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## PROTECTIVE ANTIGENS FOR THE CONTROL OF IXODES SPECIES INFESTATIONS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims the benefit of copending U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/376,251 filed Apr. 29, 2002.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

## 1. Technical Field

The present invention relates to the identification of protective antigens against infestations with Ixodes spp. ticks, gene sequences and encoded proteins for such antigens, related vaccines and methods useful to induce an immune response, which are protective to interfere with infestations by Ixodes spp. ticks.
2. Background

Ticks parasitize wild, domesticated animals and humans and transmit pathogens including fungi, bacteria, viruses and protozoon. Currently, ticks are considered to be second in the world to mosquitoes as vectors of human diseases, but they are considered to be the most important vector of pathogens in North America (Parola and Raoult, 2001). Ixodes spp. are distributed worldwide and act as vectors of human diseases caused by Borrelia burgdorferi (Lyme disease), Anaplasma phagocytophila (human granulocytic ehrlichiosis), Coxiella burnetti (Q fever), Francisella tularensis (tularemia), B. afzelii, B. lusitaniae, B. valaisiana and B. garinii, Rickettsia helvetica, R. japonica and R. australis, Babesia divergens and tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) and Omsk Hemorrhagic fever viruses (Estrada-Peña and Jongejan, 1999; Parola and Raoult, 2001). Throughout eastern and southeastern United States and Canada, I. scapularis (the black legged tick) is the main vector of $B$. burgdorferi sensu stricto and A. phagocytophila (Estrada-Peña and Jongejan, 1999; Parola and Raoult, 2001).

Control of tick infestations is difficult and often impractical for multi-host ticks such as Ixodes spp. Presently, tick control is effected by integrated pest management in which different control methods are adapted to one area or against one tick species with due consideration to their environmental effects. Recently, development of vaccines against onehost Boophilus spp. has provided new possibilities for the identification of protective antigens for immunization against tick infestations (Willadsen, 1997; Willadsen and Jongejan, 1999; de la Fuente et al., 1999; 2000; de Vos et al., 2001). The recombinant B. microplus BM86 gut antigen included in commercial vaccine formulations TickGARD (Hoechst Animal Health, Australia) and Gavac (Heber Biotec S. A., Havana, Cuba) also confers partial protection against phylogenetically related Hyalomma and Rhipicephalus tick genera (de la Fuente et al., 2000; de Vos et al., 2001). However, immunization with BM86 failed to protect against the more phylogenetically distant Amblyomma spp. (de Vos et al., 2001). These results suggest that using Bm86 or a closely related gene for the production of vaccines against Ixodes spp. or other tick genera phylogenetically distant from Boophilus spp. (Black and Piesman, 1994) could be impractical. Therefore, the screening for novel protective antigens is necessary to identify vaccine candidates against infestations with these tick species of medical and veterinary importance. Control of ticks by vaccination would avoid environmental contamination and selection of
drug resistant ticks that result from repeated acaricide application (de la Fuente et al., 1998; Garcia-Garcia et al., 1999). Anti-tick vaccines also allow for inclusion of multiple antigens in order to target a broad range of tick species and for incorporation of pathogen-blocking antigens.

Vaccination with DNA and cDNA molecules has been used to induce a protective immune response against $B$. microplus and several pathogens in laboratory animals and livestock (De Rose et al., 1999; Drew et al., 1999; van Drunen Littel-van den Hurk et al., 2001; Kofta and Wedrychowicz, 2001). A new technique, expression library immunization (ELI) in combination with sequence analysis provides an alternative approach for identification of potential vaccine antigens based on rapid screening of the expressed genes without prior knowledge of the antigens encoded by cDNA clones. ELI was first reported for Mycoplasma pulmonis (Barry et al., 1995) and since then has been used for unicellular and multicellular pathogens and viruses (Manoutcharian et al., 1998; Alberti et al., 1998; Brayton et al., 1998; Melby et al., 2000; Smooker et al., 2000; Moore et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2002). However, the identification of individual protective clones has not been reported and it is predicted that identification of protective antigens will be more difficult as the complexity of the genome increases.

Although several reports in the literature have demonstrated by ELI that libraries can offer a degree of protection (Barry et al., 1995; Manoutcharian et al., 1998; Alberti et al., 1998; Brayton et al., 1998; Melby et al., 2000; Smooker et al., 2000; Moore et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2002), none have applied ELI to arthropods and particularly to ticks. Several vaccines have been developed to protect humans against Ixodes-transmitted pathogens including TBE virus and $B$. burgdorferi. However, it is not clear whether these vaccines will protect against all pathogen strains and genotypes. The inclusion of tick immunogens in pathogen-specific vaccines could enhance their protective effect and increase efficacy (Nuttall, 1999). This transmission-blocking approach is supported by evidence that host resistance to ticks provides some protection against tick-borne transmission of viruses and B. burgdorferi (Wikel et al., 1997). Furthermore, vaccination against $B$. microplus has been demonstrated to contribute to the control of tick-borne diseases (de la Fuente et al., 1998; 1999).

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is based upon our identification by ELI and sequence analysis of protective cDNA clones against experimental infestations with I. scapularis. This is the first example of the application of ELI to arthropods and particularly to ticks. The protective antigens are homologous to endopeptidases, nucleotidases, chorion proteins, vitellogenin receptors, peptidoglycan recognition proteins, glutamine-alanine rich proteins, ribosomal proteins, $\beta$-adaptin, Beta-amyloid precursor protein, Block of proliferation (Bop1), lectins, chloride channels, RNA polymerases, ATPases and heat-shock proteins. These antigens induce an immune response in vaccinated hosts that either interferes with tick development or results in a pro-feeding activity, which could be due to the expression of cDNAs encoding for tick immunosuppressants, anticoagulants and other proteins with low antigenicity and a pro-feeding activity or they could encode for proteins homologous to host proteins with anti-tick activity, which neutralization results in a tick profeeding activity. These protective antigens, although identified for I. scapularis, may be cross protective between

Ixodes species considering the high degree of conservation of gene sequences and protein function between species of the same genus. A $5^{\prime}$-nucleotidase was identified and characterized in B. microplus by Liyou et al. $(1999 ; 2000)$ but they did not assay its protection capacity. Although surprising at first glance, the protection capacity of ribosomal and heat shock protein preparations has been previously documented in other organisms (Elad and Segal, 1995; Silva, 1999; Melby et al., 2000; Cassataro et al., 2002) but never in ticks. The effect of cDNA vaccination on I. scapularis experimental infestations of mice was evidenced by the reduction of the number of engorged larvae, the retardation of larval development, the inhibition of molting to nymphal stages and the appearance of visibly damaged larvae with red coloration. These effects were also recorded in vaccination experiments with recombinant BM86 and BM95 against infestations with $B$. microplus, including the red coloration in some ticks, attributed to blood leakage to the tick haemolymph (Garcia-Garcia et al., 2000).

Thus, in one embodiment of the present invention there is provided cDNA sequences, protein encoding fragments thereof, and derived protein sequences for protective $I$. scapularis antigens comprising antigens homologous to endopeptidases, nucleotidases, chorion proteins, vitellogenin receptors, peptidoglycan recognition proteins, glutamine-alanine rich proteins, ribosomal proteins, $\beta$-adaptin, Beta-amyloid precursor protein, Block of proliferation (Bop1), lectins, chloride channels, RNA polymerases, ATPases and heat-shock proteins.

In another embodiment of the present invention there is provided a vaccine composition comprising the I. scapularis protective recombinant proteins and/or modified cDNAs separately or which may optionally be combined with adjuvant to enhance the protection efficacy of vaccine preparations against Ixodes spp., wherein the vaccine composition further comprises a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent. The vaccine composition also may optionally be combined with tick-borne pathogen components to provide a means to control tick-borne infections, wherein the vaccine composition further comprises a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent and adjuvant.

In another embodiment of the present invention there is provided a method for inducing an immune response in a mammal to provide immune protection, which reduces or affects infestations by Ixodes spp. ticks and/or transmission of tick-borne pathogens, the method comprising administering to at-risk human population and mammalian reservoir an effective amount of a vaccine composition comprising the $I$. scapularis protective recombinant proteins and/or modified cDNAs alone or in combination with an adjuvant or tickborne pathogen components to provide a means to control tick infestations and to reduce transmission to humans of tick-borne infections, wherein the vaccine composition further comprises a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent.

A better understanding of the present invention and its objects and advantages will become apparent to those skilled in this art from the following detailed description, wherein there is described only the preferred embodiment of the invention, simply by way of illustration of the best mode contemplated for carrying out the invention. As will be realized, the invention is capable of modifications in various obvious respects, all without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention. Accordingly, the description should be regarded as illustrative in nature and not as restrictive.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a summary of the cDNA ELI approach used to identify protective antigens against I. scapularis infestations.

FIG. 2A is a graph depicting the results of a primary screen of cDNA pools (A-H 1-4, A5) by ELI. V, control mice injected with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ vector DNA alone. ${ }^{*} \alpha<0.01$, $* * \alpha<0.05$ (Tukey's post-hoc test for pair comparisons after ANOVA). Number in boxes represent values for inhibition of tick infestation with respect to the control group.
FIG. 2B is a graph depicting the results of a primary screen of cDNA pools (A6-A10, B-H 5-8) by ELI. V, control mice injected with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ vector DNA alone. * $\alpha<0.01$, ** $\alpha<0.05$ (Tukey's post-hoc test for pair comparisons after ANOVA). Number in boxes represent values for inhibition of tick infestation with respect to the control group.

FIG. $\mathbf{3}$ is a graph depicting the results of a tertiary screen by ELI of cDNA sub-pools formed according to the predicted function of encoded proteins. Only groups with $I \geqq 15 \%$ are shown (white bars). The number of engorged larvae per mouse is expressed as mean $\pm$ SD (black bars). Control mice were injected with mitochondrial (MT) cDNAs. *P $\leqq 0.05$ (Student's $t$-test).

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Before explaining the present invention in detail, it is important to understand that the invention is not limited in its application to the details of the construction illustrated and the steps described herein. The invention is capable of other embodiments and of being practiced or carried out in a variety of ways. It is to be understood that the phraseology and terminology employed herein is for the purpose of description and not of limitation.

The present invention derives from the sequences set forth on the Sequence Listing attached hereto and incorporated herein. In particular, there is provided 25 separate and distinct sequences comprising 14 cloned cDNA molecules and 11 deduced amino acid sequences of encoded polypeptides, said sequences having been isolated and identified as possessing the asserted utility in accordance with the following described experimental methodology.

## EXAMPLE 1

## Construction of an I. scapularis cDNA Library and Screening for Protective Antigens by ELI

## Tick Cells

Monolayers of IDE8 (ATCC CRL 1973) cells, originally derived from embryonic $I$. scapularis, were maintained at $31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in L-15B medium supplemented with $5 \%$ foetal bovine serum, tryptose phosphate broth and bovine lipoprotein concentrate after Munderloh et al. (1994). Cells were subcultured at 1:5-1:10 when monolayers reached a density of approximately $10^{7}$ cells/T-25 flask. Medium was replaced weekly.

## Library Construction

A cDNA expression library was constructed in the vector pEXP1 containing the strong cytomegalovirus $\mathrm{CMV}_{I E}$ promoter (Clontech). Because we planned to target the early larval stages of I. scapularis, we chose to construct our library from cultured embryonic $I$. scapularis IDE8 cellsderived poly(A)+ RNA. The cDNA library contained $4.4 \times$
$10^{6}$ independent clones and a titer of approximately $10^{10}$ cfu $/ \mathrm{ml}$ with more than $93 \%$ of the clones with cDNA inserts. The average cDNA size was $1.7 \mathrm{~kb}(0.5-4.0 \mathrm{~kb})$.

## Primary Screen

The overall schema for identification of protective antigens through ELI, sequential fractionation and sequence analysis is shown in FIG. 1.

Ninety-six LBA (master) plates containing an average of 41 ( $30-61$ ) cDNA clones per plate were prepared. Replicas were made and clones from each plate were pooled, inoculated in Luria-Bertani with $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ampicillin, grown for 2 hr in a 96 wells plate and plasmid DNA purified from each pool (Wizard SV 96 plasmid DNA purification system, Promega, Madison, Wis., USA). BALB/c female mice, 5-6 weeks of age at the time of first vaccination, were used. Mice were cared for in accordance with standards set in the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Mice were injected with a 1 ml tuberculin syringe and a 27 gauge needle at days 0 and 14. Three mice per group were each immunized IM in the thigh with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ DNA/dose in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PBS. Two groups of 3 mice each were included as controls. One group was injected with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ vector DNA alone and the second with saline only. Two weeks after the last immunization, mice were infested with 100 I. scapularis larvae per mouse. Ticks were artificially reared at the Oklahoma State University tick rearing facility by feeding larvae on mice, nymphs on rabbits and adults on sheep and using for infestation in our experiments the larvae obtained from the eggs oviposited by a single female. Twelve hours after tick infestation, larvae that did not attach were counted to calculate the number of attached larvae per mouse and mice were transferred to new cages. Replete larvae dropping from each mouse were collected daily and counted during 7 days. The inhibition of tick infestation (I) for each test group was calculated with respect to vector-immunized controls as $[1-(<R L>n /$ $<\mathrm{RL}>\mathrm{c} x<\mathrm{RL}>\mathrm{ic} /<\mathrm{RL}>$ in) $] \times 100$, where $<\mathrm{RL}>\mathrm{n}$ is the average number of replete larvae recovered per mouse for each test group, $<\mathrm{RL}>\mathrm{c}$ is the average number of replete larvae recovered per mouse for control group, $<\mathrm{RL}>\mathrm{ic}$ is the average number of larvae attached per mouse for control group, and $<$ RL>in is the average number of larvae attached per mouse for each test group.

Pools of $41(30-61)$ I. scapularis cDNA clones were screened by ELI. Only 33 cDNA pools and controls were analyzed per experiment. The average tick infestation level was $50 \pm 13$ and $56 \pm 15$ and $56 \pm 15$ and $54 \pm 18$ larvae/mouse for cDNA immunized and control mice, respectively ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) (Table 1). The average number of engorged larvae recovered per mouse was $9 \pm 3$ and $13 \pm 4$ in the cDNAimmunized mice and $16 \pm 4$ and $17 \pm 3$ in the control vectorimmunized group ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) (Table 1). No reduction was observed in the number of larvae collected from mice that received the vector DNA compared to saline-immunized controls. The maximum number of engorged larvae was collected 3 to 4 days after infestation. However, in mice immunized with cDNA pools B5, A8 and A10 (FIG. 2) a retardation of larval development in 1 to 2 days was recorded. The average inhibition of tick infestation (I) was $49 \pm 28 \%$ and $30 \pm 22 \%$ (Table 1). After two experiments covering the analysis of 66 pools ( 2705 clones), 9 protective pools ( 351 clones) were selected producing an inhibition of tick infestation $\mathrm{I} \geqq 60 \%$ (FIGS. 2A and 2B and Table 1). When we started these experiments, we planed to screen over 4000 cDNA clones considering the complexity of the tick genome. However, to our surprise 9 protective cDNA pools were identified after screening 66 pools containing

2705 cDNA clones. This result probably reflects the possibility of interfering with tick infestations at many different levels that involve a Pleiades of gene products. Results from vaccination experiments against ticks employing recombinant antigens support this view (reviewed by Mulenga et al., 2000). Because of the complexity of the screening procedure in mice vaccinated and challenged with tick larvae, it was difficult to work with more than 9 protective cDNA pools. Therefore we did not continue screening new cDNA pools and focused our attention on the 9 pools selected after the primary screen.

## Secondary Screen

The secondary screen was done to verify the protective capacity of the cDNA pools selected after the primary screen (FIGS. 2A and 2B). After the primary screen of 66 cDNA pools ( 2705 clones), 9 pools with $\mathrm{I} \geqq 60 \%$ were selected for the secondary screen (re-screening) employing 5 mice per group as described above. Engorged larvae were kept for molting in a $95 \%$ humidity atmosphere. Molting of engorged larvae was evaluated by visual examination of tick nymphs under a stereomicroscope 34 days after last larval collection. The inhibition of molting (M) for each test group was calculated with respect to vector-immunized controls as $[1-(\mathrm{MLn} / \mathrm{MLc} \times \mathrm{RLc} / \mathrm{RLn})] \times 100$, where MLn is the number of nymphs for each test group, MLc is the number of nymphs for the control group, RLc is the number of larvae recovered for the control group, and RLi is the number of larvae recovered for each test group. Control mice were immunized with the negative ( $\mathrm{I}=0 \%$ ) F2 cDNA pool or saline only. A group was included immunized SC with two doses of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total IDE8 tick cell proteins per dose in Freund's incomplete adjuvant.

All 9 protective cDNA pools gave positive results in the secondary screen (data not shown). The tick infestation levels were higher in this experiment (average $85 \pm 6$ and $84 \pm 3$ larvae/mouse for cDNA-immunized and control mice, respectively; $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). Nevertheless, the average number of engorged larvae recovered per mouse was $39 \pm 7$ and $26 \pm 6$ for control and cDNA-immunized mice, respectively ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). The group immunized with total IDE8 tick cell proteins was protected with I=33\%. Again, no reduction was observed in the number of larvae collected from mice that received the control cDNA (F2 negative pool after the primary screen; FIG. 2A) compared to saline-immunized controls.
In the secondary screen, molting of engorged larvae was evaluated after 34 days. Molting was affected in all but one test cDNA-immunized group. Inhibition of molting in test cDNA-immunized mice compared to the control cDNAimmunized group varied from $0 \%$ to $12 \%(6 \pm 4 \%)$. The inhibition of molting was higher than $50 \%$ only in the larvae collected from mice immunized with cDNA pools B5 and A10, which showed a retardation of larval development in 1 to 2 days as in the primary screen. No differences were observed between control cDNA and saline-immunized mice. Among the larvae that did not molt to nymph, some were visibly damaged and presented a strong red coloration. The percent of red larvae in cDNA-immunized mice varied between $3 \%$ to $18 \%(7 \pm 5 \%)$ while in the saline and control cDNA-immunized groups red larvae represented the $6 \%$ and $4 \%$, respectively.

## Tertiary Screen

For the tertiary screen, 64 clones were grouped in 16 sub-pools each containing 1 to 17 plasmids according to the predicted function of encoded proteins (e.g., all the plasmids that encoded histone proteins were grouped together) and
used with 4 sub-pools containing 182 clones of unknown function or with sequences without homology to sequence databases to immunize 4 mice per group. Mice were immunized with $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ plasmid/dose in $50 \mu \mathrm{PBS}$ and evaluated as described above. Control mice were immunized with a pool of 20 plasmids containing mitochondrial cDNAs.

Tick infestation levels were similar in all test groups ( $72 \pm 2$ larvae/mouse) and in control mice ( $69 \pm 2$ larvae/ mouse) ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). The number of engorged larvae recovered per mouse was also similar between test ( $16 \pm 7$ ) and control ( $14 \pm 6$ ) mice $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$. However, the groups immunized with cDNA sub-pools containing clones with putative endopeptidase, nucleotidase, ribosomal proteins, heat shock proteins, glutamine-alanine-rich proteins and 3 of the sub-pools with unknown function or with sequences without homology to sequence databases had $\mathrm{I} \geqq 15 \%$ (FIG. 3). Furthermore, among them, the groups immunized with sub-pools containing clones with a putative endopeptidase, nucleotidase and two of the cDNA sub-pools with unknown function or with sequences without homology to sequence databases resulted in lower infestation levels compared to control mice ( $\mathrm{P} \leqq 0.05$ ) and $\mathrm{I} \geqq 40 \%$ (FIG. 3). Clones homologous to chorion proteins, vitellogenin receptors, and peptidoglycan recognition proteins were selected for they potential protection capacity in other stages of tick development.

## Statistical Analysis

The number of larvae attached per mouse and the number of engorged larvae recovered per mouse 7 days after infestation were compared by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) followed by a series of Tukey's post-hoc tests for pair comparisons between cDNA-immunized and control vector DNA-immunized mice (primary screen), and by Student's t -test between mice immunized with positive cDNA pools and the control negative F2 cDNA pool (secondary screen) or between test cDNA sub-pools-immunized and control mice immunized with mitochondrial cDNAs (tertiary screen).

## EXAMPLE 2

## Sequence Analysis of Protective Clones

All the 351 cDNA clones in the 9 pools that resulted positive in the secondary screen were sequenced. DNA from individual clones in these pools was purified (Wizard SV 96 plasmid DNA purification system, Promega) from the master plate and partially sequenced. In most cases a sequence larger than 700 nucleotides was obtained. Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using the program AlignX (Vector NTI Suite V 5.5, InforMax, North Bethesda, Md., USA). BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990) was used to search the NCBI databases to identify previously cloned sequences that may have homology to those that we sequenced. Sequence analysis allowed grouping the clones according to sequence identity to DNA databases and predicted protein function. The protective clones selected after the tertiary screen were fully sequenced.

Comparison to sequence databases permitted to identify sequence identity to previously reported genes with known function in $152(43 \%)$ of the clones (Table 2). Fifty seven percent of the sequences were homologous to genes with unknown function or had no significant identity to previously reported sequences (Table 2). Of the clones with sequence identity to genes with known function, $85 \%$ were homologous to arthropod sequences. Ninety-three clones ( $61 \%$ ) contained sequences homologous to Drosophila melanogaster, $5(3 \%)$ to other insects and $32(21 \%)$ to Ixodid tick species. Thirty percent of the clones were eliminated from further analysis based on their sequence identity,
including those containing similar sequences (Table 2). The protective clones included antigens homologous to endopeptidases, nucleotidases, chorion proteins, vitellogenin receptors, peptidoglycan recognition proteins, glutamine-alanine rich proteins, ribosomal proteins, and heat-shock proteins.

## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The results obtained with the various protective clones identified in the Sequence Listing, along with certain selected expressed proteins, are summarized in Table 4.
SEQ ID NO: 1 denotes the clone designated 4E6, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues $1-117$, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 2.
SEQ ID NO:3 denotes the clone designated 4D8, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues $80-575$, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 4.
SEQ ID NO: 5 denotes the clone designated 4F8, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues $1-951$, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 6.

SEQ ID NO: 7 denotes the clone designated 4G11, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues 1-697, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 8.

SEQ ID NO:9 denotes the clone designated 4D6, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues 198-1025, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 10.

SEQ ID NO:11 denotes the clone designated 3E1, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues $3-578$, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 12.

SEQ ID NO:13 denotes the clone designated 1C10, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues $1-1119$, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 14.

SEQ ID NO:15 denotes the clone designated 3E10, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues $51-1544$, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 16.

SEQ ID NO:17 denotes the clone designated 4F11, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues 31-2295, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 18 .

SEQ ID NO:19 denotes the clone designated 3C12, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues 6-332, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 20.

SEQ ID NO:21 denotes the clone designated 2C12, wherein the relevant protein encoding fragment has been identified as comprising residues 3-137, which encodes the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO: 22.

SEQ ID NOS: 22, 23 AND 24, denote, respectively, clones 1A9, 1B2 and 4A4, each comprising a partial sequence with no associated polypeptide.

As noted above, the present invention relates to the sequences identified in the Sequence Listing. More generally, the invention concerns the given cDNA sequences and any nucleotide sequence coding for a protein which is capable of eliciting an antibody or other immune response (e.g., T-cell response of the immune system) which recognizes an epitope(s) of the amino acid sequences depicted in the Sequence Listing, including less than the full cDNA sequences and mutants thereof. Hence the nucleotide
sequence may encode a protein which is the entire antigen encoded by the variously identified bases, or a fragment or derivative of the antigen or a fusion product of the antigen or fragment and another protein, provided that the protein which is produced from such sequence is capable of eliciting an antibody or other immune response which recognizes an epitope(s) of the given amino acid sequences.

As a result, the invention encompasses DNA sequences which encode for and/or express in appropriate transformed cells, proteins which may be the full length antigen, antigen fragment, antigen derivative or a fusion product of such antigen, antigen fragment or antigen derivative with another protein.

Proteins included within the present invention have an amino acid sequence depicted in the Sequence Listing. Other included proteins consist of a fragment of said sequence capable of eliciting an antibody or other immune response which recognizes an epitope(s) of the amino acid sequences depicted and a mutuant of said sequence capable of eliciting an antibody or other immune response which recognizes an epitope(s) of such amino acid sequences.

The nucleotide sequences may be inserted into any of a wide variety of expression vectors by a variety of procedures. Such procedures and others are deemed to be known by those skilled in the art. Suitable vectors include chromosomal, nonchromosomal and synthetic DNA sequences; e.g., derivatives of SV40; bacterial plasmids; phage DNAs; yeast plasmids; vectors derived from combinations of plasmids and phage DNAs, viral DNA such as baculovirus, vaccinia, adenovirus, fowl pox virus, pseudorabies, etc. The appropriate DNA sequence must be operatively linked in the vector to an appropriate expression control sequence(s) (promoter) to direct mRNA synthesis. As representative examples of such promoters, there may be mentioned LTR or SV40 promoter, the E. coli lac or trp, the phage lambda PL promoter and other promoters known to control expression of genes in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells or their viruses. The expression vector also includes a non-coding sequence for a ribosome binding site for translation initiation and a transcription terminator. The vector may also include appropriate sequences for amplifying expression.

The vector containing the appropriate cDNA sequence as hereinabove described, as well as an appropriate promoter or control sequence, may be employed to transform an appropriate host to permit the host to express the protein. Examples of host organisms and cells include bacterial strains (e.g., E. coli, Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Salmonella, etc.), fungi (e.g., yeasts and other fungi), animal or plant hosts (e.g., mouse, swine or animal and human tissue cells). The selection of the host is deemed to be within the scope of those skilled in the art.

It is also understood that the appropriate cDNA sequence present in the vector when introduced into a host may express part or only a portion of the protein which is encoded within the noted terminology, it being sufficient that the expressed protein be capable of eliciting an antibody or other immune response which recognizes an epitope(s) of the listed amino acid sequences.

The isolated cDNAs and/or polypeptide expressed by the host transformed by the vector may be harvested by methods which will occur to those skilled in the art and used in a vaccine for protection of a mammal, such as a bovine, swine, human, etc., against infestations of Ixodes species. Such protective recombinant proteins and/or modified cDNAs are used in an amount effective to induce an immune response against Ixodes species ticks and their associated pathogens and may be used in combination with a suitable physiologically acceptable carrier. The term "inducing an immune response" when used with respect to the vaccine described
herein means that the vaccine prevents disease associated with a particular tick species or reduces the severity of the disease.

The carrier employed in conjunction with vaccine may be any one of a wide variety of carriers. As representative examples of suitable carriers, there may be mentioned mineral oil, synthetic polymers, etc. Carriers for vaccines are well known in the art and the selection of a suitable carrier is deemed to be within the scope of those skilled in the art. The selection of a suitable carrier is also dependent upon the manner in which the vaccine is to be administered.
The present invention provides a method of immunizing a susceptible mammal, against infestations and disease caused by Ixodes species with the vaccine described above. For purposes of this invention, the vaccine is administered in an effective amount. The vaccine may be administered by any of the methods well known to those skilled in the art, for example, by intramuscular, subcutaneous, intraperitoneal or intravenous injection. Alternatively, the vaccine may be administered intranasally or orally. It is also to be understood that the vaccine may include active components, such as tick-borne pathogen components or adjuvants in addition to the antigen(s) or fragments hereinabove described.
The host expressing the antigen may itself be used to deliver antigen to non-human animals, by introducing killed or viable host cells that are capable of propagating in the animal. Direct incorporation of the cDNA sequences into host cells may also be used to introduce the sequences into animal cells for expression of antigen in vivo.
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TABLE 1

| Experimental group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Primary screen of the $I$. scapularis cDNA library by ELI in mice. |  |  |  | Number of pools selected for the secondary screen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of pools screened (Number of clones) | Average $\pm$ SD number of larvae attached per mouse ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Average $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ <br> number of <br> engorged <br> larvae per mouse ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Average $\pm$ SD inhibition of tick infestation (I) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Experiment 1 | 33 (1383) | $50 \pm 13(33-80)$ | $9 \pm 3(2-42)$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \pm 55 \% \\ (-183-87 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 6 ( $\mathrm{P}>75 \%$ ) |
| Vector DNAimmunized controls for experiment 1 | - | $56 \pm 13$ (45-67) | $16 \pm 4(5-27)$ | - | - |
| Experiment 2 | 33 (1322) | $56 \pm 15(29-79)$ | $13 \pm 4(1-27)$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \pm 28 \% \\ (-53-89 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 3 ( $\mathrm{I}>60 \%$ ) |

TABLE 1-continued

|  |  | Primary screen of the $I$. scapularis cDNA library by ELI in mice. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{a}$ Ninety six LBA plates containing an average of 41 cDNA clones per plate were prepared. Replicas were made and clones from each plate were pooled, inoculated, grown for 2 hr in a 96 wells plate and plasmid DNA purified from each pool for ELI. Three mice per group were each immunized IM twice with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ DNA/dose in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PBS two weeks apart. Two groups of 3 mice each were included as controls. One group was injected with vector DNA and the second with saline only.
${ }^{5}$ Fifteen days after the last immunization, mice were infested with 100 I. scapularis larvae per mouse. Twelve hrs later, larvae that did not attach were counted to calculate the number of attached larvae per mouse and mice were transferred to new cages.
${ }^{\text {}}$ Engorged larvae dropping from each mouse were collected daily and counted after 7 days.
${ }^{d}$ The inhibition of tick infestation (I) for each test group was calculated with respect to vectorimmunized controls as $[1-(\mathrm{RLn} / \mathrm{RLc} \times \mathrm{RLic} / \mathrm{RLin})] \times 100$, where RLn is the average number of replete larvae recovered per mouse for each test group, RLc is the average number of replete larvae recovered per mouse for control group, RLic is the average number of larvae attached per mouse for control group, and RLin is the average number of larvae attached per mouse for eachtest group

TABLE 2
Classification of the clones in protective pools by putative protein function according to identity to sequence databases.

| Putative protein Function | Number of clones |
| :---: | :---: |


| Biosynthetic $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Catabolism $^{\text {Cell adhesion }}$ | 4 |
| Cell cycle $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2 |
| Cytoskeletal $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2 |
| Defense | 8 |

Defense
DNA structure or replication ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Extracellular matrix
Endocytosis
Energy metabolism
Homeostasis
Morphogenetic
Mitochondrial ${ }^{a}$
Protein synthesis or processing ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$
RNA synthesis or processing ${ }^{a}$
Heat-shock proteins
Signal transduction
Transport
Unknown
Total
${ }^{a}$ Eliminated from further screening of protective antigens. Other clones
were eliminated for containing similar sequences
${ }^{b}$ Except for ribosomal proteins.

TABLE 3

| TABLE 3 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grouping of the clones according to the predicted function of <br> encoded proteins in sub-pools for the tertiary screen. |  |  |
|  | Clone | Pool $^{\text {a }}$ |

30

35
35
3

Membrane protein (7) 4D11, 4D12, 4E7, 4F7 F1

40
ATPase (6)

45
Cell channel/Transporter (5)

Early development-specific (4)

50
G protein-coupled receptor (4)

Growth factor receptor (3)

55 Lectin (3)
Vitellogenin (3)

Heat shock (3)
60

EGF-like (2)
Secreted protein (2)
65 Glutamine-Alanine rich (2)
Adaptin (1)

TABLE 3-continued
Grouping of the clones according to the predicted function of encoded proteins in sub-pools for the tertiary screen.

| Clone | Pool ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3G9, 3G10 | E3 |
| 4D11, 4D12, 4E7, 4F7 | F1 |
| 1D8, 1D11, 1E10 | D1 |
| 2B12 | A10 |
| 2 H 5 | E8 |
| 3C9 | B4 |
| 3G11 | E3 |
| $1 \mathrm{~A} 9,1 \mathrm{~B} 2,1 \mathrm{C} 9$ | A5 |
| 2C9 | A10 |
| 4A4 | C3 |
| 4G12 | F1 |
| 1F4 | D1 |
| 2H11 | E8 |
| 4A12 | C3 |
| 4G10, 4G11 | F1 |
| 1 C 8 | A5 |
| 3F4 | E3 |
| 4 C 7 | C3 |
| 4G9 | F1 |
| 2B7, 2C12 | A10 |
| 2F12 | E8 |
| 4C9 | C3 |
| 2E8 | B5 |
| 3B8, 3C8 | B4 |
| 3 E 10 | E3 |
| 4B8, 4C8 | C3 |
| 1F12 | D1 |
| 4A6 | C3 |
| 4G2 | F1 |
| 1 C 10 | A5 |
| 1F10 | D1 |
| 3F6 | E3 |
| 2 H 4 | E8 |
| 4 C 10 | C3 |
| $2 \mathrm{F9}$ | E8 |
| 3 C 12 | B4 |
| 4D6, 4E6 | F1 |
| 3E1 | E3 |


| Clone | Pool ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3G9, 3G10 | E3 |
| 4D11, 4D12, 4E7, 4F7 | F1 |
| 1D8, 1D11, 1E10 | D1 |
| 2B12 | A10 |
| 2 H 5 | E8 |
| 3C9 | B4 |
| 3G11 | E3 |
| $1 \mathrm{~A} 9,1 \mathrm{~B} 2,1 \mathrm{C} 9$ | A5 |
| 2C9 | A10 |
| 4A4 | C3 |
| 4G12 | F1 |
| 1F4 | D1 |
| 2H11 | E8 |
| 4A12 | C3 |
| 4G10, 4G11 | F1 |
| 1 C 8 | A5 |
| 3F4 | E3 |
| 4 C 7 | C3 |
| 4G9 | F1 |
| 2B7, 2C12 | A10 |
| 2F12 | E8 |
| 4C9 | C3 |
| 2E8 | B5 |
| 3B8, 3C8 | B4 |
| 3 E 10 | E3 |
| 4B8, 4C8 | C3 |
| 1F12 | D1 |
| 4A6 | C3 |
| 4G2 | F1 |
| 1 C 10 | A5 |
| 1F10 | D1 |
| 3F6 | E3 |
| 2 H 4 | E8 |
| 4 C 10 | C3 |
| $2 \mathrm{F9}$ | E8 |
| 3 C 12 | B4 |
| 4D6, 4E6 | F1 |
| 3E1 | E3 |

TABLE 3-continued

| Grouping of the clones according to the predicted function of <br> encoded proteins in sub-pools for the tertiary screen. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sub-pool (No. of clones) | Clone | Pool ${ }^{2}$ |
| Endopeptidase (1) | 4 D 8 | F1 |
| Nucleotidase (1) | 4 F 8 | F1 |

${ }^{a}$ cDNA pools refer to positive pools after primary and secondary screens (FIG. 2A and 2B).

TABLE 4

| cDNA <br> clone | Summary of results with $I$. scapularis cDNA clones. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predicted Protein | Inhibition <br> of tick <br> infestation <br> I (\%) | Inhibition <br> of molting <br> M \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Efficacy } \\ & \text { E (\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4D8 | Endopeptidase | 40*/54** | 7*/8** | 44*/58** |
| 4F8 | Nucleotidase | 50*/64** | 17*/-9** | 58*/61** |
| 1 C 10 | HSP70 | 17* | ND | ND |
| 4D6 | Glu-Ala-rich | $61 *$ | 11 | 66* |
| 4E6 | Glu-Ala-rich | 20*/46** | 16** | 55** |
| 3E1 | $\beta$-adaptin (appendage region) | $27 *$ | 5* | 31* |
| 2C12 | Beta-anyloid precursor protein (APP) | $-8^{* * *}$ | ND | ND |
| 4F11 | Block of proliferation Bop1 | -39*** | ND | ND |
| 3E10 | Mannose binding lectin | -48*/-10*** | ND | ND |
| 4G11 | Chloride channel | 38*** | 30 | 57 |

TABLE 4-continued

| cDNA <br> clone | Summary of results with $I$. scapularis cDNA clones. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predicted Protein | Inhibition of tick infestation I (\%) | Inhibition of molting M \% | Efficacy <br> E (\%) |
| 3 C 12 | RNA polymerase III | -104*** | ND | ND |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~A} 9,1 \mathrm{~B} 2, \\ & 4 \mathrm{~A} 4 \end{aligned}$ | ATPase | $-57^{* * *}$ | ND | ND |

Mice were immunized with cDNA-containing expression plasmid DNA as described above ( ${ }^{*}$ ) or with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ dose of recombinant protein expressed in E. coli (**). I, M and E were calculated as described above. ND, not 5 determined.
***Resulted in a pro-feeding activity. This effect could be due to the expression of cDNAs encoding for tick immunosuppressants, anticoagulants and other proteins with low antigenicity and a pro-feeding activity. Alternatively, they could encode for proteins homologous to host proteins with anti-tick activity, which neutralization results in a tick pro-feeding activity.

In view of the above, it will be seen that the several objectives of the invention are achieved and other advantageous results attained. As various changes could be made in the above DNA molecules, proteins, etc. without departing 5 from the scope of the invention, it is intended that all matter contained in the above description or shown in the accompanying drawings shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense. While the invention has been described with a certain degree of particularity, it is understood that the ${ }^{30}$ invention is not limited to the embodiment(s) set for herein for purposes of exemplification, but is to be limited only by the scope of the attached claim or claims, including the full range of equivalency to which each element thereof is entitled.

| <160> NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<210\rangle$ SEQ ID NO 1 |  |
| <211> LENGTH: 349 |  |
| <212> TYPE: DNA |  |
| <213> ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis |  |
| <400> SEQUENCE: 1 |  |
| atggaaatat ctgtgaaacc aaggcecaca aaaaggaaaa gaaaggccat catcatcatg | 60 |
| gcaagaatga gaacagcatt ccccaccaga agtgggaaca gcttctcaag gacttgaaca | 120 |
| gttaatgatg tgttgtgcaa ttcgaatgtg gctgcaacct cgctagagaa catagtcgac | 180 |
| cagctgtagt gctctagtat taaccaagaa gcagtattct gccgtcatat gtacaggcag | 240 |
| atttgttacg gcattttcag ctttttttta tacaaaatgt agttcttgtt taaaaaaaac | 300 |
| ctaaataaa acaaagccac aaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaa | 349 |
| <210> SEQ ID NO 2 |  |
| <211> LENGTH: 38 |  |
| <212> TYPE: PRT |  |
| <213> ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis |  |
| <400> SEQUENCE: 2 |  |
| Met Glu Ile Ser Val Lys Pro Arg Pro Thr Lys Arg Lys Arg Lys Ala    <br> 1 5 10 15 |  |


$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 3
$<211>$ LENGTH: 2693
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (685)..(685)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, $c, ~ g, ~ o r ~$

| ggtttgtgct gettggaaaa tccaccagga gcctgcaacc gcaaaaaagt tcatcatccg | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| gagctaagce tatcgcagga tggcttgcgc aacattaaag cgaacacacg attgggatcc | 120 |

gctgcatagt ccaaacggaa gatcgcccaa acgacggaga tgtatgcctt tgtcggtcac 180
acaagcagcg actcccccaa caagggcaca ccaaatcaac ccttcaccct tcggtgaagt 240
gccaccgaaa ttaacttcag aggagatagc ggccaacatt cgggaggaaa tgcgacgtct 300
gcagcggcge aagcagctct gcttctcgtc tcccctggag tcgggctccc cgtcggcgac 360
tccccetgeg gccgattgeg gaccagcctc ccccacgggc ctgtccccog ggggcetget 420
gtcgccogtg egcagggacc aacccctctt caccttccgc caggtggggc tcatctgega 480
gcgcatgatg aaggagcgcg agagccagat acgcgacgag tacgaccacg ttctgtctgc 540
caagctggca gagcagtacg acacatttgt caagtttacg tacgaccaaa ttcagaagcg 600
gtttgagggt gccactccaa gctatttgtc ataacatgat gggcatctgc aaacaagcaa 660
ggaactttga gggtttgtgc taganggaag aaacccatgg tggggaagga cacaagacca 720
acacttagac tcggcaagca agccagatcc tgtggggtge ggggacgggg ggaatgagtc 780
cagtggtgtc ttcggagttt tttttttcc ttctccottt ccctcgtctt cttttggca 840
caactcttta cggaactggt gtgcatccat tccccgaaag tgcaagagaa ggactcgegg 900
cggatcatct acggaggaag aagtgtgtat gcctttgtgc tttgggtctc cttttttttt 960
tttttaaccg tcttgccatc tcgccataga agacctgtga tctagcaaac aaaggtgtgc 1020
gaatgttatg caaaggttgg aagtcagttt gaaagtggag cgagagaaaa ttttgtatgc 1080
tgagtatggt tagtcaccgt ttacttttca ggagggggat gactgaggaa cggagcogcc 1140
ccaactctcg tttgtctttt atttttagga tactttctct gtggcgagaa tttgtgtgtg 1200
catgcaagtt agcgagggta cgaggaaaag aagggttata aaatattctg ggtgagagct 1260
gtagttcaac tggggggtgg gattgtaaag acctgcgggt accgagagga ccgcatgctc 1320
tggctatatt acttgcattg agggggagga ggaatgctgg acctcgagca gagccagcaa 1380
gtattttgga aaggaataaa aacaaaaatt ggcttagtgt acagatgtat aatatatatg 1440
cactacaggg tgtgtgtgcc tcttgtatct tcccgtgcgt tgtgtccctc ttgcggcttg 1500
cccatctgac aaccgcctgt gtacataggc caacgcaagt cttcagcatg gcaccctctt 1560
cttttcctt tttttttct cataagtaat tttgaaggag agaatatttt gatttctaag 1620
actcccaaaa catcaagtgc tctggtggtc ggaattctac aagtgcgaaa gttcctttct 1680
tttttttgtt tcgagatagg aatggcttca ggttgtgctg cctatgcttt ggccacactt 1740

| tggaacacct gcaacagcga attaactggt gtaggcctgt gacacttgca cagccgtgtt | 1800 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ttttttttt ttttttgtag ttttgcagta ataaaactt gttatggaaa gagtgcatta | 1860 |
| tgctatggca ttgtctgctg ctatgcttat tggaatgcat gcctgatgtg tgttgtgctt | 1920 |
| gaggatagtg aagtggtatt gcagggttgg aaaggagctt anaatgcctt ctggcttttg | 1980 |
| cataagcgtg gctttgggtg tcgtctgagc ttgtcaatca cagtgcaaca tgcactttgt | 2040 |
| ccaattggtt tattggggac tgcttttggg tgcagagttt gactaatttt tagtaatgct | 2100 |
| tcaaatgcaa cgcttctgtg ttgatcgcag ttcatcaact cgtcgatcat tatgcatgtg | 2160 |
| aaaaactgct cacgtaaact gtatgttgat atcacagttg cactgaggaa gcctggctta | 2220 |
| agatggtgtg tgcaagtgct tggcacactg cgtattttcc agcataaagc tggtagtgta | 2280 |
| caggtgctgc tgttagtagc aaactttctg ccattgctgc cacaattcat gcatgaatga | 2340 |
| gtgttgggga gtatgttagt ttatcttttc aaaactgatt tgaagtacca gtgtcctata | 2400 |
| tttttgccat tgcattaata tggatcctgc attgtttacg gaaaaaagtgataacattaa | 2460 |
| ttatgaaaga tattaagcga gtttattgac ttttccagga gaatttagac caggaggcac | 2520 |
| tacatagcct gtggtctgct ttgttatgtt gacttggttt ttgtggaaat tagttctaaa | 2580 |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 4
$<211>$ LENGTH: 184
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<400>$ SEQUENCE $: 4$


atggegtcgt gtggagcatc agcgacgggt cotctcgtcc taagagtaat ttccaacact 60
gttaaaatag ttaacagcgc cggaaagata atcaaggaca tcatgaacag tggaaacctc 120
ggaatcgtcg aaaaggaagg catcaatgac ctgcaaacgg aggcagacag atctgttcag 180
cgctgcattg tgacttcgct ctcgagacag ttcccaaaac tgacaataat tggtgaagag 240
actctggagg agaaaagat cagcgacgac tggatcatca cogagcatga caaggatgtc 300
ctggccactt ctctgccgga caacctgaag aacatcaaag aggaagattt ggtagtctgg 360
gttgatcctc tggatggaac caaggagtac acacagggtt tcctggacca cgtgacgatc 420
ctggtgggga ttgcggttga cggtaaggca gtgggtggag tgatccacca gccgtactac 480
aactaccagg tggagaagga cgtctacaag cagggacgta ccatgtgggg cattgtcggc 540
gtcggtgcct ttgggatctc gegcattgcg cetccggaga acaagaggat catcactacg 600
acgcgctccc attccagccc caccatcaac agctgcattg aagccatgaa tccggacgag 660
gtgctgcgag ttggaggtgc cgggcacaag gtgctgctgt tgattgaggg caaggctcac 720
gcttacgtgt ttcccagcaa agggtgcaag aaatgggaca cttgtgcccc cgaagcgatt 780
cttcatgcca ctggcggcct tcttacagat gttcacggga acagattgga gtaccacaag 840
gacgtggaac acgtcaatgc eggeggcgtt cttgccacct gectgaagga acaacacgaa 900
tggttcaaga accacattcc egaagatgtc cgcaagacgc ttcctctatg agcaacctgc 960
cgttgtccgt tgcgatcaca ctcaagtcgc gtttttcctt taactttgtg gtgatgcggt 1020
tcaaagtctt atactattag tgttttggtg gtccaaatat tattactaaa aaaacccgga 1080
gacatgggac acaaaaaat ggaggggcgg gacaataagg tctcgaacac agctcgtaca 1140
gaatttttta aaataatgtt gatttcaggt ttatttgtgg aaactctgaa attaaccgtt 1200
atgtcattat ttgggttgtg cogtttgaaa ttttatgaaa tacgtaatag ctgcacgcat 1260
tttgcaggcc actcagctcc ttgaatgctc gatgcttgat gcttctgcca acattatttg 1320
tatctcaagt ttttctacca caagaaacag taccctaaca ttttgaaata gtattactag 1380
-continued

| cacttacatt gcttgatcgt tgaattaatt ttttagctga tgttaaggac acttataata | 1560 |
| :--- | :--- |
| attaaggaaa tgagatcgat cttgagcttg tttgngcctc tgtaanaatt gatgctcttt | 1620 |
| canacctaat gcttaatgca acaataatta tcaagtaatc cttactcagg tgtcagatat | 1680 |
| gcaagcagat gccaatgctt ctgttcattg agtggcaaaa ggcattgctc tttgtcacat | 1740 |
| tgcatgcatt tatgacagcc cnccttaata aactataatg cagctaatnt gaaaaaaaaa | 1800 |
| aaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaa a |  |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 6
$<211>$ LENGTH: 316
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 6

| Met 1 | Ala | er | Cys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | Ala | Ser | Ala | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | ro I | eu | $1$ | Leu | $15$ | $1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ile |  | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | Val | Lys | le | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Ala | Gly | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | Lys |
| Asp | Ile | Met 35 | Asn | Ser | Gly | $A s n$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Ile | Val | Glu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | Glu | Gly | Ile |
| Asn | Asp $50$ | Leu | Gln | r | lu | Ala 55 | Asp | $r g$ | Ser | al | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G} \ln \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | Arg | Cys |  | Val |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | er | u | er | $r g$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Gln} \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | he | ro | ys | eu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | Ile | fly | lu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ |
| Thr | eu | Glu | $1 u$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Ile | er | Asp | Asp <br> 90 | Trp | Ile | Ile | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | His |
| Asp | Lys | Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Ala | Thr | er | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | Pro | sp | Asn | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Ile |
| Lys | Glu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Leu | Val | 7al | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trp } \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | Val | Asp | Pro | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | 「hr | Lys |
| Glu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyr } \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Gln | Gly | he | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | His | Val | $h r$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Val | Gly | Ile |
| Ala $145$ | al | Asp | 1 y | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | Val | fly | $1 y$ | al | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ | His | $\mathrm{Gln}$ | ro | Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyr } \\ & 160 \end{aligned}$ |
| Asn | Tyr | Gln | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 165 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Asp | Val | Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 170 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Gln}$ | Gly | Arg | Thr | $\begin{gathered} \text { Met } \\ 175 \end{gathered}$ | Trp |
| Gly | le | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ | Val | ly | Ala | he | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | er | Arg | Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ | ro | Pro |
| Glu | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 195 \end{aligned}$ | Arg | Ile | Ile | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Arg | Ser | His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | Ser |  | Thr |
| Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Cys | le | lu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 215 \end{aligned}$ | Met | n | ro | sp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 220 \end{aligned}$ | Val | Leu | Arg | Val |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 225 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Ala | Gly | is | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 230 \end{aligned}$ | al | Leu | eu | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 235 \end{aligned}$ | Glu | Gly | Lys | Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { His } \\ & 240 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ala | Tyr | Val | Phe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 245 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Lys | Gly | Cys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | Lys T | Trp | Asp | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cys } \\ & 255 \end{aligned}$ | Ala |
| Pro | lu | Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 260 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | is | la | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 265 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | eu | eu | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 270 \end{aligned}$ | Val | His |
| Gly | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arg } \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Glu | Tyr | His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 280 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Val | Glu | His | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Val} \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Ala | Gly |
| Gly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Ala | Thr | Cys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu I } \\ & 295 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Glu | Gln | His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | Trp | Phe | Lys | Asn |
| His | Ile | Pro | Glu | Asp | Val A | Arg I | Lys | Thr I | Leu P | Pro I | Leu |  |  |  |  |



| gacctcaagg aaggcatctg cccgcaggcc ttctggctca acaaggagca gtgttgctgg | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| gcctccaacg ataccttctt taagggggac gactgcaagc agtggtatcg gtggcccgag | 120 |
| atgttcgaca gcggcatgga caaggacggg gcaggctttt acctgctctc ctacctgctg | 180 |
| tacgtcatgt ggagtgtgct cttcgccacc ctggccgtca tgctcgttcg caccttcgcg | 240 |
| ccctatgcct gtggatctgg aatcccggag atcaagacga ttctgagcgg cttcatcatc | 300 |
| cgcggctacc tgggcaagtg gacgctgacc atcaaatcag tgtgtctggt gctggccgtc | 360 |
| ggggcgggcc tcagcctggg caaagagggg cccctggtgc acgtggcctg ctgcatcggg | 420 |
| aacatcttct cctacctctt ccccaagtac ggcaagaatg aggccaagaa gagggagatc | 480 |
| ctgtcggctg ccgccgccgc gggagtttct gtggcctttg gggctcccat cggcggtgtt | 540 |
| ctcttcagcc tcgaagaggt gagctactac ttncccttga agacgctgtg gcgttccttc | 600 |
| ttctgcgccc tggtggcagc ctcggtgctg cgctccatca acccctttgg caacgaccac | 660 |
| ctggtgatgt tctacgtcga gtacgacttt coctggc |  |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 8
$<211>$ LENGTH: 232
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (191)..(191)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Xaa can be any naturally occurring amino acid
$<400>$ SEQUENCE $: 8$


$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 9
$<211>$ LENGTH: 1221
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (713)..(713)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, $c, ~ g, ~ o r ~$
gtttcttgtt acggtagtgg agtgctgagt ttactcgata atatctgaga aatagtggca 60
atatcaattt ttctgtaaat tagaaatgta accaatggcg tggctatctt ctagtcgaca 120
ctaacgtctc ggatctgctg ttcaaagtcc cgggcgatca agccgtattt gttgtccagc 180
tgccaagtgc gtcgaatatg atgccgaaaa agaaagaatc agtcgcgagc tctaaagaag 240
acgegccgat cgacgtgatc ggcctgccct cccacaaacg acacaagaag cacaagcaca 300
aaagcacaa gcgcaagcga ggcacggacc aagacgaaga ccaatcgcce gccgcgagcc 360
cgcagagcgg tggcgagggt agcagcagca agccegcget caagctcaag atcaagatcg 420
gcggacagac ggtcgagaag aacgtgacca agctgaaaca gcagcggccg ccgccgccgg 480
accctagcga agccgatctc gecgaactcc tgatgaaacc caactcgggc gatacgageg 540
cagacagcga tgacgaagag gaagcetggc tcgaagccot cgagtccggc aggctcgaag 600
aggtcgacga cgagctccgc aaaatgaagg acccgaccot gatgacggcc aggcagcggg 660
ccctgctcga gagcaagtcg cagaaggacg aggtcccggc gacggggatg genggcgtcc 720
gcggagcccg tcaaagagat gtccgaggag atgattcagc ggcggatgct gcgggccaaa 780
aagcggaagc agcaggccga agagaagaaa gagaaggaga agaagcagac gatcgagcgt 840
ctgctcaaga agtccgactc gaggctgagg gccagcaaga agttggccaa gaagagcgat 900
actcceaagg tgtcgetggt caacacgcag gcaggcacge tgctctcgtt tcccgtcggc 960
gttgegttcc cgctgtcggc agcegtggcc caggggtacc cegagaagac gacgtgcggc 1020
attaagggtt gtcgtaaccc gaagaagtac tcgtgctcca agacaggcgt gcccctgtgc 1080
agcctcgagt gctacaagac gaacatgctg cagatgtgcg tctgagcggg cagctaggct 1140
tccgggctac agctgctcct tgtgtatatg tatataaagt cgagaatgct gaaaaaaaaa 1200
aaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaa a 1221
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 10
$<211>$ LENGTH: 275
$<212>$ TYPE : PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<400>$ SEQUENCE $: 10$
-continued

<210> SEQ ID NO 11
<211> LENGTH: 1942
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
<400> SEQUENCE: 11

| cgatgcaggc gatgacgggc tttgcggtgc agttcaacaa aaacagtttc gggctgactc | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| cagctcagcc gctgcagttg cagattcccc tgcagcccaa cttcccagct gatgcgagct | 120 |
| tgcagctggg aaccaacggt cccgtgcaga agatggacce cctcaccaac cttcaggtgg | 180 |
| ccatcaagaa caatgtggac gtgttctact tcagctgcct ggtgcccatg cacgtgctga | 240 |
| gcacggagga cggcctgatg gacaagcggg tgttcctggc cacctggaaa gacatccccg | 300 |
| cccaaaacga ggtccagtac accctcgaca acgtcaacct cactgcagac caagtttccc | 360 |
| agaagctgca gaacaacaac attttcacga tagccaagag gaacgtggac ggccaggaca | 420 |
| tgctgtacca gtccctgaag ctcaccaacg gcatttgggt gttggcggag ctcaagatac | 480 |
| agcccggcaa tccaaggatc acgttgtctt tgaagacaag agcacctgaa gtggcagcag | 540 |

-continued

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 12
$<211>$ LENGTH: 191
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<400>$ SEQUENCE : 12


$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 13
$<211>$ LENGTH: 1428
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (701)..(701)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{g}$, or $t$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE : 13

| cgcgccgtgc agaagctgcg tcgggaggtt gagaaggcaa agaggaccct gtccactgct | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| caccaggcca ggatcgagat tgaatcgttc ttcgagggag aggacttcag tgagaccctg | 120 |
| actcgtgcta agtttgagga gctgaacatg gaccttttcc gttccaccat gaagcctgtt | 180 |
| cagaaggtac tcgaggatgg tgacctcaag aagactgatg tggacgagat tgtgcttgtc | 240 |
| ggaggttcca ccaggatccc caaggttcaa cagctggtca aggagttctt caatggcaag | 300 |
| gaacccaccc gtggcatcaa ccccgacgaa gcagtcgcct acggtgccgc cgtgcaggct | 360 |
| ggagtcctcg gcggagagga agacactggg gacctcgtgc tgttggacgt gaaccctctg | 420 |
| accctcggca tcgagacagt gggaggcgtc atgacgaaac tgatcccccg taacacagtc | 480 |
| atccccacga agaagtctca gatcttctcc acggcctcgg acgagcagag cactgtcacc | 540 |
| atccaggtct ttgaggggga gcgtcccctg acaaaggaca accaccagct gggcaagttc | 600 |
| gacctgactg gcatcccacc tgctcctcga ggtgtgcccc aaatcgaggt gaccttcgag | 660 |
| tggacctatt taccatgatc attctctgta caaaaacaat tctttctgtg tttttttttt | 1320 |
| tttcgttgta gtaacttaag ttatacagat gtcttctact gggtgggctt tctccatgag | 1380 |
| attgacgtca acggtatcct gcgggtcagt gcagaggaca ngggtacagg caacaagcag | 720 |
| aagatcacca tcaacaatga ccagaacagg ctgacgcctg aggacatcga gaggatggta | 780 |
| aaggacgccg aaaagtttgc cgacgaggac aagaaggtca aggagaaggt ggaggcccgc | 840 |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 14
$<211>$ LENGTH: 372
$<212>$ TYPE : PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<220>$ FEATURE :
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (234)..(234)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Xaa can be any naturally occurring amino acid
$<400>$ SEQUENCE : 14

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 15
$<211>$ LENGTH: 1847
$<212>$ TYPE $:$ DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM $:$ Ixodes scapularis
$<220>$ FEATURE
$<221>$ NAME/KEY $:$ misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (1814)..(1814)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, $c, g$, or $t$
$<400>$ SEQUENCE $: 15$

| cgacgtgttt gtgagtgcag aggtgaactg gacggtgtcg tggccacgcg atggcagcgg | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cggtgatgaa ctgcctacgg actgcgcttt taggcgctct cgtcgtccaa ctctacgcca | 120 |

cgcagatagg tcaccggaaa ttcgagtaca agtacagttt caagggaccc tacctggcgc 180
agaaggatgg atcggtgcct ttctgggagt acggcggcaa ttgcatcgcc agtgaggaga 240
tggttcggat cacgccctcc ctgaagagca agaaaggatc catctggtcc aagctgccga 300
catcgttccc ttggtgggag gtggagctgg tgttccgcac cacgggtacg ggcaggatag 360
gagctgacgg cetggcettc tggtacacag acaagaagca ggcggagggt cetgtctttg 420
gaagcagcga caagtggact ggcctggcca tcttcttcga ttccttcgac aatgataaca 480
agcacaacaa cccatacatc atgggcatgg tgaacgatgg aacaaaagcc tacgatcatg 540
agagtgacgg tgccaaccaa cagctagcgg gatgccagcg ggacttccgc aacaagcott 600
accetgtcag ggccaagata gaatacttca acaacattct cacggtgctg ttccacaacg 660
gcaacaccaa caacgacggt gactacgaga tgtgcttccg tgcggagaac gtgttcctgc 720
cgaccaacgg ccactttggg gtgtccgccg ccacgggggg cetggcagac gaccacgacg 780
coctcaagtt cotgacgacg agcctgcatg cggagggcac gcagccggcc ctggcccagg 840
gtatggccga ctcagagaag gagaagttct ccaaggagta tgaagtatac aaggacaagc 900
tggaaaagca gaaggaggag taccggaaga cgcacccgga ggaggccgct aagcaggcca 960
tggagcacgg coccgagcag gcetacgaca cgcagcagca gcgcgagctg cgccagatct 1020
aggtgctcgg gigccaggag egcaccctgt cgctggtgtc ggctggcggc gccggcgtgg 1140
ccgtgggcgg tgttccgcca ccgcagatgg gtggagtgcc gtcgctgcag aggcacgaag 1200
cagagtccet gctgagcagc cagcgggagc tgctgcagac ggtggctcag gtcaagagct 1260

| ttgtggccga ggtgcatcaa cgcacggcea ccctgcaaca ccagggggcg ggaggcacce | 1320 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| agggcctcac ggccgagcag ctgcaagtgc tccaccaggt gcgggacagc gtggccagca | 1380 |


| tgcaccggga cgtctccaac aaccagccgc agaggactgg ctgcgcgaca tcctgtctca | 1440 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gcactaccca cttcttgctg tttgcaacgt tgcagttggc tgtcacgctg ggctacttgg | 1500 |


| tgtacaggag cagcaaagag gcggcggcca agaagttcta ctgagtgcag atctcgagcc | 1560 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ttgccttgcc ctcccctcc atggagtgga ccttaacccc acagactgcc agaaaccagt | 1620 |

gttgceagag gagcceccct cocttcttat tgggtggggt gccacagcca tcacccattc 1680
ttcgagacaa ggccactgtt tggggggagg ggcaagagat tcatccgggg tgcgcaacaa 1740
aacatggceg tacagaggga ggggtgctcc agaactgggt cecagccaca tcgttgcgtg 1800
ggagcgcctt tctncctcac tctaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaa 1847
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 16
$<211>$ LENGTH: 497
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis


$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 17
$<211>$ LENGTH: 2475
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (1342)..(1342)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, $c, ~ g, ~ o r ~$
catcactagt agcgagacac gtgcgtaaa atggggccca aaacgctgtc taagcagccc 60
gctaaagctt cttcatccac ttccaagcgc accgccggce ccacaataag caagcagacg 120
gaggacagcg atgacgaagg gtcaagcagc gcctactccg acttggagga ctccgaagga 180
gccgacagca gcgactcgaa cgatttgtcg gacacggagg cgtcggagga tgactacgat 240
gactcccaag acgaagaaaa cacgaagatt actttgactg gggtggaggg gaaggacctt 300
gagttgaggg ggaaggacca ggaggcaccg gtggagtctg gcaaaaggtc ggcatggcac 360
cggcagcaag aggacgccaa ggaggacaga cgaacgcaag tggtggaaga tgaatatgcc 420
tttgactctt cegacgaaga ggacgttcgc aacacggttg gcaacattcc tctggagtgg 480
tacgagcact atccgcacat cggttatgat ctggaaggca agccaatcct gaagccgcct 540
cgggttagtg acctggacga cttcctgagg aaaatggatg accccaacta ttggaggacg 600
gtgaaggaca agagcacggg acaggacgtt gtcctgaccg acgaagatgt ggacctgatt 660
cagaggctgc agaaaggaca gttccccagc tcgacgactg acccttacga gccatttgag 720
gacatctttt cgcacgagac catgatccac coggtgacca ggcaccctcc ccagaaacgc 780
agcttcgtgc cttcaaggat agaaaaagca atggtgtcaa agatggtgca cgcaatcaag 840
atgggctgga tcaagccccg agtaaagaag catgacccag aaagattcag cctcctgtgg 900
gacaaggatg actcgacagc gggcagcaat gagcgaatgc agcgccacat cccggcaccc 960
aagatgaagc tgccgggtca tgaggagtct tacaacccge cggccgaata cctcttcacc 1020
gaggaagagg aggccaagtg gagagagcag gagcccgaag aacggcgcat aaacttcctg 1080
ccogccaagt acccatgtct gegcgcagtc ccagcctacg aacgcttcat tgaggagagg 1140
tttgagcgct gtctggatct ctacttgtgc ccgaggcagc ggaagatgag ggtgaatgtg 1200
gatgcagagg acctgattcc tcagctgccc aacccaagg acctgcagcc tttcccaagc 1260
attcagtcta ttgtctatga gggtcatacg gactgtgtcc tctgcctgtc tttggagcct 1320
gcgggacagt tctttgcatc anggtccgag gacggcaccg ttcgcatttg ggagctcttg 1380

| acgggcangt gcctcaagaa gttccagttc gaggcgcccg tgaagagcgt ggcctggtgt | 1440 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ccagttgtcg ttcccatgaa actctgcgtg gacaagactg tttccatgct ggatgccgga | 1500 |
| gttacggaca aactgctgcc gttcaccacg ggacaccgag ttgtctgccc tccccgaaga | 1560 |
| gtcctcgggc caggcggcgg tagtggagtg ggagcagacg tcggcctcct ctccagagtt | 1620 |
| cctctcccgg ggggagcgtc tgcgggtcgt tcaccgccac ggtgtggtgc aggtgacgtg | 1680 |
| gcactcgagg ggagactact ttgccactgt cacggacgag ggacaggcca ccgtgcttgt | 1740 |
| ccatcagttg tccacgcggc ggttcgcagg ctccccttca gcaaggcgaa gggcggggtg | 1800 |
| tcccgggtgc tgttccaccc gctgcgcccc ttcctgctgg tggcgtgcca gcgcacagtg | 1860 |
| cgggtctacc acctgctcaa gcaggagctg gccaagaggc tcacatccaa ttgcaagtgg | 1920 |
| atctcgtgca tgggccgtcc acccccaggt gacaatctgc tgatcggcac gtacgagaag | 1980 |
| cggctgatgt ggttcgatct ggacctctcg accaaaccgt accagcagct gcgcatacac | 2040 |
| aatgccgcca tccgcagtgt ggcgttccat ccgcgctatc cactgtttgc gtccgccggc | 2100 |
| gacgatcgca gcgtgatcgt ttcgcacggt atggtgtaca atgatttact gcaaaaccca | 2160 |
| ctgatcgtgc cactgagacg gctgaagaac catgccatca gcaagggtat gggtgtgttg | 2220 |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 18
$<211>$ LENGTH: 754
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (438)..(438)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Xaa can be any naturally occurring amino acid
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<222>$ LOCATION: (453)..(453)
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: Xaa can be any naturally occurring amino acid
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 18



$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 19
$<211>$ LENGTH: 447
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<400>$ SEQUENCE : 19

| caaagatgct gctgttctgc ccgacgtgcg ccaacatcct cattgtggaa caaggcttgg | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| agtgcttccg tttcgcctgc aacacatgcc cctacgtgca caacatcaag gcgaagatgt | 120 |
| cgaatcggaa gtacccgcgg ctcaaggacg tggacgacgt gctcggcggt gcagccgcct | 180 |
| gggagaatgt tgactcgacc gaagagaagt gccccaagtg tggccatgag cgggcctatt | 240 |
| ttatgcagat ccagactagg tcggccgacg agcccatgac caccttctac aagtgctgca | 300 |
| accagctctg tggccaccag tggagggact gacagatggc ggctttgacg aactcatgcc | 360 |
| cgtgcaaaat gcgtcggggg gagagagttt tggaataaaa catgcgcctt actttcataa | 420 |
| aaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaa aaaaaa |  |

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 20
$<211>$ LENGTH: 108
$<212>$ TYPE PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<400>$ SEQUENCE $: 20$


## -continued



$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 22
$<211>$ LENGTH: 44
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Ixodes scapularis
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 22



| tgagaagaca ctagaggaca agttcttcga gcatgaggtg atgctgaatg tgaatgcgtt | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| catgcagcag ttccattccg gcgtttttta tgcctacgtg aagctgaagg aacaagagtg | 120 |
| ccgcaacatt gtctggattg ccgaatgcgt tgctcagcgt catcggtcca agatcgataa | 180 |
| ctacattcca atcttctagt cgctcgagga aaagaaatgg gccaattcgg tagtttgtcg | 240 |
| gtgtaatata tatatatata tatatctact tcgcaaaatt cttcagctag agtgtctatg | 300 |
| tctggttagc tgcgattgtg cgagagggga aaaaatgta gtcagtggca tgatcaagga | 360 |
| aggaaaaaaa ttggccaata acttttacct tttgaagtta aagcaagggt taaaataatg | 420 |
| tctattttta cttcgcttta ccgtgtgctg gctattgctt tgcaaacgtt ttttaaaatt | 480 |
| tttgcagttc gtctttcttc ttttgagcac atatttattc cagagttcca atancctttt | 540 |
| atgtgtgaat gaatgactaa tccatgttgg ggttggttaa tggtgcattg ttgaaaanat | 600 |
| aaccccaac tccagctggc ctttggaaaa aaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaa | 660 |
| aaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaa aaaa | 704 |



```
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (493)..(493)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (495)..(495)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (499)..(499)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (507)..(507)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (515)..(515)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (518)..(518)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (528)..(528)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (533)..(533)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (547)..(547)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (550)..(550)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (559)..(559)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (565)..(565)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (567)..(567)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (571)..(571)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (586)..(586)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (593)..(593)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (599)..(599)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (603)..(603)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature 
<222> LOCATION: (606)..(606)
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: n is a, c, g, or t
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: misc_feature
<222> LOCATION: (611)..(611)
```



| gtcacgggat ttgggaagct gtcgtctgtc gtcctgcagt ttcaaacggt ttcaccaaaa | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| acctttccgt ctcgctgtca gacgccttga accatgactg agttctggct catctcggct | 120 |
| ccgggcgaga aaacctgcca acagacttat gacaagctgc tcagcgtcac aagcaacaag | 180 |
| cagaacaacc tctcgacctg ctacaagttc caccttccgg acttgaaggt gggtacgctg | 240 |
| gatcagttgg ttggcctctc ggatgacttg ggaaagctcg acacctatgt cgaaagcatc | 300 |
| actcgaaaag tggccagcta tctgggggac gtgcttgacg accagaggga caaactagcc | 360 |
| gacaaccttc cttgccaatg gcttggggct ggaggcctac ctgaccccgg ttttcagtgg | 420 |
| gacatggcca antaccccat caagcagttc gcctcaagag catcacntga antcatcagc | 480 |
| nagcaagtgt ctnanattng accggtngaa cctcnagnag caagttanct tgnttacaac | 540 |
| aaccttnaan aacttaagnt tcaantncat ncgaacccca aatccnccgg ggnaggceng | 600 |
| gcnttnttcc ngttagccnt ggncntnacc ttattgcgcc aagggagcca ntttgtcntt | 660 |
| gggggntcgg ganntacctt a |  |




| ctctcagcga ctccgacgtc caaagcaga tcaggcacat gatggctttc atcgaccagg | 60 |
| :--- | :--- |
| aagccaacga aaaggcagaa gaagtagacg ccaaggcagg aagaagagtt caacatcgag | 120 |
| aagggccgcc tggtcacgga gcaaaggctc aagatcatcg actactacac ccgtcgagag | 180 |
| aagcaagttg aactgcagcg caagatccaa agctccaaca tgctgaacca ggcccggctg | 240 |
| aaggtgctga aggcgggcga ggaccacatt gcgacggtgc tggaggaggc caagcgccgc | 300 |
| ctgggggaca tcaccaggga ccaggctcgc taccaagccc tcctgcagag catggttctg | 360 |
| caggcactgc ttcagctcct cgagcaggag gtggtcgtcc actgccgacc gcaagacgcc | 420 |
| gggctgctga acttggacac gctgagtgcc aagttcaagg aggccactgg ccgagaggtc | 480 |
| aagctcantg tggagcccag cotggcttcg agcagctgcg gcggagtcga gatgctctcc | 540 |
| aggcggggca agattcgcgt ctgcaacacg ctcgagtcgc ggctggacat gattgccctt | 600 |
| cagctttctg cogcagatca agacngncct nttcggcagg nacccccaac cgcaagttca | 660 |
| tggactaggc gggctattgn coccgccatt cnggccagtn agcttggacc gtgtttacng | 720 |

What is claimed is:

1. An isolated cDNA molecule which encodes an Irodes associated antigenic polypeptide, said molecule having a nucleoxide sequence comprising at least residues $80-575$ of SEQ ID NO: 3.
2. An expression vector comprising the isolated cDNA molecule of claim 1.
3. An isolated cell transformed by the expression vector of claim 2.
4. The isolated cDNA molecule of claim 1, wherein said cDNA molecule encodes a polypeptide represented by SEQ ID NO: 4.
5. The isolated cDNA molecule of claim 1, wherein said cDNA molecule encodes a polypeptide that induces antibodies specific for an amino acid sequence represented by ${ }^{50}$ SEQ ID NO: 4.
