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## INTRODUCTION

In the prehistory era, most advances in society were developed by women: agriculture, building, weaving, basketry, pottery, woodworking, trading and doemesticating animals. Throughout the books of the 01d Testament, women are often seen as traders, farmers, and builders. These types of occupations today are held mainly by men. Up until the turn of the last century, women were told and taught that their place was in the home. Even now, most positions held by women are considered to be "women's work." Since the 1920's, women have been slowly moving into non-traditional careers (Valiant, 1980).

However, if the population of women according to race is broken down, the slower movement of minorities into non-traditional training can be seen. Jusenius (1975) discovered that white movement away from traditionally female occupations was very limited. More white women in the National Longitudinal Survey (NLS) sample than black women tended to move out of traditionally female occupations over the 1967-1971 period. On the other hand, black women tended to move into typically female intensive occupations. The proportion of black women in atypical jobs decreased by three percsent and the proportion of white women in atypical jobs increased by four percent (Heman, 1980).

For women with a high school diploma and training, the skilled trades offer expanded job opportunities. In 1978 the Office of Education
predicted an increase in the number of women enrolled in agriculture, technical, and trade and industry education at the secondary level (Herman, 1978).

It is evident that women are moving into non-traditional training. Female statistical enrollment, as a whole, has been compiled, but there have been no statistics compiled to examine the racial enrollment of women in non-traditional vocational and technical careers in the state of Oklahoma.

Need of the Study

As a career specialist with the State Department of Vocational Technical Education, the investigator has noted that many young girls show an interest in non-traditional careers but hesitate, because of the lack of other females or males of the same race enrolled in these types of classes. Research has revealed that more minority women are heads of households than white women. Instead of supplementing the families' income, their income is often used as the sole or main source of income. Most male-stereotyped occupations pay higher earnings than femalestereotyped vocations. Thus, in order for these minority women to adequately support their families, non-traditional vocational and technical training should be considered as an alternative.

Problem of the Study

The major problem of the study was related to the insufficient statistics compiled regarding the racial trend of females in non-traditional vocational and technical training, when 1971 through 1981 yearly enrollments are considered.

## Purpose of Study

The purpose of this research was to identify the number of females according to race enrolled in non-traditional vocational and technical training for the past ten years to determine trends.

## Questions of the Study

The specific question which this study sought to answer was: Are there identifiable patterns of female enrollment in non-traditional training programs?

1. Is there an increase in American Indian female enrollment in non-traditional vocational and technical training, when 1971 through 1981 yearly enrollments are compared?
2. Is there an increase in Afro-American female enrollment in non-traditional vocational and technical training, when 1971 through 1981 yearly enrollments are compared?
3. Is there an increase in Hispanic female enrollment in non-traditional vocational and technical training, when 1971 through 1981 yearly enrollments are compared?
4. Is there an increase in Anglo-Saxon female enrollment in non-traditional vocational and technical training, when 1971 through 1981 yearly enrollments are compared?
5. Is there an increase in Asian female enrollment in non-traditional vocational and technical training, when 1971 through 1981 yearly enrollments are compared?

## Scope

The emphasis of the study was placed primarily on Black, Hispanic, Indian and Asian female enrollments in vocational and technical training in Oklahoma with comparisons to female white and male enrollments.

## Limitations of the Study

The study was conducted under the following limitations:

1. The computer was programmed to printout enrollment percentages by occupational objective instead of occupational courses. For example, the student was not necessarily in horticulture courses. They could have been in Industrial Cooperative Education (ICE) or production agriculture, but their objectives were toward horticulture.
2. The study did not include any portion of the population enrolled in the traditional female vocational and technical training programs in Oklahoma.
3. Limited numbers of Hispanic and Asians were in vocational training programs in Oklahoma.

## Definition of Terms

The following terms and definitions were used throughout this study:

Adult Programs: Programs or training received by persons no longer enrolled in secondary schools.

AVTS Programs: Area vocational-technical school (AVTS) programs are programs offered in specially designed facilities; shared by comprehensive public schools; and which provide only vocational-technical
programs at secondary and adult levels to populations of designated areas.

Comprehensive High School: A school that offers all forms of secondary education (vocational and technical, general education, and others).

Minority Female: Refers to Black, Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian.

Non-traditional Vocational-Technical Training: Training received by the minority sex which has historically been dominated by one sex or another. For an example, auto mechanics may, traditionally, have been dominated by males and cosmetology by females. Therefore, auto mechanics was a non-traditional career for females and cosmetology was a non-traditional career for males.

Occupational Objectives: Goals of employment reflected by training selected by a student.

Occupational Trends: Refers to selected aspects of career development that show a definite increase in the number of women enrolled in non-traditional vocational training.

Sex Stereotyping: Generalization in which roles are prescribed for females and males; act as models in patterning behavior for children.

Subjects: Refers to 1971-1981 graduates of Oklahoma's vocational and technical schools.

Organization of the Study

Chapter I introduces the study and presents the problem, need, purpose, questions, scope, limitations, and definition of terms. Chapter II reviews related literature pertaining to the research problem. It
includes sources of information on background of the search, history of female minority struggle and accomplishments, current status of the female minority, and female minority future trends. Chapter III describes the methodology used for the research in this study by explaining how the population was defined; reviewing the instruments used to collect the data; an explaination how the data was analyzed, and the results were reported. Chapter IV explains the findings of the study. Chapter V concludes the study with a summary, conclusions and recommendations.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF SELECTED LITERATURE

## Introduction

A review of literature will be presented related to published works concerning white and minority female trends in non-traditional education and/or career education. In order to organize this chapter so as to identify the most salient research questions, it was decided to divide the chapter into the following sections (1) trends of women by: (a) status, (b) education, (c) employment and (d) earnings, (2) the minority female . . . double bind, (3) non-traditional vocational and technical education career choices of minority women, and (4) current legislation effecting non-traditional minority women's training.

## Status

Women are working outside the home. In fact, six out of ten women between the ages of 19 and 64 are currently employed outside the home. Furthermore, nine out of every ten females will work outside the home some time in their lives. Thus the average women can expect to spend nearly 30 years of her life in the work force, compared to almost 40 years for men. In 1980, over half of all married women were working outside the home or looking for work (Title IX: The Half Full, Half Empty Glass, 1981).

The main reason women work is because of economic need. Almost two-thirds of all women in the labor force in 1979 were single, widowed, divorced, or separated, or had husbands whose earnings were less than $\$ 10,000$. Of all women workers, about 17 percent maintained a family. Of all black women workers, about 25 percent maintained a family. Even in two-parent families where the wife is a wage earner, she usually contributes 25-40 percent of the family income. In 1979 in husband-wife families, 15 percent were poor when the wife did not work, while only four percent were poor when she worked (Title IX, 1981).

## Education

Definately women need education to get better jobs. In 1978 three-fifths of the 10.8 milli ion women at or below the poverty level were school dropouts. Women have higher unemployment rates than men at all educational levels. Still women with four or more years of college have a lower unemployment rate than women with less education. Women with less than four years of high school have the highest unemployment rate of all adult women in the labor force (Title IX, 1981).

## Employment

Only as women move into a broader range of jobs than they have traditionally held, opportunities for advancement and better income will come. Of the 441 jobs classified by the census in 1980 , only 60 had significant numbers of women. There has been a projection made by the U.S. Department of Labor, that between 1975 and 199012 million women will be added to the the American labor force. This will bring the total number of working women to over 48.5 million.

## Earnings

Most of the female population are found in low-paying jobs. The average female earns about three-fifths of what a man does (Title IX, 1981). Of all full-time, year round workers, white men earn the most. White men are followed by spanish origin males, black males, white females, black females, and spanish origin females. In 1979, women were 80 percent of all clerical workers, but only six percent of skilled craft workers. They were 63 percent of retail sales workers, but only 25 percent of nonfarm managers and administrators (Alden and Seiferth, 1978).

## The Minority Female . . . Double Bind

Among all poor families in 1979, half were maintained by women. Three out of four poor black families were maintained by women. This shows an increase in percentages, since in 1969 only about one-third of all poor families were maintained by women.

Thus it is important to recognize that the minority woman faces a double bind in the job market. She is both a member of a racial-ethnic minority group and a female. In the past, minority women have had much higher rates of participation in the paid work force than white women. However, the 1975 labor force participation rate of minority women was only slightly higher than that of non-minority (Georgia Department of Education, 1979).

Since 1970, little evidence exists of any advance in the relative earnings of black females. When the jobs in the top five percent of the earnings distribution are viewed, one can readily see that the black
females held none of them in 1960 and essentially none in 1973. Black women earn less than white women (a median income of $\$ 2,810$ ) are employed in greater numbers (about 60 percent between the ages of 20 and 54), and hold a greater percentage of low-paying, low-status jobs (54 percent are empl oyed as operatives or service workers). Women headed 35 percent of the black families in 1975 and earned a median income of only $\$ 4,465$. Still a large number of black women in the labor force reflect their continuing obligation to supply a substantial proportion of family income. Also, evidence that no matter how small the education attainments are, edication raises participation rates more for blacks than for white women (Dodge, 1981).

Mexican-American constitutes the second largest minority in the United States today, and more than 90 percent of Mexican-American them are city dwellers. A young Chicano, Vilma Martinez (cited in Dodge, 1981, p. 38) has speculated that "in 15 or 20 years the Hispanic population will surpass the black population."

Vocational training opportunities must be made more accessible and relevant to Chicano's lives. Chicano's complete an average of only nine years of school. One-fourth of them have completed less than five years of school, 23 percent have completed high school, and only 2.2 percent of those 25 years of age and older are college graduates. The annual income of Chicanos in 1974 was less than $\$ 5,000$. Fourteen percent of Chicano families are supported by Chicanos and one-half of these are below poverty level (Dodge, 1981).

Only 6.2 percent of Indian females and 5.8 percent of Indian males in the southwest have completed eight years of school, while the total population, according to the 1970 census, indicates that American Indians
complete a median of 10.5 years of school with a third graduating from high school (Dodge, 1981). Employment and job opportunities for Indian women are, naturally, affected by the level and quality of their educational background. More Indian women than any other group (86 percent) earn less than $\$ 5,000$ per year. In 197035 percent of Indian women participated in the labor force. As a group they earned a median annual income of $\$ 1,697$. Seventy percent were in the position of clerks, or domestic service workers. In almost half of the Indian households in 1969, both husband and wife worked earning median incomes of $\$ 3,300$. The Indian population is the smallest and poorest of all American's ethnic groups (Dodge, 1982).

Asians constitutes less than one prcent of the population. A large percentage of Asian-American wormen ( 50 percent) work outside the home than do black women ( 48 percent) or white women ( 41 percent). Census data for 1970 indicate that 23 percent Filipino and 58 percent of Chinese-American women between 18 and 24 years of age are in college. Of all Japanese-Americans in high school, about three-fourths finish. Thus, Asian-Americans have reached high levels of educational and occupational achievement (Dodge, 1981).

Other findings show that minority women with children are more likely to work than non-minority women with children. Minority women tend to be concentrated in the lower paying occupations in our society. Therefore, as expected, they have the lowest average incomes of all workers. This is an added burden to minority women, since they are more likely than white women to be in the paid work force as a result of economic need (Dodge, 1981).

# Non-traditional Vocational and Technical Education Career Choices of Minority Women 

Even though, in recent years, minority women enrollments in colleges and universities have increased, there has been no significant increase of these persons in the areas of science and technology (Vetter, 1975). The rate of occupational segregation by sex is as great today as it was at the turn of the century, according to recent results of a three-year study of institutional sexism and racism released by the non-profit Council on Interracial Books for Children sponsored by the Carniege Corporation (Alden and Seiferth, 1979), a non-profit educational foundation. It can be noted in reviewing the world of work, that there is an increase in demand for technically skilled employees as well as increasing salaries in these fields. As evidence by both enrollment in training programs and employment statistics, minorities and women have not been entering these fields.

At the present time one of the moral and legal challenges vocational educators face, is the necessity to provide sex equity in their educational programs. Attitudes exist that inhibit the progress of female and minority students in making career choices. Students learn, from sex-role stereotyping and socialization, that many economic, social and psychological roles are differentiated by gender alone. Thus stereotyping often blocks human understanding, communication, and potential. Since sex-role stereotyping is so rooted in our culture, it affects career, educational and occupational choices of women (Alden and Seiferth, 1979).

The three basic motivating factors that influence student's vocational training were interest, ability and earnings. Interest was the single most powerful motivating force. Three quarters of the non-traditional women indicated that it was very important to their selection and training. The second strongest factor was ablity. Half of all non-traditional are motivated by ability. However, non-traditional women consider ability in their area less important to their selection of training than traditional women. Perhaps this can be interpreted to mean that they question their own ability, and therefore, decide that ability is not important. At one time earnings had been considered by educational personnel to be a critical factor in motivating women to enter non-traditional occupations. Student's responses, however, showed that earnings was not as important a factor for non-traditional women. Still minority women than white women considered earnings a more important factor in their choice of occupational training programs (Kane and Frazee, 1978).

The three top influentials of non-traditional students were counselors, teachers, and parents. Both counselors and teachers had more influence on traditional women than non-traditional women. Men educational personnel were more influential on non-traditional women. Since more men teach non-traditional vocational courses, and more women teach traditional courses, this accounted for non-traditional women selecting men teachers. Parents are the most influential group on non-traditional students, but, unless they had specific relevant information, the parents' role was likely to be that of supporting and encouraging students, rather than assisting them in their career decision-making (Kane and Frazee, 1978).

It can be concluded that counselors, teachers, and parents need to form a network that would encourage non-traditional students to pursue their interest in vocational training. This would first start by educating counselors, teachers, and parents in occupational guidance techniques that are not based on sex-bias or sex-stereotyping.

## Current Legislation Effecting Non-Traditional

Minority Women's Training

Civil rights laws which address vocational education were enacted to ensure that equal opportunities are provided to all students. As one reads each of these laws, one element is clear. Each individual is endowed with the right to pursue a desired goal and vocational eduation's obligation is to ensure that opportunity is always theirs.

The Equal Pay Act of 1963 is an amendment to the Fair Labor Standards Act which prohibits discrimination in salaries and fringe benefits on the basis of sex. It applies to most workers in both the public and private sectors including schools and colleges. It was amended by Title IX in 1972 to include executive, professional, and administrative employees (Title IX: The Half Full, Half Empty Glass, 1981).

Title IV Act of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 , as a result of Title IX, now authorizes funding to support state education agencies, local school boards, regional assistance centers, and training institutes that provide free assistance to elementary and secondary schools on problems related to sex desegregation (Title IX: The Half Full, Half Empty Glass, 1981).

Title VII prohibits discrimination against employees on the bais of sex, as well as on race, color, religion, and national origin. Educational institutions have been covered since 1972 with the passage of the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972.

Educative Orders 11246 (1965) as amended by Executive Order 11375 (1967), applies to institutions have been covered since 1972 with the . passage of the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972 (Title IX: The Half Full, Half Empty Glass, 1981).

Executive Orders 11246 (1965) as amended by Executive Order 11375 (1967), applies to institutions and firms with federal contracts of $\$ 10,000$ or more. It prohibits discrimination against students in administration and against some employees on the basis of sex, race, color, religion, or national origin (Title IX: The Half Full, Half Empty G7ass, 1981).

Title VII and Title VIII of the Public Health Service Act as amended in 1971 prohibits all institutions receiving federal funds for training of health professionals from discriminating against students in admissions and against some employees on the basis of sex (Title IX: The Half Full, Half Empty Glass, 1981).

Title IX of Education Amendments of 1972 prohibits discrimination against students and employees on the basis of sex in virtually all programs and activities of education agencies and institutions receiving federal financial assistance. Title IX was the first amendment that prevented sex discrimination in schools and colleges.

Women's Educational Equity Act of 1974 and 1978 authorized funding at all levels of education for model educational programs of national, statewide, or general significance to overcome sex stereotyping and
achieve educational equity for girls and women. It also established the National Advisory Council on Women's Educational Programs to advise the secretary of education regarding this program.

Title II of Education Amendments of 1976 requires that states receiving vocational education funds develop and carry out activities and programs to eliminate sex bias, stereotyping and discrimintion in vocational education to assure equal access to such programs for both women and men. It requires states to hire full-time staff to plan and implement such programs and activities. It also permits use of federal funds for programs for displaced homemakers, single heads of households, homemakers and part-time workers seeking full-time jobs and persons seeking jobs in areas non-traditional for their sex (Title IX: The Half Full, Half Empty Glass, 1981).

Career Incentive Education Act Act of 1977 requires that assistance be provided to educational agencies and institutions in eliminating sex bias and stereotyping in career education programs and promoting equal opportunity in students' career choices.

Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1978 prohibits sex discrimination with respect to participation or employment in connection with any activity funded under this law, and requires specific services be planned for displaced homemakers, single parents, women, as well as for other groups which have particular difficulties in obtaining empl oyment.

Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978 prohibits discrimination in employment on the basis of pregnancy, childbirth, and related medical conditions.

## Conclusion

In the past ten years increasing research has been reported concerning the working woman. However, when one starts to find information concerning the minority working woman, the data is limited. Very little current research has been conducted on the local, state, or natioal level since the 1970 census. Since this group is a combination of the two most discriminate groups, race and sex, these women more limited career opportunities.

## CHAPTER III

ME THODOLOGY

The intended function of this chapter is to (1) review purposes of the study, (2) describe the research design of the study, (3) describe the method by which the population was determined, and (4) state the method by which the data were collected and analyzed.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this research was to identify the number of females according to race enrolled in non-traditional vocational and technical training for the past ten years to determine trends.

> Definition of Population

All of the vocational and technical program enrollments were included in this study from 1971 to 1981. These enrollments included secondary females and male students. Female students were further grouped according to race. Parents, teachers, and counselors often encouraged females to enter more traditional vocational training. Based on this observation the female enrollment trends in non-traditional vocational training for the past ten years was investigated. The following list is a breakdown in enrollment of data collected:

1. Enrollment of American Indian female students in non-traditional vocational and technical training.
2. Enrollment of Afro-American female students in non-traditional vocational and technical training.
3. Enrollment of Hispanic female students in non-traditional vocational and technical training.
4. Enrollment of white female students in non-traditional vocational and technical training.
5. Enrollment of Asian female students in non-traditional vocational and technical training.
6. Enrollment of the total male students in traditional vocational and technical training.

## Collection of the Data

After deciding what information was needed, the Division of Systems Design and Computer Services at Oklahoma State University (OSU), was contacted for retrievel of the above mentioned data for the years 1971-1981. This procedure was selected, since the State Department of Vocational and Technical Education's terminals link up wth the OSU's computers.

According to Oklahoma State Vocational and Technical Education Department's Policies and Procedures (1979), the Division of Systems Design and Computer Services provides contracted services of various kinds. Service includes data storage, and subsequent retrieval, system analysis, programming, and data control activities associated with computer system input/output.

The Oklahoma State Department of Vocational and Technical Education has developed a program enrollment form (see Appendix A for a sample
form) under the Vocational Education Data System. Such reports have been developed in certain states to prove enrollment compliance to federal 1 aws.

## The Data-Gathering Instrument

As mentioned earlier, a breakdown in the enrollment to deterine whether or not a change in the participation by sex according to race of enrollees in programs of vocation al education in Oklahoma has occurred since the educational equity operation was initiated by Oklahoma State Department of Vocational and Technical Education. The breakdown of enrollment was by two major areas: sex and race.

With the permission of Dr. Chuck Hopkins, Assistant State Director of the State Department of Vocational and Technical Education and the consent of Dr. William Frazier, research coordinator, the data was collected from the program enrollment forms of 1971-1981 stored in OSU's computer memory banks.

## Analysis of the Data

The data, that were gathered, are compiled in a yearly sequence program by program over a ten year span. This allowed the researcher to compare percentiles of female enrollment by race to male enrollment in each of these programs. Trends in non-traditional enrollment were analyzed to identify increases, decreases, or stabilizations. All the program totals of enrollment percentages were listed for both sexes. At 25 percent a natural breaking point was discovered , this was the arbitrary percentage used to classify non-traditional and traditional programs.

## CHAPTER IV

PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS

This chapter is organized according to data spanning ten years of figures of minority female enrollments as compared to male enrollment. The following sections are discussed:

1. The identification of non-traditional programs;
2. Overall female minority enrollment;
3. Programs with some relevant change for minority females;
4. Programs with no change in enrollment by minority female;
5. Programs with missing data; and
6. Comments on possible effects of recording systems.

Identification of Non-Traditional Programs

All programs of vocational and technical education were analyzed and identified according to non-traditional and traditional. If a program had at least 25 percent females enrolled, it was considered traditional. If a program had less than 25 percent, it was considered non-traditional. Again, as stated earlier, all programs' total enrollments by sex were listed. Twenty-five percent female enrollment was identified as the cut off between non-tradition and traditional programs since there seemed to be a natural breaking point there. The following is a list of all programs that were analyzed in the data research:

List of Occupational Objective Codes
A. Vocational Agriculture

1. 01.0100 Agricultural Production
2. 01.0200 Agricultural Supplies/Services
3. 01.0300 Agricultural Mechanics
4. 01.0400 Agriculture Products
5. 01.0500 Ornamental Horticulture
6. 01.0600 Agriculture Resources
7. 01.0700 Forestry
8. 01.9900 Agriculture, Other
B. Trade and Industrial Education
9. 17.0100 Air Conditioning
10. 17.0201 Appliance Repair
11. 17.0301 Auto Body
12. 17.0302 Auto Mechanics
13. 17.0399 Auto Parts, Service Station
14. 17.0401 Aircraft Mechanics
15. 17.0500 Blueprint Reading
16. 17.0600 Business Machine Repair
17. 17.0700 Commercial Art
18. 17.0900 Photography
19. 17.1001 Carpentry
20. 17.1002 Electricity
21. 17.1003 Heavy Equipment Operator
22. 17.1004 Masonry
23. 17.1007 Plumbing and Pipefitting
24. 17.1099 Other Constructional and Maintenance Trades
25. 17.1100 Custodial Services
26. 17.1200
27. 17.1300
28. 17.1400
29. 17.1401
30. 17.1402
31. 17.1502
32. 17.1503

Diesel Mechanics
Drafting
Electrician and Related
Industrial Electrician
Electric Lineman
Electronics
Radio and TV Repair
25. 17.1600 Laundry and Dry Cleaning
26. 17.1700 Foremanship, Supervision, and

Management Development
27. 17.1902

Printing
28. 17.2100 Instr. Maintenance and Repair
29. 17.2302 Machinist
30. 17.2305 Sheet Metal
31. 17.2306 Welder
32. 17.2400 Metallurgy Occupations
33. 17.2601 Barber
34. 17.2602 Cosmetology
35. 17.2700 Plastics Occupations
36. 17.2801 Fireman Training
37. 17.2802 Law Enforcement Training
38. 17.2899 Water \& Sewage Treatment

| 39. | 17.2900 | Other Quality Food Occupations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40. | 17.2901 | Baker |
| 41. | 17.2902 | Cook/Chef |
| 42. | 17.2903 | Butcher |
| 43. | 17.2904 | Waiter-Waitress |
| 44. | 17.3100 | Small Engine Repair |
| 45. | 17.3200 | Stationary Energy Sources Occupations |
| 46. | 17.3300 | Textile Production and Fabrication |
| 47. | 17.3302 | Tailoring |
| 48. | 17.3400 | Leather Working |
| 49. | 17.3500 | Upholstery |
| 50. | 17.3600 | Woodworking |
| 51. | 17.3601 | Cabinetmaking |
| 52. | 17.9900 | Other Trade \& Industry Occupations |
| 53. | 17.9901 | Truck Driver |
| 54. | 17.9902 | Farm Equipment Repair |
| 55. | 17.9903 | Hydraulics |
| 56. | 17.9904 | Indian Arts I Crafts |
| 57. | 17.9905 | Auto, Truck Tire Production |
| 58. | 17.9906 | Electromechanical |
| 59. | 17.9907 | Industrial Chem. |

Overall Female Minority Enrollment

Overall female minority enrollment has increased steadily over the past ten years as shown in Table I. It can be shown more graphically in the overall yearly enrollment chart (Appendix B). There seems to be a steady yearly increase of little less than one percent for the past ten years. However, the 1978-1979 and 1979-1982 enrollments show only a . 1 percent increase. In 1971 there were 3131 female students enrolled in vocational programs, which was 10.5 percent of the total enrollment of students. In 1981 there were 6491 female students enrolled in vocational programs which was 17.7 percent of the total student enrollment. This table shows that the number of females enrolled in vocational programs has doubled over the past ten years. In fact, almost all minority female enromment has doubled except the Asian population. Female Asian enrollment has decreased from 29 students to 11 from 1971 to 1981. In terms of percentages, this was a decrease from . 1 percent to zero

TABLE I
OVERALL YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES
BY RACE OF FEMALES

|  |  |  |  | Fem | Rac |  |  |  |  |  |  | tal | Total |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | ndian |  |  | His |  | Whit |  | Asi |  |  | ale | Male |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 152 | . 05 | 271 | . 9 | 30 | . 1 | 2649 | 8.9 | 29 | . 1 | 3131 | 10.5 | 26565 | 89.5 | 29696 |
| 72-73 | 154 | . 5 | 317 | . 9 | 27 | . 1 | 3253 | 9.6 | 10 | . 0 | 3761 | 11.1 | 29975 | 88.9 | 33736 |
| 73-74 | 203 | . 5 | 366 | 1.0 | 25 | . 1 | 3831 | 10.3 | 41 | . 1 | 4466 | 12 | 32700 | 88.0 | 37166 |
| 74-75 | 203 | . 6 | 327 | . 1 | 39 | . 1 | 3982 | 10.8 | 119 | . 3 | 4760 | 12.7 | 32093 | 87.3 | 36763 |
| 75-76 | 229 | . 6 | 417 | 1.1 | 33 | . 1 | 4385 | 11.9 | 55 | . 1 | 5119 | 13.9 | 31733 | 86.1 | 36852 |
| 76-77 | 268 | . 7 | 460 | 1.2 | 44 | . 1 | 4990 | 12.0 | 33 | . 1 | 5795 | 14.9 | 32980 | 85.1 | 38775 |
| 77-78 | 335 | . 9 | 451 | 1.2 | 44 | . 1 | 5359 | 13.8 | 27 | . 1 | 6216 | 16.0 | 32752 | 84.0 | 38968 |
| 78-79 | 502 | 1.2 | 513 | 1.2 | 59 | . 1 | 5949 | 14.2 | 61 | . 1 | 7084 | 16.9 | 34732 | 83.1 | 41816 |
| 79-80 | 390 | 1.1 | 442 | 1.2 | 53 | . 1 | 5305 | 14.5 | 12 | . 0 | 6202 | 17.0 | 30377 | 83.0 | 36579 |
| 80-81 | 398 | 1.1 | 450 | 1.2 | 58 | . 2 | 5574 | 15.2 | 11 | . 0 | 6491 | 17.7 | 30229 | 82.3 | 37620 |

percent. Indian female enrollment in 1971 was 152 and 398 in 1982. Black female enrollment was 271 in 1971 and 450 in 1982. Hispanic female enrollment increased to 48 in 1981 from 30 in 1971. White females had the greatest increase to 5574 students in 1982 from 2649 in 1971. Percentages did not reflect as large of an increase from 1971 to 1982. Indian female enrollment percentage increased from . 5 to 1.1 percent . Black female enrollment rose from . 9 percent to 1.2 percent. Hispanic female enrollment gained from . 1 percent to .2 percent. And white female enrollment increased from 8.9 to 15.2 percent. If only individual programs are reviewed, it appears that not much progress has been made in female non-traditional enrollment. However after scanning the overall tables, it appears that a steady increase in female enrollment has occurred over the past 10 years has been in progress.

After information on all non-traditional programs was compiled results were grouped into three areas. One area contained all the programs that showed some relevant change in enrollment by minority females. The second area consisted of all the programs that had no change in enrollment by minority sex. The last area grouped all of the programs that did not have enough data to analyze an increase, decrease, or stabilization of enrollment trends.

> Programs with Some Relevant Change
> In Minority Females

Non-traditional programs that had significant changes in enrollment by sex is the first group that will be di scussed. Since Agriculture programs were few in number, their change in enrollment
will be considered first. Trade and Industry had the largest number of varied occupational programs of all the divisions of vocational and technical education. Thus each program will be studied as an individual course unrelated to the other programs found in its division.

Agriculture production, as seen in Table II, had a change in enrollment of females from 1971 to 1981. Every year had an increase in the number and percentage of female enrollment. Females increased in numbers from 223 to 2075 and in percentages from 1.8 percent to 14.7 percent. Every minority group also increased. Although the actual number in the Asian female group fluctuated from 0 to 11 to 1 throughout the ten year period, the percent of the total population was never above zero.

Agriculture mechanic, as seen in Table III, had only a very slight increase in female enrollment. It increased in percentage only from . 7 percent to 1.8 percent in ten ten years. There was an increase of 50 females, who enrolled over the past ten years. Yet, there was no major increase of female Blacks, Hispanics, or Asians. However, female Indians numbers did increase from 1 to 15 . This does not represent a large increase in terms of percentages, since in 1971 there were zero percent Indian females as compared to 1981 when there were . 36 percent.

Ornamental Horticulture, as seen in Table IV, is one agriculture program that has increased in female enrollment to the point it can now be classified as traditional. In 1971 there were only 18 females enrolled. In 1981 there were 279 females enrolled, which represented 47 more females than males enrolled for that year. Females increased

TABLE II
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES FOR AGRICULTURE PRODUCTION BY RACE OF FEMALES

|  |  |  |  | Fema | Rac |  |  |  |  |  |  | tal | Total |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | dian |  |  |  | nic | Whi |  |  |  |  | male | Male |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 208 | 1.69 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 1.80 | 12112 | 98.0 | 12335 |
| 72-73 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 326 | 2.40 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 2.60 | 13117 | 95.0 | 13468 |
| 73-74 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 29.60 | 0 | 0 | 622 | 41.60 | 14326 | 95.8 | 14948 |
| 74-75 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 804 | 5.39 | 11 | 0 | 845 | 5.66 | 14069 | 94.0 | 14914 |
| 75-76 | 37 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1031 | 6.90 | 8 | 0 | 1083 | 7.20 | 13834 | 92.7 | 14917 |
| 76-77 | 56 | . 36 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1410 | 9.00 | 5 | 0 | 1482 | 9.50 | 14072 | 90.0 | 15554 |
| 77-78 | 64 | . 4 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1611 | 10.00 | 8 | 0 | 1697 | 10.88 | 13893 | 89.0 | 15590 |
| 78-79 | 104 | . 56 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1753 | 9.50 | 4 | 0 | 1882 | 10.20 | 16569 | 89.8 | 18451 |
| 79-80 | 105 | . 77 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1693 | 12.50 | 0 | 0 | 1762 | 13.00 | 11710 | 86.5 | 13526 |
| 80-81 | 130 | . 92 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1921 | 13.60 | 1 | 0 | 2075 | 14.70 | 11970 | 85.0 | 14045 |

TABLE III
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES FOR AGRICULTURAL MECHANICS BY RACE OF FEMALES

|  |  |  |  | emal | ace |  |  |  |  |  |  | tal | Tota |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | Indian |  |  |  | nic | Whi |  |  |  |  | male | Male |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | . 60 | 0 | 0 | 25 | . 70 | 3539 | 99.3 | 3564 |
| 72-73 | 2 | . 1 | 2 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | . 60 | 0 | 0 | 25 | . 70 | 3371 | 99.3 | 3396 |
| 73-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | . 60 | 0 | 0 | 20 | . 56 | 3550 | 99.4 | 3570 |
| 74-75 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | . 70 | 0 | 0 | 25 | . 76 | 3251 | 99.2 | 3276 |
| 75-76 | 6 | . 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | . 90 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 1.10 | 3681 | 98.9 | 3723 |
| 76-77 | 13 | . 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 1.20 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 1.56 | 3888 | 98.4 | 3950 |
| 77-78 | 6 | . 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | . 80 | 0 | 0 | 38 | . 93 | 4029 | 99.1 | 4067 |
| 78-79 | 15 | . 35 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 1.37 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 1.80 | 4128 | 98.1 | 4204 |
| 79-80 | 10 | . 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 1.98 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 2.19 | 4633 | 97.8 | 4737 |
| 80-81 | 15 | . 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 1.45 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 1.80 | 4061 | 98.1 | 4136 |

TABLE IV
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES FOR ORNAMENTAL HORTICULTURE BY RACE OF FEMALES

| Female Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Female |  | Total <br> Male |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yearly } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Indian |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | White |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 17.0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 16.98 | 88 | 83.0 | 106 |
| 72-73 | 5 | 3.3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 29.3 | 1 | . 7 | 53 | 35.30 | 97 | 64.7 | 150 |
| 73-74 | 1 | . 5 | 3 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 28.9 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 30.90 | 134 | 69.1 | 194 |
| 74-75 | 6 | 2.3 | 3 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 23.7 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 27.00 | 194 | 27.9 | 266 |
| 75-76 | 6 | 1.9 | 1 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 28.3 | 1 | . 3 | 97 | 30.80 | 217 | 69.1 | 314 |
| 76-77 | 12 | 3.5 | 3 | . 9 | 1 | . 3 | 103 | 29.8 | 3 | . 9 | 122 | 35.20 | 224 | 74.7 | 346 |
| 77-78 | 26 | 6.9 | 2 | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 34.5 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 41.90 | 227 | 58.1 | 391 |
| 78-79 | 7 | 1.8 | 10 | 2.6 | 2 | . 5 | 163 | 43.8 | 3 | . 8 | 185 | 49.70 | 187 | 50.2 | 372 |
| 79-80 | 22 | 3.9 | 10 | 1.7 | 2 | . 3 | 246 | 44.1 | 2 | . 3 | 282 | 50.60 | 275 | 49.3 | 557 |
| 80-81 | 12 | 2.3 | 3 | . 5 | 2 | . 3 | 262 | 51.2 | 0 | 0 | 279 | 54.50 | 232 | 45.4 | 511 |

by percentages from 16.98 to 54.5 . However, there were only slight increases in enrollment of Indians, Blacks, and Hispanics, while there was no increase of Asian females.

The first trade and industry program to be discussed is photography, in Table V. In 1971 females were the smallest group to be enrolled. There were only 15 which grew to 22 in 1981. Therefore, in 197139.5 percent of students enrolled were female and in 1981, 61.1 percent were female. Thus the minority non-traditional sex became the majority traditional sex. Even though the increase was large, it was primarily found in the white female. Indian, Hispanics, and Asians had zero percent enrollment in 1981, while blacks increased only two percent.

Custodial Services, in Table VI, had an increase in the number of females enrolled. However, the female enrollment by percentage declined from 7.7 percent to 6.3 percent. Indian and Black females percentage enrollments slightly increased, whereas Hispanic and Asian enrollments remained zero percent.

Drafting, Table VII , number and percentage increases for female enrollment. In 197160 women enrolled, whereas in 1981137 women enrolled. Therefore, the female enrollment increased from 9.5 percent to 19.6 percent. Indian, Blacks and Hispanic female enrollment increased slightly while Asian female enrollment remained at zero percent.

Electronics, in Table VIII, had an increase in female enrollment in both numbers and percentages. In ten years, female enrollment increased from six women, or 1.3 percent, to 36 women, or 5.5 percent.

TABLE V
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES FOR PHOTOGRAPHY BY RACE OF FEMALES

|  |  |  |  | Femal | Rac |  |  |  |  |  |  | otal | Total |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | Indian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | emale | Male |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 38.5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 39.5 | 24 | 61.5 | 39 |
| 72-73 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.1 | 2 | 2.2 | 50 | 54.3 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 58.7 | 38 | 41.3 | 92 |
| 73-74 | 1 | 8.3 | 2 | 16.7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 58.3 | 2 | 16.7 | 12 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 |
| 74-75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 41.7 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 41.7 | 21 | 58.3 | 36 |
| 75-76 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6.7 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 57.8 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 64.6 | 16 | 35.6 | 45 |
| 76-77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 2 |
| 77-78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 60.9 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 60.9 | 18 | 39.1 | 46 |
| 78-79 | 2 | 1.8 | 3 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 52.3 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 56.9 | 47 | 43.1 | 109 |
| 79-80 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 50.0 | 10 | 50.0 | 20 |
| 80-81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 58.3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 61.1 | 14 | 38.9 | 36 |

TABLE VI
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES FOR CUSTODIAL SERVICES BY RACE OF FEMALES

| Years | Female Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yearly } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indian |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | White |  | Asian |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7.7 | 13 | 91.2 | 26 |
| 72-73 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.6 | 23 | 93.3 | 36 |
| 73-74 | 1 | 2.6 | 2 | 5.3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13.2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 21.1 | 30 | 78.9 | 38 |
| 74-75 | 1 | . 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2.4 | 160 | 97.6 | 164 |
| 75-76 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5.9 | 1 | . 5 | 15 | 6.9 | 204 | 93.2 | 219 |
| 76-77 | 1 | . 4 | 2 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4.3 | 244 | 95.7 | 255 |
| 77-78 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3.2 | 275 | 96.8 | 284 |
| 78-79 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.3 | 297 | 98.7 | 301 |
| 79-80 | 8 | 3.1 | 1 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5.4 | 247 | 94.6 | 261 |
| 80-81 | 5 | 2.0 | 2 | . 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 6.3 | 240 | 93.8 | 256 |

TABLE VII
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES FOR DRAFTING BY RACE OF FEMALES

| Female Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Total |  | Yearly <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Indian |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | White |  | Asian |  | Female |  | Male |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 9.3 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 9.5 | 576 | 90.6 | 636 |
| 72-73 | 6 | . 8 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 10.5 | 2 | . 3 | 89 | 11.7 | 673 | 88.3 | 762 |
| 73-74 | 7 | . 8 | 4 | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 12.5 | 1 | . 1 | 119 | 13.9 | 739 | 86.1 | 858 |
| 74-75 | 3 | . 4 | 3 | . 4 | 2 | . 2 | 130 | 15.4 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 16.4 | 707 | 83.7 | 845 |
| 75-76 | 8 | 1 | 3 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 15.2 | 1 | . 1 | 129 | 16.7 | 643 | 83.3 | 772 |
| 76-77 | 3 | . 4 | 2 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 14.1 | 1 | . 1 | 105 | 14.9 | 597 | 85.0 | 702 |
| 77-78 | 5 | . 7 | 6 | . 9 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 12.2 | 2 | . 3 | 97 | 14.1 | 589 | 85.9 | 686 |
| 78-79 | 4 | . 6 | 2 | . 31 | 1 | . 1 | 133 | 18.9 | 2 | . 3 | 14 | 20.2 | 560 | 79.8 | 702 |
| 79-80 | 5 | . 8 | 4 | . 7 | 1 | . 2 | 105 | 17.4 | 1 | . 2 | 116 | 19.3 | 489 | 80.8 | 605 |
| 80-81 | 5 | . 7 | 6 | . 9 | 1 | . 1 | 125 | 17.9 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 19.6 | 562 | 80.4 | 699 |

TABLE VIII
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES FOR ELECTRONICS BY RACE OF FEMALES

| Female Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Total |  | Yearly <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Indian |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | White |  | Asian |  | Female |  | Male |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | . 9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.3 | 446 | 98.7 | 341 |
| 72-73 | 2 | . 3 | 2 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2.3 | 690 | 97.7 | 706 |
| 73-74 | 0 | 0 | 4 | . 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1.8 | 501 | 98.2 | 510 |
| 74-75 | 1 | . 2 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2.8 | 607 | 97.3 | 624 |
| 75-76 | 4 | . 5 | 5 | . 6 | 1 | . 1 | 23 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 4.1 | 765 | 95.9 | 798 |
| 76-77 | 2 | . 3 | 3 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3.5 | 1 | . 1 | 34 | 4.3 | 763 | 95.7 | 797 |
| 77-78 | 4 | . 5 | 4 | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 4.4 | 811 | 95.6 | 848 |
| 78-79 | 5 | . 7 | 6 | . 9 | 1 | . 1 | 24 | 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 5.3 | 639 | 94.7 | 675 |
| 79-80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 6 | 2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.8 | 169 | 98.3 | 172 |
| 80-81 | 2 | . 3 | 5 | . 8 | 2 | . 3 | 27 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 5.5 | 619 | 94.5 | 655 |

Indian, Black and Hispanic females had a small increase in enrollment, no Asian females were enrolled in 1981.

Printing, in Table IX, is another program which changed from minority female program to majority female program. In 1971, 122 females enrolled in printing. This expanded yearly until 430 females were enrolled in printing in 1981. This increased the percentage from 26.7 percent to 61.6 percent. All races except Asians showed a small increase in enrollment. Asian females declined from 1.5 percent to zero percent.

Machinist, in Table $X$, showed an increase in the numbers of females enrolled from four to 20. This was an increase of percentage from .8 percent to 2.7 percent. Indian, Black, and Hispanic percentages increased from zero to . 1 percent. Asian female percentage of enrollment remained at zero.

Upholstery, in Table XI, is the last program that had an increase in female enrollment. Female enrollment increased from 33 individual people to 67 individual people, which meant that the percentage increased from 27.2 percent to 36.4 percent. Hispanic and Asian female enrollments were zero, with Indians having only three females enrolled and Blacks having 18.

> Programs With No Change In Enrollment by Minority Female

There were 14 programs, all of which were found in the Trade and Industrial division, in which no changes in enrollment trends existed over the past ten years. These programs have slight flexibilities in enrollment, but for the most part stay the same. In the following

TABLE IX
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES FOR PRINTING BY RACE OF FEMALES

| Female Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Total |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Indian |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | White |  | Asian |  | Female |  | Male |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 16 | 3.5 | 8 | 1.8 | 1 | . 2 | 90 | 19.7 | 7 | 1.5 | 122 | 26.7 | 335 | 73.3 | 457 |
| 72-73 | 23 | 4.0 | 20 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 18.6 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 26.1 | 420 | 73.8 | 569 |
| 73-74 | 27 | 4.4 | 23 | 3.8 | 2 | . 3 | 130 | 21.3 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 29.8 | 427 | 70.1 | 609 |
| 74-75 | 21 | 3.7 | 24 | 4.2 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 25.7 | 10 | 1.8 | 201 | 35.4 | 368 | 64.7 | 569 |
| 75-76 | 25 | 4.1 | 28 | 4.8 | 2 | . 3 | 188 | 32.4 | 1 | 12.0 | 243 | 41.8 | 338 | 58.2 | 581 |
| 76-77 | 22 | 3.4 | 22 | 3.4 | 2 | . 3 | 261 | 40.0 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 47.0 | 346 | 53.0 | 653 |
| 77-78 | 56 | 7.3 | 13 | 1.9 | 6 | 19 | 269 | 39.2 | 1 | . 1 | 339 | 49.4 | 347 | 50.6 | 686 |
| 78-79 | 37 | 5.3 | 23 | 3.3 | 8 | 1.2 | 346 | 49.8 | 3 | . 4 | 417 | 60.0 | 278 | 40.0 | 695 |
| 79-80 | 38 | 6.1 | 23 | 3.7 | 8 | 1.3 | 305 | 48.6 | 2 | . 3 | 376 | 60.0 | 252 | 40.1 | 628 |
| 80-81 | 42 | 6.3 | 20 | 3.0 | 6 | . 9 | 345 | 51.4 | 0 | 0 | 413 | 61.6 | 258 | 38.5 | 671 |

TABLE X
yEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY MACHINIST BY RACE OF FEMALES

| Female Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Female } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yearly } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Indian |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | White |  |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | . 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | . 8 | 519 | 99.2 | 523 |
| 72-73 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 4 | 582 | 99.7 | 584 |
| 73-74 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | . 5 | 579 | 99.5 | 582 |
| 74-75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | . 2 | 2 | . 4 | 3 | . 6 | 548 | 99.5 | 551 |
| 75-76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 | 3 |  | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | . 7 | 552 | 99.3 | 556 |
| 76-77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | . 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | . 7 | 606 | 99.3 | 610 |
| 77-78 | 2 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2.2 | 549 | 97.9 | 561 |
| 78-79 | 6 | . 7 | 3 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 33 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 5.1 | 790 | 95.0 | 832 |
| 79-80 | 1 | . 2 | 3 | . 5 | 1 | . 2 | 8 |  | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 9.1 | 642 | 98.0 | 655 |
| 80-81 | 1 | . 1 | 1 | . 1 | 1 | . 1 | 17 |  | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2.7 | 675 | 97.1 | 695 |

TABLE XI
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY UPHOLSTERY RACE OF FEMALES

| Female Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Male |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yearly } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Indian |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | White |  | Asian |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 1 | . 8 | 12 | 9.9 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 16.5 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 27.2 | 88 | 27.7 | 121 |
| 72-73 | 5 | 3.1 | 12 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 14.4 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 25.0 | 120 | 78.0 | 160 |
| 73-74 | 7 | 4.5 | 11 | 7.0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 42.7 | 90 | 57.3 | 157 |
| 74-75 | 5 | 2.8 | 11 | 6.1 | 1 | . 6 | 53 | 29.6 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 39.1 | 109 | 60.9 | 179 |
| 75-76 | 1 | . 8 | 9 | 7.5 | 1 | . 8 | 30 | 25.0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 34.1 | 79 | 65.8 | 120 |
| 76-77 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 8.6 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 20.0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 28.6 | 125 | 71.4 | 175 |
| 77-78 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 24.3 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 41.5 | 99 | 58.6 | 169 |
| 78-79 | 6 | 2.3 | 35 | 13.0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 35.5 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 50.8 | 129 | 49.2 | 262 |
| 79-80 | 5 | 3.2 | 15 | 9.5 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 32.9 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 45.6 | 86 | 54.4 | 158 |
| 80-81 | 3 | 1.6 | 18 | 9.5 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 24.3 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 36.4 | 122 | 64.6 | 189 |

analysis, any unusual changes over a couple of years will be mentioned; however, these changes usually stablized the following year.

Although Air-Conditioning (Table XII) did not increase or decrease, during the 1978-79 school year 207 white females enrolled. This was an increase of 206 over the year before. The following year the enrollment of these white females dropped to eight. That same year female Indians, Blacks, Hispanics, and Asians increased in enrollment by less than 1.5 percent; the following year they each declined in enrollment also.

Appliance repair (Table XIII) and heavy enquipment operator (Table XIV) are two programs that show zero female enrollment in both 1971 and in 1981. This was true for all ten years for heavy equipment operator. Appliance repair never exceeded six women enrolled in a program a year or 7 percent. There was an up and down enrollment pattern that never exceeded six students.

Auto parts-service station (Table XV), aircraft mechanic (Table XVI), diesel mechanics (Table XVII), masonry (Table XVIII), and small engine repair (Table XIX) are five programs that have several things in common. First, their total female enrollment does not exceed ten people for any of the ten years. Secondly, none of the minority race female enrollments for any of the ten years exceeds one student. With the exception of masonry, all show a very slight increase in white female enrollment.

Carpentry (Table XX) had an increase in enrollment from four to 23 females when 1971 was compared to 1981. This was an increase in percentage as 1971 was compared to 1981 from . 4 percent to 1.1 percent.

TABLE XII
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY AIR CONDITIONING BY RACE OF FEMALES

|  |  |  |  | Femal | ace |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | otal | Tot |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | Indian |  |  |  | nic |  | Whi |  |  |  |  | emale |  |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 4 | 509 | 99.6 | 511 |
| 72-73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | . 4 | 756 | 99.6 | 759 |
| 73-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 1 | 798 | 99.9 | 799 |
| 74-75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 1 | 780 | 99.9 | 781 |
| 75-76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 | 542 | 99.8 | 543 |
| 76-77 | 2 | . 4 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | . 6 | 528 | 99.4 | 531 |
| 77-78 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 | 506 | 99.8 | 507 |
| 78-79 | 12 | 1.2 | 16 | 1.6 | 1 | . 1 | 171 |  | 17.2 | 7 | . 7 | 207 | 20.8 | 786 | 79.2 | 993 |
| 79-80 | 1 | . 2 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1.6 | 504 | 98.4 | 512 |
| 80-81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | . 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | . 9 | 458 | 99.1 | 462 |

TABLE XIII
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY APPLIANCE REPAIR BY RACE OF FEMALES


TABLE XIV
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY EQUIPMENT OPERATOR
BY RACE OF FEMALES


TABLE XV
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY AUTO PARTS, SERVICE STATION BY RACE OF FEMALES


TABLE XVI
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY AIRCRAFT MECHANICS BY RACE OF FEMALES


## TABLE XVII

yEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY DIESEL MECHANICS BY RACE OF FEMALES

|  |  |  |  | ema | Rac |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | dian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ale |  |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 3 | 374 | 99.7 | 375 |
| 72-73 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | . 4 | 678 | 99.6 | 681 |
| 73-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 696 | 100.0 | 696 |
| 74-75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 651 | 100.0 | 651 |
| 75-76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 538 | 100.0 | 538 |
| 76-77 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | . 5 | 581 | 99.5 | 584 |
| 77-78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.0 | 602 | 99.0 | 608 |
| 78-79 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.3 | 297 | 98.7 | 301 |
| 79-80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 3 | 626 | 99.7 | 628 |
| 80-81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1.1 | 670 | 98.8 | 678 |

TOTAL XVIII
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY MASONRY
BY RACE OF FEMALES


TABLE XIX
yearly enrollment figures by small engine REPAIR BY RACE OF FEMALES

|  |  |  |  | Fem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | Indian |  |  |  | nic |  | ite |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 100.0 | 94 |
| 72-73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 5 | 183 | 99.5 | 184 |
| 73-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 100.0 | 193 |
| 74-75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 9 | 232 | 99.1 | 232 |
| 75-76 | 1 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | . 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.2 | 325 | 98.8 | 329 |
| 76-77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.2 | 247 | 98.8 | 250 |
| 77-78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.8 | 1 | . 5 | 5 | 2.3 | 212 | 97.7 | 217 |
| 78-79 | 1 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2.7 | 366 | 97.3 | 376 |
| 79-80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.2 | 354 | 98.8 | 257 |
| 80-81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.4 | 274 | 98.6 | 278 |

TABLE XX
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY CARPENTRY
BY RACE OF FEMALES


The Indian race was the only group to show an increase in numbers of enrollment and percentages.

Electricity (Table XXI), like carpentry, had a slight increase in female enrollment. In 1971, it had one female enrolled which consisted of only . 8 percent of the enrollment. In 1981, it had increased to six people at 2.3 percent of the enrollment. Female Indians increased enrollment from zero to three while Blacks and Hispanics increased by one. Asians never enrolled in electricity any of the ten years.

Auto body (Table XXII) had a small increase of females who enrolled. There were three to enroll in 1971 and 15 in 1981, which made the enrollment percentages for 1971 to be . 5 and for 19811.7 percent. Indians and Hispanics increased to two over the entire ten years. Asians and Blacks remained zero in enrollment.

Auto mechanics (Table XXIII) was different than all the other programs in that from the years 1973 through 1980 there was a marked increase of female students enrolled in auto mechanics. Then in 1981 enrollment figures dropped in numbers to the same enrollment figures of 1971. Indians and Blacks showed the same phenomenon. However, Hispanics and Asians did not increase in a similar manner to the female Indians and Blacks.

For the most part there was not much change in the sheet metal program (Table XXIV), although there was one year in which there was a dramatic change in enrollment. That was in the $1978-79$ school year. They increased from one to 30 and then dropped the following year to two. Although the female Indians and Blacks increased in enrollment, the hispanics and Asians remained with zero enrollments.

TABLE XXI
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY ELECTRICITY BY RACE OF FEMALES


TABLE XXII
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY AUTO BODY BY RACE OF FEMALES


TABLE XXIII
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY AUTO MECHANICS BY RACE OF FEMALES


TABLE XXIV
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY SHEET METAL
BY RACE OF FEMALES


The welder program (Table XXV) had increased from four to 32 in the female enrollments from 1971 to 1982. However, that is only an increase from . 5 percent in 1971 to 2.3 percent in 1981. The Indians, Blacks, and Hispanics picked up in female enrollment, but the Asians never enrolled one female in any of the ten years.

Programs With Missing Data

The last group will not be discussed in great detail because of insufficient and imcomplete data. In fact, all of the tables were incomplete so that conclusions about ten-year trends were not feasible.

There were five programs that had very few students enrolled. They were heating, metallurgy occupations, law enformcement training, truck driving and baker. Laundry and dry cleaning and cook/chef were two programs that did have a larger total enrollment. Even with a large enrollment the yearly data was not sufficient to draw any conclusions. The rest of the occupational programs with insufficient data are listed as follows: plumbing and pipefitting; electrician; fireman training; stationary energy sources occupations; hydraulics; butcher; truck driver; tailoring; radio and TV repair; business machine repair; barber; plastics occuptions; electromechanical programs; industrial chemistry; cabinetmaking; Indian arts and crafts; woodworking; textile production and fabrication; and auto, truck tire production.

Industrial chemistry, textile production and fabrication, cabinetmaking, and woodworking all showed a minute increase in female enrollment. The rest of the programs listed showed a decrease; low

TABLE XXV
YEARLY ENROLLMENT FIGURES BY WELDER BY RACE OF FEMALES

|  |  |  |  | Fem | Ra |  |  |  |  |  |  | otal | Tota |  | Yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | Indian |  |  |  | nic |  | ite | As |  |  | emale | Ma |  | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 71-72 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | . 5 | 687 | 99.4 | 691 |
| 72-73 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | . 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | . 7 | 840 | 99.3 | 846 |
| 73-74 | 3 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1.4 | 1034 | 98.6 | 1049 |
| 74-75 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 2 | 1189 | 99.8 | 1191 |
| 75-76 | 2 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | . 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | . 9 | 1187 | 99.2 | 1197 |
| 76-77 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1.2 | 1224 | 98.8 | 1239 |
| 77-78 | 2 | . 2 | 2 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | . 9 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1.3 | 1250 | 98.8 | 1265 |
| 78-79 | 3 | . 2 | 12 | . 7 | 1 | . 1 | 33 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 2.9 | 1659 | 97.1 | 1708 |
| 79-80 | 5 | . 4 | 13 | 1.1 | 1 | . 1 | 27 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 3.9 | 1153 | 96.2 | 1199 |
| 80-81 | 3 | . 2 | 6 | . 4 | 1 | . 1 | 22 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 2.3 | 1327 | 97.6 | 1359 |

enrollment, or zero female enrollment. Even the programs with missing data show a very slow increase of female enrollments.

Comments on Possible Effects
and Recording System

It should be mentioned that annually teachers of vocational programs turn in their enrollment sheets to the State Department of Vocational and Technical Education. Computer programmers compile the data into one large pool of information for each program. Then individual school enrollments are discarded. Janice Burnett, Information Service Coordinator for the State Department of Vocational and Technical Education, has been in charge of analyzing and disseminating the data. Some of the tables show leaps in enrollment. A program might jump 300 people and fall 300 students the next year. This type of trend cannot be explained unless it is investigated before school enrollment forms are discarded.

One other situation should be explained. Numerous programs had only one or two students enrolled over a year. This has usually been because these students are enrolled in cooperative vocational occupation classes. These students select an area of study and then find on-the-job training in their selected area. One student might select auto body and another person might choose woodworking, therefore, no large enrollment would be reflected and one or two student enrollments would appear frequently.

## Summary

The data over the past ten years showed a gradual increase in female minority enrollment. The Asian population had the least amount of female enrollment. In fact, it was the only race that showed a decrease in female enrollment. Still the white female had the greatest enrollment and the greatest increase in enrollment over the past ten years. Minority females did not enroll in non-traditional occupational programs that would increase their income level considerably.

## CHAPTER V

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This chapter summarizes and discusses the results of the study. A summary of the findings presented in Chapter IV is first presented, followed by the researcher's conclusions based on these findings. The final part of this chapter discusses recommendations for further research and practice.

## Summary

The problem of the study was related to the insufficient statistics compiled regarding the racial trend of females in non-traditional vocational and technical training, when 1971 through 1981 yearly enrollments were compared. The purpose of this research was to identify the number of females according to race enrolled in non-traditional vocational and technical training for the past ten years to determine trends.

The population for the study was all students enrolled in vocational and technical programs from 1971 to 1981. This information was found on computer discs that were programmed with yearly enrollment data collected from the teachers of each program area.

The data were sent to the State Department of Vocational and Technical Education in the early fall of each semester. The teacher reviewed the raw information directly from the enrolled students. No type of certification was necessary.

One mandate of the Vocational and Technical Act of 1976 was that each state should hire a full-time educational equity coordinator. This person would be in charge of program's sex fair reviews. Since that is the researcher's present job duty as Oklahoma's Educational Equity Coordinator major differences in raw enrollment reports from the public schools and the individual vocational programs have been noticed. Many times teachers neglect to ask students their race and use thier own judgement by appearance. Often times students are not aware of their race, especially in the areas of Indians. More accurate record keeping procedures need to be developed.

Overall in the past ten years, there has been a clear increase in the total female enrollment. However, the majority of females were still found in the traditional vocational and technical programs of home economics, business and office and health occupations. Of all the divisions that were studied, the trade and industry division had the lowest percentage of female enrollments. In fact, the absolute lowest female enrollments were found in the automotive and construction trades.

There was an increase in minority females found in agriculture programs. However, this increase was found predominately in horticulture. In fact, in the last ten years horticulture has moved from a predominately male program to a large majority female program. The following programs were the only programs that reported an increase in female enrollment: (1) Agriculture Production; (2) Agriculture Mechanics; (3) Ornamental Horticulture; (4) Photography; (5) Custodial Services; (6) Drafting; (7) Electronics; (8) Printing; (9) Machinist; (10) Upholstery.

These programs represent a small proportion of the number of programs in the trade and industrial division. In fact, there were only 5.9 percent of these programs that reported an increase in female enrollment. Since the Vocational Education Act of 1976, recruitment efforts have been initiated by the State Department of Vocational and Technical Education in Oklahoma. In the last five years, progress has been slowly made in total female enrollment in non-traditional vocational and technical programs.

## Conclusions

Ten years have shown a slow movement of females into non-traditional programs. The biggest group of females to move in this direction were found to be white. Minorities were not found in high percentages in any non-traditional programs. Asians were found the least in such programs. Agricutlure has increased greatly in female enrollment. However, the trade and industrial division has experienced only a slow and gradual increase, if any, into its programs. In fact, some programs have decreased in female enrollment. Statistics show that many programs need to work on recruitment of females since they have not increased pr perhaps have decreased in female enrollment.

## Recommendations

The following recommendations for practice are based on the results of the study. It is recommended that:

1. Emphasis on educational equity be continued.
2. Better reporting system for enrollment reports be developed.
3. More educational equity workshops should be done for students.
4. This thesis should be shared with the Educational Equity Coordinator of the other 49 states.
5. The findings of this thesis should be published in the 0klahoma Vocational Association Newsletter, Expressions.
6. Individual schools make an effort to recruit minority females in non-traditional vocational programs.

## Further Study

Additional studies could be concluded to identify or collect the following information.

1. A five year follow-up study should be initiated to report whether or not non-traditional graduates of vocational and technical programs are working in the occupations and technical programs are working in the occupations for which they were trained.
2. A study, similar to this one, could include male minority enrollment information.
3. A study of how the economy affects non-traditional vocational and technical enrollment would be beneficial in finding possible causes of fluctuations in non-traditional female enrollment.
4. A study of enrollment patterns for each vocational and technical area school could be undertaken to di scover if some schools have better non-traditional enrollment procedures than others.
5. The number of percentages of female students who enrolled in non-traditional vocational and technical programs who actually graduated from the program.
6. The percentages and number of females who continued further training after the completion of non-traditional vocational and technical programs.
7. Possible reasons students do not enroll in non-traditional vocational and technical programs.
8. Possible reasons students who do enroll in non-traditional vocational and technical programs drop out.
9. A study to determine why changes in enrollment patterns occur could shed light on how students select vocational and technical programs.
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APPENDIX A

VO-TECH STUDENT ACCOUNTING SYSTEM SECONDARY AND LONG-TERM PROGRAM

ENROLLMENT FORM


COL. 67-68 (GRADE LEVEL)
CODE HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS

## 09 Freshman <br> $\begin{array}{ll}10 & \text { Sophor } \\ 11 & \text { Junior } \\ 12 & \text { Senior }\end{array}$

CODE LONG-TERM ADULT STUDENTS ( 500 contaci hous or more
and/or open entryiopen exit) FIRST YEAR ADULTS
13 enrolied 3 hours or less per day
14 enrolled moxe than 3 hours per day SECOND YEAR ADULTS

23 enrolled 3 hours or less per day
24 enrolied more than 3 hours per day

COL 69 (VOCATONAL CLASS LEVEL)-
COMPLETE FOR SECONDARY PROGRAMS ONLY
se 1, 2, 3 or 4 to indicate, according to curriculum content. the vocational class level in which the studen

COL. 70 (RACE)
CODE
0 American Indian or Native Alaskan
Black, not of Hispanic ongin
Hispanic
White, not of Hispanic origin
Asian or Pacitici Islande

COL. 71-74 (HANDICAP)
CODE
Not Handicapped
Mentally Retarded
Hard of Hearing
Speech impaired
Visually Handicapp
Seriously Emotionally Disturbed
Seriously Emotionally Dis
Orthopedically Impaired
Orthopedically Impaired
Other Heal th Impaired
Other Health Impaired
Specific learning Disability
Deal/Blind

COL. 75 (CETA, DISPLACED HOMEMAKER, OTHER
SPECIAL GROUPS AND WORKSTUDY
CODE
0 Not CETA, Not Displaced Homemaker, Not Not CETA, Not Displaced Homemaker, No

1 CETA Client
(adult students only)
2 Displaced Homemake (adult students only)
3 Both CETA and Displaced Homemaker
4 Other Special Groups (adults oniy)
5 Both CETA and Other Special Groups
6 Workstudy (economicaily disadvantaged Workstuary (economicaily
Secondary Students only)

COL 76 (LIMUTED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY) CODE
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & \text { Not Limited in English Proficiency } \\ 1 & \text { Limited English Proficiency }\end{array}$

COL. 7 (DISADVANTAGED)
CODE
Neither Ecoromicaly nor Academicaly Disadvantaged
Economically Disadvantaged
3 Academically Disadvantaged Disadvantaged

## REFER TO GUIDEBOOK FOR OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS AND DOCUMENTATION PROCEDURES

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

## APPENDIX B

OVERALL YEARLY FEMALE ENROLLMENT


Figure 1, Overall Yearly Female Enrollment
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