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## ABSTRACT

The feeding interactions between striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids (Morone saxatilis $\times$ M. chrysops) and largemouth bass (Microptrerus salmoides) are an important management consideration because of the widespread introduction of these fish. A literature review indicated that hybrids preyed almost exclusively on clupeids, but little work has been done on possible competition for food between the hybrid and bass.

We investigated the food habits, food selectivity, and diet overlap of hybrids and largmeouth bass in Sooner Lake. Both species ate similar foods in 1980 , selecting gizzard shad (Dorosoma cepedianum) and threadfin shad (D. pentenense), eating sunfishes (Lepomis spp.) in proportion to their abundance in the environment, and generally avoiding inland silversides (Menidia beryllina). The diet of hybrids showed increased utilization of threadfin shad in 1981, but the diet of largemouth bass remained essentially the same. Significant diet overlaps were found between various length groups of hybrids and bass during several seasons. The number and degree of overlaps decreased in most cases following threadfin shad introduction, and therefore were not considered to be detrimental to the predator populations as long as forage was abundant.

Objective: To evaluate the food habits of striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids and largemouth bass in Sooner Lake.

Background:

In the reservoirs of the southeastern and southcentral United States, large populations of pelagic, fast growing gizzard shad (Dorosoma cepedianum) and threadfin shad (D. pentenense) are underutilized as forage (Bishop 1967 and Ware 1977). In an attempt to utilize more of this resource, pelagic game fish such as the striped bass (Morone saxatilis) which are capable of feeding on larger clupeid fishes, have been widely introduced. However, the success of these introductions has been mixed. In Santee-Cooper Reservoir in South Carolina, and Lakes Keystone and Texoma in Oklahoma, natural reproduction has produced large populations of striped bass. In other cases, introductions failed to establish significant populations unless fish were repeatedly stocked (Bishop 1967). The unpredictable nature of these introductions and the concern over possible interactions with native ganefish led to experiments with Morone hybrids. Striped bass $x$ white bass (M. chrysops) hybrids, first produced by Stevens in South Carolina in 1965 (Logan 1968), had a greater hatching success than striped bass (Bonn et al. 1976), were easier to rear to stockable size (Williams 1971), and grew faster and survived better than either parent (Bishop 1967). Simplified and inexpensive hatching and rearing procedures were soon developed and a number of states began stocking hybrids (Bonn et a1. 1976). Introductions in South Carolina, Tennessee, Florida, and Texas provided good fishing and resulted in widespread public support for stocking programs (Moczygemba and Morris 1977).

Several studies were set up to determine if the hybrid might serve as a biological control on shad (Bishop 1967). Again, results were mixed. Following introductions of hybrids, Ware (1977) reported a marked reduction in shad biomass in Florida lakes and Crandall (1979) noted a decrease in relative abundance of shad in a heated Texas reservoir. Bishop (1967) and Bailey (1975), however, doubted if the hybrid could control shad and Ott and Malvestuto (in press) found hybrids did not utilize highly abundant gizzard shad.

Introductions of striped bass and hybrids have generally been assumed to have little or no effect on native game fish (Bailey 1975 and Hanson and Dillard 1976), even though possible feeding interactions between hybrids and largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) have not been thoroughly investigated. Preliminary results showed the hybrid strongly preferred shad (Bishop 1967, Williams 1971, Ware 1977, Cranda11 1979, and Hicks 1979) although Ware (1977) noted that the hybrids opportunistically fed on sunfishes (Lepomis spp.), silversides (Menidia spp.), and other forage fishes. Overlap in food habits of hybrids and largemouth bass is likely because threadfin shad and gizzard shad are also commonly eaten by largemouth bass (Lewis and Helms 1964 and Mullen and Applegate 1967) and insects and invertebrates are important in the diets of both young hybrids and largemouth bass (Bayless 1972, Day 1981, and 0tt and Malvestuto in press).

Overlapping resource requirenents of coexisting species may result in competitive interactions which change the behavior of one or both species, or reduce and possibly eliminate one species. Theory states for two species to be in competition for a resource, that resource must be in limited supply (Pianka 1976) and if overlap is great enough,
changes in population structure, diet, foraging patterns, or habitat utilization may occur (Werner and Hall 1978).

In the late $1970^{\prime}$ s the Oklahoma Department of Wildife Conservation began stocking striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids as supplemental game fish in reservoirs with abundant forage. Sooner Lake was stocked in 1977, 1978, and 1980 (Hicks 1978 and 1979). In our study at Sooner Lake we attempted to determine if competitive interactions for food existed between the hybrid and largemouth bass. Proof of competition requires a prior knowledge of the system before the introduction of the second species. We did not have this type of information for Sooner Lake so information on changes in food habits including preferences (selectivity) and diet overlap can only be suggestive of competition. However, this information along with relative abundances of predator and prey is needed for proper management of the fishery. The objectives of this study were: 1) estimate relative abundances of predator species, specifically striped bass $x$ white bass hyrbids and largemouth bass, 2) estimate relative abundances of forage fishes, 3) evaluate food habits of the hybrids and largemouth bass as well as estimate food selectivity and diet overlap, and 4) determine seasonal changes in these relationships.

Procedures:

Description of Study Area
This study was conducted on Sooner Lake (Figure 1), a 2185 ha impoundment on Greasy Creek approximately 35 kilometers north of Stillwater, Oklahoma in Pawnee and Noble counties. The lake was constructed by Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company in 1976 as a cooling water reser-
voir for a coal fired steam electric generating station. Due to the limited size of the lake's watershed, the impoundment was filled and is maintained with water pumped from the nearby Arkansas River. A series of rock rip-rapped dikes direct the water to and from the plant. Electrical generation and associated hot water discharge began on an irregular basis in November 1979. At peak capacity, the heated effluent discharges $126,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ with a maximum rise in water temperature of $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from ambient (OG\&E 1980).

Sooner Lake is a relatively deep, clear impoundment with a maximum depth of 27 m , a mean depth of 8.5 m , and Secchi disc transparencies of 1.0 to over 2.5 m (OG\&E 1980). The lake is thermally stratified in summer with a thermocline at 16 to 18 m (Hicks 1979). Mean surface water temperatures during 1980 and 1981 ranged from $7.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in winter to $23.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in summer (Table l). Due to the length of the discharge canal, the heated effluent is cooled to within $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of ambient by the time this water reaches the main body of the lake. Other physical conditions of the reservoir also appear to be conducive to a high quality fishery. Oxygen concentrations were sufficient for aquatic life during all seasons at depths less than 18 m , with pH vlaues of 7.4 to 8.5 and conductivities of 1370 to 1550 micromhos/cm (Hicks 1978 and 1979). High conductivities resulted from pumping highly saline Arkansas River water into the lake.

The Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation stocked Sooner Lake in 1977 , 1978, and 1980 with a total of 831,000 striped bass x white bass hybrid fry. In 1977, 300,000 native largemouth bass fingerlings, 125,000 Florida largemouth bass (M. s. floridanus) fingerlings, 110,000 channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) fingerlings, 9,300 adult
threadfin shad, and an unknown number of inland silversides Menidia beryllina) were also stocked into the reservoir.

## Data Collection and Analysis

Predator and Forage Abundance: Relative abundances of predator and forage fishes were estimated from catch-per-unit-effort (c/f) from several gears, assuming that $c / f$ was proportional to the population size at the time of sampling (Kicker 1975). Experimental multifilament nylon gill nets, $61 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ with bar mesh of 19 to 102 mm , were set overnight in open water to catch mobile, pelagic species (Mensinger 1971, Ware 1977, and James 1979). Trap nets (Crowe 1950) and barrel nets (Houser 1960) were also set overnight to catch species that inhabited shallower brushy areas. In addition, a $9.1 \mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh nylon minnow seine was pulled along 35 m transects of shoreline and night electrofishing with a 3750 W, boat mounted pulsed-DC unit was conducted along shoreline areas. Sampling locations were selected using a random number table (Snedecor and Cochran 1980) and a gridded map of Sooner Lake.

Total length in mm and weight in $g$ were recorded for all fish collected. Scale samples were also taken from hybrids and largemouth bass in the manner described by Lagler (1956) and ages determined from scale impressions in cellulose acetate (Tesch 1971) enlarged on an Eberbach Projector.

Predator Food Habits: Stomach samples were removed from all hybrids and largemouth bass with glass tubes using the technique described by Gilliland et al. (in press) and Van Den Avyle and Roussell (1980) and preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol. Stomach contents were analyzed in the following manner:

1. Contents were placed on coarse filter and dried using suction.
2. Items were separated into groups using a dissecting microscope and identified to the lowest practical taxon using species keys for fish (Miller and Robison 1973 and Eddy 1969), insects (Usinger 1956 and Borror et al. 1964), and zooplankton (Pennack 1978) 。
3. Number of individuals in each group were counted, or estimated from disarticulated parts, weighed to the nearest 0.1 g , and volumes determined to the nearest 0.1 ml in graduated cylinders.
4. Percent frequency of occurrence (F), percent total number (N), percent total volume (V), and mean percent volume per stomach were calculated from the fish which contained food.
5. The Index of Relative Importance (IRI) of Pinkas et al. (1971) was used to assess the overall importance of food items and compare seasonal variations. This index gives a rankable value that includes the important features of frequency of occurrence, total number, and total volume in the equation:

$$
I R I=F(N+V)
$$

For purposes of analysis, four seasons were defined on the basis of significant weather and water temperature changes as: spring - March, April, and May; summer - June, July, August, and September; fall - October and November; and winter - December, January, and February.

In addition to the traditional measurements of food habits, food selectivity and overlap indices were used to evaluate the interactions. Selectivity indices (L) were calculated using the linear index of Strauss (1979):

$$
L=r_{i}-p_{i}
$$

where $r_{i}$ is the relative abundance of food item $i$ in the stomach contents and $p_{i}$ is the relative abundance of food item $i$ in the environment. Catches of forage species in several gears were combined to determine values of $p_{i}$ that were most meaningful in view of the habits and habitat of the predator. We calculated $p_{i}$ for hybrids by combining percent total weight from catches in gill nets and seines. However, for largemouth bass, catches from seines and electrofishing were used.

Overlap indices measure the degree of interaction between two species along a given resource axis. The Schoener (1970) overlap index ( $\alpha$ ) was used to compare food habits among length groups, between species:

$$
\alpha=1-0.5\left(\Sigma\left|p_{x i}-p_{y i}\right|\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{pxi}_{\mathrm{xi}}$ is the relative abundance of food item i in the stomach contents of species $x$ and $p y i$ is the relative abundnce of food item in the stomach contents of species $y$. Mean percent volume per stomach data were used in this equation based on Wallace's (1981) findings.

Findings:

Predator Abundance
Relative abundances of pelagic predators were estimated by $c / f$ in gill nets and seines. Striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids were most abundant in Spring 1981 (Table 2). Otherwise, white bass were usually most abundant. In general, hybrid catches were highest in the spring and fall of each year. Biomass of hybrids was highest in all seasons except Winter 1980-81 when biomass of both white bass and white crappie (Pomoxis annularis) were higher. Largemouth bass were collected in gill nets only during Winter 1980-81 and Spring 1981. During this period
these species ranked fourth and second by weight, respectively, but made up only small numerical portions of the catch.

Relative abundances of largemouth bass were estimated from $c / f$ by electrofishing and seining (Loeb 1957 and Witt and Cambell 1959). Largemouth bass were most abundant and had the greatest biomass each season except Spring 1981 when white crappie were most abundant (Table 3). Hybrids were collected by electrofishing only in Spring 1981. Abundance of largemouth bass was highest from Winter 1980-81 through Summer 1981, followed by a sharp decline in fall.

Over the study period, biomass of hybrids in our catches was greatest followed by that of largemouth bass, white bass, and white crappie, respectively. Numerically, however white bass were most abundant, followed by white crappie, hybrids, largemouth bass, respectively.

## Forage Abundance

Four groups of forage fishes predominated in Sooner Lake; sunfishes, gizzard shad, threadfin shad, and inland silversides. Sunfishes included bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus), longear (L. megalotis), green (L. cyanellus), orangespotted (L. humilis), and white crappie. Percent total weight combined from pairs of gear was used as a measure of forage abundance. Forage abundance was not estimated for Spring 1980 because of failure to collect gizzard shad, sunfishes, and silversides. Gill nets and seines were used to estimate relative abundances of pelagic forage fishes (Table 4). Biomass of gizzard shad increased from Summer to Fall 1980, declined in Winter 1980-81, then rose sharply in Spring 1981. Biomass remained high throughout 1981. Threadfin shad were not stocked in Sooner Lake until Summer 1980 and were first commonly collected during Fall and Winter 1980-81. Low relative abundance of
threadfin shad during Spring 1981 was probably due to winterkill. Sunfishes were seldom abundant in gill net catches and were only occasionally abundant (particularly in Summer 1980), in seine hauls. Inland silversides were the most abundant forage fish in Summer and Fall 1980, but virtually disappeared from the catch until Summer 1981.

Sunfishes were always the dominant forage collected by electrofishing except in Winter 1980-81 and Fall 1981 when biomass of gizzard shad was higher. Abundance of gizzard shad was otherwise lower than that of other forage groups. Threadfin shad were seldom collected by electrofishing in littoral areas except in Summer 1981 when their biomass was approximately equal to sunfishes and silversides. Inland silversides were consistently second or third most abundant. In general, biomass of shad peaked in spring and fall; sunfishes peaked in spring and summer; and silversides from summer through fall.

## Predator Food Habits

One hundred fifty eight of $291(54 \%)$ striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids contained food when captured (Table 6). Fish contained food more of ten in summer and fall and as fish size increased, there was a decrease in the percentage of fish containing food. We collected 234 largemouth bass of which 160 ( $68 \%$ ) contained food. Again, a greater percentage of fish contained food during summer and fall. The frequency of occurrence of food was greatest in the largest bass, 451-600 mm long, followed by $\leq 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ groups, respectively. Glass tubes efficiently removed food from live fish and generally did not require sacrificing the catch. Eighty-two of 224 hybrids were examined with glass tubes and later dissected. The technique removed all food from $95 \%$ of these hybrids, and removed $95 \%$ of the total volume of
food (Table 7). We also examined five largemouth bass from which $100 \%$ of the food was removed (Gilliland et al. in press). Fewer largemouth bass were dissected because the method was successfully used on this species by Van Den Avyle and Roussell (1980).

Foods of striped bass x white bass hybrids and largemouth bass were ranked by IRI for each length group for 1980 and 1981. During 1980, insects were the most important food of hybrids $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long (Table 8). Fish made up only a small portion of the stomach contents of hybrids in this group, mostly in the form of unidentified fish remains (UFR). A marked shift in food occurred in 1981 when shad made up $90.3 \%$ of the food volume. Relative volume of insects dropped and overall diet was more diverse than that of the previous year because sunfishes and silversides were also eaten. Hybrids $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long ate shad in 1980 as well as UFR. In 1981, shad were even more important, making up 98.5\% of the volume of stomach contents. The largest hybrids, $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, ate shad and sunfishes in 1980, but utilized shad almost exclusively in 1981.

The diets of largemouth bass also changed from 1980 to 1981 (Table 9). During 1980, inland silversides were the most important food of bass $\leq 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Insects and shad ranked second and third, respectively. Insects were most important in 1981 with UFR second. Insects were also the most important food of bass 151-300 mm long in 1980 because they occurred most frequently and were most numerous. Although shad made up the greatest volume, they ranked third in importance. Shad became the most important food for these bass in 1981. Sunfishes were the most important food of bass $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long in 1980 , followed by shad. As was the case with the smaller bass, shad became the most
important food during 1981. Crayfish made up the remaining portion of the diet that year. In bass $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, shad were the most important food both in 1980 and 1981.

IRI rankings by season revealed hybrids $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long caught in Summer 1980 ate mostly insects (Table 10). Hybrids in this length group were not collected again until Summer 1981 when threadfin shad were the most important food. In Fall 1981, shad remains were the most important food although threadfin shad made up $70.0 \%$ by volume. Hybrids 301-450 mm long ate gizzard shad almost exclusively in Spring 1980. In Summer 1980, UFR composed the greatest volume of food, followed by sunfishes, and gizzard shad, respectively. Gizzard shad were the only food in stomachs of hybrids of this size during Spring 1981 and shad remains the only food in Summer 1981. Threadfin shad made up $90.5 \%$ of the food vo1ume in Fall 1981. Hybrids $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long were the most abundant so their food habits perhaps best represent the diet of adults. In Spring 1980, insects were the most important food with gizzard shad ranked second by IRI despite making up a greater portion of the volume. In Summer 1980, gizzard shad and UFR were the most important foods in hybrid stomachs. Gizzard shad also ranked first in importance in Fall 1980, but sunfishes accounted for slightly more of the food volume. During Spring 1981, gizzard shad made up over $80.0 \%$ of the food volume. Insects again ranked highly because numerically they made up $41.0 \%$ of the food. Gizzard shad and threadfin shad were equally abundant in stomachs in Summer 1981, but gizzard shad made up $97.2 \%$ by volume. During Fall 1981, gizzard shad were most abundant, followed by threadfin shad.

Insects were the most important food of largemouth bass $\leq 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ long in Summer 1980. Inland silversides, gizzard shad, and other fish
each accounted for $20 \%$ of the food volume. Food during Winter 1980-81 consisted of UFR and silversides. In Spring 1981, insects were again most important but second ranked UFR made up $50 \%$ of the food volume. Unidentified fish remains was dominant in Summer 1981. Threadfin shad first appeared in the diet this season and were second in inportance. Food in Fall 1981 consisted of UFR and insects. As with the smaller bass, largemouth bass $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long ate mostly insects, although this catagory made up only $1.5 \%$ of the food volume. Gizzard shad made up $57.6 \%$ of the volume but were ranked second in importance because of low numbers. In Winter 1980-81, silversides were the most important food, followed by UFR. Following the trend of smaller bass, insects were the most important food of this group in Spring 1981 even though they made up only $3.1 \%$ of the volume. Crayfish made up the largest volume of food (44.0\%), followed by threadfin shad. In Summer 1981, UFR were ranked first in importance with threadfin shad ranked second. During Fall 1981 UFR ranked first and occurred most frequently, followed by insects which were most numerous, and sunfishes which made up the most volume. Unlike the two smaller groups, largemouth bass $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long relied mostly on gizzard shad in Spring 1980. During Summer 1980, however, these bass utilized a wider variety of foods. Sunfishes were most important, insects were second, and gizzard shad, which made up the greatest volume of food, was ranked third. Gizzard shad were the dominant food in stomachs during Winter 1980-81. Threadfin shad were the most important in Spring 1981, with gizzard shad second. During Summer 1981, sunfishes and shad remains were most common. In Fall 1981, crayfish and sunfishes both had equal IRI values, however, crayfish accounted for only $1.0 \%$ of the volume whereas sunfishes made up $99.0 \%$. Few largemouth bass, 451-

600 mm long were collected and then only in three seasons. In Summer 1980, a single white bass was the only food. Gizzard shad was the only food in Winter 1980-81 and in Spring 1981 made up $88.2 \%$ of the food volume.

## Selectivity

Selectivity values (L) for hybrids and largemouth bass of various lengths were computed for each season for the four major components of the diet - gizzard shad, threadfin shad, sunfishes, and silversides. Values of -1 indicate a complete avoidance or inaccessibility of abundant prey and of +1 a selection or preference for a relatively rare prey. Values near zero indicate that prey items were consumed in proportion to their abundance in the environment.

Hybrids 151-300 mm long were not found to contain fish until Summer and Fall 1981 at which time they preferred threadfin shad (L's of 0.70 and 0.90 , respectively; Table 12), ate gizzard shad and sunfishes in proportion to their abundance in the environment, and avoided silversides. Hybrids $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long preferred gizzard shad in Summer 1981 (L of 0.33) and threadfin shad in Fall 1981 (L of 0.88). Silversides were avoided in Summer 1980, and Summer and Fall 1981. Otherwise, gizzard shad, threadfin shad, and sunfishes were eaten in proportion to their abundance in the environment. Food preferences of the largest hybrids changed by season. They selected gizzard shad in Summer 1980 (L of 0.63 ) and Summer and Fall 1981 (L's of 0.50 and 0.32 , respectively) but avoided them in Fall 1980 and Spring 1981. Threadfin shad were selected in Summer and Fall 1981 and eaten in proportion to their abundance in the environment in all other seasons. Sunfishes were consistemtly eaten in proportion to their abundance in the reservoir.

The smallest largemouth bass, with few exceptions, did not appear to have preferred foods (Table 13). Silversides were generally avoided except during Spring 1980. Sunfishes and threadfin shad were eaten proportionally to their abundance in the lake each season except Spring 1981 when sunfishes were avoided (L of -0.78). Selectivity values for gizzard shad were negative or close to zero in all seasons. L values for bass $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long were negative for gizzard shad and ranged from -0.07 to -0.86. Sunfishes were avoided in Spring 1981 (L of -0.72) but were otherwise eaten in proportion to their abundance in the environment. Selection for threadfin shad was positive in Summer 1981 but all other values were close to zero. Gizzard shad were eaten proportionally each season. Largemouth bass $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long preferred gizzard shad in Winter 1980-81 (L of 0.65) but 1ess so in Summer 1980, and Spring, Summer, and Fa11 1981. Threadfin shad were preferred in Spring 1981 and eaten in proportion to abundance in other seasons. Sunfishes were preferred in Summer 1980, and Summer and Fall 1981, but avoided in Spring 1981 ( -0.65 ). The largest bass preferred gizzard shad in Winter 1980-81 (L of 0.98), but less so in Spring 1981. Sunfishes were avoided in Spring 1981 as were silversides in Summer 1980, Winter 1980-81, and Spring 1981.

Overlap
The degree to which hybrids and largemouth bass were utilizing the same food resources was determined from a matrix of overlap values ( $\alpha$ ) for the paired size groups, assuming that values equal to or greater than 0.6 indicate significant interaction (Zaret and Rand 1971). During 1980, diet of hybrids $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long overlapped significantly with that largemouth bass 451-600 mm long ( $\alpha$ of 0.6; Table 14). The foods of
$301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hybrids, however, overlapped significantly with those of all largemouth bass except the largest. Significant diet overlap was also calculated between the largest hybrids and all bass except the 151300 mm long fish. In 1981 there were fewer significant overlaps in food habits. The diet of the smallest hybrids overlapped significantly with both $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 451-600 mm long largemouth bass ( $\alpha^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ of 0.6 and 0.7 , respectively).

Diet overlap values 'calculated on a seasonal basis were less significant than annual values. In Spring 1980, the diets of the 301-450 mm long hybrids and bass overlapped significantly (Table 15). In Summer 1980 the largemouth bass $\leq 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ long and the $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hybrids had a diet overlap of 0.6. Also that season, food habits of hybrids 301-450 mm long overlapped significantly with those of largemouth bass $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. No significant overlaps occurred in Winter 1980-81, but significant values were calculated for Spring 1981 when food habits of $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hybrids overlapped with those of $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long bass ( $\alpha$ of 0.6 ), and those of $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hybrids overlapped with those of largemouth bass $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long ( $\alpha$ of 0.6 and 0.8 , respectively). The final significant diet overlap value in 1981 occurred in summer between $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hybrids and 151-300 mm long largemouth bass.

Analysis:

Relative Abundances
Higher catches by number of striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids in spring and fall than in winter and summer were probably related to moderate water temperatures that stimulated movement of hybrids. Biomass
followed similar trends because mean weight was greatest in spring, declined in summer, then gradually increased in fall. Changes in mean weights were a result of the presence of gravid females in spring and subsequent growth of all fish through fall. Higher seasonal catches of hybrids in 1981 were probably due to our better knowledge of the hybrid's habits and habitat as well as an increased vulnerability of the fast growing 1980 year class to gill netting. From 1978 through 1981 there was an overall decrease in the number of large hybrids but a progressive increase in mean weight (Hicks and Russe1 1980). Overall, abundance of hybrids appeared to be closely related to stocking by O.D.W.C., with larger fish removed by natural and fishing mortality being replaced by rapidly growing younger fish.

Relative abundances of largemouth bass were estimated for fewer seasons than hybrids. Number and weight caught per-unit-effort were approximately equal in Summer and Winter 1980, reached their peak in Spring 1981, and declined in Summer and Fall 1981. Although abundance declined, mean weight increased through the year. Populations of largemouth bass were apparently dependent on the number of fish of reproductive age, angler harvest rates, and physical factors such as low lake levels experienced in 1980 (Hicks and Russe1 1980).

We were unable to estimate relative abundance for any major forage group in Spring 1980 because of our failure to collect gizzard shad and sunfishes in gill nets. Hicks (1979) had similar problems trying to estimate forage abundance in Sooner Lake in 1979. Nevertheless, forage fishes were eaten by hybrids and largemouth bass during Spring 1980. Capture of a wide range of sizes of gizzard shad and sunfishes throughout the rest of 1980 and 1981 suggested that these low catches in spring
were not representative of real forage abundances. Sunfish abundance, while relatively low in open waters, was high inshore. With the expanding populations typical in new reservoirs, catches of sunfishes would be expected to increase as they did in Sooner Lake (Hicks 1978, 1979, and Hicks and Russel 1980). Inland silversides were rarely collected in winter and spring but were dominant by number and weight in summer and fall each year. Seine samples probably best represented the true abundance of this species (Mense 1967). Threadfin shad, stocked in Summer 1980, were first abundant in Winter 1980-81. Winterkil1 may have reduced abundance of adult threadfin shad in Spring 1981, but a mild winter apparently contributed to some survival in several portions of the lake and by Summer 1981, they were common. Large schools of young threadfin shad and silversides produced that summer could not be sampled effectively in open areas of the lake. Thus, Summer 1981 estimates of abundance were probably low for these species. Overall, forage abundance in Sooner Lake, while showing seasonal fluctuations, appeared to be high and adequate for sustaining predator populations. Due to the diversity of the forage base, seasonal declines in abundance by one or more species are compensated for by the remaining forage.

## Predator Food Habits

Hybrids in Sooner Lake could be caught consistently only by gill net although a number of fish were caught angling. Fifty-four percent of the hybrids collected in gill nets contained food. High water temperatures during summer and early fall which resulted in death of many fish in gill nets and increased food decomposition and digestion (Molnar and Tolg 1962) probably increased the proportions of UFR in stomach contents. Removal of food from live fish generally avoided this
problem. The glass tubing method of removing food was fast, efficient, and allowed fish to be released uninjured (Gilliland et al. in press). This technique used in conjunction with gill netting in cooler seasons and electrofishing in all seasons allowed us to obtain representative food habits data based on the assumption that our predator sampling accurately represented the population structure.

Food habits of hybrids and largemouth bass were diverse in Sooner Lake and shifted between 1980 and 1981. For example, in hybrids 151-300 mm long (Figure 2) insects were replaced by threadfin shad in 1981 . Hybrids in this size group caught in 1980 had just recently been released as fry and were relatively small, whereas fish collected in 1981 had grown and varied more in size. Increased consumption of fish by larger hybrids was also noted by Ott and Malvestuto (in press). They found hybrids <l50 mm long ate primarily insects, but switched to threadfin shad as they grew larger. The apparent increased utilization of shad between years by Sooner hybrids in this size group may be an artifact of sampling, or more likely, the result of an increased abundance and availability of preferred forage fish. Food habits for $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hybrids also shifted between years (Figure 3). In 1980, shad, sunfishes, and silversides were all important foods. In 1981, however, shad and small amounts of UFR (probably shad) were the dominant foods consumed. Most other authors have reported that hybrids this size eat predominantly shad. However, our data for 1980 compares with Day (1980) who reported hybrids this size ate shad, insects, and sunfishes. Hybrids $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long also ate sunfishes, silversides, and shad in 1980. These data are in agreement with the findings of Crandall (1979) and Day (1980) who reported increased consumption of sunfishes and
silversides with increasing hybrid size. During 1981, however, our data showed hybrids in this size group ate predominantly gizzard threadfin shad. In general there was a trend towards greater utilization of shad from 1980 to 1981 by each size group of hybrids. This shift was primarily in the form of threadfin shad consumption.

Largemouth bass $\leq 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ long ate more insects in 1981 and more UFR in 1980 (Figure 5). The high volume of UFR in 1980 was probably shad since this was the only species identified in stomachs. Silversides, which were the most abundant food in stomachs during 1980, completely disappeared from the diet in 1981. Largemouth bass 151-300 mm long ate more insects and crayfish in 1981 than in 1980 whereas volume of shad eaten decreased markedly between the two years (Figure 6). It is possible that increased utilization of insects and crayfish were a result of increased abundances and availability of preferred items. However, Applegate and Mullen (1970) and Vogele (1975) found that largemouth bass did not select insects when suitable forage fish such as threadfin shad, (highly abundant in Sooner in 1981) are available. Like hybrids, 301450 mm long largemouth bass ate more shad in 1981 than in 1980 (Figure 7). The importance of sunfish decreased between 1980 and 1981 while that of insects and crayfish increased. The small number of bass 451600 mm long collected in 1980 and 1981 also showed increased shad utilization but had a more diverse overall diet in 1981 than 1980 (Figure 8). In summary, the diets of largemouth bass were, in general, more varied than the diets of hybrids. Insects and crayfish were most important to smaller bass whereas sunfishes and gizzard shad were most important to older, larger bass. Progressively greater utilization of expanding threadfin shad population did not occur as it had with the hybrids.

We ranked the foods of the various length groups of hybrids and largemouth bass by season according to the Index of Relative Importance. During Spring 1980, only largemouth bass bass $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long and the two larger groups of hybrids were collected. Fish of both species in the 301-450 mm groups ate mostly gizzard shad (Figures 9 and 10). However, insects were also important to the largest hybrids. Insects were also eaten by these largest hybrids in Spring 1981. These observations may suggest that suitable forage fishes were unavailable or that acceptable insects were highly abundant. In support of the former hypothesis, Day (1980) found that hybrids ate more insects in months when shad abundance was low. Summer diets of hybrids consisted primarily of gizzard shad and threadfin shad except in 1981 when $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hybrids ate insects and $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long fish ate silversides. Summer foods of largemouth bass appeared to be related to fish size. Smaller bass ate insects; bass $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long ate sunfishes; and the largest bass relied on gizzard shad. These data are only in partial agreement with Aggus (1972) and Day (1980) who found largemouth bass of various size groups fed heavily on shad in summer months. The conclusions of these authors are further challenged by the fact that Sooner Lake largemouth bass did not feed on the numbers of threadfin shad available in Summer 1981. However, during the fall of each year, gizzard shad, and in 1981, threadfin shad, were the most important food of hybrids in each size group. Largemouth bass diets in fall and winter were more varied than during other seasons. Invertebrates including insects and crayfish were the most important food of all three smaller size groups of bass in fall and silversides were most important for the smaller two bass groups in winter. Sunfishes which were commonly eaten by largemouth bass 301-

450 mm long, were not eaten by hybrids to any great extent. Silversides were consumed by $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ hybrids only in Summer 1980 and by the smaller bass in winter. This pattern is contrary to Crandall's (1979) findings that silversides accounted for one-half of the food of Lake Bastrop hybrids for three years following hybrid introduction. Silversides which were extremely abundant in Sooner Lake from summer through winter were only occasionally eaten by either predator. Crayfish was the only food that was eaten exclusively by the largemouth bass and made up much of the invertebrate portion of the diet in several seasons.

## Selectivity

Hybrids and largemouth bass clearly preferred gizzard shad in most seasons and threadfin shad in late 1981 (Figures 10 and 11). However, hybrids developed a much greater preference for threadfin shad than did largemouth bass. Ott and Malvestuto (in press) found a direct relationship between forage population levels and predation on threadfin shad by hybrids. They concluded that hybrids were more likely to prey on the schooling, pelagic shad when prey density increased enough to make predation energy efficient. Selectivity values for threadfin shad by Sooner hybrids are probably inflated due to low estimates of prey abundance when shad were too small to collect in gill nets and remained in open areas. Nevertheless, the trends are good evidence that hybrids shifted diet to a greater extent than did the largemouth bass. Hybrids selected sunfishes in proportion to their abundance in the environment, whereas selection of sunfishes by largemouth bass fluctuated from positive to negative. Largemouth bass selected less for sunfishes during 1981 than in 1980. In contrast to our data, Day (1980), using Ivlev's (1961) electivity index found that both hybrids and largemouth bass
avoided sunfishes. In Sooner lake, both species of predator also appreared to generally avoid silversides. Again, our selectivity values are probably somewhat off because of our sampling methods, but the consistently low values suggest they are representative of predator selection. It is not clear, however, if strong negative values represent true avoidance of prey, inaccessibility because of differences in habitat between predator and prey, or invulnerability of prey to capture by the predator. Although concurrent availability of strongly preferred forage could also have contributed to the low degree of utilization of silversides in Sooner Lake, Crandall (1979) found that hybrids strongly preferred this species despite high abundance of gizzard and threadfin shad.

## Overlap

Zaret and Rand (1971) concluded that any overlap value greater than or equal to 0.6 was biologically significant and indicated possible detrimental interactions between species. In this study, we found overlap values at or above this level on several occassions but never for more than one season in succession.

On an annual basis, $75 \%$ of the significant overlaps were between the two larger groups of largemouth bass and the three groups of hybrids. The mean percent volume per stomach for each paired group indicated that largemouth bass ate similar foods in 1980 and 1981, selecting first gizzard shad, insects, sunfishes, then silversides. Hybrids however, ate progressively more shad, especially threadfin, with fewer insects, sunfishes, and silversides.

Our plots of seasonal overlaps, which compared each hybrid length group with the four largemouth bass groups, suggested size related
differences. Diets of hybrids $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long overlapped highly with the diets of each bass group in summer. During this season, invertebrates and shad were the most important foods of each species, and selectivities indicated the predators preferred shad (Figure 11). Overlaps dropped sharply following Summer 1981 when threadfin shad became the most important food in the diet of hybrids. At this same time, threadfin shad were relatively unimportant to largemouth bass. The patterns of overlap for hybrids $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long varied more than overlap for other size groups, but in general, highest values were found in Spring and Summer 1980 with overall decreases through 1981 (Figure 12). Food habits data again revealed that gizzard shad were the most important food of this group of hybrids and their consumption accounted for most of the overlap. Overlap values in 1981 were very low and never increased to significant levels. The largest hybrids' diet overlapped most strongly with each size group of largemouth bass during Fall and Winter 1980-81 and Spring 1981 (Figure 13). These values were the result of gizzard shad and silversides being found in the diets during fall and winter, and insects being found in the diets during spring for both species. As was seen with the other hybrid groups, an overall decrease in the diet overlap was seen after threadfin shad became important in the hybrids' diet. The decreased overlap between hybrids and bass correspond well with the seasons when threadfin shad abundances increased, as well as when hybrid selectivities for threadfin shad rose.

A number of factors may have influenced the overlap values in addition to prey preference and habitat differences between predators. For example, prey availability as a function of predator mouth size (gape) may have been important. The relatively large gape measurements
of much smaller bass would allow them to eat food of similar size to that eaten by larger hybrids (Kleinholz 1981). This difference in gape size could account for the higher diet overlap seen between smaller bass and larger hybrids. Ott and Malvestuto (in press) found that hybrids usually chose prey of less than maximum ingestible size and this behavior should increase the likelihood of diet overlap between hybrids and smaller bass. This size preference suggests that hybrids might not heavily utilize populations of gizzard shad if threadfin shad were abundant and may explain why threadfin shad are preferred.

It was apparent from comparing our results and those of Day (1981) to the findings of other authors that the hybrid is not a strict clupeid feeding predator. Conversely, both of these studies have shown a wide diversity on the diets of hybrids, but with strong preferences for shad when available. Introduction of hybrids into reservoirs with largemouth bass populations that depend on clupeid forage may set the stage for competition and possible harm to one or both predators. However, in situations where bass feed primarily on sunfishes, silversides, etc., the potential for feeding interactions appears to be lower than in those where they depend on shad. In Sooner Lake, the initial forage population structure was such that competitive interactions were probably and given continued predation on gizzard shad by both species, diet shifts which would have affected the growth and fitness of the populations might have been predicted. However, the introduction of threadfin shad, and the shift of hybrids to this forage, appeared to have decreased the likelihood of competition for food between the hybrid and largemouth bass populations.

The utilization of threadfin shad by hybrids may have several other
impacts on the fish populations. The consumption of gizzard shad dropped after threadfin shad introduction for all but the largest hybrids. Any hoped for control or harvest of underutilized crops of gizzard shad appeared to be reduced. This, however, may also have made this forage more available for use by largemouth bass by reducing possible competition during periods of low gizzard shad abundance. However, this simple predator-prey system may be highly unstable because threadfin shad are subject to winterkill. This problem may be especially important in reservoirs such as Sooner Lake where heated effluent concentrates the forage in the discharge area during winter and early spring. A power plant shut-down during this period could be critical and focus additional pressure on the remaining forage from both predator populations. Careful monitoring of shad populations and over-winter survival of threadfin fin shad would be essential in the management of the hybrid/largemouth bass system in Sooner Lake so that future stocking rates can be adjusted to insure minimal impact in the event of forage failure.

## Conclusions

Relative abundance of striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids was dependent on natural and fishing mortality and the stocking scheme of O.D.W.C., whereas largemouth bass abundance appeared to be related to both natural and fishing mortality plus spawning and recruitment. Forage populations appeared to be abundant and diverse enough to sustain healthy predator populations despite seasonal fluctuations and periodic limited availability. The food of hyrbids consisted primarily of gizzard shad and after 1981, increasingly more threadfin shad. However, sunfishes and insects were also eaten. Diets of largemouth bass included gizzard shad, sunfishes, and insects. Threadfin shad were
utilized to a much lesser extent by bass than by hybrids. Inland silversides were eaten by both groups at times but were generally not a significant forage item despite seasonally high abundance. The diets of the predators overlapped significantly during several seasons but never for two or more consecutive seasons. These data appear to indicate that any detrimental interactions were temporary. Following the introduction of threadfin shad, the diet overlap values decreased for all but the largest of bass and hybrids. The largest hybrids continued to feed primarily on gizzard shad. This interaction was not seen as detrimental because of low abundance of large hybrids due to fishing and natural mortality.

## Recommendations:

Future research should be conducted to assess the predator and prey populations in Sooner Lake well in advance of future stockings. Additional long term data should be collected on largemouth bass to determine if the diet overlaps we calculated were significant enough to affect growth rates. It should also be determined if the hybrids' shifts in diet are sustained and if largemouth bass begin to utilize the threadfin shad to a greater extent. Further study of the interactions between hybrids and white bass may be necessary in Sooner Lake because of recent successful white bass reproduction. Our limited data suggests that the hybrids and white bass utilize almost identical foods and strong year classes of white bass would potentially affect the success of stocked hybrids.

In regard to further introduction of the hybrid in Oklahoma; we recommend a case by case study of the forage populations and food habits of resident predators prior to introduction.
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Table 1. Seasonal mean and ranges of surface water temperatures from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.

| Season | Mean $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Range $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13 | $12-17$ |
| Spring 1980 | 23 | $21-27$ |
| Summer 1980 | 17 | $7-21$ |
| Fall 1980 | 7 | $4-10$ |
| Winter 1980-81 | 15 | $10-18$ |
| Spring 1981 | 24 | $16-29$ |
| Summer 1981 | 21 | $16-27$ |

Table 2. Seasonal relative abundances for species collected by gill nets and seines from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981

| Species | No. | $\%$ No. | $c_{N} / \mathrm{f}$ | Wt. (g) | $\% \mathrm{Wt}$. | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{W}} / \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Spring 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Striped bass $x$ <br> white bass hybrid | 31 | 12.7 | 5.1 | 46002 | 58.3 | 7510 |
| White bass | 57 | 23.3 | 9.3 | 5697 | 7.2 | 930 |
| White crappie | 11 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 1892 | 2.4 | 309 |
| Channel catfish | 17 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 1334 | 1.7 | 218 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Continued.

| Species | No. | $\%$ No. | $c_{N} / f$ | $W t .(g)$ | $\% W t$ | $c_{W} / \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Fall 1980

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Striped bass x <br> white bass hybrid | 35 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 68268 | 32.9 | 3131 |
| White bass | 41 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 16623 | 8.0 | 763 |
| White crappie | 13 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1607 | 0.8 | 73 |
| Sunfishes | 14 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 767 | 0.4 | 35 |
| Shad | 37 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2337 | 1.1 | 107 |
| Inland silversides | 7633 | 95.7 | 350.1 | 5023 | 2.4 | 230 |
| Channel catfish | 70 | 0.9 | 11.7 | 24320 | 11.7 | 1115 |
| Other |  | 134 | 1.7 | 42.8 | 88817 | 42.8 |
|  | Winter $1980-81$ |  |  | 4074 |  |  |


| Striped bass x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| white bass hybrid | 10 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 1161 | 4.0 | 151 |
| White bass | 36 | 17.1 | 4.7 | 4862 | 16.7 | 631 |
| White crappie | 17 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 2824 | 9.7 | 367 |
| Largemouth bass | 2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1535 | 5.3 | 199 |
| Sunfishes | 6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 288 | 1.0 | 37 |
| Shad | 45 | 21.3 | 5.8 | 4040 | 13.9 | 524 |
| Channel catfish | 28 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 10891 | 37.5 | 1414 |
| Othera | 67 | 31.7 | 8.7 | 12646 | 43.5 | 1642 |

Table 2. Continued.

| Species | No. | \% No. | $c_{N} / \mathrm{f}$ | Wt. (g) | $\%$ | Wt. | $c_{W} / \mathrm{f}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Summer 1981

| Striped bass x white bass hybrid | 37 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 53413 | 35.1 | 1638 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White bass | 44 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 3891 | 2.6 | 119 |
| White crappie | 37 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 4988 | 3.3 | 153 |
| Sunfishes | 70 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 2599 | 1.7 | 79 |
| Shad | 2459 | 13.9 | 75.4 | 13934 | 9.1 | 427 |
| Inland silversides | 14882 | 84.1 | 456.5 | 4846 | 3.2 | 15 |
| Channel catfish | 124 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 48651 | 32.0 | 1492 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 38 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 19657 | 12.9 | 603 |

Table 2. Continued.

| Species | No. | \% No. | $c_{N} / f$ | Wt. (g) | \% Wt. | $c_{W} / \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fal1 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Striped bass $x$ white bass hybrid | 52 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 110848 | 70.1 | 14979 |
| White bass | 32 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 8017 | 5.1 | 1083 |
| White crappie | 53 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 9059 | 5.7 | 1224 |
| Sunfishes | 6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 43 | 0.1 | 6 |
| Shad | 47 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 2958 | 1.9 | 399 |
| Inland silversides | 1667 | 86.4 | 225.3 | 1525 | 0.9 | 206 |
| Channel catfish | 76 | 3.9 | 10.3 | 25722 | 16.3 | 3476 |

a Includes carp, buffalo, drum, gar, carpsuckers, and bullheads.

Table 3. Seasonal relative abundances for species collected by electrofishing gear and seines from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.

| Species | No. | $\%$ No. | $c_{N} / \mathrm{f}$ | Wt. (g) $\%$ Wt. | $c_{W} / \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Summer 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 50 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 13280 | 24.8 | 531 |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Striped bass x <br> white bass hybrid | 23 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1366 | 2.5 | 54 |
| White bass | 22 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 966 | 1.8 | 39 |
| White crappie | 5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 382 | 0.7 | 15 |
| Sunfishes | 957 | 8.9 | 38.3 | 26686 | 49.9 | 1067 |
| Shad | 146 | 1.4 | 5.9 | 1115 | 2.1 | 44 |
| Inland silversides | 9543 | 88.8 | 381.7 | 9805 | 18.3 | 392 |
|  |  | Winter $1980-81$ |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 23 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 10841 | 33.9 | 867 |
| White bass | 9 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1215 | 3.8 | 97 |
| White crappie | 3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 382 | 3.8 | 97 |
| Sunfishes | 107 | 1.3 | 8.6 | 3211 | 10.0 | 257 |
| Shad | 160 | 2.0 | 12.8 | 11307 | 35.3 | 904 |
| Inland silversides | 7633 | 96.2 | 610.6 | 5023 | 15.7 | 402 |

Table 3. Continued.

| Species | No. | \% No. | $c_{N} / \mathrm{f}$ | Wt. (g) | \% Wt. | $c_{W} / \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 64 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 14244 | 20.4 | 1220 |
| Striped bass $x$ white bass hybrid | 3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 5852 | 7.8 | 468 |
| White bass | 27 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 5070 | 6.8 | 405 |
| White crappie | 73 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 12292 | 16.5 | 983 |
| Sunfishes | 658 | 66.1 | 52.6 | 27252 | 36.5 | 2180 |
| Shad | 115 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 8603 | 11.5 | 7 |
| Inland silversides | 55 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 330 | 0.4 | 26 |
|  | Summer 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 53 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 39593 | 70.4 | 1553 |
| Sunfishes | 133 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 4812 | 8.6 | 189 |
| Shad | 2024 | 11.8 | 79.3 | 6983 | 13.4 | 273 |
| Inland silversides | 14882 | 87.1 | 583.6 | 4848 | 8.6 | 190 |
|  | Fall 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 30 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 6772 | 41.1 | 752 |
| Sunfishes | 63 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 2569 | 15.6 | 285 |
| Shad | 62 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 5591 | 33.9 | 621 |
| Inland silversides | 1667 | 91.4 | 185.2 | 1552 | 10.1 | 172 |

Table 4. Seasonal relative abundances (percent total weight) for forage species collected by gill nets and seines from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.

| Species | Total Weight (g) | $\% \mathrm{Wt}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Summer 1980

| Sunfishes | 3031 | 21.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | 3 | 0.1 |
| Gizzard shad | 1321 | 9.3 |
| Inland silversides | 9805 | 69.2 |

Fall 1980

| Sunfishes | 767 | 9.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | 83 | 1.0 |
| Gizzard shad | 2254 | 27.7 |
| Inland silversides | 5023 | 61.8 |

Winter 1980-81

| Sunfishes | 195 | 2.7 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |

Threadfin shad
4040
93.3

Spring 1981
Sunfishe
Threadfin shad
195
2.7

7019
97.3

Summer 1981
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Sunfishes } & 2599 \\ \text { Threadfin shad } & 4326\end{array}$
Gizzard shad 9608
Inland silversides
4846
12.2
20.2
44.9

Fall 1981

Sunfishes
Threadfin shad
Gizzard shad
Inland silversides

43
1.0

70
2888
1525
1.5
63.8
33.7

Table 5. Seasonal relative abundance (percent total weight) for forage species collected by electrofishing gear and seines from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.

| Species | Total Weight (g) | $\% \mathrm{Wt}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Summer 1980

| Sunfishes | 26686 | 71.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | 3 | 0.1 |
| Gizzard shad | 1112 | 3.0 |
| Inland silversides | 9805 | 26.1 |

Winter 1980-81

| Sunfishes | 3211 | 16.4 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Gizzard shad | 12 | 0.1 |
| Inland silversides | 11295 | 57.8 |
|  | 5023 | 25.7 |
|  | Spring 1981 |  |
|  |  | 75.3 |
| Sunfishes | 27252 | 23.8 |
| Threadfin shad | 8603 | 0.9 |
| Gizzard shad | 330 | 0.0 |

Summer 1981
Sunfishes
Threadfin shad
4812
28.9

Gizzard shad
Inland silversides
4171
25.1

2812
4848
16.9
29.1

Fall 1981

| Sunfishes | 2568 | 26.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | 50 | 0.5 |
| Gizzard shad | 5541 | 57.1 |
| Inland silversides | 1552 | 16.0 |

Table 6. Number of fish examined, (percent with food), and total number of striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids and largemouth bass collected from Sooner Lake each season during 1980 and 1981.

| Length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group | SP80 | SU80 | FA80 | WN80 | SP81 | SU81 | FA81 |

Table 7. Species of fish collected from Sooner Lake, Oklahoma April 1980 through April 1981, number examined with glass tubes, number dissected, number and percent from which tubes removed all food, and the range and mean percent (by weight or volume) of food removed.

|  |  |  | All food removed |  | Food removed |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species | Examined | Dissected | No. | $\%$ | Range | Mean |

From Gilliland et al. (in press).

Table 8. Yearly summaries of striped bass $x$ white bass hybrid stomach contents from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981, by length group.

| Season and |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| food item | \% Freq. | \% No. | \% Vol. | \%Vo1. | IRI |

$$
151-300 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=37
$$

1980

| Insects | 100.0 | 98.2 | 75.0 | 89 | 17320 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 25.0 | $0.3^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 16.7 | 7 | 425 |
| Other fish | 12.5 | 1.4 | 8.3 | 4 | 121 |

1981

| Shad | 79.3 | 89.3 | 90.3 | 73 | 14242 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 13.7 | 2.6 | 6.3 | 13 | 122 |
| Insects | 6.9 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 2 | 60 |
| Sunfishes | 3.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 3 | 7 |
| Inland silversides | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 7 |
|  | $-450 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=22$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1980

| Shad | 37.5 | 26.1 | 67.9 | 34 | 3525 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unidentified fish   <br> remains 43.8 30.4 <br> 16.5 41 2050 <br> $\quad$ Insects 25.0 26.1 <br> 1.8 13 698 <br> Sunfishes 12.5 17.4 | 13.8 | 13 | 390 |  |  |

1981
Shad
83.390 .9
98.5
83
15777
Unidentified fish remains
16.7
9.1
1.5
17
1797

Table 8. Continued.

| Season and food item | \% Freq. | \% No. | \% Vol. | $\overline{\% \text { Vol }}$ | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=99$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shad | 45.9 | 15.3 | 48.4 | 41 | 2924 |
| Sunfishes | 18.9 | 5.3 | 38.9 | 15 | 835 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 40.5 | $11.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7.9 | 31 | 786 |
| Insects | 10.8 | 48.1 | 0.3 | 4 | 523 |
| Inland silversides | 8.1 | 17.6 | 4.3 | 4 | 177 |
| Other fish | 5.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 5 | 14 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shad | 74.2 | 65.6 | 85.0 | 82 | 11174 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 21.0 | 10.7 | 5.7 | 20 | 344 |
| Insects | 6.5 | 20.5 | 0.2 | 4 | 135 |
| Sunfishes | 4.8 | 2.5 | 8.7 | 3 | 54 |
| Inland silversides | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1 | 2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Denotes mean percent volume per stomach.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {denotes }}$ estimated numbers.

Table 9. Yearly summaries of largemouth bass stomach contents from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981, by length group.

| Season and |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| food item | \% Freq. | \% No. \% Vol. \%Vol. |
|  |  |  |

$$
\leq 150 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=20
$$

1980

| Inland silversides | 50.0 | 27.3 | 64.7 | 31 | 4600 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insects | 50.0 | 45.5 | 11.8 | 31 | 2865 |
| Shad | 25.0 | 18.2 | 25.0 | 25 | 1080 |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  | 523 |

1981
Insects

| 43.8 | 77.4 | 38.9 | 36 | 4656 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 62.5 | 18.9 | 55.6 | 58 | 1185 |
| 6.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 6 | 56 |
| $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=101$ |  |  |  |  |

1980

| Insects | 35.5 | 29.7 | 1.4 | 21 | 1098 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shad | 11.8 | 5.4 | 54.7 | 8 | 709 |
| Other fish | 17.6 | 37.8 | 1.4 | 13 | 690 |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 29.4 | 10.8 | 2.1 | 22 | 379 |
| Inland silversides | 23.7 | 13.5 | 18.5 | 24 | 320 |
| Sunfishes | 23.7 | 13.5 | 18.5 | 24 | 320 |
| Crayfish | 5.9 | 2.7 | 21.3 | 6 | 142 |
|  | 5.9 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 6 | 17 |

1981
Shad
Unidentified fish
$\quad$ remains
Insects
Crayfish
sunfishes
Inland silversides

| 28.6 | 28.8 | 38.0 | 27 | 1910 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 39.3 | 20.7 | 13.4 | 37 | 1340 |
| 22.6 | 44.6 | 3.1 | 15 | 1078 |
| 4.8 | 2.2 | 32.1 | 4 | 165 |
| 9.5 | 4.3 | 10.9 | 9 | 144 |
| 5.9 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 9 | 36 |

Table 9. Continued.

| Season and food item | \% Freq. | \% No. | \% Vol. | $\% \text { Vol. }$ | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=29$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunfishes | 33.3 | 27.3 | 41.7 | 37 | 2298 |
| Shad | 26.7 | 18.2 | 47.1 | 26 | 1744 |
| Insects | 40.0 | 36.4 | 3.3 | 17 | 1588 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 20.0 | $13.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.0 | 8 | 372 |
| Crayfish | 13.3 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 18 | 150 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shad | 50.0 | 46.7 | 70.3 | 50 | 5850 |
| Crayfish | 21.4 | 20.0 | 21.3 | 21 | 884 |
| Sunfishes | 21.4 | 20.0 | 7.7 | 28 | 593 |
| Inland silversides | 7.1 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 5 | 50 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 7.1 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 2 | 49 |
| Insects | 7.1 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 1 | 48 |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=9$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shad | 75.0 | 80.0 | 69.3 | 75 | 11198 |
| Other fish | 25.0 | 20.0 | 30.7 | 25 | 1268 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shad | 50.0 | 50.0 | 88.2 | 48 | 6910 |
| Sunfishes | 16.7 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 2 | 281 |
| Other fish | 16.7 | 12.5 | 2.7 | 17 | 181 |
| Inland silversides | 16.7 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 17 | 255 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 16.7 | 12.5 | 0.4 | 17 | 215 |

[^0]Table 10. Seasonal summaries of striped bass x white bass stomach contents from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981, by length group.

| Season and |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| food item | \% Freq. | \% No. | \% Vo1. | \% Vol. |  |

$$
151-300 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=36
$$

Summer 1980

| Insects | 100 | 98.2 | 75.0 | 89 | 17320 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 25.0 | $0.1^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 16.7 | 7 | 425 |
| Other | 12.5 | 1.4 | 8.3 | 4 | 121 |

Summer 1981

| Threadfin shad | 43.3 | 78.4 | 32.3 | 39 | 4793 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shad remains | 26.1 | 5.6 | 19.4 | 26 | 653 |
| Gizzard shad | 8.7 | 4.9 | 37.1 | 6 | 365 |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 17.4 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 16 | 171 |
| Insets | 8.7 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 3 | 73 |

Fall 1981

| Shad remains | 67.0 | 57.1 | 30.0 | 52 | 5836 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Threadfin shad | 50.0 | 42.9 | 70.0 | 48 | 5645 |
| $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=22$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Spring 1980

| Gizzard shad | 67.0 | 57.1 | 98.5 | 67 | 10425 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Insects |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unidentified fish | 16.7 | 28.6 | 0.8 | 17 | 491 |
| $\quad$ remains | 16.7 | 28.6 | 0.8 | 17 | 252 |

Summer 1980
Unidentified fish
remains
Sunfishes
Insects
Gizzard shad

| 60.0 | 37.5 | 38.7 | 55 | 4572 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20.0 | 25.0 | 33.3 | 20 | 1166 |
| 30.0 | 25.0 | 3.2 | 10 | 846 |
| 20.0 | 12.5 | 24.7 | 15 | 744 |

Table 10. Continued.

| Season and |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| food item | \% Freq. | \% No. | \% Vol. | \% Vol. | IRI |

$$
301-450 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=22
$$

Spring 1981
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Gizzard shad } & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100 & 20000\end{array}$

Summer 1981
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Shad remains } & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100 & 20000\end{array}$

Fall 1981

| Threadfin shad | 50.0 | 75.0 | 90.5 | 50 | 8275 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shad remains <br> Unidentified fish <br> remains | 25.0 | 13.0 | 7.4 | 25 | 510 |
|  | 25.0 | 13.0 b | 2.4 | 25 | 385 |
|  |  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=99$ |  |  |  |

Spring 1980

| Insects | 57.1 | 90.0 | 28.3 | 22 | 6755 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gizzard shad | 28.5 | 2.9 | 47.8 | 25 | 1445 |
| Sunfishes | 42.9 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 32 | 588 |
| Unidentified fish <br> $\quad$ remains | 28.5 | 2.9 | 15.2 | 19 | 516 |

Summer 1980

Gizzard shad
Unidentified fish remains

1980

Gizzard shad
Sunfishes
Unidentified fish remains
Inland silversides Other fish
55.6
44.436 .6
21.2

44
7906

2566

| 47.6 | 22.0 | 42.2 | 45 | 3056 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19.0 | 8.0 | 47.3 | 15 | 1051 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 42.9 | 18.0 | 5.1 | 24 | 991 |
| 14.3 | 46.0 | 5.2 | 7 | 700 |
| 9.5 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 8 | 60 |

Table 10. Continued.

| Season and <br> food item$\quad$ \% Freq. \% No. \% Vo1. \% Vo1. ${ }^{2} \quad$ IRI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$$
451-600 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=99
$$

Spring 1981

| Gizzard shad | 58.3 | 41.0 | 81.3 | 54 | 7460 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Insects | 16.7 | 41.0 | 3.3 | 10 | 740 |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 29.2 | 11.5 b | 5.1 | 26 | 485 |
| Sunfishes | 12.5 | 4.9 | 12.7 | 9 | 220 |
| Inland silversides | 4.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 2 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Summer 1980

| Insects | 100.0 | 98.2 | 75.0 | 89 | 17320 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 25.0 | 0.3 | 16.7 | 7 | 425 |
| Other | 12.5 | 1.4 | 8.3 | 4 | 121 |

Summer 1981

| Threadfin shad | 43.3 | 78.4 | 32.3 | 39 | 4793 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shad remains | 26.1 | 5.6 | 19.4 | 26 | 653 |
| Gizzard shad | 8.7 | 4.9 | 37.1 | 6 | 365 |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 17.4 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 16 | 171 |
| Insects | 8.7 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 3 | 73 |

Fal1 1981

| Shad remains | 67.0 | 57.1 | 30.0 | 52 | 5836 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Threadfin shad | 50.0 | 42.9 | 70.0 | 48 | 5645 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1-450 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=22$ |  |  |  |  |

Spring 1980

| Gizzard shad | 67.0 | 57.1 | 98.5 | 67 | 10425 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Insects | 16.7 | 28.6 | 0.8 | 17 | 491 |
| Unidentified fish | 16.7 | 28.6 | 0.8 | 17 | 252 |

Table 10. Continued.

| Season and food item | \% Freq. | \% No. | \% Vol. | $\overline{\% \text { Vo1. }}$ | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=22$ |  |  |  |  |
| Summer 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unidentified fish remains | 60.0 | $37.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 38.7 | 55 | 4572 |
| sunfishes | 20.0 | 25.0 | 33.3 | 20 | 1166 |
| Insects | 30.0 | 25.0 | 3.2 | 10 | 846 |
| Gizzard shad | 20.0 | 12.5 | 24.7 | 15 | 744 |
| Spring 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gizzard shad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 20000 |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=99$ |  |  |  |  |
| Summer 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gizzard shad | 50.0 | 50.0 | 97.2 | 50 | 7360 |
| Threadfin shad | 50.0 | 50.0 | 2.8 | 50 | 2640 |
| Fall 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gizzard shad | 36.4 | 28.8 | 66.4 | 38 | 3465 |
| Threadfin shad <br> Unidentified fish remains | 24.2 | 32.7 | 13.8 | 27 | 1125 |
|  | 18.2 | 26.9 | 8.6 | 18 | 646 |
| Shad remains | 24.2 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 23 | 549 |

[^1]Table 11. Seasonal summaries of largemouth bass stomach contents from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981, by length group.

| Season and food item | \% Freq. | \% No. | \% Vol. | $\% \text { Vol. }$ | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq 15$ | $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{N}=2$ |  |  |  |
| Summer 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insects | 100.0 | 55.6 | 40.0 | 63 | 9560 |
| Inland silversides | 50.0 | 22.2 | 20.0 | 13 | 2110 |
| Gizzard shad | 50.0 | 11.1 | 20.0 | 13 | 1555 |
| Other fish | 50.0 | 11.1 | 20.0 | 13 | 1555 |
| Winter 1980-81 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unidentified fish remains | 50.0 | $50.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 66.7 | 50 | 5850 |
| Inland silversides | 50.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 50 | 4400 |
| Spring 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insects | 71.4 | 90.9 | 50.0 | 60 | 10060 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 42.9 | 9.1 | 50.0 | 39 | 2535 |
| Fal1 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| Threadfin shad | 16.7 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 17 | 835 |
| Insects | 16.7 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 8 | 417 |
| $\underline{151-300 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=101}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summer 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insects | 42.9 | 31.5 | 1.5 | 25 | 1411 |
| Gizzard shad | 14.3 | 5.7 | 57.6 | 10 | 905 |
| Other fish | 21.4 | 40.0 | 1.5 | 16 | 520 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 28.6 | 8.6 | 1.0 | 20 | 275 |
| Sunfishes | 7.1 | 2.9 | 22.4 | 7 | 180 |
| Inland silversides | 14.3 | 8.6 | 15.7 | 14 | 147 |

Table 11. Continued.

$151-300 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=101$
Winter 1980-81
Inland silversides
Unidentified fish
remains
Insects

| 50.0 | 40.0 | 68.2 | 50 | 5410 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 50.0 | $40.0^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 27.3 | 38 | 3365 |
| 25.0 | 20.0 | 4.5 | 13 | 613 |

Spring 1981

| Insects | 31.0 | 62.3 | 33.1 | 28 | 2024 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | 13.8 | 7.5 | 29.9 | 14 | 516 |
| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ remains | 24.1 | 13.2 | 3.0 | 23 | 390 |
| Crayfish | 6.9 | 3.8 | 44.0 | 6 | 330 |
| Gizzard shad | 10.3 | 5.7 | 14.8 | 10 | 211 |
| Sunfishes | 13.8 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 14 | 175 |

Summer 1981

| Unidentified fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ remains | 45.3 | 25.9 | 41.3 | 44 | 3044 |
| Threadfin shad | 33.3 | 49.4 | 21.0 | 30 | 2344 |
| Inland silversides | 7.1 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 2 | 458 |
| Sunfishes | 7.1 | 3.5 | 23.3 | 7 | 190 |
| Insects | 14.3 | 9.4 | 1.6 | 5 | 157 |
| Gizzard shad | 9.5 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 9 | 103 |

Fall 1981
Unidentified fish remains
Insects
Sunfishes
Inland silversides
Crayfish

| 50.0 | 18.2 | 18.8 | 40 | 1850 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 25.0 | 68.2 | 9.0 | 14 | 1930 |
| 8.0 | 2.3 | 51.6 | 8 | 431 |
| 20.0 | 6.8 | 12.5 | 17 | 386 |
| 20.0 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 13 | 270 |

Table 11. Continued.

| Season and |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| food item | \% Freq. $\%$ No. $\quad \%$ Vol. $\quad$ Vol. |  |

$301-450 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=29$
Spring 1980

| Gizzard shad | 100.0 | 50.0 | 96.7 | 99 | 14700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Insects | 50.0 | 50.0 | 3.3 | 1 | 2700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=29$ |  |  |  |

Summer 1980

| Sunfishes | 46.2 | 31.6 | 44.2 | 43 | 3501 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insects | 38.5 | 31.6 | 3.4 | 20 | 1348 |
| Gizzard shad | 15.4 | 10.5 | 44.8 | 15 | 851 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 23.1 | $15.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.3 | 9 | 487 |
| Crayfish | 15.4 | 10.5 | 2. | 13 | 197 |

Winter 1980-81

| Gizzard shad | 66.7 | 66.7 | 96.8 | 67 | 10905 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crayfish | 33.3 | 33.3 | 3.2 | 33 | 1215 |

Spring 1981

Crayfish
Sunfishes

Threadfin shad Gizzard shad Sunfishes Inland silversides Unidentified fish remains

Summer 1981
Sunfishes
Shad remains Insects

Fall 1981
33.3 28.
$\begin{array}{lllll}33.3 & 28.6 & 5.0 & 33 & 1119\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}16.7 & 14.3 & 27.0 & 17 & 689\end{array}$
16.7 14.3 1.8
16.714 .3
0.9
. 6
254
Sunfishes
Shad remains
$\begin{array}{ll}50.0 & 33.3 \\ 50.0 & 33.3\end{array}$
78.6

46
5596
$\begin{array}{lllll}50.0 & 33.3 & 14.3 & 50 & 2380\end{array}$
50.0 33.3
7.1

4
2020
$67.0 \quad 67.0 \quad 1.0$
$\begin{array}{lllll}33.0 & 33.0 & 99.0 & 33 & 4356\end{array}$
67
4356

Table 11. Continued.

| Season and food item | \% Freq. | \% No. | \% Vol. | \% Vol. | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~N}=10$ |  |  |  |  |
| Summer 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other fish | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 20000 |
| Winter 1980-81 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gizzard shad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 20000 |
| Spring 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gizzard shad | 50.0 | 50.0 | 88.2 | 47 | 6910 |
| Sunfishes | 16.7 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 2 | 281 |
| 0 ther fish | 16.7 | 12.5 | 4.3 | 16 | 281 |
| Inland silversides | 16.7 | 12.5 | 2.7 | 16 | 254 |
| Unidentified fish remains | 16.7 | $12.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4 | 16 | 215 |

a Denotes mean percent volume per stomach.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Denotes estimated numbers.

Table 12. Seasonal selectivity values (L) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for striped bass x white bass hybrids taken from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.

| Season and | Hybrid length group |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| food item | $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

Summer 1980

Gizzard shad
Threadfin shad
Sunfishes
Inland silversides
all 1980

Gizzard shad
n/a
n/a
n/a
-0.22
0.0
0.08
$-0.53$
Winter 1980-81

Threadfin shad Sunfishes
Inland silversides
Spring 1981
Gizzard shad
n/a
0.03
0.0
-0.03
0.0
$-0.56$
0.0
0.02

Sunfishes
Inland silversides
Summer 1981

| Gizzard shad | 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.50 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | 0.70 | 0.16 | 0.37 |
| Sunfishes | 0.01 | -0.01 | 0.01 |
| Inland silversides | -0.84 | -0.86 | -0.86 |

Table 12. Continued.

| Season and | Hybrid length group |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| food item | $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

Fall 1981

| Gizzard shad | 0.07 | -0.03 | 0.32 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.39 |
| Sunfishes | 0.01 | -0.01 | 0.01 |
| Inland silversides | -0.97 | -0.97 | -0.97 |

a Denotes electivity index $L$ of Strauss (1979).
${ }^{b}$ Denotes no fish in the length group collected that season.

Table 13. Seasonal selectivity values (L) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for largemouth bass taken from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.

| Season and | Largemouth bass 1ength group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| food item | $\leq 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

Summer 1980

| Gizzard shad | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.09 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| Sunfishes | -0.09 | -0.06 | 0.23 |
| Inland silversides | -0.67 | -0.81 | -0.90 |

Winter 1980-81
Gizzard shad
Threadfin shad
Sunfishes

| -0.02 | -0.02 | 0.64 | 0.98 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| -0.47 | -0.57 | -0.97 | -0.97 |

Spring 1981

| Gizzard shad | -0.14 | -0.08 | 0.15 | 0.36 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Threadfin shad | 0.0 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.0 |
| Sunfishes | -0.78 | -0.72 | -0.65 | -0.67 |
| Inland silversides | -0.07 | -0.07 | 0.08 | -0.12 |

Summer 1981

| Gizzard shad | -0.01 | 0.06 | 0.15 | n/a |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Threadfin shad | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.03 |  |
| Sunfishes | -0.01 | 0.03 | 0.33 |  |
| Inland silversides | -0.87 | -0.81 | -0.87 |  |

Fall 1981

| Gizzard shad | -0.03 | -0.03 | 0.03 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Threadfin shad | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.01 |  |
| Sunfishes | -0.04 | -0.01 | 0.30 |  |
| Inland silversides | -0.93 | -0.86 | -0.93 |  |

[^2]Table 14. Annual overlap ( $\alpha)^{\text {a }}$ in food of striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids and largemouth bass taken from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981, by 1ength group.

| Year | Hybrid length group | Largemouth bass length group (mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\leq 150$ | 151-300 | 301-450 | 451-600 |
| 1980 | $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
|  | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.6* | 0.6* | 0.7* | 0.5 |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.6* | 0.5 | 0.6* | 0.6* |
| 1981 | $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7* | 0.8* |
|  | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6* | 0.5 |
|  | 451-600 mm | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6* | 0.7* |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Denotes Schoener (1971).
*Denotes significant overlap (as defined by Zaret and Rand 1971).

Table 15. Seasonal overlap ( $\alpha)^{a}$ in food of striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids and largemouth bass taken from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981, by length group.

| Season | Hybrid length group | Largemouth bass length group (mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\leq 150$ | 151-300 | 301-450 | 451-600 |
| Spring 1980 | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | n/a | 0.7* | n/a |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | n/a | n/a | 0.3 | n/a |
| Summer 1980 | $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.6* | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.2 | 0.6* | 0.6* | 0.0 |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall/Winter } \\ 1980-81 \end{gathered}$ | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Spring 1981 | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6* |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.4 | 0.6* | 0.5 | 0.8* |
| Summer 1981 | $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.3 | 0.6* | 0.4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | n/a |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | n/a |
| Fall 1981 | $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | n/a |
|  | $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | n/a |
|  | $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | n/a |

a Denotes overlap index alpha (Schoener 1971).
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Both }}$ predators in the given length group were not collected.
*Denotes significant overlap (as defined by Zaret and Rand 1971).


Figure 1. Map of Sooner Lake, Pawnee and Noble Counties, Oklahoma.


Figure 2. Annual summaries of food habits of 151-300 mm long striped bass x white bass hybrids from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.


Figure 3. Annual summaries of food habits of $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long striped bass x white bass hybrids from Sooner Lake during 1930 and 1981.


Figure 4. Annual summaries of food habits of $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long striped bass x white bass from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.


Figure 5. Annual summaries of food habits of largemouth bass 150 mm long taken from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.


Figure 6. Annual summaries of food habits of largemouth bass $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long taken from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.


Figure 7. Annual summaries of food habits of largemouth bass $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long taken from Sooner Lake during 1930 and 1981.


Figure 3. Annual summaries of food habits of largemouth bass 451-600 mm long taken from Sooner Lake during 1930 and 1931.


Figure 9. Seasonal IRI values for striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids from Sooner Lake during 1930 and 1981, by length group.
Figure 10. Seasonal IRI values for largemouth bass from Sooner Lake
during 1980 and 1931 , by length group.



Figure 11. Seasonal selectivity values (L) for four major forage fish groups for striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids taken from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.


Figure 12. Seasonal selectivity values (L) for four major forage fish groups for largemouth bass taken from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.


Figure 13. Seasonal diet overlap ( $\alpha$ ) between $151-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ long striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids and each length group of largemouth bass from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.


Figure 14. Seasonal diet overlap ( $\alpha$ ) between $301-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ long striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids and each length group of largemouth bass from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.


Figure 15. Seasonal diet overlap ( $\alpha$ ) between $451-600 \mathrm{~mm}$ long striped bass $x$ white bass hybrids and each length group of largemouth bass from Sooner Lake during 1980 and 1981.
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[^0]:    a Denotes mean percent volume per stomach.
    $\mathrm{b}_{\text {Denotes }}$ estimated numbers.

[^1]:    adenotes mean percent volume per stomach.
    $b_{\text {Denotes }}$ estimated numbers.

[^2]:    adenotes electivity index of Strauss (1979).

