no identificable biases concerning the firms listed in the Directory of Manufacturers, it was assumed that the sample was a reasonable representation of the industry.

The data was summed and adjusted by employment category to estimate the total input requirements per employment category. The adjusted data from the three employee categories was summed to determine the total input requirements of all secondary manufacturing firms in the sector. To this was added the previously determined primary manufacturers' data to yield a column vector of total inputs which was then added to the requirements matrix as column number nine (Table I).

## Wooden Furniture and Fixtures

This sector is represented by all secondary firms in SIC 2511, 2517, 2521, and 2541. The sample for this sector was conducted in the same manner as the secondary firms of the other lumber and wood products sector. The sector was also delineated into the same employee categories and the same sampling intensities were used.

Upon completion of the sampling, the data from each category was summed and adjusted to represent all firms in each category. Then
all three categories were added together to give the total input requirements of the wooden furniture and fixtures sector. These inputs were added in the requirements matrix as a column vector represented by column number 10 (Table I).

## Paper and Allied Products; Paper

Containers and Boxes

The remaining two forest industry sectors were paper and allied products and paper containers and boxes. The former includes industries in SIC 261-64 and the latter SIC 265. These two sectors were sampled, adjusted, and added to the Oklahoma requirements matrix in exactly the same manner as the secondary firms in the other lumber and wood products sector. The paper and allied products sector was represented in the requirements matrix by row and column 12, while the paper containers and boxes sector was represented by the 13th row and column (Table I).

## Sampling Results

Of the 105 primary manufacturing firms in Oklahoma, 84 were contacted for a personal interview. Of these 84 , only 10 refused to respond, making the response rate a little over $89 \%$. The remaining 21 primary manufacturers were contacted by telephone. Of these, only six refused to help, making the percent response by telephone $71 \%$. This resulted in a combined response rate of just over $83 \%$. One hundred and eighty firms comprise the secondary manufacturers of forest industry in Oklahoma. Sixty-seven of these firms were contacted for interviews and only 19 refused, providing a response rate of just
over $71 \%$. This speaks highly of those people in the forest industry sectors who allowed us access to highly confidential information. Their cooperation in this study is deeply appreciated.

## CHAPTER III

## MATRIX ADJUSTMENTS FOR SECONDARY DATA

The requirements matrix for all non-FPI sectors was calculated initially using 1972 national coefficients and 1972 sector outputs. It therefore represents the 1972 dollar value of sales and purchases required to produce 1972 total output for each sector. These 1972 data were updated to reflect 1978 prices and 1978 production levels by the procedure described below.

## Inflation Adjustments

The adjustment for the change in the price level was made by first determining a ratio between a 1978 Producers Price Index (PPI) and a 1972 PPI (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979c and 1973b, respectively) for each producing sector in the matrix. These include agriculture, mining, construction, and all manufacturing sectors (i.e., sectors 1-23 in Table II). A similar ratio for the trade, service, and government sectors (sectors 24-31) was calculated using a Consumer Price Index (CPI) (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979b and 1973a). These ratios represent the change in the real price level for the output in each sector over the six year period from 1972 to 1978 (Table II).

Reading across a row in a requirements matrix tells how the output, in dollar terms, of a sector is distributed among other sectors

TABLE II

## ESTIMATE OF SECTOR INFLATION MULTIPLIERS

| Sector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1978(a) } \\ & \text { Price Index } \\ & (1967=100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1972(b) } \\ & \text { Price Index } \\ & (1967=100) \end{aligned}$ | Inflation(c) Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Agriculture | 212.5 | 125.0 | 1.7000 |
| 2. Mining | 406.1 | 142.9 | 2.8418 |
| 3. Consturction | 214.8 | 123.0 | 1.7463 |
| 4. Food \& Kindred Prod. | 200.5 | 119.1 | 1.6833 |
| 5. Textiles \& Fabrics | 152.4 | 114.8 | 1.3275 |
| 6. Apparel | 152.4 | 114.8 | 1. 3275 |
| 7. Logging | 276.0 | 176.8 | 1.5609 |
| 8. Sawmills | 322.4 | 159.4 | 2.0226 |
| 9. Other Lumber \& Wood Prod. | 227.6 | 127.9 | 1.7795 |
| 10. Wooden Furniture \& Fixts. | 188.5 | 119.9 | 1.5721 |
| 11. Other Furniture \& Fixts. | 191.5 | 117.1 | 1.6354 |
| 12. Paper \& Allied Prod. | 195.6 | 113.4 | 1.7249 |
| 13. Paper Containers \& Boxes | 174.6 | 115.9 | 1.5065 |
| 14. Printing \& Publishing | 209.4 | 117.9 | 1.7761 |
| 15. Chemicals \& Allied Prod. | 198.8 | 104.2 | 1.9079 |
| 16. Petroleum Refining \& Prod. | 322.5 | 118.6 | 2.7192 |
| 17. Rubber \& Plastic Prod. | 174.8 | 109.3 | 1.5993 |
| 18. Leather \& Leather Prod. | 200.0 | 131.3 | 1.5232 |
| 19. Stone, Clay, \& Glass Prod. | 222.8 | 126.1 | 1.7668 |
| 20. Metal \& Metal Prod. | 227.1 | 123.5 | 1.8389 |
| 21. Machinery \& Equipment | 196.1 | 117.9 | 1.6633 |
| 22. Transportation Equipment | 173.5 | 113.7 | 1.5259 |
| 23. Misc. Manufacturing | 167.7 | 112.1 | 1.4959 |
| 24. Transportation | 189.9 | 122.1 | 1.5554 |
| 25. Communication | 132.8 | 113.5 | 1.1700 |
| 26. Utilities | 219.9 | 121.9 | 1.8039 |
| 27. Wholesale \& Retail Trade | 202.9 | 127.3 | 1.5939 |
| 28. Finance, Ins. \& Real Est. | 229.0 | 133.0 | 1.7218 |
| 29. Services | 219.2 | 135.4 | 1.6189 |
| 30. Fed. Govt. Enterprise | 257.3 | 146.6 | 1.7551 |
| 31. S. \& L. Govt. Enterprise | 257.3 | 146.6 | 1.7551 |
| 32. Households | -2 | . | . 75 |
| 33. Value Added | - | - | - - |
| 34. Scrap | 233.2 | 112.4 | 2.0761 |
| 35. World Industry \& Inv. Adj. | - | - | - |
| 36. Imports | - | - | - |

in the economy. These were adjusted to 1978 dollars by multiplying each row (a sector's sales) by its corresponding 1978 to 1972 price ratio. This gives a 1972 requirements matrix in terms of 1978 dollars.

The exogenous sectors in the model are represented by sectors 32-36 (Table II). The households sector (row 32) is comprised of wages, tips, and salaries. Data for this sector was obtained from an unpublished Bureau of Economic Analysis report of 1978 Oklahoma household income by place of work. Therefore, no inflation adjustment was necessary.

The value added sector (row 33) is comprised of business taxes and depreciation. It is difficult to calculate a defendable price ratio for this sector. The approach taken assumes that the direct coefficient of value added in each sector was the same in 1978 as in 1972. The value added direct coefficient for each sector was multiplied by the 1978 total outputs to yield a 1978 value added row. As household income is included in the value added coefficient used, it was subtracted from this row to give the appropriate 1978 value added row.

Sector 34 was adjusted in the same manner as the other nonmanufacturing sectors. That is, a ratio of the 1978 CPI to the 1972 CPI was calculated and multiplied across the respective row. It was not necessary to determine an inflation multiplier for the world industry sector (row 35), because the endogenous portion of this row is all zeros. It is normal I-0 procedure to determine imports as residuals; therefore, no adjustment was necessary for the imports row (row 36, Table II).

## Production Adjustments

The procedure used to update the requirements matrix included an adjustment for production differences between 1972 and 1978. An adjustment was made to each column of the requirements matrix under the assumption that any change in real production levels (output) would require a simultaneous increase in all the factors of production necessary to produce a sector's output.

## Estimate of 1978 Sector Outputs

The procedure for adjusting the matrix for changes in production first requires an estimate of 1978 total output for each sector. These estimates are presented in Table III.

The 1978 output was estimated using output/employment ratios. First, the 1972 total output (Ghebremedhin, 1981) was converted to 1978 dollars by multiplying by a PPI ratio representative of the agriculture sector. Then the ratio of 1972 output in 1978 dollars (Ghebremedhin, 1981) to 1972 employment (OESC, Research and Planning Division, 1979a) was calculated. Then this output/employment ratio was multiplied by 1978 employment to estimate 1978 output. This estimate obviously assumes productivity per employee was constant between 1972 and 1978. The estimated 1978 total output of the agriculture sector was $\$ 4,630,800,000$. The estimate of 1978 total output for the mining sector was obtained directly from USDI, Bureau of Mines (1979), and was $\$ 3,500,000,000$.

Output for the construction sector was estimated using outputemployment ratios. The assumption is that output per employee for

TABLE III

## ESTIMATES OF 1978 SECTOR OUTPUT

| Sector | 1978 Output |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | (Millions of Dollars) |
| 1. Agriculture | $4,603.8$ |
| 2. Mining | $3,500.0$ |
| 3. Construction | $2,703.9$ |
| 4. Food \& Kindred Prod. | $1,802.2$ |
| 5. Textiles \& Fabrics | 100.6 |
| 6. Appare1 | 330.0 |
| 7. Logging | 74.4 |
| 8. Sawmills | 103.7 |
| 9. Other Lumber \& Wood Prod. | 245.5 |
| 10. Wooden Furniture \& Fixts. | 55.0 |
| 11. Other Furniture \& Fixts. | 23.3 |
| 12. Paper \& Allied Prod. | 216.7 |
| 13. Paper Containers \& Boxes | 87.0 |
| 14. Printing \& Publishing | 376.2 |
| 15. Chemicals \& Allied Prod. | 399.2 |
| 16. Petroleum Refining \& Prod. | $3,415.3$ |
| 17. Rubber \& Plastic Prod. | 829.0 |
| 18. Leather \& Leather Prod. | 24.3 |
| 19. Stone, Clay, \& Glass Prod. | 720.4 |
| 20. Metal \& Metal Prod. | $1,556.8$ |
| 21. Machinery \& Equipment | $2,926.2$ |
| 22. Transportation Equipment | 648.1 |
| 23. Misc. Manufacturing | 327.3 |
| 24. Transportation | $1,809.1$ |
| 25. Communication | 621.0 |
| 26. Utilities | $2,085.7$ |
| 27. Wholesale \& Retail Trade | $4,606.7$ |
| 28. Finance, Ins., \& Real Est. | $5,446.9$ |
| 29. Services | $6,877.6$ |
| 30. Fed. Govt. Enterprise | 504.9 |
| 31. S. \& L. Govt. Enterprise | 290.0 |

Oklahoma is the same as that for the nation as a whole. Data for the U.S. output and employment in construction are found in the Survey of Current Business (USDC, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1979c), while the Oklahoma construction employment figures are found in the Handbook of Oklahoma Employment Statistics (OESC, Research and Planning Division, 1979b). Solving for 1979 Oklahoma output yields an estimate of \$2,703,900,000.

With the exception of the FPI sectors, estimates of the 1978 total output for the manufacturing sectors (sectors 4-23) were made in the same manner. First, data in the Census of Manufacturers (USDC, Bureau of the Census, 1979) provided an estimate of the 1977 total output of all Oklahoma manufacturing sectors. These data were then adjusted for inflation by multiplying by the 1978 to 1977 PPI ratio for each sector (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979c and 1978b, respectively). Changes in production between 1977 and 1978 were accounted for by using employment ratios (OESC, Research and Planning Division, 1979b), which measured the change in the production level of a sector assuming constant output per employee. A ratio of 1978 to 1977 employment was calculated for each sector. This ratio was multiplied by the inflation adjusted output data to estimate 1978 total output for each individual manufacturing sector.

The 1978 total output for the transportation sector was estimated by multiplying 1976 U.S. output (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979d) by a 1978 to 1976 CPI ratio (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979d and 1977, respectively). The inflation adjusted U.S. output was then multiplied by a 1978 to 1976 U.S. employment ratio (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979d) to yield an estimate of 1978 U.S. output
for the transportation sector. Oklahoma output was determined by multiplying U.S. output by Oklahoma's share of U.S. employment in this sector (USDC, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1980). The resulting estimate of Oklahoma's total output for transportation was \$1,809,130,000.

The estimate of the 1978 total output for the communications sector was made in the same manner as the estimate for transportation. Utilizing the same ratios and data sources as above, the 1978 output of the communications sector was estimated to be $\$ 621,020,000$.

An estimate of the 1978 total output for the utilities sector was made in two steps. First, data on water, sewer, and garbage usage was obtained from telephone interviews with the city managers of 45 Oklahoma cities and towns. Total output for these services were then estimated by multiplying the ratio of total dollar output/population calculated from the sample times the total Oklahoma population. Second, estimates of the 1978 use of electricity and gas were obtained from personal conversations with state Department of Energy personnel and representatives of the various public utility corporations in Oklahoma. The combination of the gas and electric utilities' estimate with that of water, sewer, and garbage services yielded an estimate of 1978 total output in the utilities sector of $\$ 2,085,700,000$.

Total output for the wholesale and retail trade sector is defined as the margin obtained by this sector in its transactions. This margin (as a percentage) is found by subtracting cost of goods sold from sales and dividing the difference by sales. Data for calculating the 1975 margin for the U.S. (the most recent available) came from the Statistics of Income for Business, Corporations, and Individual Income Tax Returns (USDT, Internal Revenue Service, 1978a, 1980, and 1978b, respectively).

An estimate of the total U.S. margin (in dollars) was made by applying the 1975 margin to the 1978 total sales of wholesale and retail trade (USDC, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1979c). Oklahoma's total output for the wholesale and retail trade sector was estimated using output/employment ratios. Total output for this sector was estimated to be $\$ 4,606,700,000$. Once again it is assumed that technology and productivity is the same in Oklahoma as in the U.S.

Output for the finance, insurance, and real estate sector was estimated from data from the USDC, Bureau of Economic Analysis (1976), USDT, Internal Revenue Service (1978a, 1978b, and 1980), and OESC, Research and Planning Division (1979b). Output per employee was calculated by using a ratio of 1975 U.S. output to 1975 U.S. employment. This was multiplied by the number of Oklahoma employees in 1978 to yield an estimate of Oklahoma total output. This estimate was then adjusted for inflation by multiplying by the ratio of a 1978 CPI to a 1975 CPI (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979a and 1976a, respectively), to yield a 1978 total output estimate of $\$ 5,446,900,000$. This assumes that technology and productivity is the same in the U.S. as in Oklahoma and that productivity per employee has been constant from 1975 to 1978.

An estimate of the total output for the services sector was determined in much the same manner as the finance, insurance, and real estate sector. The same data sources were used to estimate the output/ employment ratio for the U.S. in 1975. This ratio was then multiplied by the 1978 total employment in the services sector in Oklahoma. This estimate of total output was adjusted for inflation by multiplying it by the ratio of the 1978 CPI to the 1975 CPI for the services sector
(USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979a and 1976a, respectively). This yielded an estimate of 1978 total output of $\$ 2,244,000,000$. This estimate obviously requires the same assumptions as that of the finance, insurance, and real estate sector.

The 1978 total outputs for both the federal government and state government enterprise sectors were estimated by multiplying the 1972 total output of these sectors (Ghebremedhin, 1980), by the ratio of 1978 CPI to 1972 CPI for each sector (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979b and 1973a, respectively). Because of data limitations, the CPI ratio used was that of "all items." The inflation adjusted output was further adjusted for changes in production by multiplying it by the ratio of 1978 to 1972 productivity (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 1979 and 1973, respectively). Again, this index was that of "all items," because a separate productivity index for government enterprise was not available. The estimated total outputs were $\$ 504,950,000$ and $\$ 290,009,000$, respectively, for federal government and state government enterprise.

## Estimate of Real Change in Sector Outputs

An estimate of the real change in total output between 1972 and 1978 was also needed for the production adjustment. First, the 1978 dollar value of the 1972 total output was estimated for each processing sector (sectors 1-31) by multiplying by the same ratios used to adjust the requirements matrix for inflation. A production multiplier for each sector was then calculated by first subtracting the 1972 production in 1978 dollars from the estimate of 1978 total output. This gives the real change in dollar value of production from 1972 to 1978
(Table IV). This real change in production was then divided by 1972 production in 1978 dollars, giving the percent change in production occurring in each sector from 1972 to 1978. The production multipliers in Table IV are this number plus one. This multiplier was then used to adjust the column elements of the requirements matrix to reflect changes in the actual level of production between 1972 and 1978.

The new requirements matrix resulting from these adjustments for inflation and changes in production, represents the estimated 1978 demand relationships that exist in the Oklahoma economy (Table V).

## Final Demand

The final demand portion of the requirements matrix represents the final disposition of the goods and services produced in the economy, i.e., those goods and services purchased by the final consumers which do not reenter the manufacturing process. Final demand is comprised of personal consumption expenditures, private capital formation, change in business inventories, federal government purchases, state and local government purchases, and exports.

The data requirements for the estimation of these final demand sectors is quite extensive. Most of the data for Oklahoma is only as recent as 1972. Therefore, the final demand sector estimates were obtained from state projections of final demand which have been reconciled with the national final demand projections published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (Scheppach, 1972). This model utilizes numerous equations and data sources to project final demand from 1970 to 1980. The 1978 final demand was obtained by deflating the 1980

ESTIMATE OF SECTOR PRODUCTION MULTIPLIERS

| Sector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1978(a) } \\ & \text { Tota1 Output } \end{aligned}$ | 1972 Total (b) Output in 1978 Dollars | Real Change (c) in Production from 1972 | Production(d) Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of Dollars |  |  |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 4,630.8 | 3,080.5 | 1,550.3 | $1.5033{ }^{1}$ |
| 2. Mining | 3,500.0 | 4,275.8 | - 775.8 | . 8186 |
| 3. Construction | 3,703.9 | 2,801.0 | - 97.1 | . 9653 |
| 4. Food \& Kindred Prod. | 1,802.2 | 1,583.9 | 218.3 | 1.1378 |
| 5. Textiles \& Fabrics | 100.6 | 111.4 | - 10.8 | . 9030 |
| 6. Apparel | 330.0 | 203.9 | 126.1 | 1.6184 |
| 7. Logging | 74.4 | -2 | - | - |
| 8. Sawmills | 103.7 | - | - | - |
| 9. Other Lumber \& Wood Prod. | 245.5 | - | - | - |
| 10. Wooden Furniture \& Fixts. | 55.0 | - | - | - |
| 11. Other Furniture \& Fixts. | 23.3 | - | - | - |
| 12. Paper \& Allied Prod. | 280.0 | - | - | - |
| 13. Paper Containers \& Boxes | 87.0 | - | - | - |
| 14. Printing \& Publishing | 376.2 | 344.5 | 31.7 | 1.0920 |
| 15. Chemicals \& Allied Prod. | 399.2 | 127.7 | 271.5 | 3.1261 |
| 16. Petroleum Refining \& Prod. | 3,415.3 | 2,433.7 | 981.6 | 1.4033 |
| 17. Rubber \& Plastic Prod. | 829.1 | 440.4 | 388.7 | 1.8826 |
| 18. Leather \& Leather Prod. | 24.4 | 37.2 | - 12.8 | . 6559 |
| 19. Stone, Clay, \& Glass Prod. | 720.5 | 499.3 | 221.2 | 1.4430 |
| 20. Metal \& Metal Prod. | 1,556.8 | 1,109.6 | 447.2 | 1.4030 |
| 21. Machinery \& Equipment | 2,926.2 | 2,053.7 | 872.5 | 1.4248 |
| 22. Transportation Equipment | 648.1 | 467.1 | 181.0 | 1.3875 |

TABLE IV (Continued)

| Sector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1978(a) } \\ & \text { Total Output } \end{aligned}$ | 1972 Total (b) Output in 1978 Dollars | Real Change(c) in Production from 1972 | Production(d) Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23. Misc. Manufacturing | 327.4 | 144.2 | 183.2 | 2.2704 |
| 24. Transportation | 1,809.4 | 1,417.7 | 391.7 | 1,2763 |
| 25. Communication | 621.0 | 429.1 | 197.9 | 1.4472 |
| 26. Utilities | 2,085.7 | 1,365.4 | 720.3 | 1.5275 |
| 27. Wholesale \& Retail Trade | 4,606.7 | 4,092.8 | 513.9 | 1.1256 |
| 28. Finance, Ins., \& Real Est. | 5,446.9 | 4,934.4 | 512.5 | 1.1039 |
| 29. Services | 6,877.6 | 4,773.8 | 2,103.8 | 1.4407 |
| 30. Fed. Govt. Enterprise | 505.0 | 390.8 | 114.2 | 1.2922 |
| 31. S. \& L. Govt. Enterprise | 290.1 | 205.1 | 85.0 | 1.4144 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Calculations are as follows: (a) - ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) $=(\mathrm{c}) \quad(\mathrm{c}) \div(\mathrm{b})=(\mathrm{d})$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2} 1978$ primary data; no production multiplier necessary |  |  |  |  |

TABLE V
OKLAHOMA REQUIREMENTS MATRIX, 1978

| Producing sectior |  | Purchasing sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\qquad$ 6 $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - - - - | - - - - | - - - - | rousancs | dollar | - - - | - - | -- |
| 1 | agriculiture | 1726510 | 60 | 4620 | 5¢2¢20 | 2310 | 970 | 19100 | 0 |
| 2 | MINING | 9160 | 186430 | 35750 | 1260 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | construction | $\geq 0540$ | 132710 | 710 | 3 ceo | 200 | 290 | 0 | 9530 |
| 4 | FOUD E KINURED PROD. | 370050 | 850 | 730 | 330100 | 170 | 330 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | dexitiles e fabrics | 4300 | 70 | 10210 | 200 | 30060 | 80070 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 1190 | 350 | 350 | 570 | 1230 | 69120 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | LOGGING | 0 | 290 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34390 |
| 8 | SAwhilis | 140 | 10 | 54120 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c |
| 9 | Other lumber e wood prod. | 0160 | 0 | 124920 | 1450 | 210 | 400 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | WOUDEN FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 3700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 3010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | PAPER E ALLIED PROD. | 5690 | 710 | 7040 | 15550 | 310 | 1680 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | PAPER CUNTAINERS $\varepsilon$ boxes | 3660 | 0 | 80 | 33300 | 830 | 3170 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 1350 | 42 C | 550 | 10720 | 40 | 480 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | chemicals e allied prod. | 153750 | 24460 | 28420 | 13 C60 | 18610 | e580 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | PETROLEUM REFINING E PROD. | 61770 | 22280 | 71460 | 7020 | 560 | 1130 | 2970 | 710 |
| 17 | RUBUER E PLASTIC PROD. | 17210 | 1750 | 21990 | 16110 | 2540 | 2680 | 380 | 0 |
| 18 | Leather e leather prod. | 1080 | 90 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 1760 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | stine. clay. e glass proo. | 1030 | 1760 | 125740 | 28260 | ¢ 20 | 140 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | metal e metal prod. | 10110 | 44820 | 283780 | C7c60 | 70 | 3050 | 0 | 300 |
| 21 | machinery e equipment | 21120 | E3060 | 113210 | 3210 | 1000 | 900 | 6540 | 9750 |
| 22 | TRANSPORTATIUN EQUIPMENT | 3350 | 1200 | 750 | 200 | 0 | 20 | 2870 | 370 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mag. | 780 | 2330 | 11340 | $=10$ | 170 | 4770 | 1210 | 1470 |
| 24 | Iransportation | 64590 | 18340 | 61010 | 44580 | 2570 | ¢ 280 | 0 | 1630 |
| 25 | communication | 8710 | 5650 | 4890 | 1580 | 290 | 1050 | 0 | 50 |
| 26 | UTILItIES | 38470 | 47130 | 3400 | 14620 | 1540 | 2320 | 240 | 2440 |
| 27 | Wholesale e retall trade | 153300 | 18210 | 188710 | 74390 | $4 \in 10$ | 13980 | 7200 | 2200 |
| 28 | Finance, ins.. E REML ESt. | 284970 | 505570 | 31160 | 17430 | 1840 | 6980 | 6770 | 870 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 36450 | 43180 | 155890 | t2E50 | 3330 | 11110 | 4670 | 3770 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 830 | 1865 | 800 | 1620 | 120 | 930 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 40 | 1330 | 140 | 230 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | hauseholos | 433200 | 1255480 | 1007480 | 225570 | 15470 | 93950 | 15800 | 24550 |
| 33 | value addeo | 1151170 | 1058690 | 187180 | 271310 | 11300 | 14720 | 6660 | 11670 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 60 | 910 | 470 | 1240 | 640 | 120 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | WORLD INDUSTRY E INV. ADJ. | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c |
|  | IGIAL INPUT | $4 \in 30800$ | 3500000 | 2703900 | 1802240 | 100600 | 330050 | 74400 | 103700 |

TABLE V (Continued)


TABLE V (Continued)

| Producing sector |  | PURCHASING SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - 17 $\qquad$ <br> 18 $\qquad$ 2 $\qquad$ 29 $\qquad$ 21 $\qquad$ 22 $\qquad$ 23. $\qquad$ 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - - - - | - - - | - - - | trousands | Of dollar | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| 1 | agriculture | 240 | 0 | 400 | 720 | 360 | 90 | 440 | 390 |
| 2 | MINING | 2820 | 0 | 48840 | $54 \leqslant 10$ | 910 | 240 | 510 | 1340 |
| 3 | CONSTRUCIION | 4300 | 30 | 5320 | 6E00 | 84E0 | 1580 | 1100 | 57730 |
| 4 | FOOD E KINORED PROD. | 310 | 110 | 340 | \$20 | 1290 | 520 | 790 | 4250 |
| 5 | dextiles e =abrics | 32220 | 1660 | 1100 | 330 | 1540 | 2930 | 4420 | 750 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 660 | 110 | 340 | 660 | 1010 | 3530 | 600 | 1870 |
| 7 | LOGGING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 |
| 8 | SAWMILLS | 140 | 30 | 1800 | 3180 | 5050 | 5390 | 4400 | 0 |
| 9 | OTHER LUMBER E WOCD PROD. | 2920 | 220 | 6050 | 4210 | 2620 | 7100 | 4370 | 180 |
| 10 | WOLDEN FURNI TURE \& FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1140 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE E FIXI. | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 20 | 2000 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | PAPER E ALLIED PROD. | 7510 | 230 | 7120 | 2120 | 4250 | 620 | 8720 | 1830 |
| 13 | PAPER CONTAINERS $\varepsilon$ eoxes | 10610 | 320 | 14230 | 6620 | 7300 | 450 | 5300 | 740 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 530 | 20 | 720 | Eso | 5500 | 850 | 350 | 3570 |
| 15 | Chemicals e allieo prod. | 174050 | 350 | 22870 | 26720 | 15710 | 4940 | 12200 | 3020 |
| 16 | JETROLEUM REFINING ¢ PROD. | 4000 | 90 | 7450 | 8530 | 16550 | 3030 | 2890 | 103710 |
| 17 | RUBBER E PLASIIC PRCO. | 23900 | 1690 | 14250 | $10 \$ 20$ | 38070 | 8750 | 11000 | 11010 |
| 18 | Leather e leather pfod. | 70 | 4636 | 10 | 30 | 140 | 40 | 980 | 30 |
| 19 | Stune. Clay. c glass proo. | 5590 | 10 | 73870 | 12750 | 15540 | 5620 | 2400 | 890 |
| 20 | METAL E METAL PROD. | 23140 | 650 | 10480 | 533800 | 419790 | 102980 | 38000 | 11760 |
| 21 | machinery e equipment | B7E0 | 180 | 7120 | 44 ESO | 600480 | 63960 | 9150 | 13580 |
| 22 | TRANSPORTATION EQUIFMENT | 790 | 0 | 130 | 1:50 | 9590 | 95200 | 150 | 32030 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mfg. | 2100 | 340 | 1970 | 4260 | 8830 | 4310 | 16750 | 1780 |
| 24 | transportation | 27690 | 420 | 43200 | 42550 | 38080 | 10620 | 7560 | 200260 |
| 25 | communication | 2280 | 70 | 1560 | 3420 | 10970 | 1440 | 1370 | 15000 |
| 20 | utilities | 13630 | 140 | 26040 | 24170 | 21990 | 4140 | $2 \in \in 0$ | 1528 C |
| 27 | wholesale e retail trade | 20950 | 940 | 18320 | A8E10 | 91910 | 23490 | 12780 | 42540 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS., E REAL EST. | 14590 | 530 | 14910 | 27060 | 70690 | 6450 | 9690 | 74650 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 36960 | 104 c | 25260 | 46170 | 132990 | 28250 | 9210 | 67500 |
| 30 | FEOERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 850 | 120 | 810 | 1540 | 4560 | 980 | 800 | 2650 |
| 31 | So $\varepsilon$ Le GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 60 | 0 | 110 | 390 | 110 | 20 | 160 | 2700 |
| 32 | HOUSEHOLOS | 166970 | 4810 | 169320 | 440600 | 729060 | 226100 | 50070 | 727380 |
| 33 | value added | 229420 | 5580 | 193790 | 179470 | 654260 | 30480 | 107100 | 410550 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 1000 | 10 | 2610 | 18920 | 6590 | 870 | 720 | 180 |
| 35 | WORLD INOUSTRY E INV. ADJ. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | total input | 829060 | 24.390 | 720490 | 1556200 | 2926210 | 648100 | 327360 | 1809130 |

TABLE V (Continued)

| PRODUCING SECTOR |  | Purchasing sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -_---25 $\qquad$ 26 $\qquad$ 21 $\qquad$ 28 $\qquad$ 22 $\qquad$ 30 $\qquad$ 31 $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - - - | - - - - | - - Ir | ands of | lars- - | - - - | - - - |
| 1 | Agriculdure | 1650 | 2560 | 2580 | 16500 | 49490 | 20 | 370 |
| 2 | MINING | 0 | 340320 | 0 | 70 | 1290 | $13 \mathrm{E30}$ | 5020 |
| 3 | construction | 18240 | 624E0 | 19220 | 254210 | 71520 | 5670 | 56590 |
| 4 | FOOD E KINDRED PROD. | 170 | E®0 | 3020 | 1860 | 477340 | 170 | 20 |
| 5 | texilles e fabrics | 0 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 2630 | 150 | 30 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 200 | 270 | 2160 | 710 | 24680 | 880 | 650 |
| 7 | Logging | 0 | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | SAWMILLS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 850 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | OTHER LUMBER E WOOD PROD. | 0 | 0 | 790 | 0 | 1190 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | WOODEN FURNI TURE 6 FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | PAPER E ALLIED PROD. | 490 | 900 | 25170 | 7160 | 20130 | 890 | 310 |
| 13 | Paper cuntainers e boxes | 0 | 0 | 7020 | 0 | 11880 | 140 | 0 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 2130 | 2460 | 9060 | 27010 | 44590 | 2810 | 1160 |
| 15 | CHEMICALS E ALLIED FROD. | 20 | 4450 | 2840 | 1890 | 85610 | 2440 | 6340 |
| 16 | petroleum refining e prod. | 540 | 74430 | 53480 | 20960 | 56770 | 3¢00 | 8560 |
| 17 | RUBBER E PLAStic prod. | 180 | $1 \leq 90$ | 9570 | 5630 | 42260 | 1120 | 240 |
| 18 | leather e leather pfod. | 20 | 30 | 290 | 170 | 4200 | 110 | 0 |
| 19 | Stune. Clay. e glass prod. | 30 | 180 | 1660 | 100 | 19180 | 150 | 210 |
| 20 | metal e metal prod. | 380 | 770 | 1570 | 350 | 37290 | 820 | 570 |
| 21 | machinery e equipment | 13190 | 7780 | 5870 | 2430 | 88500 | 500 | 2300 |
| 22 | IRANSPORTATION EQUIFMENT | 250 | 370 | 1560 | $\leqslant 30$ | 155370 | 750 | 1390 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mfg. | ¢ 60 | 1020 | 2760 | 2¢00 | 68860 | 550 | 240 |
| 24 | tran sportation | 2¢20 | 14660 | 54660 | 11610 | 85500 | 32720 | 4 CBO |
| 25 | communication | 7270 | 5030 | 45630 | 28880 | 50900 | 1070 | 1350 |
| 26 | UTILITIES | 5430 | 410180 | 75430 | 35440 | 109370 | 12230 | 33450 |
| 27 | whilesale e retail trade | 1400 | 13530 | 61160 | 17950 | 189780 | 1760 | 2930 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. E REAL EST. | 25100 | 35730 | 261500 | 700730 | 460740 | 19540 | 6 el 10 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 34390 | $21 E 10$ | 189520 | 1E3000 | 604690 | 22330 | 11310 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 2710 | 5EC0 | 22510 | 41790 | 37590 | 1190 | 400 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVI. ENIERPRISE | 420 | 370 | 3580 | 1910 | 4520 | 310 | 50 |
| 32 | householos | 300210 | 238070 | 2296410 | 771980 | 2452650 | 396150 | 67630 |
| 33 | value added | 203540 | 840260 | 1447230 | 3341390 | 1615990 | $-17680$ | 77980 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 0 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 2240 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | WORLD INOUSTRY E INV. ADJ. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | total input | C21020 | 2085700 | 4606700 | \$446500 | 6877600 | 504550 | 290090 |

TABLE V (Continued)

projection assuming a linear growth rate for all sectors. The following is a brief overview of the simulation technique used.

## Personal Consumption Expenditures

Personal consumption expenditures (PCE) were determined as a function of average expenditures by consumer units on various commodities, income, and population. Expenditures by consumer units on various commodities (USDL, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1966) were classified by eight income groups according to four population characteristics. Multiplying the average expenditure per consumer unit by the number of consumer units in each population/income group, provided an estimate of the total expenditures by all state residents on the various commodity groups. The total expenditures for 1980 were distributed to the relevant I-0 sector by multiplying the total expenditures on each commodity by the proportion of each commodity which is distributed to each I-O sector. Data for this distribution is provided in Polenske (1972).

For the purposes of this study it was necessary to adjust the 1980 PCE estimates to 1978. This was accomplished by assuming a linear growth rate between 1970 and 1980 and reducing the 1980 PCE estimates accordingly. The 1978 estimates were then adjusted to represent 1978 dollars using appropriate price indices for each sector. This adjustment procedure was used for all final demand sectors. The 1978 estimate of PCE is contained in Table $V$.

## Private Capital Formation

Private capital formation (PCF) represents both investment in new plants and equipment, and the capital used in production processes.

Total PCF was estimated by multiplying state estimates of total expenditures on capital by a national capital coefficients matrix. This provided the base year estimate of capital flows from which 1970 and 1980 projections could be made. Capital was divided into four categories and output for each category was projected from estimates of the variables that affect investment. Total capital output was then distributed by the base year capital flows for each sector. The 1980 projection of PCF was adjusted to 1978 in the same manner as PCE (Table V).

Change in Business Inventory

Change in business inventories represents the accumulation or reduction in the finished products of an industry. The level of inventory of a sector is determined from the previous year's output. Base year estimates of inventory change were based on the previous year's change in inventory and sector output lagged. Projections were made based on the inventory/output lagged ratio assuming a constant linear relationship between inventory and the previous year's output. The 1980 projection for Change in Business Inventories was adjusted to 1978 and is contained in Table V.

## Federal Government Purchases

Federal government purchases includes both military and nonmilitary expenditures. Net expenditures for the base year are distributed to the I-0 sectors from the national I-0 account. Nonmilitary expenditures were allocated to the states using federal civilian employment/output ratios. Military expenditures were allocated
directly from contract data. The 1980 projection of federal government purchases was made on the basis of expected changes in state output shares and was adjusted to 1978 (Table V).

## State and Local Government Purchases

State and local government purchases consist of expenditures on education, highways, hospitals, health and sanitation, natural resources, local parks and recreation, and public enterprises. Estimates of the expenditures on these functional categories were transformed into expenditures on an I-0 basis. Projections for 1970 and 1980 were made using time series data and regression analysis. The 1978 data was estimated from these projections (Table V).

## Exports

The export column of final demand was not determined from the projected data. Instead it was calculated as a residual in the location quotient technique described below.

## Location Quotient

The Oklahoma requirements matrix in Table $V$ has the same technical coefficients as the national model for the non-FPI sectors. In this matrix the production functions of each sector are assumed to be the same as in the U.S. Furthermore, it has been assumed that each sector has purchased all of its inputs inside Oklahoma, i.e., there are no imports in the model as yet.

The transactions matrix is obtained by adjusting the requirements matrix to account for interregional trade and differences in
production levels. This adjustment can be accomplished in one of many ways (Richardson, 1972). This study used the Supply-Demand Pool (SDP) method. This method has its origins in the regional commodity balances approach advanced by Isard (1960). It involves subtracting total regional requirements from total regional output for each endogenous sector of the economy to obtain the net surplus (or deficit).

The total regional requirements for Oklahoma are the sum of each row in the requirements matrix (Table $V$ ). Total regional outputs used are the 1978 total outputs presented previously in Table III. The difference between the two represents the trade requirements. Here, negative numbers represent deficits in the commodity balances (imports), and positive numbers represent a surplus in the commodity balances (exports).

In the SDP approach when the commodity balance showed that a sector produces a surplus, imports were assumed to be zero, exports were equal to the surplus, and the regional technical coefficients were equal to those of the U.S.

When the commodity balance showed a deficit, exports were assumed to be zero. Imports were then allocated across the row based on the requirements of each purchasing sector relative to the total requirements of all purchasing sectors. In these cases the regional or state technical coefficients would be different from those of the U.S.

A transactions table was determined by subtracting imports directly from the requirements matrix. The first step was to divide the elements of each row in the requirements matrix by the sum of the row.

The result was a matrix, read across each row, which specified the percentage requirements of each purchasing sector of the goods and services of the sector named at the beginning of each row.

In the SDP method each processing sector is assumed to share in the total imports of the products of the producing sector according to the ratio of its use to the total use. Therefore, imports were distributed across each row by multiplying each row of the above described matrix by total imports. The resulting matrix is an import flow table which specifies the dollar value of goods and services each purchasing sector had to import in 1978. This import flow table was subtracted from the requirements matrix to yield a 1978 Oklahoma transaction matrix.

Exports, surpluses in the commodity balances, were added as a column vector in final demand. The import row of the transactions matrix is merely the sum of each column of import flow matrix and represents the total value of all goods and services each purchasing sector imported in 1978. The entire 1978 Oklahoma transactions matrix is presented in Table VI in the following chapter.

The calculation of the transactions matrix in this manner requires the assumption that local trade was maximized. That is, all goods produced by a sector are consumed in the state first and only the surplus production is exported. In the same manner only the deficits in production are imported. While this is rarely the case in the real world transactions of a region, it is a necessary assumption in I-O models where survey data is not collected on all sectors of the economy. Furthermore, as Shaffer and Chu (1969) found in their study of non-survey techniques, the technique presented here yields a better
estimate of the regional I-0 model than one obtained through the use of national coefficients alone. Therefore, this Oklahoma transactions matrix should provide a sound estimate of the supply and demand relationships that existed in the economy of Oklahoma in 1978.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Input-Output Model for Oklahoma, 1978

## Transactions Matrix

The 1978 transactions matrix is presented in Table VI. It represents the sum total of all sales and purchases by sector that occurred in the 1978 Oklahoma economy. As described previously in the four sector economy of Figure 1, sales of a sector are found by reading across a row and purchases by reading down a column. For example, the logging sector (row 7) sold 240 thousand dollar's worth of output to the mining sector, 210 thousand dollars to construction, and so on across row 7 for a total of 74.4 million dollars worth of sales in 1978. The logging sector (column 7) purchased 19.1 million dollar's worth of goods from the agriculture sector, 2.9 million dollars from the petroleum refining and products sector, 380 thousand dollars from the rubber and plastic products sector, and so on down column 7 for a total of 74.4 million dollars worth of purchases in 1978 . The transactions of all endogenous sectors are interpreted in the same manner. Total output equals total input for all endogenous sectors, since all the economic transactions of a sector are accounted for in I-O analysis.

Total output is not equal to total input for all exogenous sectors since there is no direct relationship between these rows and

OKLAHOMA TRANSACTIONS MATRIX, 1978

| Producing sector |  | Pldrehasing sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | --..- 1 $\qquad$ 3 $\qquad$$\qquad$$\qquad$ 6 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | - - - - | - | irousanos | dolla | - - | - - - | - |
| 1 | Agricultijre | 1726510 | 60 | 4620 | 552820 | 2310 | 970 | 19100 | 0 |
| 2 | Mining | 9160 | 186430 | 35750 | 1260 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | construction | 20240 | 87930 | 470 | 2440 | 130 | 190 | 0 | 6320 |
| 4 | FOUD E KINDRED PROD. | 201770 | 460 | 400 | 179590 | 90 | 180 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | textiles e fabrics | 1720 | 30 | 4000 | 80 | 11780 | 31370 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 630 | 190 | 180 | $\leqslant 10$ | 650 | 36610 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | logGing | 0 | 240 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28000 |
| 8 | SAwaills | 90 | 10 | 32580 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | OTHER LUMEER E WOOD PROD. | 6160 | 0 | 124920 | 1450 | 210 | 400 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | WOODEN FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 1070 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 950 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Paper e allied prod. | 3980 | 500 | 4920 | 11140 | 220 | 1170 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Paper contalners f boxes | 2630 | 0 | 60 | 23590 | 600 | 2290 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 1350 | 420 | 550 | 10720 | 40 | 480 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | chemicals e allied frod. | E0730 | 8070 | 9380 | 4510 | 6140 | 2830 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | PETROLEUM REFINING E PROD. | 61770 | 22280 | 71460 | 7020 | 560 | 1130 | 2970 | 710 |
| 17 | RUBBER E PLASTIC PRCO. | 17210 | 1750 | 21990 | 16110 | 2540 | 2680 | 380 | 0 |
| 18 | Leather e leather prod. | 250 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Stune. Clay. e glass prod. | 1030 | 1760 | 185740 | 28260 | 520 | 140 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | METAL 6 METAL PROD. | 7830 | 34680 | 256970 | Ete90 | 50 | 2360 | 0 | 230 |
| 21 | machinerr e equipment | 29870 | 19730 | 108660 | 3080 | S60 | 870 | 6280 | 9360 |
| 22 | tRANSPURTATION EQUIPNENT | 1490 | 530 | 330 | 90 | 0 | 10 | 1270 | 160 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mfg. | 460 | 1350 | 6590 | 180 | 100 | 2780 | 700 | 860 |
| 24 | transpurtation | 64590 | 18340 | 61010 | 44580 | 2¢70 | 5280 | 0 | 1630 |
| 25 | cummunication | 8710 | 5650 | 4890 | 1580 | 290 | 1050 | 0 | 50 |
| 26 | UTILItIES | 38470 | 47130 | 3400 | 14E20 | 1540 | 2320 | 240 | 2440 |
| 27 | wholesale e retall trade | 133140 | 15820 | 163900 | 64610 | 4000 | 12140 | 6250 | 1910 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. E REM. EST. | 208380 | 365690 | 22790 | 12750 | 1340 | 5100 | 4950 | 630 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 36450 | 43180 | 155890 | t2 $5 \leq 0$ | 3330 | 11110 | 4670 | 3770 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENIERPRISE | 830 | 1860 | 800 | 1¢20 | 120 | 930 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 40 | 1330 | 190 | 230 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | HOUSEHOLDS | 4ミ3200 | 1255480 | 1007480 | 225E70 | 15470 | 93950 | 15800 | 24550 |
| 33 | value added | 1151170 | 1058690 | 187180 | 271310 | 11300 | 14720 | 6660 | 11670 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 60 | 910 | 470 | 1240 | 640 | 120 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | * ORLO INOUSTRY E INV. AOJ. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Imports | 390920 | 215480 | 184150 | 205230 | 33030 | $9 \mathrm{C410}$ | 5130 | 11400 |
|  | total input | 4630800 | $3 \leq 00000$ | 2703900 | 1802240 | 100600 | 330050 | 74400 | 103700 |

TABLE VI (Continued)


TABLE VI (Continued)

| PRODUCING SECIOR |  | purchasing sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - - - | - - - | - - - | thousands | of dolla | - - | - - - | - |
| 1 | agriculture | 240 | 0 | 400 | 720 | 360 | 90 | 440 | 390 |
| 2 | MINING | 2820 | 0 | 48840 | $54 \leq 10$ | S 10 | 240 | 510 | 1340 |
| 3 | consirucition | 2850 | 20 | 3520 | 4370 | 5600 | 1040 | 730 | 38250 |
| 4 | FUOU E KINURED PROD. | 170 | 60 | 190 | 280 | 1030 | 280 | 430 | 2320 |
| 5 | textiles c fabrics | 12620 | 650 | 430 | 130 | 600 | 1150 | 1730 | 300 |
| $\bigcirc$ | APPAREL | 3E0 | 60 | 180 | 450 | 540 | 1870 | 320 | 990 |
| 7 | logging | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 |
| 8 | sawmiles | 80 | 20 | 1090 | 1510 | $3 \mathrm{C40}$ | 3250 | 2650 | 0 |
| 9 | UTHER LUMBER E WOOD PROD. | 2920 | 220 | 6050 | 4310 | 2620 | 7100 | 4370 | 180 |
| 10 | WOODEN FURNI TURE ¢ FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE EFIXI. | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 10 | 630 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Paper e allied prod. | 5240 | 160 | 4970 | 1480 | 2570 | 430 | 6090 | 1280 |
| 13 | Paper contalners 6 boxes | 7640 | 230 | 10250 | 4770 | 5260 | 320 | 3820 | 530 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUELISHING | 530 | 20 | 720 | 650 | 5500 | 850 | 350 | 3570 |
| 15 | Chemicals e allied prod. | ¢ 7430 | 120 | 7550 | -E20 | 5190 | 1630 | 4020 | 1000 |
| 10 | PEJROLEUM REFINING E PFCD. | 4000 | 90 | 7450 | 8:30 | $16 \leq 50$ | 3030 | 2890 | 103710 |
| 17 | rubger e plastic prod. | 33900 | 1690 | 14250 | 10920 | 38070 | 8750 | 11600 | 11010 |
| 18 | Leather e leather prod. | 20 | 1070 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 220 | 10 |
| 19 | Stidne. Clay. e glass prod. | 5590 | 10 | 73870 | 12750 | 15940 | 5620 | 2400 | 890 |
| 20 | hetal e metal prod. | 17910 | 510 | 9110 | 413060 | 324830 | 79690 | 29450 | 9100 |
| 21 | machinery e equifment | e410 | 180 | 68.30 | 43030 | 576360 | 61390 | 8780 | 13030 |
| 22 | TRANSPORTATIUN EQUIFMENT | 350 | 0 | 60 | S60 | 4440 | 42290 | 70 | 14230 |
| 23 | miscellanegus mfg. | 1220 | 200 | 1140 | 2480 | 5140 | 2510 | 9740 | 1030 |
| 24 | tran sporiation | 27690 | 420 | 43200 | $42 \leq 50$ | 38080 | 10620 | 7560 | 200260 |
| 25 | cummunication | 2280 | 70 | 1560 | 3420 | 10970 | 1440 | 1370 | 15000 |
| 26 | UTILItIES | 13630 | 140 | 26040 | 24170 | 21990 | 4140 | 2060 | 15280 |
| 27 | wholesale e retail trade | 18200 | B10 | 15910 | 42390 | 79830 | 20400 | 11100 | 36940 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. E REM EST. | 10670 | 390 | 10900 | 19790 | 51690 | 4710 | 7080 | 545日C |
| 29 | SERVICES | 36960 | 1040 | 25260 | 16170 | 132590 | 28250 | 9210 | 67500 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 850 | 120 | 810 | $1 \leqslant 40$ | 4560 | 980 | 800 | 2650 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 60 | 0 | 110 | 350 | 110 | 20 | 160 | 2700 |
| 32 | households | 166970 | 4810 | 169320 | $440 ¢ 00$ | 729060 | 226100 | 50070 | 727380 |
| 33 | value auded | 229420 | 5590 | 193790 | 179470 | 654860 | 30480 | 107100 | 410550 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 1000 | 10 | 2610 | 18520 | 6590 | 870 | 720 | 180 |
| 35 | WORLD INOUSTRY E INV. ADJ. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | 1 MPORTS | 157040 | 5710 | 35030 | 163460 | $180 \leq 20$ | 97580 | 38860 | 72970 |
|  | total input | 829060 | 24390 | 720490 | 1556790 | 2926210 | 648100 | 327360 | 1809130 |

TABLE VI (Continued)

| producing sectior |  | Plerchas ing sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | _-_ 25 $\qquad$ 26 $\qquad$ 37 <br> 28 $\qquad$ 29 $\qquad$ 39. $\qquad$ 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - - - | - . - - | - - | ands of | Llars- - | - - - | - - - |
| 1 | agriculiture | 1650 | 2560 | 2580 | 16500 | 49490 | 20 | 370 |
| 2 | mining | 0 | 340320 | 0 | 70 | 1290 | 13830 | 5020 |
| 3 | cunstruction | 12050 | 41390 | 12740 | 168440 | 47390 | 3750 | 37500 |
| 4 | FDUD E KINORED PRGD. | 90 | 140 | 1650 | 1010 | 260270 | 90 | 10 |
| 5 | textiles e fabrics | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1030 | ¢0 | 10 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 110 | 140 | 1140 | 380 | 13070 | 470 | 340 |
| 7 | lagging | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | SAwMILLS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 510 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | GTher lumber e wood prod. | 0 | 0 | 790 | 0 | 1190 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | WOODEN FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE E FIXI. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | paper e allited prod. | 340 | C 30 | 17580 | 5000 | 14060 | 620 | 260 |
| 13 | Paper contalners c boxes | 0 | 0 | 5060 | 0 | 8560 | 100 | 0 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 2130 | 24E0 | 9060 | 27010 | 44590 | 2810 | 1160 |
| 15 | chemicals c allied frod. | 10 | 14.0 | 940 | c20 | 28250 | e10 | 2090 |
| 16 | PETROLEUM REFINING E PROD. | 540 | 74430 | 53480 | 20960 | 56770 | 3500 | Es60 |
| 17 | RUBuER E Plastic proo. | 180 | 1*s0 | 9570 | 5630 | 42260 | 1120 | 240 |
| 18 | Leather e leather pfod. | 10 | 10 | 70 | 40 | 960 | 20 | 0 |
| 19 | Stune, Clay, e glass prod. | 30 | 180 | 1660 | 100 | 19180 | 150 | 210 |
| 20 | METAL $\varepsilon$ METAL PROD. | 290 | 600 | 1220 | 270 | 28850 | 640 | 440 |
| 21 | machineity e equipment | 126E0 | 7470 | 5640 | 2330 | 84950 | ¢ 70 | 2210 |
| 22 | dranspurtation equifment | 110 | 160 | 690 | 240 | 69030 | 330 | C20 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mfg. | 320 | Eso | 1000 | 1510 | 40030 | 340 | 140 |
| 24 | tran sportatilun | 2520 | 14660 | 54660 | 11610 | 85500 | 32720 | 4 CBO |
| 25 | communication | 7270 | 5030 | 4EE3C | 28880 | 50900 | 1070 | 1350 |
| 26 | UIILIties | 5430 | 410180 | 75430 | 35440 | 109370 | 12230 | 33450 |
| 27 | Wholesale e retail trade | 1220 | 11750 | 53120 | $15 \leq 90$ | 164830 | $1 \leq 30$ | 2650 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. E REN EST. | $183 \leq 0$ | 26120 | 191220 | 512400 | 336920 | 14250 | 4980 |
| 29 | services | 34390 | 21810 | 189520 | 153060 | 604690 | 22330 | 11310 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 2710 | 5200 | 22510 | 41790 | 37590 | 1150 | 400 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVT. ENJERPRISE | 420 | $\geq 70$ | 3580 | 1510 | 4520 | 310 | 50 |
| 32 | househulds | 300210 | 238070 | 2296410 | 771980 | 2452650 | 356150 | 67630 |
| 33 | value adoed | 203540 | E40260 | 144723C | 3341390 | 1615990 | $-17680$ | 77580 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 0 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 2240 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | WORLD INDUSTRY E INV. ADJ. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | IMPORTS | 14420 | 37110 | 10165 c | 282760 | 600680 | 10890 | 27100 |
|  | total input | ¢21020 | 2085700 | 4606700 | ¢446500 | 6877600 | 504550 | 296090 |

TABLE VI (Continued)

| Producing sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | final demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PERSCNAL CCNSUMEIICN | private capital EOGMAIICN | CHANGE In BUSINESS INYENIQRY | federal EERNMENI | state OVERNMENT | EXPQRTS QuIPYI | tctal OUIELI |
|  |  | - | - - - - | - - - Ir | Sancs cf | Rs- | - - - - | - - - |
| 1 | Agricul ture | 91030 | 0 | 17480 | 4890 | -6220 | 2139710 | 4630800 |
| 2 | MINING | 7310 | 0 | 19360 | 9210 | 13870 | 880760 | 3500000 |
| 3 | cuns truction | 0 | 1195150 | 0 | 223310 | 750750 | 0 | 2703500 |
| 4 | FOOD E KINDRED PROD. | 1120 So | 0 | 10 | 6090 | 20230 | 0 | 1002240 |
| 5 | textiles e fabrics | 27980 | 710 | 20 | 100 | 360 | 0 | 100600 |
| 6 | apparel | 280020 | 0 | 3630 | 1450 | 5420 | 0 | 33 Coso |
| 7 | LOGGING | 680 | 0 | c | 0 | 20 | 0 | 74400 |
| 8 | SAWMILLS | 2660 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0 | 103700 |
| 9 | Other lumber e woid prod. | 6600 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 170 | 55880 | 245§20 |
| 10 | W OLDEN FURNITURE E FIXT. | 36740 | ¢5¢0 | 110 | 420 | 6370 | 0 | 55000 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE C FIXT. | 14200 | 4010 | 50 | 170 | 2560 | 0 | 23300 |
| 12 | Paper e allied prod. | 42960 | 0 | 810 | 1890 | 700 | 0 | 216720 |
| 13 | PAPER CONTAINERS 6 goxes | 1710 | 0 | 250 | 290 | 580 | 0 | 87040 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 141450 | 0 | 1200 | 3270 | 32440 | 42260 | $37 \in 240$ |
| 15 | CHEMICALS $\mathcal{E}$ ALLIED PRDD. | 110420 | 0 | 1080 | 1050 | 17540 | 0 | 3¢9200 |
| 16 | PETROLEUM REFINING E PROD. | ¢85760 | 0 | 26300 | 130460 | 100700 | 1728720 | 3415360 |
| 17 | RUBEER \& PLASTIC PROD. | 85560 | E40 | 3930 | 5270 | 11750 | 452970 | 829060 |
| 18 | Leather g leather prod. | 20900 | 0 | 230 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 24390 |
| 19 | STONE, CLAY, E GLASS FFOD. | 20060 | 0 | 4050 | S20 | 1240 | 329160 | 720450 |
| 20 | MEIAL E METAL PROD. | 53870 | 38800 | 22010 | 38660 | 45880 | 0 | 1556790 |
| 21 | MACHINERY 6 EQUIPMENT | E12110 | 912Es0 | 46790 | 306230 | 59300 | 0 | 2926210 |
| 22 | IRANSPURIATION EQUIFMENT | 299920 | 130CEO | 4390 | 42740 | 25850 | 0 | 64E100 |
| 23 | miscellanedus mfgo | 151910 | 55400 | 1260 | 2120 | 22810 | 0 | 327360 |
| 24 | transporialion | 492030 | 44740 | 90 | 46790 | 55440 | 227720 | 1805130 |
| 25 | CUMMUNICATION | 24C230 | $30 \in 40$ | 20 | 19870 | 21210 | 96200 | 621020 |
| 26 | UTILIties | C34350 | 0 | 0 | 2t¢ 30 | 68260 | 359160 | 208¢700 |
| 27 | Wholesale e retail trade | 3268950 | 223500 | 18480 | 25990 | 32620 | 0 | 4606700 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. $\mathcal{E}$ RENL EST. | 3ミ95Et0 | 116830 | 0 | 16070 | 72800 | 0 | 544ES00 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 3093920 | 0 | 280 | 202530 | 166950 | 1574320 | 6E77600 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | E1030 | 0 | 0 | 10300 | 20 | 305670 | ¢c4sso |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVI. ENTERPRISE | 41480 | 0 | 0 | 34660 | 193190 | 2540 | 290090 |
| 32 | househulos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12639260 |
| 33 | value adoed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12887970 |
| 34 | SCRAP | -1450 | 23020 | -5388C | 3360 | 43480 | 0 | 51550 |
| 35 | WORLD INDUSTRY E INV. ADJ. | 130680 | 0 | 0 | 1172480 | 1427670 | 0 | 2730E40 |
| 36 | 1 MPORTS | 3289920 | 972 270 | 24640 | 221220 | 558250 | 0 | 8943190 |
|  | total input | 10¢39100 | 3759190 | 142590 | 二5C4S80 | 3752300 | 8195050 |  |

columns. For example, the amount of value added (row 33) purchased by all sectors is not directly related to the amount of private capital formation (column 33) produced for any year. However, the differences between the exogenous rows and columns compensate one another so that total state output equals total state input.

## Technical Coefficients Matrix

The technical coefficients matrix identifies the direct input requirements per dollar output for all endogenous sectors (Table VII). This matrix is sometimes called the direct coefficients matrix because it identifies the direct linkage of a purchasing sector with all sectors of the economy. For example, for each dollar's worth of output produced, the paper and allied products sector (column 12) purchased $\$ .02768$ worth of products from the construction sector, $\$ .04609$ from the logging sector, $\$ .09588$ from the sawmills sector, etc.

The household sector (row 32) identifies the amount of each dollar's worth of output that is paid to households in the form of wages, salaries, rents, and proprietors' income. Small numbers in this row do not necessarily indicate that wages, salaries, etc. are small for a sector. Rather, it may be reflective of a capital intensive sector, where the number of people employed is small relative to the level of output (as measured in dollar terms). This is the case for petroleum refining and products, paper and allied products, and utilities. Agriculture also has a low coefficient for households, but this is reflective of the fact that 1978 was a poor year for agriculture.
Multiplier Analysis

Besides describing the flow of goods and services throughout an

OKLAHOMA TECHNICAL COEFFICIENTS MATRIX, 1978

| PURCHASING SEC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | agricul ture | 0.37283 | 0.00002 | 0.00171 | 0.30674 | 0.02259 | 0.00293 | 0.25669 | 0.0 |
| 2 | MINING | 0.01998 | 0.0 $0 \leq 326$ | 0.01322 | 0.00070 | 0.00065 | 0.00020 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | CONSTRUCTION | 0.00437 | 0.02512 | 0.00017 | 0.00135 | 0.00129 | 0.00058 | 0.0 | $0 . \operatorname{cososo}$ |
| 4 | FOOD E KINORED FROD. | 0.04357 | 0.00013 | 0.00015 | 0.099 ET | 0.00090 | 0.00054 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | textiles e fabrics | 0.00037 | 0.00001 | 0.00148 | c.000c4 | 0.11705 | 0.09503 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 0.00014 | 0.00005 | 0.00007 | 0.00028 | 0.00649 | 0.11091 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | LOGGING | 0.0 | c. 00007 | 0.00008 | c. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.27005 |
| 8 | SAWMILLS | 0.00002 | 0.00000 | 0.01205 | c.00001 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | UTHER LUMBER © WODO PROD. | $0.0 C 133$ | 0.00000 | 0.04620 | 0.000 EO | 0.00210 | 0.00120 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | WODDEN FURNITURE C FIXT. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00040 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE \& FIXT. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. 00035 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | PAPER E ALLIED PROD. | 0.00086 | 0.00014 | 0.cole2 | 0.00618 | 0.00217 | 0.00355 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | PAPER CONTAINERS 8 boxes | 0.00057 | 0.0 | 0.00002 | 0.01331 | 0.00555 | 0. 00693 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUELISHING | 0.01029 | 0.00012 | 0.00020 | 0.00555 | 0.00043 | 0.00146 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 | Chemicals $\varepsilon$ allited prod. | 0.01096 | 0.00231 | 0. 00347 | c. $002 \leqslant 0$ | 0.06103 | 0.00857 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | petroleum refining e prod. | 0.01766 | 0.00637 | 0.02643 | 0.00350 | 0.00552 | 0.00341 | 0.03986 | 0.00688 |
| 17 | RUbBER E PLASTIC PRCO. | $0.0 c 372$ | 0.00050 | 0.00813 | 0.00894 | 0.02527 | 0.00811 | 0.00516 | 0.0 |
| 18 | Leather e leather pfoo. | 0.00005 | 0.00001 | 0.10000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00122 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19 | SIUNE, CLAY, E GLASS PROD. | $0.0 c 022$ | 0.00050 | 0.06869 | 0.01568 | 0.00514 | 0.00043 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | metal e metal prod. | 0.0C169 | 0.00951 | 0.10583 | 0.02878 | $0.000 \leq 2$ | 0.00714 | 0.0 | 0.00224 |
| 21 | MACHINERY E EQUIPMENT | 0.00645 | 0.02278 | 0.04019 | c.00171 | 0.00951 | 0.00262 | 0.08436 | 0.09022 |
| 22 | dranspurtation equifment | 0.00032 | 0.00015 | 0.00012 | 0.00005 | 0.00001 | 0.00002 | 0.01712 | 0.00157 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mfg. | 0.00010 | 0.00039 | 0.00244 | c. 00010 | 0.00096 | 0.00841 | 0.00943 | 0.00826 |
| 24 | transportation | 0.01395 | 0.00524 | 0.02256 | 0.02456 | 0.02559 | 0.01600 | 0.0 | 0.01575 |
| 25 | cummunication | 0.00188 | 0.00161 | 0.00181 | 0.00110 | 0.00292 | 0.00318 | 0.0 | 0.00046 |
| 20 | UTILITIES | 0.01831 | 0.01347 | 0.00126 | 0.00811 | 0.01527 | 0.00703 | 0.00320 | 0.02358 |
| 27 | wholesale e retail trade | 0. 02875 | 0.00452 | 0.06062 | $0.035 E 5$ | 0.03976 | 0.03678 | 0.08404 | 0.01843 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. E REAL EST. | 0.04500 | 0.10562 | 0.00843 | c. 00767 | 0.01337 | 0.01546 | 0.06653 | 0.00611 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 0.00787 | 0.01234 | 0.05765 | 0.03470 | 0.03314 | 0. 03366 | 0.06278 | 0.03632 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.00018 | 0.00053 | 0.60029 | 0.00050 | 0.00123 | 0.00282 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVI. ENTERPRISE | 0.00001 | 0. 00038 | 0. 00005 | 0.00013 | 0.00004 | 0.00004 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 32 | householos | $0.0 ¢ 355$ | 0.37014 | 0.37260 | 0.12516 | 0.15378 | 0.28466 | 0.21242 | 0.23674 |
| 33 | value added | 0.24859 | 0.30248 | 0.06923 | $0.150 \leq 4$ | 0.11229 | 0. 04460 | 0.08952 | 0.11253 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 0.00001 | 0.00026 | 0.00017 | $0.000<9$ | 0.00633 | 0.00036 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 35 | WORLD INOUSTRY E INV. ADJ. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 36 | IMPDRTS | 0.0E442 | 0.06157 | 0.06811 | 0.11368 | 0.32831 | 0.29212 | 0.00891 | 0.10997 |
|  | total input | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |

TABLE VII (Continued)

| SING SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | AGRICUL IURE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00019 | 0.00286 | 0.00004 |
| 2 | hining | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00106 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00002 | 0.03906 | 0.59210 |
| 3 | construction | 0.00306 | 0.0G246 | 0.00153 | 0.02768 | 0.00576 | 0.00242 | 0.00461 | 0.00794 |
| 4 | FOUD E KINDRED PROD. | 0.00006 | 0.0 | 0.00212 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00039 | 0.00593 | $0.000 \leq 1$ |
| 5 | textiles e fabrics | 0.0 | 0. 65219 | 0.01681 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00045 | 0.00000 | 0.00007 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 0.0 | 0.00072 | 0.00289 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00029 | 0.00020 | 0.00001 |
| 7 | lagging | 0.14269 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.04609 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00044 | $0 . C$ |
| * | SAwMills | 0. 12668 | 0.05882 | 0.01288 | 0.05588 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00059 | 0.00001 |
| 9 | Other lumber e wood prod. | 0.07091 | 0.02117 | 0.04067 | c. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00012 | 0.00011 |
| 10 | WOUDEN FURNI IURE C FIXT. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 | UTIEER FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0.00020 | 0.0 | 0.00099 | C. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | PAPER E ALLIED PROD. | 0.02625 | 0.01788 | 0.00026 | 0.02975 | 0.32120 | 0. 10346 | 0.00391 | 0.00147 |
| 13 | Paper cuntainers e boxes | 0.06259 | 0.0 | 0.01151 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00145 | 0.00430 | 0.00144 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 0.0 | 0.00021 | 0.00198 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.10432 | 0.00224 | 0.00007 |
| 15 | chemicals e allieo prod. | 0.01025 | 0.01687 | 0.00382 | 0.02356 | 0.00977 | 0.00552 | 0.07626 | 0.00744 |
| 16 | Petroleum refining e proc. | 0.01632 | 0.00114 | 0.00409 | 0.02229 | 0.00664 | 0. 00190 | 0.01718 | 0.08007 |
| 17 | RUBBEF \& Plastic proo. | 0.0 | $0.0 \leqq 298$ | 0. 65892 | 0.00013 | 0.0 | 0.00582 | 0.00873 | 0.00109 |
| 18 | Leather 6 leather prod. | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0 . c$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00004 | 0.00003 | 0.10000 |
| 19 | Stone, clay. E Glass prod. | 0.00056 | 0.0 | 0. $\cos 47$ | c. 0 | 0.0 | 0. 00088 | 0.00422 | 0.00207 |
| 20 | metal e metal prod. | 0.01971 | 0.05885 | 0.15617 | 0.0 | 0.01191 | 0.00314 | 0.02673 | 0.00703 |
| 21 | machinery ¢ equipment | 0.01452 | 0.00403 | 0.00415 | 0.00853 | 0.01233 | 0.00336 | 0.01109 | 0.00110 |
| 22 | IRANSPORTATI ON EQUIFMENT | 0.00452 | 0.00427 | 0.00003 | 0.00005 | 0.00060 | 0.00004 | 0.00002 | 0.00002 |
| 23 | mi scellaneous mfg. | 0.00519 | 0.00886 | 0.00093 | 0.03342 | 0.00773 | 0.00520 | 0.00079 | 0.00026 |
| 24 | transportation | 0.01815 | 0.00890 | 0.02846 | c.06542 | 0.03088 | 0.03078 | 0.03721 | 0.03481 |
| 25 | communication | 0.00259 | 0.00396 | 0.00209 | c.000E6 | 0.00258 | 0.00751 | 0.00238 | 0.00085 |
| 26 | Urilities | 0.01645 | 0.00613 | 0.06612 | 0.11744 | 0.00625 | 0.00647 | 0.03775 | 0.01454 |
| 27 | mholesale e retail trade | 0.03419 | 0. 03060 | 0.03908 | 0.05374 | 0.02532 | 0.02171 | 0.02114 | 0.00521 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. E REAL EST. | 0.00953 | 0.02884 | 0.02064 | C.00228 | 0.01435 | 0.03815 | 0.02090 | 0.60784 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 0.00916 | 0.01979 | 0.03786 | 0.08776 | 0.02700 | 0.07713 | 0.06000 | 0.01616 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT• ENTERPRISE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00157 | c. 0 | 0.0 | 0.01460 | 0.00125 | 0.00054 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00004 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00009 | 0.00097 | 0.00027 |
| 32 | householos | 0.2cezs | 0. 299882 | 0.37215 | c. 12174 | 0.14398 | 0.32549 | 0.14830 | 0.15679 |
| 33 | value adoed | 0.0e418 | 0.05338 | 0.04709 | 0.080 Cl | $0.152 \in 7$ | 0. 15757 | 0.27358 | 0.18324 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00036 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00036 | 0.00116 | c. 00001 |
| 35 | worlo industra e invo adjo | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 36 | 1 MPORTS | 0.17593 | 0.20814 | 0.11425 | 0.18255 | 0.18095 | 0. 08126 | 0.18603 | $0 . c 2689$ |
|  | total input | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |

TABLE VII (Continued)

| PRQDUCING SECTOR |  | Purchas ing sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 17 | 18 | 19 | 29 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 1 | agriculture | 0.00029 | 0.01002 | 0.00055 | C.00046 | 0.00012 | 0.00014 | 0.00135 | 0.00022 |
| 2 | MINING | 0. 00340 | 0. 00008 | 0.06778 | 0.03501 | 0.00031 | 0.00036 | 0.00156 | 0.00074 |
| 3 | CUNS TRUCTIUN | 0.00344 | c.ccos2 | 0.00489 | 0.002 El | 0.00191 | 0.00161 | 0.00222 | 0.02114 |
| 4 | FOOD E KINOKED PROD. | 0. 00021 | $0.0 C 235$ | 0.60026 | 0.00018 | 0.00035 | 0.00043 | 0.00132 | 0.00128 |
| 5 | textiles $\varepsilon$ Fabrics | 0.01522 | 0.02673 | 0.00060 | c.0000日 | 0.00021 | 0.00177 | 0.00529 | 0.00016 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 0.00042 | 0.00241 | 0.00025 | 0.00029 | 0.00018 | 0.00288 | 0.00097 | 0.00055 |
| 7 | LOGGING | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | c. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00016 | 0.0 |
| - | sawmills | 0.00010 | 0.00068 | 0.00151 | 0.00123 | 0.00104 | 0.00501 | 0.00809 | 0.0 |
| - | OTHER LUMBER 6 WOOD PROD. | 0.00353 | 0.00907 | 0.00839 | 0.00277 | 0.00090 | 0.01096 | 0.01334 | 0.00010 |
| 10 | WOODEN FURNI TURE 6 FIXT. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00000 | 0.00051 | 0.0 | $0 . c$ |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE EFIXT. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00007 | 0.0 | 0.00000 | 0.00097 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | PAPER E ALLIED PROD. | 0.00032 | 0.00651 | 0.00690 | 0.00055 | 0.00101 | 0.00066 | 0.01859 | 0.00071 |
| 13 | PAPER CUNTAINERS © BOXES | 0.00922 | 0.00956 | 0.01423 | 0.00306 | 0.00180 | 0.00050 | 0.01167 | 0.00030 |
| 14 | PRINTING \& PUBLISHING | 0.00064 | 0.00069 | 0.00100 | c.000E5 | 0.00188 | 0. 00131 | 0.00106 | 0.00197 |
| 15 | Chemicals e allied proo. | 0.06927 | 0.00475 | 0.01047 | 0.00566 | 0.00177 | 0. 00252 | 0.01229 | 0.00055 |
| 16 | petroleum refining c proc. | 0.00482 | 0.00379 | 0. $C 1034$ | 0.00548 | 0.00566 | 0.00467 | 0.00884 | 0.65733 |
| 17 | RUBBER E PLASTIC PROO. | 0.04088 | 0.06940 | 0.01978 | 0.00701 | 0.01301 | 0.01351 | 0.03545 | 0.00609 |
| 18 | Leather e leather paod. | 0.04002 | 0.04399 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00002 | 0.00068 | 0.00000 |
| 19 | Stone, Clay. 6 glass proo. | 0.00674 | 0.00031 | 0.10253 | 0.00819 | 0.00545 | 0.00867 | 0.00734 | 0.00049 |
| 20 | METAL E METAL PROD. | 0.02160 | 0.02077 | 0.01126 | 0.26533 | 0.11101 | 0.12296 | c.08996 | 0.00503 |
| 21 | machinery e equipment | 0.01014 | 0.00723 | 0.00948 | 0.027 E4 | 0.19696 | 0.09473 | 0.02682 | 0.10720 |
| 22 | thansportation equipment | 0.00042 | 0.00002 | 0.00008 | C.00036 | 0.00152 | 0. 06526 | 0.00020 | 0.00787 |
| 23 | miscellanegus mfg. | 0.00147 | 0.00803 | 0.00159 | 0.00159 | 0.00176 | 0. 00387 | 0.02975 | 0.00057 |
| 24 | transpurtation | 0.03340 | 0.01725 | $0 . C 5996$ | 0.02733 | 0.01301 | 0.01639 | 0.02309 | 0.11069 |
| 25 | communication | 0.00276 | 0.00271 | 0.00216 | 0.00219 | 0.00375 | 0. 00222 | 0.00419 | 0.00829 |
| 26 | Utilities | 0.01643 | 0.00563 | 0.03614 | 0.01553 | 0.00751 | 0.00639 | 0.00812 | 0.00845 |
| 27 | wholesale e retail trade | 0.0219E | 0.03337 | 0.02208 | 0.02723 | 0.02728 | 0. 03148 | 0.03390 | 0.62042 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. E REAL EST. | 0.01287 | 0.01593 | 0.01513 | 0.01271 | 0.01766 | 0.00727 | 0.02164 | 0.03017 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 0.c4458 | 0.04249 | 0.03507 | c.02966 | 0.04545 | 0.04359 | 0.02813 | 0.03731 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.00102 | 0.00484 | 0.00112 | 0.00059 | 0.00156 | 0. 00151 | 0.00245 | 0.00146 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVT. ENIERPRISE | 0.00007 | 0.00002 | 0.00015 | 0.00025 | 0.00004 | 0.00003 | 0.00048 | 0.00149 |
| 32 | householos | 0.20140 | 0.15720 | 0.23501 | 0.28302 | 0.24915 | 0. 34886 | 0.15295 | 0.40206 |
| 33 | value adoeo | 0.27673 | 0.22873 | 0.26897 | 0.11528 | 0.22379 | 0.04703 | 0.32717 | 0.22693 |
| 34 | SCRAP | 0.00121 | 0.00025 | 0.00362 | 0.01215 | 0.00225 | 0.00134 | 0.00220 | 0.00010 |
| 35 | WORLD INOUSTAY 6 Inve ADJ. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 1 MPGORS | 0.18942 | 0.23428 | 0.04862 | 0.10500 | 0.06169 | 0.15056 | 0.11870 | 0.04033 |
|  | total input | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.000 co | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |

TABLE VII (Continued)

economy, I-O analysis is useful for evaluating the total effects which result from a change in the economic activity of a given sector. Such effects can be measured in terms of output, income, and employment.

## Output Multiplier

Output multipliers measure the total amount of output generated in all sectors resulting from a one dollar increase in the final demand for a particular sector's output. These multipliers are directly computed from the interdependence coefficients matrix. The interdependence coefficients matrix (Table VIII) represents the total direct and indirect requirements necessary to deliver one dollar's worth of output to final demand. (The mathematical derivation of the interdependence matrix was presented earlier in Chapter III.) This matrix can perhaps best be explained by discussing a particular sector, e.g., the agriculture sector (column 1).

If the demand for agricultural products increases, the agriculture sector will increase its total output to satisfy the demand. As shown by the direct requirements matrix (Table VII), for every dollar increase in output, agriculture directly requires $\$ .37283$ worth of inputs from itself, \$.00198 from mining, \$. 00437 construction, and so on down the column (excluding households). This generates successive rounds of production since each of the sectors which provide goods and services to agriculture must themselves purchase more inputs. For example, for agriculture to supply itself with $\$ .37283$ worth of agriculture products as inputs, it must produce $.37283 \times \$ .37283$ worth of additional output, purchase an additional $.37283 \times \$ .00198$ from mining, and so on. For the mining sector to provide agriculture with $\$ .00198$ in output it will require $.00002 \times \$ .00198$ worth of additional

TABLE VIII
OKLAHOMA INTERDEPENDENCE COEFFICIENTS MATRIX, HOUSEHOLDS EXOGENOUS, 1978
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| PURCHASING SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Agricul ture | 0.00542 | 0.00675 | 0.00549 | C. 00440 | 0.00425 | 0. 00560 | 0.00892 | 0.00396 |
| 2 | MINING | 0.02343 | 0.01220 | 0.10656 | 0.06756 | 0.02078 | 0. 02095 | 0.02402 | 0.04828 |
| 3 | construction | 0.01881 | 0.06538 | 0.01452 | $0.0<552$ | 0.00721 | 0.00686 | 0.00895 | 0.02898 |
| 4 | FOOD E KINDREO PROD. | 0.00407 | 0.00009 | 0.00346 | 0.00317 | 0.00418 | 0. 00421 | 0.00457 | 0.00435 |
| 5 | textiles $\mathrm{f}_{\text {F }}^{\text {abrics }}$ | 0.01821 | 0.03349 | 0.00135 | $0.000 \leq 0$ | 0.00079 | 0.00310 | 0.00716 | 0.00057 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 0.00088 | 0.00334 | 0.00058 | $0.000 \in 7$ | 0.00057 | 0.00383 | 0.00145 | 0.00051 |
| 7 | LOGGING | 0.00183 | 0.00317 | 0.00359 | 0.00170 | 0.00110 | 0.00436 | 0.00726 | 0.00063 |
| 8 | SAWMILLS | 0.00212 | 0.00360 | 0.00481 | 0.00255 | 0.00239 | 0.00809 | 0.01327 | $0 . \operatorname{cose}$ |
| 9 | Other lumber 6 wocd pricd. | 0.00479 | 0.01121 | 0.01113 | 0.00452 | 0.00245 | 0. 01413 | 0.01608 | 0.00188 |
| 10 | WOUDEN FURNI TURE E FIXT. | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00055 | 0.00000 | 0.00002 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00008 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0. 00105 | 0.00001 | 0.00002 |
| 12 | PAPER \& ALLIED PROD. | 0.01168 | 0.01276 | 0.01486 | 0.00413 | 0.00354 | 0. 00342 | 0.02610 | 0.00222 |
| 13 | PAPER Cuntalners e boxes | 0.01067 | 0.01159 | 0.01663 | 0.00493 | 0.00351 | 0.00224 | 0.01353 | 0.00689 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 0.06200 | 0.00194 | 0.00248 | 0.00157 | 0.00387 | 0.00303 | 0.00246 | 0.00349 |
| 15 | CHEMICALS $\mathcal{E}$ ALLIED PROD. | 0.00122 | $0.01 \leq 14$ | 0.01660 | 0.010 ct | 0.00618 | 0.00740 | 0.02012 | 0.00292 |
| 10 | PETROLEUM REFINING 6 PROD. | 0.01428 | 0.01085 | 0.62434 | 0.015 E3 | 0.01417 | 0.01349 | 0.01878 | 0.07432 |
| 17 | RUBGER E PLASTIC PROD. | 1.04584 | 0.07865 | 0.02529 | 0.01239 | 0.01998 | 0.02031 | 0.04156 | 0.00883 |
| 18 | Leather e leather phod. | 0.00004 | 1.04603 | 0.00002 | 0.00002 | 0.00003 | 0. 00004 | 0.00075 | 0.00002 |
| 19 | Stune. clay e glass proc. | 0.00993 | 0.00257 | 1.11652 | 0.01418 | 0.01060 | 0.01426 | 0.01152 | 0.00378 |
| 20 | METAL E METAL PROD. | $0.04061$ | 0.03892 | 0.02814 | 1.37444 | 0.19430 | 0.20516 | 0.13931 | 0.01895 |
| 21 | MACHINERY E EQUIPMENT | 0.02021 | 0.01644 | 0.02258 | 0.05302 | 1.25635 | 0.13873 | 0.04640 | 0.01684 |
| 22 | TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT | 0.00177 | 0.00122 | 0.020169 | 0.00175 | 0.00328 | 1.07141 | 0.00158 | 0.01025 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mfg. | 0.00288 | 0.01009 | 0.00332 | 0.00315 | 0.00345 | 0.00584 | 1.03283 | 0.10143 |
| 24 | transpgrtation | 0.05001 | 0.03164 | 0.69461 | 0.04914 | 0.03020 | 0.03457 | 0.04169 | 1.132E1 |
| 25 | communication | 0.00503 | 0.00503 | 0.10497 | C. 00516 | 0.00701 | 0.00525 | 0.00686 | 0.01099 |
| 20 | UJILIties | 0.03274 | 0.01741 | 0.06077 | 0.03399 | 0.02156 | 0.02043 | 0.62480 | 0.01838 |
| 27 | wholesale e retail trade | 0.03311 | $0 . C 4591$ | 0.03508 | 0.04512 | 0.04541 | 0.05003 | 0.04948 | 0.02988 |
| 26 | FINANCE, INS., E REAL EST. | 0.02953 | 0.03151 | 0.04304 | 0.03740 | 0.03919 | 0.02687 | 0.04096 | 0.05095 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 0.06850 | 0.06581 | 0.06072 | C.05844 | 0.07866 | 0.07511 | 0.05483 | 0.05779 |
| 30 | FEUERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.00230 | 0.00631 | 0.00264 | c. 00260 | 0.00 .338 | 0. 00319 | 0.00393 | 0.00283 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.00035 | 0.00022 | 0.00047 | $0.000 \leq 6$ | 0.00027 | 0.00028 | 0.00073 | 0.10184 |
|  | total input | 1. 53225 | 1. 53529 | 1.71633 | 1.82470 | 1.78902 | 1.77379 | 1.66993 | 1.53959 |

TABLE VIII (Continued)

| Purchasing sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | agriculiure | 0.00727 | $0.004 \leq 6$ | 0.00351 | c. 00740 | 0.03750 | 0. 00277 | 0.00631 |
| 2 | mining | 0.00606 | 0.24801 | 0.01414 | 0.00771 | 0.01592 | 0.04538 | 0.07548 |
| 3 | construction | 0.02250 | 0.03407 | 0.00679 | 0.03574 | 0.01254 | 0.01328 | 0.13769 |
| 4 | FOUD E KIMORED PROD. | 0.00346 | 0.00157 | 0.00283 | 0.00232 | 0.048 ES | 0.00297 | 0.00328 |
| 5 | dextiles f fabrics | 0.00015 | 0.00015 | 0.00016 | 0.00015 | 0.00076 | 0.00040 | 0.00058 |
| 6 | apparel | 0.00039 | 0.00021 | 0.00043 | c.000=1 | 0.00252 | 0.00125 | 0.00157 |
| 7 | LOGGING | 0.06044 | 0.00056 | 0.00051 | $0.000<2$ | 0.00073 | 0.00040 | 0.00199 |
| 8 | SAWMILLS | 0.00066 | 0.00082 | 0.00068 | 0.00051 | 0.00108 | 0.00057 | 0.00298 |
| 9 | OTher lumber e wood prod. | 0.00127 | 0.00183 | 0.00061 | 0.00180 | 0.00136 | 0.00080 | 0.00720 |
| 10 | WOUDEN FURNI TURE G FIXT. | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00006 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE CFIXT. | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | c.000c1 | 0.00002 | 0.00001 | 0.00005 |
| 12 | Paper \& allited prod. | 0.00163 | 0.00127 | 0.00510 | 0.00219 | 0.00516 | 0. 00269 | 0.00293 |
| 13 | OAPER CONTAINERS $C$ boxes | 0.00032 | 0.00033 | 0.00134 | 0.00023 | 0.00255 | 0.00053 | 0.00070 |
| 14 | PRINTING ¢ PUBLISHING | 0.00479 | 0.00235 | 0.00310 | 0.00662 | 0.00914 | 0.00722 | 0.00570 |
| 15 | Chemicals 6 allied proo. | 0.06098 | 0.00277 | 0.00123 | 0.00105 | 0.00716 | 0.00298 | 0.01037 |
| 16 | PEtroleum refining e phod. | 0.00426 | 0.05349 | 0.01628 | C.OC7E8 | 0.015 E | 0. 01655 | 0.04646 |
| 17 | RUG日ER E PLAStIC PRCO. | 0.06176 | 0.00235 | 0.00306 | 0.00219 | 0.00937 | 0.00385 | 0.00407 |
| 18 | LEATHER E LEATHER PROD. | 0.00002 | 0.06001 | 0.00003 | 0.00002 | 0.00018 | 0.00006 | 0.00002 |
| 19 | STONE, CLAY. $\mathcal{E}$ GLASS PROD. | 0.00238 | 0.00338 | 0.00131 | 0.00309 | 0.00595 | 0.00190 | 0.01236 |
| 20 | metal e metal prod. | 0.00968 | 0.01273 | 0.00343 | 0.00710 | 0.01786 | 0.00737 | 0.03015 |
| 21 | machinery e equipment | 0.02908 | 0.01604 | 0.00430 | 0.00429 | 0.02225 | 0.00689 | 0.02338 |
| 22 | IRANSPORTATION EQUIFMENT | 0.00100 | 0.00064 | 0.00091 | 0.00059 | 0.01224 | 0.00203 | 0.00339 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mfg. | 0.00123 | 0.00051 | 0.00099 | 0.00084 | 0.00722 | 0.00139 | 0.00174 |
| 24 | transportation | 0.00866 | 0.01680 | 0.01715 | 0.00665 | 0.02319 | 0.07716 | 0.02803 |
| 25 | communication | 1.01304 | 0.0C448 | 0.01121 | 0.006 ES | 0.00985 | 0. 00392 | 0.00701 |
| 26 | JTILITIES | 0.01455 | 1.25269 | 0. 02450 | 0.01172 | 0.02787 | 0.03511 | 0.15128 |
| 27 | wholesale 6 retail trade | 0.00741 | 0.01330 | 1.01527 | 0.00752 | 0.034EE | 0.00887 | 0.02445 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. 6 REAL EST. | 0.04019 | 0.05099 | 0.05334 | 1.10963 | 0.06966 | 0.04465 | 0.03971 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 0.06842 | 0.02641 | 0. 0E204 | C.04014 | 1.11106 | 0.05833 | 0.06418 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.00536 | 0.00440 | 0.00590 | 0.009 Cl | 0.00728 | 1.00348 | 0.00295 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVI. ENTERPRISE | 0.00078 | 0.00042 | $0 . c 0090$ | 0.00046 | $0.00087$ | $0.00083$ | $1.00040$ |
|  | TOTAL INPUT | 1.25783 | 1.75755 | 1.25106 | 1.22453 | 1.52021 | 1. 35363 | 1.69646 |

agriculture output, . $05326 \times \$ .00198$ of additional output from itself, and so on. For the construction sector to provide $\$ .00437$ worth of output to agriculture, it will require $.00171 \times \$ .00437$ worth of additional output from agriculture, . $01322 \times \$ .00437$ from mining, . 00017 $x \$ .00437$ from itself, and so on.

As successive rounds of purchases occur, the increases in required output eventually approach zero. The total direct and indirect requirements of the agriculture sector are presented in column one of Table VIII. The interpretation of this column is that for agriculture to deliver one dollar's worth of output to final demand, it must itself produce $\$ 1.63548$ of output, the mining sector must produce $\$ 0.03129$, construction must produce $\$ 0.01338$, and so on down column one.

The Type I output multiplier is defined as the total change in the output of all sectors as a result of a one dollar change in final demand in a given sector. Therefore, the Type I output multiplier for any given sector is equal to the sum of that column in the interdependence coefficients matrix (Table III). They are also presented in Table X.

The Type I output multipliers ranged from a high of 2.19 for the food and kindred products sector to a low of 1.25 for the wholesale and retail trade sector. A large Type I output multiplier indicates that a sector has a high degree of interdependence with the economy. Sectors with large output multipliers are characterized as purchasing many of their inputs from Oklahoma industries. The agriculture, logging, petroleum refining and products, paper and allied products, saw mills, other lumber and wood products, and paper containers and boxes sectors ranked second through eighth, respectively, in Type I output multipliers.

Sectors with small Type I output multipliers, on the other hand, indicate little interaction with industries outside the sector and relatively higher levels of imports. The communications sector; the finance, insurance, and real estate sector; and the federal government enterprise sector are good examples, with output multipliers of only 1.26, 1.28, and 1.35, respectively.

Type I output multipliers do not account for changes in household expenditures that would be expected to accompany a change in final demand (and therefore a change in household income) in a sector. This change is called the induced effect. It was included by recalculating the interdependence matrix with households included as an endogenous sector (Table IX).

Type II output multipliers are defined as the direct, indirect, and induced requirements of a sector per dollar increase in final demand. They are calculated by summing the columns of the interdependence coefficients matrix presented in Table IX and are presented in Table X.

The Type II output multipliers ranged from a high of 4.27 for the federal government enterprise sector, to a low of 1.99 for the finance, insurance, and real estate sector. Federal government enterprise was followed by construction, other furniture and fixtures, transportation equipment, sawmills, logging, metal and metal products, and other lumber and wood products. These sectors will generate the greatest amount of economic activity per dollar increase in final demand.

The FPI sectors produced $\$ 782$ million in output in 1978 . The total effect of this level of output on the output of the rest of the economy can be calculated by multiplying the output for each sector by

TABLE IX
OKLAHOMA INTERDEPENDENCE COEFFICIENTS MATRIX, HOUSEHOLDS ENDOGENOUS, 1978


TABLE IX (Continued)

| PRODUCANG SECTOR |  | PURCHASING SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Agricul ture | 0.11768 | 0.04933 | 0.05477 | 0.06728 | 0.04006 | 0.04926 | 0.03651 | 0.03297 |
| 2 | MINING | 0.0§560 | 0. 04368 | 0.05989 | 0.00339 | 0.05070 | 0.04825 | 0.09388 | 0.66204 |
| 3 | construction | 0.02810 | 0.02160 | 0.02143 | 0.053E1 | 0.030 E7 | 0.02423 | 0.01934 | 0.63890 |
| 4 | FOOD E KINDRED PROD. | 0.05922 | 0.05763 | 0.07465 | 0.05409 | 0.04469 | 0.06800 | 0.04813 | 0.04893 |
| 5 | textiles c fabrics | 0.00302 | 0.0C326 | 0.02423 | 0.00253 | 0.00240 | 0.00413 | 0.00225 | 0.00265 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 0.01127 | 0.01290 | 0.01808 | 0.01015 | 0.00877 | 0.01365 | 0.00818 | 0.00907 |
| 7 | LOGGING | 0.19387 | c. 02265 | 0.c1324 | 0.07551 | 0.02501 | 0.00988 | 0.00201 | 0.00141 |
| 8 | Sawmills | 0.14124 | 0.06557 | 0.02139 | c. 10147 | 0.03356 | 0. 01339 | 0.00259 | 0.00203 |
| 9 | OTHER LUMBER 6 WOOD PAOD. | 1.07931 | 0.02577 | 0.04729 | 0.00435 | 0.00276 | 0.00262 | 0.00212 | 0.00309 |
| 10 | WOUDEN FURNITURE C FIXT. | 0.00134 | 1.00138 | 0.00174 | c.00119 | 0.00103 | 0. 00156 | 0.00093 | 0.00118 |
| 11 | UTHER FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0.00078 | 0.00058 | 1.00172 | $0.000 \leq 0$ | 0.00043 | 0. 00065 | 0.00039 | 0.00049 |
| 12 | Paper e allied prod. | 0.03650 | 0.02638 | 0.01435 | 1.03775 | 0.33654 | 0.12686 | 0.01091 | 0.10750 |
| 13 | PAPER CUNTAINERS E EOXES | 0.00533 | 0.06350 | 0.01584 | 0.00278 | 1.00212 | 0. 00427 | 0.00662 | 0.00347 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBL ISHING | 0.00986 | 0.01028 | 0.01480 | 0.00967 | 0.00800 | 1. 12835 | 0.01007 | 0.00887 |
| 15 | Chemicals $E$ allied proo. | 0.02295 | $0.0 \leq 665$ | 0.02331 | 0.03656 | 0.02678 | 0. 02055 | 1.09051 | 0.01805 |
| 16 | PETROLEUM REFINING 6 Prico. | 0.07073 | 0.04375 | 0.05710 | 0.07556 | 0.05210 | 0. 05188 | 0.05204 | 1.12724 |
| 17 | RUGGER E PLASTIC PROD. | 0.01160 | 0.06709 | 0.07515 | 0.01153 | 0.00893 | 0.01778 | 0.01724 | 0.00976 |
| 18 | Leather e leather prod. | 0.00086 | 0.00088 | 0.00111 | c.000 79 | 0.00067 | 0.00105 | 0.00064 | 0.00075 |
| 19 | Stune, Clay. e glass proo. | 0.00740 | 0.00714 | 0.01948 | 0.00854 | 0.00593 | 0.00751 | 0.01002 | 0.00924 |
| 20 | METAL E METAL PROD. | 0.0 C428 | c. 11008 | 0.24586 | 0.03808 | 0.04341 | 0.03293 | 0.06038 | $0 . C 4390$ |
| 21 | MACHINERY E EQUIPMENT | 0.05462 | $0.0 \leq 603$ | 0. 06278 | 0.06875 | 0.0555s | 0.05021 | 0.04446 | 0.05150 |
| 22 | transportation egulfment | 0.02352 | 0.02002 | 0.01943 | 0.01579 | 0.01308 | 0.01790 | 0.01091 | 0.01292 |
| 23 | miscellanedus mfg. | 0.01788 | 0.01903 | 0.01240 | 0.044EI | 0.02631 | 0.01978 | 0.00708 | 0.00754 |
| 24 | transportation | 0.06861 | 0.05816 | 0.09153 | 0.118ES | 0.09237 | 0.09261 | 0.07627 | 0.07978 |
| 25 | communication | 0.01862 | 0.02003 | 0.02231 | 0.016 E1 | 0.01566 | 0.02661 | 0.01413 | $0 . c 1534$ |
| 26 | UTILIties | 0.02035 | 0.0 c464 | 0.07539 | 0.20111 | 0.09611 | 0.08182 | 0.08787 | $0 . C 7110$ |
| 27 | wholesale e retail trade | 0.20365 | 0.18716 | 0.23301 | 0.15778 | 0.15925 | 0.19787 | 0.12587 | 0.13368 |
| 28 | FINANCE, INS.. C REAL ESI. | 0.20050 | 0.20794 | 0.24389 | 0.17370 | 0.15479 | 0.24505 | 0.15323 | 0.22675 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 0.15530 | 0.15741 | 0.25657 | 0.25854 | 0.19173 | 0. 29026 | 0.18656 | 0.16780 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVI. ENIERPRISE | 0.00653 | 0.00664 | 0.00982 | 0.00683 | 0.00543 | 0.02401 | 0.00638 | 0.00671 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVI. ENTERPRISE | 0.00209 | 0.00213 | 0.00274 | 0.00206 | 0.00171 | 0.00255 | 0.00259 | 0.00235 |
| 32 | HOUSEHOLDS | 0.67630 | 0.70022 | 0.88056 | 0.55336 | 0.519 ct | 0.79276 | 0.46934 | 0.59215 |
|  | TOTAL INPUT | 3. $E 1298$ | 3.20951 | 3.71583 | 3.37529 | 3.05677 | 3.46223 | 2.65944 | 3.39955 |

TABLE IX (Continued)

| Producing sectur |  | PlRCHASING SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 1 | a Griculture | 0.03110 | C. 03238 | $0 . C 3832$ | $0.044 C 7$ | 0.03954 | 0.04524 | 0.03359 | 0.04687 |
| 2 | YINING | 0.04412 | 0.03284 | 0.13300 | 0.09951 | 0.04953 | 0. 05610 | 0.04421 | 0.082 El |
| 3 | CUNSTRUCTION | 0.01618 | 0.01273 | 0.02393 | $0.021=9$ | 0.01744 | 0.01938 | 0.01614 | 0.64120 |
| 4 | FOOD E KINDRED PROD. | 0.04359 | 0.04551 | 0.05397 | C.0¢420 | 0.05911 | 0.07134 | 0.04314 | 0.06951 |
| 5 | TEXIILES E FABRICS | 0.02024 | 0.03552 | 0.00395 | 0.00365 | $0.003 \in 2$ | 0.00656 | 0.00915 | 0.00355 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 0.00913 | 0.01158 | 0.01113 | 0.01 .342 | 0.01208 | 0.01785 | 0.c0951 | $0 . c 1460$ |
| 7 | LOGGING | 0.00241 | 0. 00374 | 0.00432 | 0.00259 | 0.00198 | 0.00534 | 0.00782 | 0.00188 |
| 8 | SAwMills | 0.00297 | 0.00446 | 0.00591 | 0.00458 | 0.00355 | 0.00956 | 0.01412 | 0.00235 |
| 9 | Other lumber e wood prcd. | 0.00583 | 0.01225 | 0.01245 | 0.00652 | 0.00389 | 0.01589 | 0.01710 | 0.10360 |
| 10 | WOUDEN FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0.00100 | 0.00100 | 0.00128 | 0.00154 | 0.00139 | 0.00224 | 0.00098 | 0.00167 |
| 11 | OTHER FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0.00042 | 0.00042 | 0.00061 | c. 000 CA | 0.00058 | 0. 00175 | 0.00041 | 0.00070 |
| 12 | Paper e allited prod. | 0.01538 | 0.01645 | 0.01959 | $0.009 E 5$ | 0.00908 | 0. 00970 | 0.02971 | 0.00836 |
| 13 | PAPER CUNTAINERS C EOXES | 0.01190 | 0.01282 | 0.01820 | 0.00663 | 0.00522 | 0.00433 | 0.01473 | 0.00293 |
| 14 | PRINTING 6 PUBLISHING | 0.00846 | 0.00838 | 0.01073 | 0.01193 | 0.01284 | 0. 01400 | 0.00876 | 0.01419 |
| 15 | Chemicals $\varepsilon$ allied prod. | 0.0 E675 | C. $0=066$ | 0.02366 | 0.01920 | 0.01387 | 0. 01680 | 0.02552 | 0.01210 |
| 16 | DEIROLEUM REFINING ¢ PROD. | 0.03862 | 0.03512 | 0.05544 | 0.05341 | 0.04799 | 0.05483 | 0.04253 | 0.11469 |
| 17 | RUBBER E PLASIIC PRCD. | 1.05122 | 0.08402 | 0.03216 | $0.020<9$ | 0.02745 | 0.02945 | 0.04681 | 0.01775 |
| 18 | LEATHER E LEATHER PROD. | 0.00067 | 1.04666 | 0.00022 | 0.00059 | 0.00090 | 0.00110 | 0.00136 | 0.00105 |
| 19 | Stone. Clar. $\varepsilon$ glass ffoc. | 0.01275 | 0.00539 | 1.12012 | $0.018 \leq 4$ | 0.01453 | 0.01905 | 0.0142 E | 0.00847 |
| 20 | MEIAL E METAL PROD. | $0.0 \leq 320$ | 0.05156 | 0.04434 | 1.39401 | 0.21191 | 0.22668 | 0.15167 | 0.63957 |
| 21 | MACHINERY 8 EQUIPMENT | 0.04348 | 0.03965 | 0.05232 | c.0cess | 1.28869 | 0.17826 | 0.06911 | 0.05543 |
| 22 | transpurtation equipment | 0.01189 | 0.0113 ? | 0.01463 | 0.01738 | 0.01735 | 1. 08860 | 0.01146 | 0.02704 |
| 23 | hiscellaneous mfg. | 0.00828 | 0.01545 | 0.01023 | $0.011 \leq 0$ | 0.01097 | 0.01502 | 1.03811 | 0.01039 |
| 24 | transportation | 0.07391 | 0.05548 | 0.11514 | 0.08604 | 0.06340 | 0.07515 | 0.06501 | 1.17214 |
| 25 | communication | 0.01493 | 0.01490 | 0.01762 | 0.02045 | 0.02076 | 0.02206 | 0.01652 | 0.02741 |
| 26 | UTILITIES | 0.0C315 | 0.c4774 | 0.09963 | c.080S5 | 0.06382 | 0.07208 | 0.05448 | 0.06883 |
| 27 | Wholesale e retail trade | 0.13410 | 0.14664 | 0.16414 | 0.20157 | 0.18576 | 0. 22157 | 0.14803 | 0.19740 |
| 28 | FINANCE. INS.. E REAL ESt. | 0.14558 | 0.14727 | 0.19135 | c.21661 | 0.20042 | 0.22399 | 0.15422 | 0.24346 |
| 29 | SERVICES | 0.17741 | 0.17445 | 0.19991 | 0.22663 | 0.22991 | 0. 26010 | 0.16112 | 0.23845 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT - ENTERPRISE | 0.00611 | 0.01011 | 0.00751 | 0.00849 | 0.00868 | 0.00966 | 0.00765 | 0.00915 |
| 31 | S. \& L. GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.00174 | 0.00161 | 0.00225 | 0.00271 | 0.00221 | 0.00264 | 0.00209 | 0.10414 |
| 52 | householdis | $0.5 c 696$ | 0.50567 | 0.6478 | 0.782 Ec | 0.70450 | 0. 86109 | 0.49475 | 0.84095 |
|  | total input | 2.t4357 | 2.64379 | 3.13655 | $3.540 \in 2$ | 3.33338 | 3.66140 | 2.75449 | 3.30304 |

TABLE IX (Continued)

| Producing sectior |  | plrchasing secticr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -35 |  |  |  | 22 | 38 | 32 |  |
| 1 | agricillture | 0.04974 | 0.02722 | 0.04661 | 0.02377 | 0.07458 | 0.07032 | 0.03804 | 0.c7sse |
| 2 | MINING | 0.04026 | 0.26626 | 0.04887 | c. 02050 | 0.04611 | 0.09978 | 0.10103 | 0.06118 |
| 3 | CONSTRUCTION | 0.03468 | 0.04057 | 0.01915 | 0.04043 | 0.02329 | 0. 03265 | 0.14678 | 0.02178 |
| 4 | FOGD E KINDRED PROD. | 0.06879 | 0.03642 | 0.06915 | $0.027 \leqslant 1$ | 0.10636 | 0.10688 | 0.05209 | 0.1168 |
| 5 | textiles e fabrics | 0.00352 | 0.00195 | 0.00358 | 0.00145 | 0.00373 | 0.00576 | 0.00309 | 0.00602 |
| 6 | APPAREL | 0.01404 | 0.00749 | 0.01429 | 0.00547 | 0.014 E6 | 0.02296 | 0.01177 | 0.02441 |
| 7 | Logging | 0.00139 | 0.00107 | 0.00147 | c.00059 | 0.00157 | 0.00191 | 0.00270 | 0.60170 |
| $\theta$ | SAmMILLS | 0.00208 | 0.00158 | 0.00213 | 0.00146 | 0.00234 | 0.00284 | 0.00404 | 0.00255 |
| 9 | Other lumber \& wool prod. | 0.00298 | 0.00275 | 0.00235 | 0.00254 | 0.00287 | 0.00353 | 0.00848 | 0.00307 |
| 10 | MOODEN FURNITURE F FIXT. | 0.00166 | 0.01089 | 0.00168 | 0.00065 | 0.00147 | 0.00263 | 0.00129 | 0.00295 |
| 11 | UTHER FURNITURE E FIXT. | 0.00069 | 0.00038 | 0.00069 | C.00028 | 0.00062 | 0.00109 | 0.00056 | 0.00122 |
| 12 | Paper e allied prod. | 0.00775 | $0.0 \mathrm{C453}$ | 0.01131 | $0.004 \leq 5$ | 0.01056 | 0.01242 | 0.00750 | 0.01094 |
| 13 | PAPER CONIAINERS 6 boxes | 0.00235 | 0.00141 | 0.00341 | 0.00162 | 0.00434 | 0.00376 | 0.00221 | 0.00364 |
| 14 | PRINTING E PUBLISHING | 0.01546 | 0.00804 | 0.01393 | 0.01073 | 0.01858 | 0.02419 | 0.01367 | 0.01909 |
| 15 | chemicals e allied prod. | 0.01012 | 0.00765 | 0.01051 | 0.00458 | 0.01523 | 0.01752 | 0.01720 | 0.01635 |
| 16 | PETROLEUM REFINING 4 PROD. | 0.04449 | 0.07496 | 0.05712 | $0.023 \mathrm{C9}$ | 0.05108 | 0. 08054 | 0.07651 | 0.07196 |
| 17 | RUGGER E PLAStic prod. | 0.01065 | 0.00709 | 0.01209 | 0.00561 | 0.01721 | 0.01799 | 0.01071 | 0.01550 |
| 10 | LEATHER E LEATHER PADO. | 0.00105 | 0.00056 | 0.00107 | c.00041 | 0.00109 | 0.00170 | 0.00079 | 0.00185 |
| 19 | Stune c Clay, 6 glass frod. | 0.00704 | 0.00587 | 0.00604 | c.004E9 | 0.010 Cb | 0.00932 | 0.01585 | 0.00834 |
| 20 | aEtal e metal prad. | 0.03063 | $0.0: 391$ | 0.02469 | 0.01517 | 0.03635 | 0.04068 | 0.04580 | 0.03747 |
| 21 | machinery $\varepsilon$ equipment | 0.0 C7S4 | 0.03656 | 0.04335 | c.0 1912 | 0.05620 | 0.06807 | 0.05211 | 0.66880 |
| 22 | transportation equafment | 0.01782 | 0.00957 | 0.01789 | 0.00704 | 0.02701 | 0.02864 | 0.01589 | 0.02993 |
| 23 | miscellaneous mfg. | 0.01017 | 0.00567 | 0.01007 | 0.00429 | 0.01511 | 0. 01560 | 0.00842 | 0.01599 |
| 24 | tran sporiation | 0.04816 | 0.03787 | 0.05725 | $0.0<188$ | $0.0 \leq 80 ¢$ | 0.13998 | 0.05753 | 0.07065 |
| 25 | cummunication | 1.02941 | 0.01321 | 0.02783 | 0.01296 | 0.02429 | 0.02995 | 0.01924 | 0.02927 |
| 26 | UTILITIES | 0.06483 | 1.27951 | 0.07554 | c. 03110 | 0.07225 | 0.11507 | 0.18883 | 0.08993 |
| 27 | wholesale e retail trade | 0.17436 | 0.10236 | 1.18475 | 0.072 \% | 0.18200 | 0.27440 | 0.14916 | 0.29861 |
| 28 | FINANCE. INS.. C REAL ESI. | 0.23204 | 0.15335 | 0.24809 | 1.18359 | 0.23899 | 0.34979 | 0.18302 | 0.34315 |
| 29 | Services | 0.29847 | 0. 12247 | 0.23481 | 0.10956 | 1.26997 | 0. 34469 | 0.19867 | 0.32204 |
| 30 | FEDERAL GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.01165 | 0.00776 | 0.01229 | 0.01144 | 0.01283 | 1. 01349 | 0.00766 | 0.01126 |
| 31 | S. E L. GOVT. ENTERPRISE | 0.00308 | 0.00164 | 0.00323 | 0.00134 | 0.00290 | 0.00449 | 1.00212 | 0.00412 |
| 32 | househillos | 0.83806 | 0.44711 | 0.e5074 | 0.323 Cs | 0.73968 | 1.33291 | 0.62602 | 1.49899 |
|  | TOTAL INPUT | 3.05495 | 2.13767 | 3.11598 | 1.95318 | 3.14169 | 4.27554 | 3.06877 | 3.28597 |

TABLE X
TYPE I AND TYPE II OUTPUT MULTIPLIERS FOR OKLAHOMA, 1978

| Producing Sector | Type I Output Multiplier | Type II Output Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Agriculture | 2.12763 | 3.02209 |
| 2. Mining | 1.39517 | 2.94063 |
| 3. Construction | 1.83138 | 3.82931 |
| 4. Food \& Kindred Prod. | 2.19726 | 3.31225 |
| 5. Textiles \& Fabrics | 1.64520 | 2.64616 |
| 6. Appare1 | 1.59790 | 3.04474 |
| 7. Logging | 2.12653 | 3.59319 |
| 8. Sawmills | 2.03476 | 3.64499 |
| 9. Other Lumber \& Wood Prod. | 2.03047 | 3.51298 |
| 10. Wooden Furniture \& Fixts. | 1.67455 | 3.20951 |
| 11. Other Furniture \& Fixts. | 1.78555 | 3.71583 |
| 12. Paper \& Allied Prod. | 2.07455 | 3.37529 |
| 13. Paper Containers \& Boxes | 1.91768 | 3.05677 |
| 14. Printing \& Publishing | 1.73040 | 3.46823 |
| 15. Chemicals \& Allied Prod. | 1.63059 | 2.65944 |
| 16. Petroleum Refining \& Prod. | 2.10188 | 3.39995 |
| 17. Rubber \& Plastic Prod. | 1.53225 | 2.64357 |
| 18. Leather \& Leather Prod. | 1.53529 | 2.64379 |
| 19. Stone, Clay, \& G7ass Prod. | 1.71633 | 3.13655 |
| 20. Metal \& Metal Prod. | 1.82470 | 3.54082 |
| 21. Machinery \& Equipment | 1.78902 | 3.33338 |
| 22. Transportation Equipment | 1.77379 | 3.66140 |
| 23. Misc. Manufacturing | 1.66993 | 2.75449 |
| 24. Transportation | 1.53959 | 3.38304 |
| 25. Communication | 1.25783 | 3.09495 |
| 26. Utilities | 1.75755 | 2.73767 |
| 27. Wholesale \& Retail Trade | 1.25106 | 3.11598 |
| 28. Finance, Ins., \& Real Est. | 1.28493 | 1.99318 |
| 29. Services | 1.52021 | 3.14169 |
| 30. Federal Govt. Enterprise | 1.35363 | 4.27554 |
| 31. S. \& L. Govt. Enterprise | 1.69464 | 3.06877 |

its respective multiplier and summing. This gave total output of nearly $\$ 1.6$ billion for Type I and $\$ 2.6$ billion for Type II.

## Income Multiplier

The income multiplier measures the total change in household income generated when payments to households in a given sector changes by one dollar. The total change in household income can be broken down into the direct, indirect, and the induced effect.

The direct effect measures the initial impact that a change in output will have on household income. It is therefore the household's row of the technical coefficients matrix and is presented in the first column of Table XI.

The direct and indirect income effects, presented in column two of Table XI, represent the total change in income resulting from a one dollar change in final demand in an endogenous sector. They are calculated by multiplying each column element of the interdependence coefficients matrix in Table VIII, by the corresponding household's row entry in the technical coefficients matrix. The column sum of this multiplication is the direct and indirect income effect for a sector. Type I income multipliers were then calculated by dividing the direct and indirect income effect by the direct effect and are presented in the third column of Table XI.

The Type I income multipliers ranged from a high of 6.95 in the petroleum refining and products sector, to a low of 1.13 for the federal government enterprise sector. The petroleum refining and products sector was followed by paper and allied products, agriculture, food and kindred products, utilities, paper containers and boxes, and other lumber and wood products.

TABLE XI
TYPE I AND TYPE II INCOME MULTIPLIERS FOR OKLAHOMA, 1978

| Producing Sector | Direct <br> Income <br> Effect | Direct and <br> Indirect <br> Income Effect | Type I <br> Income <br> Mutliplier | Direct, Indirect, <br> and Induced <br> Income Effect | Type II <br> Multiplier |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Preme |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE XI (Continued)

| Producing Sector | Direct <br> Income <br> Effect | Direct and <br> Indirect <br> Income Effect | Type I <br> Income <br> Multiplier | Direct, Indirect, <br> and Induced <br> Income Effect | Type II <br> Income <br> Multiplier |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22. Transportation Equipment | 0.34886 | 0.57445 | 1.64661 | 0.86109 | 2.46826 |
| 23. Misc. Manufacturing | 0.15295 | 0.33006 | 2.15792 | 0.49475 | 3.23470 |
| 24. Transportation | 0.40206 | 0.56101 | 1.39533 | 0.84094 | 2.09159 |
| 25. Communication | 0.48341 | 0.55908 | 1.15653 | 0.83806 | 1.73363 |
| 26. Utilities | 0.11414 | 0.29827 | 2.61311 | 0.44711 | 3.91704 |
| 27. Wholesale \& Retail Trade | 0.49849 | 0.56754 | 1.13851 | 0.85074 | 1.70662 |
| 28. Finance, Ins., \& Real Est. | 0.14773 | 0.21554 | 1.52076 | 0.32309 | 2.27961 |
| 29. Services | 0.35661 | 0.49345 | 1.38371 | 0.73968 | 2.07418 |
| 30. Federal Govt. Enterprise | 0.78453 | 0.88921 | 1.13342 | 1.33291 | 1.69898 |
| 31. S. \& L. Govt. Enterprise | 0.23313 | 0.41763 | 1.79135 | 0.62602 | 2.68522 |

As was the case in Type II output multipliers, Type II income multipliers include the induced effects and are calculated using the interdependence matrix in which households were included as an endogenous sector (Table IX). The direct, indidrect, and induced effects are the household's row of this interdependence matrix, and are presented in column four of Table XI.

Type II income multipliers were calculated by dividing the direct, indirect, and induced income effect by the direct effect. They ranged from a high of 10.42 for the petroleum refining and products sector, to a low of 1.69 for the federal government enterprise sector (column five, Table XI).

The FPI sectors ranked relatively high in both Type I and Type II income multipliers. Paper and allied products had the second highest Type I and Type II income multipliers of 3.25 and 4.87 , respectively. Paper containers and boxes had the sixth highest income multipliers of 2.41 and 3.61, followed by other lumber and wood products which had the seventh highest multipliers of 2.19 and 3.28 .

These sectors directly paid over $\$ 146$ million in income in Oklahoma in 1978. The total effect of this level of income on the total income of the state can be calculated by multiplying the income for each sector by its respective income multiplier and summing. This gave a total income of over $\$ 236$ million for Type $I$, and over $\$ 503$ million for Type II.

Type I and Type II income multipliers are based on two separate and distinct assumptions. Type I multipliers are based on the assumption that no change in household expenditures will occur when income paid to households change. This assumption is somewhat unrealistic,
as households would be expected to increase their expenditures with increased income. On the other hand, Type II income multipliers are based on the assumption that households do indeed increase their expenditures with increased income and do so in constant proportions. This of course implies a constant marginal propensity to consume, which is also somewhat unrealistic. For predictive purposes the actual income multipliers are likely to be somewhere between the two estimates.

## Employment Multiplier

The employment multiplier is an estimate of the total employment change in the economy which results when employment in a sector changes by one job. As was the case for income, the total change in employment can be broken down into the direct, indirect, and induced effect.

The direct employment effect is the estimated direct response in employment in a sector which occurs from a change in final demand. It is calculated by dividing the number of people employed in a sector by that sector's output in thousands of dollars, and is therefore the average number of people employed per thousand dollars of output produced. The direct employment effects are presented in the first column of Table XII.

The direct and indirect employment effects, in the second column of Table XII, are a measure of the total employment change resulting from a $\$ 1,000$ change in final demand. These effects are determined by multiplying each column of the interdependence coefficients matrix (Table VIII), by the column of direct employment effects and summing.

TABLE XII
TYPE I AND TYPE II EMPLOYMENT MULTIPLIERS FOR
OKLAHOMA, 1978

| Producing Sector | Direct Employment Effect | Direct and Indirect Employment Effect | Type I Employment Multiplier | Direct, Indirect, and Induced Employment Effect | Type II Employment Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Agriculture | 0.01160 | 0.02739 | 2.36238 | 0.03850 | 3.31990 |
| 2. Mining | 0.01549 | 0.02157 | 1.39267 | 0.04075 | 2.63157 |
| 3. Construction | 0.02071 | 0.03723 | 1.79748 | 0.06203 | 2.99502 |
| 4. Food \& Kindred Prod. | 0.00927 | 0.02737 | 2.95423 | 0.04122 | 4.44797 |
| 5. Textiles \& Fabrics | 0.01590 | 0.02768 | 1.74026 | 0.04010 | 2.52154 |
| 6. Apparel | 0.03757 | 0.05295 | 1.40944 | 0.07091 | 1.88750 |
| 7. Logging | 0.00624 | 0.02717 | 4.35595 | 0.04537 | 7.27537 |
| 8. Sawmills | 0.01207 | 0.02960 | 2.45201 | 0.04959 | 4.10773 |
| 9. Other Lumber \& Wood Prod. | 0.01255 | 0.02905 | 2.31511 | 0.04746 | 3.78170 |
| 10. Wood Furniture \& Fixts. | 0.03040 | 0.04323 | 1.42202 | 0.06228 | 2.04883 |
| 11. Other Furniture \& Fixts. | 0.03125 | 0.04604 | 1.47336 | 0.07000 | 2.24019 |
| 12. Paper \& Allied Prod. | 0.00420 | 0.02643 | 6.29454 | 0.04258 | 10.13992 |
| 13. Paper Containers \& Boxes | 0.01634 | 0.03107 | 1.90178 | 0.04521 | 2.76738 |
| 14. Printing \& Publishing | 0.02658 | 0.04116 | 1.54852 | 0.06273 | 2.36019 |
| 15. Chemicals \& Allied Prod. | 0.00827 | 0.01879 | 2.27307 | 0.03156 | 3.81812 |
| 16. Petroleum Refining \& Prod. | 0.00249 | 0.01888 | 7.59373 | 0.03499 | 14.07537 |
| 17. Rubber \& Plastic Prod. | 0.01206 | 0.02139 | 1.77332 | 0.03519 | 2.91709 |
| 18. Leather \& Leather Prod. | 0.02672 | 0.03779 | 1.44710 | 0.05115 | 1.97401 |
| 19. Stone, Clay, \& Glass Prod. | 0.01443 | 0.02667 | 1.84789 | 0.04430 | 3.06929 |
| 20. Metal \& Metal Prod. | 0.01734 | 0.03296 | 1.90042 | 0.05426 | 3.12878 |
| 21. Machinery \& Equipment | 0.01886 | 0.03461 | 1.83453 | 0.05378 | 2.85084 |

TABLE XII (Continued)

| Producing Sector | Direct <br> Employment <br> Effect | Direct and In- <br> direct Employ- <br> ment Effect | Type I <br> Employment <br> Multiplier | Direct, Indirect, <br> and Induced Em- <br> ployment Effect | Type II <br> Employment <br> Multiplier |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22. Transportation Equipment | 0.01944 | 0.03537 | 1.81923 | 0.05880 | 3.02453 |
| 23. Misc. Manufacturing | 0.14113 | 0.15726 | 1.11428 | 0.17072 | 1.20968 |
| 24. Transportation | 0.01896 | 0.02859 | 1.50781 | 0.05147 | 2.71484 |
| 25. Communication | 0.02376 | 0.02878 | 1.21136 | 0.05159 | 2.17125 |
| 26. Utilities | 0.00578 | 0.01524 | 2.63837 | 0.02740 | 4.74520 |
| 27. Wholesale \& Retail Trade | 0.05325 | 0.05775 | 1.08457 | 0.08090 | 1.51934 |
| 28. Finance, Ins., \& Real Est. | 0.00925 | 0.01387 | 1.49906 | 0.02266 | 2.44925 |
| 29. Services | 0.02469 | 0.03509 | 1.42123 | 0.05522 | 2.23653 |
| 30. Federal Govt. Enterprise | 0.01782 | 0.02400 | 1.34642 | 0.06027 | 3.38150 |
| 31. S. \& L. Govt. Enterprise | 0.08618 | 0.09731 | 1.12911 | 0.11434 | 1.32679 |

Type I employment multipliers were calculated by dividing the direct and indirect effect by the direct effect. The multipliers ranged from a high of 7.59 for the petroleum refining and products sector, to a low of 1.08 for wholesale and retail trade. The FPI sectors again ranked high, with five of the top ten multipliers, including the second highest of 6.29 held by paper and allied products.

High employment multipliers, as seen for example in the paper and allied products sector, are caused by two basic factors. First, the paper and allied products sector is a highly capital intensive sector with a high output/employment ratio. Output increases substantially (assuming constant returns) when an additional employee is added in this sector. Second, the paper and allied products sector has strong linkages with other sectors in the economy, as indicated by its relatively large output multiplier. Therefore, an employment increase in paper and allied products would be accompanied by a substantial increase in output in this sector. Employment in other sectors is expanded in the process of producing the additional inputs required to produce this increased output.

Sectors with low employment multipliers are generally those sectors which are rather labor intensive, with low output/employment ratios, and weak linkages with the other sectors of the economy. Miscellaneous manufacturing, wholesale and retail trade, and state and local government enterprise are good examples of such sectors in Oklahoma.

The direct, indirect, and induced employment effects presented in column four of Table XII were calculated by multiplying each column of the interdependence coefficients matrix in Table IX, by the direct
employment effect and summing. Type II employment multipliers were calculated by dividing the direct, indirect, and induced income effects by the direct effects and are presented in column five of Table XII.

Type II employment multipliers ranged from a high of 14.07 for the petroleum refining and products sector, to a low of 1.20 for the miscellaneous manufacturing sector. The paper and allied products sector had the second highest employment multiplier of 10.13, followed by logging with a multiplier of 7.27. Other FPI sectors with relatively large multipliers were sawills (sixth), and other lumber and wood products (eighth).

These sectors directly employed over 8,800 Oklahomans in 1978. The total effect of this level of employment can be calculated by multiplying sector employment by its respective employment multiplier and summing. This gave a total of over 23,000 jobs for Type I and over 36,700 jobs for Type II.

## CHAPTER V

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The objective of this study was to quantify the interrelationships that exist in the Oklahoma economy, and, within this framework, evaluate the role of the forest products industry in the economy.

An I-0 model was formulated for the Oklahoma economy which consisted of 31 endogenous sectors (not including households) and four exogenous sectors. The FPI was delineated into six sectors: logging, sawmills, other lumber and wood products, wooden furniture and fixtures, paper and allied products, and paper containers and boxes. Data for the FPI sectors were collected by personal interviews, telephone interviews, and/or on-site plant inspections. The remaining sectors of the economy were estimated from regionally adjusted 1972 national I-O coefficients. This data was further adjusted to represent 1978 prices and production leveTs.

Six final demand sectors were included in the model: personal consumption expenditures, private capital formation, change in business inventories, federal government purchases, state and local government purchases, and exports. The final demand sectors were also estimated with secondary data. The only exception to this was exports, which, along with imports, were figured as residuals.

The direct relationships between the sectors were presented in the form of a transactions table and a technical coefficients matrix. The
transactions table presents, in dollar terms, the sales and purchases of each sector in the economy. The technical coefficients matrix identified the amount of purchases required from each sector (including households) to produce a dollar's worth of output in a given sector.

The direct and indirect linkages within the economy were estimated using an interdependence coefficients matrix with households excluded. This matrix estimated the total amount of output which must be generated by all sectors to deliver one dollar's worth of output from a particular sector to final demand. The induced effects caused by increased household expenditures were included by recalculating the interdependence matrix with households as an endogenous sector.

Type I and Type II output, income and employment multipliers, were calculated. These multipliers, in their own respective units, estimated the total change in the economy that would result from a one unit change in the output, income, or employment of a sector. As such, these multipliers can be used to estimate the total economic ramifications of any proposed policy of government and industry.

Forest Products' Role in the Oklahoma Economy

The FPI sectors in Oklahoma directly employed over 8,800 people, and generated over 782.3 million dollars' worth of output in 1978. Eighty-four percent of the inputs necessary to produce this output were locally produced. This implies strong linkages between the FPI sectors and the rest of the economy. The extent of these linkages is measured by the output, income, and employment multipliers.

Output multipliers estimate the total change in state output that results from a one dollar change in the output of a given sector, i.e., a measure of the economic impact of that sector. The FPI sectors were found to have substantial impacts. Logging, paper and allied products, sawmills, other lumber and wood products, and paper containers and boxes had the third, fifth, sixth, seventh, and eighth highest Type I output multipliers, respectively.

In terms of Type II output multipliers, the FPI sectors had the fifth, sixth, and eighth highest rankings. A simple average of the FPI multipliers revealed that as an aggregate the FPI sectors had the fourth highest Type I output multiplier of 1.98, and the seventh largest Type II multiplier of 3.40 . The output multipliers revealed that the FPI sectors supported over $\$ 1.6$ billion in output for Type I multipliers, and $\$ 2.6$ billion for Type II.

Income multipliers estimate the total change in state income which results when income in a given sector increases by one dollar. The FPI sectors were found to have some of the largest income multipliers in the state. Paper and allied products had the second largest Type I and Type II income multipliers of 3.25 and 4.87 , respectively. Paper containers and boxes had the sixth highest multipliers of 2.41 and 3.61, followed by other lumber and wood products with the seventh ranked multipliers of 2.19 and 3.28. Logging ranked tenth with Type I and Type II income multipliers of 2.10 and 3.15 , respectively. Considered as an aggregate, the FPI sectors were found to have the fifth largest Type I and Type II income multipliers of 2.26 and 3.39. The income multiplier analysis revealed that these sectors supported over
$\$ 236$ million in income for Type I multipliers, and over $\$ 503$ million for Type II.

Employment multipliers estimate the total change in state employment per unit change in employment of a particular sector. They therefore provide a measure of the number of jobs which are both directly and indirectly dependent on a sector. Again, the FPI sectors were found to have some of the highest employment multipliers. Paper and allied products had the second highest Type I employment multiplier of 6.29 , followed by logging with the third highest of 4.36. Sawmills, other lumber and wood products, and paper containers and boxes were also among the top ten, with the sixth, eighth, and tenth highest multipliers, respectively.

Paper and allied products and logging also had the second and third largest Type II employment multipliers of 10.14 and 7.28 , respectively. Sawmills and other lumber and wood products were again in the top ten, with the sixth and eighth highest rankings. Considered again as an aggregate, the FPI sectors had the second highest Type I and Type II employment multipliers of 3.12 and 5.02 , respectively. The employment multiplier analysis showed that the FPI sectors supported over 23,000 jobs for Type I multipliers, and over 36,200 jobs for Type II.

In conclusion, multiplier analysis has revealed that the FPI sectors in Oklahoma have a substantial impact on the output, income, and employment of the state. These impacts are directly related to the high degree of structural interdependence associated with these sectors. The FPI sectors therefore would provide an excellent vehicle for the objective of stimulating the economic development of the state.

The stronk linkages of these sectors with the rest of the economy indicates that the benefits of increased output, income, and employment, which would result from an expansion in the FPI sectors, would accrue to the local economy and not be exported to other regions.

## Suggestions for Further Research

This study has provided a first step in the analysis of the role of the FPI sectors in the economy. More work needs to be done, however, in the area of developing procedures for collecting detailed data, so that companies do not find surveys cumbersome. More work also is needed in the area of estimating final demand to incorporate recently available data and projection techniques.

Finally, disaggregating forest land management out of the agriculture sector would provide valuable insights into the structure of the business of growing timber. With such a sector, various timber supply scenarios could be tested to analyze the impacts on the rest of the economy. With the growing demands on our timber resources, and the shrinking forestland base, the need for accurate impact analysis is self-evident.
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APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A

SECTOR CLASSIFICATIONS OF OKLAHOMA
I-O MODEL

Oklahoma Model
(Endogenous)

Sector Classification
Industries Included

1. Agriculture
(SIC pt. 01,02,07 (excl. 074), 08, 09)
a) crops
b) 1 ivestock
c) ag services
d) forestry
e) forestry services
f) fishing, hunting, and trapping
2. Mining
(SIC 10-14)
a) metal mining
b) anthracite
c) bituminous coal and lignite mining
d) 0il and gas extraction
e) non-metallic minerals mining
3. Construction
(SIC 15-17)
a) new construction
b) maintenance construction
4. Food and Kindred Products (SIC 20,21)
5. Textiles and Fabrics
(SIC 22)
6. Apparel
(SIC 23)
7. Logging
(SIC 241)
a) meat products
b) dairy products
c) canned and preserved fruits and vegetables
d) grain mill products and bakery products
e) sugar and confectionary products
f) fats and oils
g) beverages and miscellaneous food and kindred products
h) tobacco products
a) broad and narrow woven mills and fabrics
b) knitting, yarn, and thread mills
c) dyeing and finishing textile mills
d) floor covering mills
e) miscellaneous textile products
a) mens', womens', and youth garments
b) hats, caps, and millinary
c) fur products
d) miscellaneous apparel and fabricated textile products
a) logging camps and logging contractors

Sector Classification
8. Sawmills
(SIC 242)
9. Other Lumber and Wood Products
(SIC 243-249)
10. Wooden Furniture and Fixtures
(SIC 2511,2517,2521,2541)
11. Other Furniture and Fixtures
(SIC 25 except ones given in sector 10 above)
12. Paper and Allied Products
(SIC 261-264, 266-269)
13. Paperboard Containers and Boxes
(SIC 265)
14. Printing and Publishing (SIC 27)

Industries Included
a) sawmilis
b) planing mills
c) special product sawmills
a) millwork, veneer, plywood, and structural wood members
b) wood containers
c) wood buildings and mobile homes
d) miscellaneous wood products
a) wood household furniture
b) wooden cabinets
c) wood office furniture
d) wood partitions and fixtures
a) metal household furniture
b) metal cabinets
c) metal office furniture
d) mattresses and bedsprings
e) other metal furniture and fixtures
a) pulp mills
b) paper mills
c) paperboard mills
d) converted and paperboard products, except boxes
e) building paper and building board mills
a) containers and boxes
a) newspapers
b) periodicals
c) books
d) miscellaneous publishing
e) commercial printing
f) mainfold business forms
g) greeting cards
h) blankbooks and looseleaf binders
i) printing trade services
a) inorganic chemicals
b) plastics, synthetic resins, rubber, and other man made fibers
c) drugs
d) soap, detergents, perfume, and cosmetics

|  | Sector Classification | Industries Include |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemicals and Allied Products (Cont.) | e) paints, varnishes, lacquers, and enamels <br> f) organic chemicals <br> g) agriculture chemicals <br> h) miscellaneous chemicals |
|  | Petroleum Refining (SIC 29) | a) petroleum refining <br> b) paving and roofing materials <br> c) miscellaneous petroleum products |
|  | Rubber and Plastic Products (SIC 30) | a) tires and inner tubes <br> b) rubber and plastic footwear <br> c) reclaimed rubber <br> d) rubber and plastic hose and belting <br> e) fabricated rubber products <br> f) miscellaneous rubber products |
|  | Leather and Leather Products (SIC 31) | a) leather tanning and finishing <br> b) boot and shoe cutstock and bindings <br> c) footwear <br> d) leather gloves and mittens <br> e) luggage <br> f) handbags <br> g) other leather goods |
|  | Stone Clay, Glass, and Concrete Products (SIC 32) | a) glass and glassware <br> b) structural clay products and pottery <br> c) concrete, gypsum, and plaster <br> d) cut stone and stone products <br> e) abrasives, abestos, and miscellaneous non-metallic products |
|  | Metal and Metal Products (SIC 33,34) | a) primary metal industries <br> b) fabricated metal products, except machinery and transportation equipment |
|  | Machinery and Equipment (SIC 35,36) | a) machinery, except electrical <br> b) electrical and electronic machinery, equipment and supplies |
|  | Transportation Equipment (SIC 37) | a) motor vehicles and cycles <br> b) aircraft and missiles <br> c) ships and boat building and repair <br> d) railroad equipment <br> e) miscellaneous transportation equipment |

Sector Classification
23. Miscellaneous Manufacturing (SIC 38,39)
24. Transportation
(SIC 40-42,44-47)
25. Communication (SIC 48)
26. Utilities
(SIC 49)

Industries Included
a) measuring, analyzing, and controlling instruments
b) photographic, medical, and optical products
c) watches and clocks
d) miscellaneous manufacturing industries and products
a) railroad transportation
b) local and suburban transit, and interurban highway transportation
c) motor freight transportation and warehousing
d) water transportation
e) air transportation
f) pipeline transportation
g) transportation services
a) telephone and telegraph
b) radio and television
c) communication services, nec.
a) electric services
b) gas services
c) water services
d) sanitary services
a) wholesale and retail trade

Trade (SIC 50-57,59,7396,8042)
28. Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate (SIC 60-67, pt. 1531)
29. Services
(SIC 58,70,72,73,75,76, 78,79,80 (EXCL 8042), 81-84,86,89,074)
a) banking
b) credit agencies
c) security and commodity brokers, dealers, exchanges, and services
d) insurance
e) insurance agents, brokers, and services
f) real estate
g) holding and other investment offices
a) hotels and lodging, personal and repair services
b) business services
c) eating and drinking places
d) automobile repair, services, and garages
e) amusements
f) health, educational, and social services, and non-profit organizations

Sector Classification
30. Federal Government Enterprise (SIC not applicable)
31. State and Local Government Enterprise (SIC not applicable)

Industries Included
a) includes all enterprises which cover at least half of their operating costs from revenue earned
a) gas and electric utilities
b) water supply facilities
c) transit facilities
d) liquor stores
e) water transportation
f) air transportation
g) highway toll facilities
h) sewers and sewage disposal
i) low-cost housing and urban renewal
j) miscellaneous activities

## APPENDIX B •

 QUESTIONNAIRES
## LOGS AFD OTHER ROUNDWOOD RECEIVED

Oklahoma, 1978

This form is for reporting the quantities and kinds of roundwood received by this plant in 1978 and the disposition of plant residues resulting from the manufacture or processing of wood products.

All replies will be beld confidential and will be used only for statistical reports.

Plant name:
Mailing address:


Type of roundwood received in 1978. Please check one.

| Sarlogs | Thght cooperage bolts |
| :---: | :---: |
| Veneer logs or bolts | Slack cooperage bolts |
| Posts | Charcoal yood |
| Poles | Furniture stock |
| Piling | Handle stock |

Complete a separate form for each product. Do not include logs or bolts sold or transferred to another plant.

If no roundwood was received in 1978 please check the box below. No other information is needed.

D Io roundwood sas received in 1978.
$\square$ Check here if you wish to receive a copy of the report resulting from this study.

Person to be contected if necessary regarding this report.


## Section I-- Quantity of roundwood received.

1. Total quantity received
2. Unit of measure (check one).

Thousand board feet. Standard cords.
Cubic feet.
Pieces.
Linear feet.
other (specify) $\qquad$
3. Board foot log rule used (check one when applicable).International 1/4-inch.
Doyie log sule.
Scribner Decimal C.
Lumber taily.
Other (specify) $\qquad$
4. If other than standard cords ( 128 cubic feet) vere used, please specify size:
$\qquad$ cubic feet.
5. If weight was used, please specify:
—_ pounds per MBF
6. Volume of product produced from roundwood received in 1978. (Lumber, square feet of plyrood, etc.)

Softrood \begin{tabular}{c}

| Amount |
| :---: |
| (Product) | <br>

Hardwood
\end{tabular}

## Plant neme

County location
C
Product received $\qquad$

Soetion II.-Receifts of roundrood received by apecies group and arigin, for procuse ilsted above.

1. Quavtity received in 1978

| Connti ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Yeilev pine | Othes | Oaks | Ouns | center |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20545 |  |  |  |  |  | - |



| Instructions: Please ent residue | Please enter your best eatiante of the percentage of each type of plant residue that vas used for the verious purposes indieated. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use of residues | 3erk |  | Coarse Rezidues (Suitable for chipping such es veneer cores, etc.; |  | Fine Residues (Veacer ellppings, etc., sot suitable for ehipping) |  |
| USED POR: <br> 1. Manufacture of fiber producta suct es pulp, bardboerd, or rooring felt | Sortwood (Percent) | Bardvood (Percent) | Surtwood (Percent) | Herdrood <br> (Percent) | Sortrood <br> (Percent) | Eardvood <br> (Percene) |
| 2. Chareen or cherien vood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Induatrial fuel at this or other plents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Domestie bousebold fues-soly or given evay |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Miscellancous uses suct es 11 vestock bedding, muleh, smail disensior, and upecisity items |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. IOT USED (including residues burned as vaste) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rotar | 1008 | $200 \%$ | 100\% | 1008 | 100\% | $100 \%$ |

Section IV.-Residues produced.
Total quantity generated:

|  | Softrood | Herdwood | Unit of measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bark |  |  |  |
| Coarse residues |  |  |  |
| Fine residues |  |  |  |

REMARIKS:

## OKIHHOMA I/O STUDY

## Coverpeytict

For Authorized Personnel Oniy
Oklahoma State University
Sciool of Foresty
Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074
Operacion
Number
Location

1. Did your company operate in 1978? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
2. What period during 1978 did you operate?

From $\qquad$ $t 0$ $\qquad$
3. Is this your only business? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
4. If 20 please specify the other business(s).
$\qquad$
5. Do you keep separate accounts for the different businesses?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
( If no please estimate the answers to the following questions.)
6. A) What was your tetal output for 1978? (Please specify units output is measures in.)
VALUE

Definition of full capacity $\qquad$ hrs./day $\qquad$ days/yr.
B) Company income from other sources.
7. What was your cotal employment and payroll in 1978?

|  | Non Oklahoma |
| :---: | :---: |
| Oklahoma Residents | Residents | In Dollars

Full-time
Part-Eime

TOTAI
8. Please estimate the following expenses your company incurred during 1978. If possible please distinguisk between "Inside" and "Outside" Oklahoma expenditures.
A) Construction
a. New
b. Repair \& maintenance
B) New Depreciable Equipment
a. Cars and trucks
b. Machinery
c. Office equipment
d. Other
C) New expensable Equipment
a. Machinery \& equipment
b. Office equipment
c. Other
D) Maintenance of business vehicles or equipmert
E) Rental payments (equipment and real estate)
F) Utilities
a. Electricity
b. Telephone
c. Water
d. Heat
G) Finance
a. Interest payments
b. Payments on principal
8. (continued)

| Item |  | Where Spent |  | Total Spent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Inside Oklahoma | Ourside Ok1ahoma |  |
| H) | Insurance |  |  |  |
| I) | Professional Services <br> (Doctors, Lawyer, etc.) |  |  |  |
| J) | Advertising |  |  |  |
| R) | General Supplies |  |  |  |
| L) | Skilled trades (rapaimmen not employed by your company) |  |  |  |
| M) | Transportation |  |  |  |
| N) | Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| 0) | Other |  |  |  |

9. What were your approximata outlays for Raw materials, contract work and component parts for 1978. (Primary manufiactures use question 10 aiso)

General kind of input volume* \%import total cost | price per* |
| :---: |
| unit |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
*For wood input only.
10. For Primary Producers Only:

What was the proportion of raw materials purchased from:
$\%$
App. Value

Federal Government


Industry
Non-Industrial Privare
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
11. Allowance For Depreciation (1978)
a. Machines \& Equipment $\qquad$
b. Buildings $\qquad$
c. Vehicles $\qquad$
12. Estimate your taxes for 1978.
a. Payments to Federal Government for all taxes, including employers share of social security. $\qquad$ .
b. Payments to Federal Government for employees tax withheld. $\qquad$
$\qquad$ -
c. Total payments to State and Local Government and its agencies for sales taxes, unemployment, ad valorem property tax, etc. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
-
13. What are the markets for your production and the approximate value purchased oy each? (If goverment identify as such.)

14. Change in inventory. (dollars)

Depletion
Accumulation
15. Do you have any plans for expansion in the future?

16. If ges, how would you allocate the costs of expansion?
A) Construction
B) Machinery \& Equipment

C) Other (specify)

## TIMBER OPERATOR SURVEY

CONFIDENTIAL

Department of Forestry

Oklahoma State University Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074

Q-1. How many months did you operate in 1978 ? $\qquad$ .

0-2. Does your company both cut and haul timber?
1 YES
2 NO
Please describe the nature of your business $\qquad$

Q-3. How much timber did you cut on a contract basis in 1978? (Please specify units: cords, tons, M bd. ft. Doyle, other
$\qquad$ _)

Q-4. What was the average amount per unit you received for logs delivered on contract?
(If units were different than in Q-2, please specify $\qquad$
Q-5. Did your company purchase any stumpage in 1978?
1 YES
2 NO
skip to Q-9
*** Q-6 thru Q-8 are concerned only with purchased stumpage operator cut in 1978. ***
Q-6. What is the volume of purchased stumpage your company cut in 1978 ?
bd. ft. Doyle, other___ (Please speci)
Q-7. What was the average price per unit you paid for this stumpage?

Q-8. What was the average price per unit you received for delivering this wood to a buyer?

Q-9. Did your company cut any timber off your land?

```
1 YES
2NO
skip to Q-12
```

> -2-
*** Q-10 thru Q-11 are concerned only with timber cut on operator's own land ***
Q-10. What is the volume of timber your company cut off your land in 1978 ? (Please specify units: cords, tons, M bd. ft. Doyle, other $\qquad$ _)

Q-11. What was the average price per unit you received for delivering this wood to a buyer?

Q-12. How many workers did you employ on the average day in 1978? (include yourself and part time unsalaried members of your family)

Q-13. What was your total payroll in 1978? $\qquad$
Q-14. How much did you spend in Oklahoma in 1978 for the following items?
(A) New trucks
(B) New machinery (Loaders, Skidders, etc.) $\qquad$
(C) New equipment (Saws, etc.) $\qquad$
(D) General supplies $\qquad$
(E) Maintenance on vehicles and equipment $\qquad$
(F) Fuel and oil
(G) Tires and tubes $\qquad$
(H) Rental payments:

Land $\qquad$
Buildings $\qquad$
Equipment $\qquad$
(I) Professional services:

Accountants $\qquad$
Lawyers $\qquad$
Others (specify)
(J) Utilities:

Electricity $\qquad$
Gas $\qquad$
Water $\qquad$
Telephone $\qquad$
(K) Taxes:

State $\qquad$
Federal $\qquad$
(L) Insurance $\qquad$
-3-
(M) Depreciation:

Equipment $\qquad$
Trucks $\qquad$
Buildings $\qquad$
Other
(N) Payments on borrowed capital for trucks, equipment etc. (include principal and interest)
(0) Miscellaneous expenses not yet covered? Please specify

Q-15. Of all the timber you harvested last year, what percent would you estimate came from each of the following ownership categories?

FEDERAL $\qquad$ INDUSTRY PRIVATE $\%$

# OKLAHOMA TIMBER BUYER QUESTIONNAIRE <br> (In-State Manufacturer) <br>  <br> For Authortzed Persomes only 



1. Did your company operate in 1973? Tes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
2. What period during 1978 did you operate?

From $\qquad$ 50 $\qquad$
3. Is Ehis your only busimass? Yes ___ No $\qquad$
4. If no please specify the ocher business(s).
$\qquad$
5. Do you keep separate accounts for the different businesses?

Fes
es $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
( If no please estimate the answers to the Eollowing questions.)
6. A) Nat was your cotal output for 1978? (RIease speciEy units output is measures in.)

3) Company income Erom other sources. $\qquad$
7. What :ras your cotai employment and payroli in 1978?

| Oklahoma ResidencsNon Okiahoma Rayroli <br> Residents In Doliars |
| :--- |
| Fart-cime |

TOTAL
E. Wat were your approximare ouclays for Raw ancerials, concract work and component parts for 1978. (Prtmary manuractures use question 10 also)
General kind of input volune* $;$ inport total cost price per* unit
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Э. What was the proportion of saw naterials purchased Erom:
\% App. Value
Federal Goverment

10. What are the markers for your produceion and the approximate value purchased by each? (If goverment identify as such.)

| 3usiness of purchaser |
| :---: |
| — |

7. Do you have any plans for expansion in the future?
$\qquad$ \% Increase in eapaciry $\qquad$
8. Iミ yes, how would jou allocate the costs of expansion?
d) Construction
3) Macivinery is Equipment
C) Other (speciEy)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
[^0]
## OKLAHOMA TIMBER BUYERS QUESTIONNAIRE <br> (Broker)

## CONFIDENIIAL

For Authorized Personnei Oniy

```
Oklahoma State University
School of Forestry
Stillwater. Oklahoma }7407
```

1. Did you purchase oklahoma timber in 1978? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
2. What period during 1978 did you operate?

From $\qquad$ to $\qquad$
3. Is this your only business Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
4. What was your total employment and payroll for your timber purchasing oparation in 1978?

Oklahoma Residents | Non Oklahoma |
| :---: |
| Residents | In Payroll

Full-time
Part-time

TOTAL
5. Please estimate the following expenses your company incurred during 1978. If possible please distinguish between "Inside" and "Outside" Oklahoma expenditures.
A) Professional Services
a) $C P A$
b) Lawyer
B) Advertising
C) General Supplies
D) Telephone
E) Transportation
F) Miscellaneous
(specify)
6. What were your approximate outlays for Raw materials, contract work and component parts for 1978.

General kind of input volume total cost $\quad$| stumpage |
| :---: |
| price per |
| unit |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
7. What was your average selling price/unit?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. What was the proportion of raw materials purchased from:
\% App. Value

| Federal Government |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Industry |  |
| Non-industrial Private |  |

9. Estimate your taxes for 1978.
a. Payments to Federal Government for all taxes, including employers share of sociai security. $\qquad$ -
b. Total payments to Stare and Local Government and iEs agencies Eor sales taxes, unemployment, ad valorem proper:f tax, erc. $\qquad$
10. What are the market for your production?

| Business of Purchaser |
| :--- |

NOTE: Do Bertleson's questionnaire for exported logs only.

OKLAHOMA TIMBER BUYER QUESTIONNAIRE
(Out-of-State)
CONFIDENTIAL

1. Did your company purchase either standing timber or roundwood in Oklahoma during 1978? (circle one)
2. Yes
3. No
(If no) Since our purpose is to gain information about the amount of timber haryested in Oklahoma in 1978, we
do not need your answers to the remainder of the questions.
Please return the questionnaire to us so we will know your company purchased not wood in our state that year. Thank you.
4. Was a portion of the Oklahoma wood your company purchased in the form of standing timber?
5. Yes
-2. No
$\longrightarrow$ Please skip to $Q-8$.
Q-3. What volume of standing timber did your company purchase and cut in Oklahoma in 1978?
(Please specify units: (a) MBF Doyle, (b) cords, (c) tons,
(d) other $\qquad$
Q-4. If weight was used, please specify:
$\qquad$ pounds per MBF (Log scale $\qquad$ _)
$\qquad$ pounds per cord
Q-5. Please indicate primary use of timber (circle one).
6. Sawlogs
7. Veneer logsibolts
8. Posts
9. Poles
10. Piling
11. Tight cooperage bolts
12. Slack cooperage bolts
13. Charcoal wood
14. Furniture stock
15. Handle stock
16. Pulpwood

## -2-

Q-6. What was the average stumpage price paid for this Oklahoma timber in 1978?
Q-3 please specify $\qquad$
Q-7. What was the proportion of timber purchased from each ownership class:
\% Federal
$\ldots$
$\ldots$ Forest Industry

Q-8. Was a portion of the Oklahoma wood your company purchased in the form of roundwood?

1. Yes
-2. No
$\rightarrow$ Please skip to Q 14
Q-9. What volume of roundwood (do not include standing timber) did your company purchase in Oklahoma in 1978?
(Please specify units: (a) thousand bd. ft. Doyle, (b) cords, (c) tons, (d) Other $\qquad$ -

Q-10. If weight was used, please specify:
$\qquad$ pounds per MBF (Log scale $\qquad$ )
$\qquad$
Q-17. Please indicate the primary type of roundwood purchased (circle one).

1. Sawlogs
2. Vendeer logs or bolts
3. Posts
4. Poles
5. Piling
6. Tight cooperage bolts
7. Slack cooperage bolts
8. Charcoal wood
9. Furniture stock
10. Handle stock

Q-12. What was the average price paid for this Oklahoma roundwood in 1978?
$\qquad$

Q-13. What was the proportion of roundwood purchased from each seller class:
$\qquad$ \% Forest Industry
\% Independent loggers
\% Independent wood buyers
Q-14. Excluding the cost of wood itself, what was your total expense for procuring Oklahoma wood in 1978? $\qquad$
Q-15. Please estimate what percent of your total expenses were spend in each of the following categories

- a. Wages for your employees $\qquad$
b. Contract labor
c. Transportation (freight) $\qquad$
d. Fuel \& oil
e. New equipment (saws etc.) $\qquad$
f. New machinery (skidder, loader etc.)
g. New vehicles $\qquad$
h. Repair and maintenance $\qquad$
I. Other $\qquad$
Q-16. Please braak-down the total volume of Oklahoma wood racaived in 1978 (both standing timber purchased and cut and roundwood) into the following species categories (if unknown, please estimate as a percent of total Oklahoma wood purchased).
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Q-17. On the table below please estimate the percent of volume in each species group coming from each Oklahoma county in which wood was purchased:

| Species Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County Name | Yellow Pine | Other Softwoods | Oaks | Gumm | Other Hardwoods |
| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \\
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[^0]:    "TE: Need Bertleson's questionnaire done for timber, he used himself and timber exported.

