## MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILD TEMPERAMENT

### By

#### KYUNG-OHK HAH JHUN

Bachelor of Arts

in English Linguistics

Sangmyung Women's University

Seoul, Korea

1982

Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate College of the Oklahoma State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE May, 1993

## **OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY**

# MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILD TEMPERAMENT

Thesis Approved:

Auth E. James

Shoma C. Collins

#### **PREFACE**

This research examined the perception of children's temperament as it related to their mothers' employment status. Sixty-seven children, aged two to five years, were included in this study. Parents' responses to child behavior were collected via the Temperament Questionnaire in addition to demographic information about the family. Gender and age were expected to influence temperament ratings, measured by the Behavioral Style Questionnaire (McDevitt, & Carey, 1975) and the Toddler Temperament Scale (Fullard, McDevitt, & Carey, 1978). In addition, it was expected that the mothers who were employed and had their child enrolled in day-care center from a young age would view their children as having a more difficult temperament. As expected, age predicted significantly the 5 constellations of temperament and the temperamental dimensions (easy/difficult categories). Age predicted the temperamental categories of activity, withdrawal/approach and intensity, while mother's educational attainment in conjunction with age predicted activity and persistence of temperament scale. Maternal employment combined with age predicted threshold. With respect to activity, persistence, and threshold on the Temperament Scale, what these children were doing was related to age, maternal employment and mother's educational attainment.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my adviser Dr. Patricia A. Self for her support, encouragement, confidence, and especially her patience throughout my study. I also want to express my gratitude to the other committee members: Dr. Linda Robinson and Dr. Ruth Tomes for serving on my committee and for dedicating to the educational process.

Special thanks is made of those individuals who were involved in my study:

Dr. Nancy Hurlbut, Director at CDL OSU, Holly Hartman, Director at Presbyterian

Preschool, Barbara Heister, Faye Ann Presnal, Cindy Garrett, Supervisory Instructors at CDL OSU, the Board of Education who allowed me access to public school, and parents and children. Without their participation, the study would not have been completed.

Finally, I want to thank my husband, Ik-Jeong, for being so patient and supportive. Without your encouragement, I could never have finished my study.

Thanks must also go to my children, Soyun and Sungwook, who gave strength to my life.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ch	napter	Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	LITERATURE REVIEW	. 3
	Temperament	. 3
	History	
	Definition	. 4
	Maternal Employment	9
III.	. HYPOTHESES	. 15
IV	. METHODOLOGY	. 17
	Subjects	. 17
	Procedures	
	Measures	
	Temperament Questionnaires	
	Demographic Parents' Questionnaire Regarding Background	- 0
	Information	. 19
V.	RESULTS	. 20
	Preliminary Analyses	. 23
	Age Differences	
	Gender Differences	24
	Primary Analyses	26
	Maternal Employment and Mother's Educational Attainment on the Five Constellations and Easy/Difficult Dimensions of	
	Temperament	26
	Other Demographic Information and Five Constellations of	
	Temperament and the Nine Categories	27
VI.	DISCUSSION	29

Chapter	1	Page
REFERENCES		34
APPENDIXES		43
APPENDIX A -	TODDLER TEMPERAMENT QUESTIONNAIRE	44
APPENDIX B -	BEHAVIORAL STYLE QUESTIONNAIRE	51
APPENDIX C -	DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE	57
APPENDIX D -	TODDLER TEMPERAMENT SCALE - PROFILE SHEET	60
APPENDIX E -	BEHAVIORAL STYLE QUESTIONNAIRE - PROFILE SHEET	62

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I.	Means and Standard Deviations for the Variables	22
II.	Results of Linear Regression Analyses Predicting Temperament with Age	24
III.	Gender Differences - Means and Standard Deviations	. 25
IV.	Results of Multiple Linear Regression Analyses Predictions Temperament	27
V.	Correlations Among Child Age, Maternal Employment Status, Mother's Educational Attainment, and Age at Entry to Temperament	. 28

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics figures (1988) indicated that 73% of the married mothers of school-age children and 57% of the married mothers of infants and pre-schoolers were employed in 1988. The rise in employment for mothers of very young children and the resulting increase in the use of child care have fostered an interest in research on maternal employment and child development.

Parents' perceptions of children are of interest from various research perspectives. Several studies have emphasized the effects on young children of various types of day care and of their mother's employment (Belsky & Steinberg, 1978). Bronfenbrenner, Alvarez, and Henderson (1984) investigated the possibility that mothers' employment outside the home influenced parents' perceptions of their young children.

Bronfenbrenner et al. (1984) found that although employment status had a significant main effect on mothers' descriptions of their children, this effect was qualified by the interaction of employment status and gender of child. Full-time working mothers (35 or more hours per week) gave the least enthusiastic descriptions of their sons, whereas part-time employed mothers (5-34 hours per week) described their boys in terms more positive than "at-home" mothers (0-4 hours per week). Mothers' level of education was also significant to maternal perceptions of children. More educated mothers

expressed more favorable views of their children (Bronfenbrenner et al., 1984).

However, Greenberger and O'Neil (1992) found no evidence linking part-time employment with more positive maternal perceptions of sons. Maternal employment (interacting with mothers' level of education and child gender) was more strongly associated with fathers' and teachers' perceptions of children than with mothers' perceptions. Fathers perceived their 5-6-year-old children as having more problematic behaviors when mothers were currently employed full time; fathers and teachers viewed children's behavior as more problematic when less-educated mothers had been employed during more years of the child's lifetime. Though parents' perceptions of their children did not vary as a function of mothers' employment status, the authors suggested that teachers can detect differences in the behavior of children from different maternal employment status. Unfortunately, their study had limited generalizability because their sample consisted of middle-and upper-middle-class families based on financial resources for managing work and family roles. The present study attempts to compare maternal employment status, child's age, child's gender, mother's educational attainment, and child's age at entry with the child's behavior according to the mother's temperament report.

#### CHAPTER II

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### Temperament

#### History

The major theoretical issues on temperament involve behavioral genetic formulations (Buss and Plomin, 1975), socio-emotional functioning and psychobiology (Goldsmith and Campos, 1982) and bi-directional relations between organisms and their contexts that contribute to match or fit between temperament attributes and psychosocial demands (Thomas and Chess, 1977).

From the view of behavioral genetics, temperamental characteristics are earlyemerging traits that have immutable and persistent influences on behavior due to their
genetic basis (Plomin, 1983). Thus, this view suggests that there is high continuity
across early development and temperament is a relatively strong predictor of later
socio-emotional functioning.

Lerner and Lerner (1983) viewed that developmental significance of temperament lies in its impact on the social context of the child. The implications of a child's temperamental individuality for his or her personality development have been argued not to lie in any organismic association between particular features of temperament

and specific aspects of personality. Lerner and Lerner (1983) have suggested that temperament lies in the level of congruence, match, or "goodness of fit" between a particular aspect of temperament and the demands or presses of the psychosocial and physical context. They emphasized the demands of the organism's context must be understood to be part of the explanation of individual development, and that such demands varied across societies, cultures, and history.

From the social-ecological approach, McCartney and Galanopoulos (1988) argued that individual differences among developing persons must be considered (e.g. differences in age, sex, birth order, temperament, and effect of child care). The study of temperament suggests that individual differences in temperament may be linked to stress (Werner & Smith, 1982), quality of parent-child relations (Cronckenberg, 1981), adequacy of adjustment to changes in environmental settings (Thomas, Sillan & Mendez, 1974), and adaptive coping styles (Garmezy, 1981).

From a methodological view, alternative modes of assessing temperament in early development have emerged in reaction to critiques of the traditional reliance on parent-report questionnaires or interview. The interpretation of a parent-report questionnaire has been questioned, specifically because the adult's attributes have been found to correlate with their perceptions of the child's characteristics(Vaughn, Taraldson, Crichton, & Egeland, 1980). Thus, there are inconsistent findings from parent-report, self-report, and observer-rating procedures concerning the child's temperamental quality (Goldsmith, 1983).

#### **Definition**

Most current temperament theorists view child's temperament as a

"conceptualization" (Buss & Plomin, 1984; Chess & Thomas, 1984; Goldsmith, 1985; Lerner & Lerner, 1983). Temperament traits have been proposed by various investigators to include activity, attention span, distractibility, distress-proness, fearfulness, persistence, reactivity, sociability, and soothability (Buss & Plomin, 1984). Temperament dimensions are usually expected to be fairly stable and to have biological origins, with some qualifications. With regard to stability, the primary qualification is that stability should be evident within developmental periods, although not necessarily across periods of developmental transition or "behavioral shifts" (Goldsmith & Campos, 1982).

The study of temperament and its functional significance (Thomas, & Chess, 1957) had been the first systematic exploration of the active influence of the child's own characteristics on the parents, as well as on other influential persons in the child's environment. The data from the New York Longitudinal Study (NYLS) have confirmed their original hypothesis that the parent-child interaction is a two-way street: the child's behavioral style influences parental attitudes and practices, and the parents' attitudes and practices influence the child.

Thomas and Chess (1981) reported that their view of the parent-child relationship as mutually interactive stemmed in part from the lack of correspondence they observed between child-care practices of parents and the way those children developed. They concluded that "the course of development was determined not only by the differences in the family and extra-familial environment, but also by individual differences in the child himself, by the child's temperamental characteristics" (p. 234). The New York Longitudinal Study (NYLS) was begun in 1956. From the original study only

five subjects have been lost to the study. The families were of middle or upper-middle-class background, and almost all parents were born in the United States. In addition, to obtain a population of contrasting socioeconomic background, the second longitudinal study was begun in 1961. Ninety-five children of working-class Puerto Rican parents were included. The families were mostly intact and stable. Eighty-six percent lived in low-income public housing projects (Hertzig, Birch, Thomas, & Mendez, 1968).

Nine categories of temperament were established by an inductive content analysis of the parent interview protocols for the infancy periods. Item scoring was used, a three-point scale was established for each category, and the item scores were transformed into a weighted score for each category on each record. The nine categories of temperament and their definitions include:

- (1) Activity level: the motor component present in a given child's functioning and the diurnal proportion of active and inactive periods. Protocol data on motility during bathing, eating, playing, dressing and handling, as well as information concerning the sleep-wake cycle, reaching, crawling and walking, are used in scoring this category.
- (2) Rhythmicity (Regularity): the predictability and/or unpredictability in time of any function. It can be analyzed in relation to the sleep-wake cycle, hunger, feeding pattern, and elimination schedule.
- (3) Approach or Withdrawal: the nature of the initial response to a new stimulus, be it a new food, new toy, or new person. Approach responses are positive, whether displayed by mood expression or motor activity. Withdrawal reactions are negative,

whether displayed by mood expression or motor activity.

- (4) Adaptability: responses to new or altered situations. One is not concerned with the nature of the initial responses, but with the ease with which they are modified in desired directions.
- (5) Threshold of Responsiveness: The intensity level of stimulation that is necessary to evoke a discernible response, irrespective of the specific form that the response may take or the sensory modality affected by stimulation. The behaviors utilized are those concerning reactions to sensory stimuli, environmental objects, and social contracts.
- (6) Intensity of Reaction: the energy level of response, irrespective of its quality or direction.
- (7) Quality of Mood: the amount of pleasant, joyful, and friendly behavior, as contrasted with unpleasant, crying, and unfriendly behavior.
- (8) Distractibility: the effectiveness of extraneous environmental stimuli in interfering with or in altering the direction of the ongoing behavior.
- (9) Persistence: Two categories, attention span and persistence, are included.

  Attention span concerns the length of time a particular activity is pursued by the child.

  Persistence refers to the continuation of an activity in the face of obstacles to the maintenance of the activity direction.

Three temperamental constellations of functional significance have been defined.

The first group is characterized by regularity, positive approach responses to new stimuli, high adaptability to change, and mild or moderately intense mood. These children quickly develop regular sleep and feeding schedules, take to most new foods

easily, smile at strangers, adapt easily to a new school, accept most frustration with little fuss, and accept the rules of new games with no trouble. Such a youngster is called the Easy Child. This group comprised about 40 percent of the NYLS sample.

At the opposite end of the temperamental spectrum is the group with irregularity in biological functions, negative withdrawal responses to new stimuli, non-adaptability or slow adaptability to change, and intense mood expressions which are frequently negative. These children show irregular sleep and feeding schedules, slow acceptance of new foods, prolonged adjustment periods to new routines, people, or situations, and relatively frequent and loud periods of crying. Laughter is also characteristically loud. This is the Difficult Child. This group comprises about 10 percent of the NYLS sample.

The third temperamental constellation is marked by a combination of negative responses of mild intensity to new stimuli with slow adaptability after repeated contact. In contrast to the difficult children, these youngsters are characterized by mild intensity of reactions, whether positive or negative, and by less tendency to show irregularity of biological functions. The negative mild responses to new stimuli can be seen in the first encounter with the bath, a new food, a stranger, a new place or a new school situation. If given the opportunity to re-experience such new situations over time and without pressure, such a child gradually comes to show quiet and positive interest and involvement. A youngster with this characteristic sequence of response is referred to as the Slow-To-Warm-Up Child. About 15 percent of the NYLS sample falls into this category.

While the general consensus is that temperament refers to individual behavioral

differences, no universally accepted definition has emerged. Given the diversity of definitional criteria and lack of agreement on a definition, the common practice is to define this trait operationally, based on the instruments used to assess temperament.

#### Maternal Employment

The reviews on the effects of maternal employment on child development provided evidence to the long-standing concern that maternal employment might have adverse effects on children (Hoffman, 1979). Differences in social-emotional development and pro-social behavior in children may result from maternal employment and parental anxiety about their child as well as child care arrangements.

From the associations between family characteristics and use of child care,

Vandell and Corasanti (1990) found that more extensive child care predicted that

children would receive more negative ratings from parents and teachers, poorer

academic grades and standardized test scores, and more negative sociometric

nominations. Interaction effects between extensive infant care and exclusive maternal

care were associated with more problematic functioning depending on parental marital

status, social class, and child gender. However, they found no evidence of negative

effects associated with part-time care. Low-quality care is more likely to be used by

low-income families (Howes & Stewart, 1987, and Lamb, Hwang, Broberg, &

Bookstein, 1988) and by families with authoritarian educational beliefs (McCartney,

1984). McCartney (1984) reported that employed mothers have less traditional views

about child rearing. Maternal separation anxiety is associated with the type of child

care arrangement (Hock, DeMeis, & McBride, 1988). McBride (1990) found

relationships between the components of quality of care and maternal separation anxiety. Mothers who expressed more general separation anxiety and anxiety about separation effects on their children had children in classrooms with lower adult-child ratios. Weinraub, Jaeger, and Hoffman (1988) showed that role satisfaction had different correlations for employed versus non-employed mothers. They found a relationship between the employed mothers' satisfaction with child care help and with the child's ability to cope, while the non-employed mothers' satisfaction was correlated with emotional supports.

Hock (1978, 1980) studied groups of employed and unemployed mothers when the infants were three months old. She found that mothers who resumed paid work within the infants' first trimester reported less infant distress at separation, were themselves less anxious about separation, and trusted supplementary caretakers more than did unemployed mothers. Career orientation was differentially correlated with other attitudes among working and nonworking mothers. Among employed mothers, the correlations were all negative. The higher the career orientation, the less the reported distress at separation, the less the mothers' anxiety about separation, and the less the mother's apprehension about other caretakers. These variables were positively correlated with career orientation among the unemployed mothers.

In her report (1980), Hock showed that working mothers were less likely to believe that they alone could meet their infants' needs adequately than unemployed mothers, and that unemployed mothers seemed to have a greater need for reassurance and guidance from others. The only behavioral difference related to maternal employment status was that the infants of unemployed mothers displayed more

resistant behavior toward the stranger in Ainsworth's Strange Situation (Ainsworth, Blehar, Waters, & Wall, 1978). However, there were no group differences in maternal behavior.

Hock (1980) subsequently compared the unemployed mothers with mothers who originally planned not to work but later changed their minds and decided to seek paid employment. Those who changed plans regarding employment were much less likely to have planned the pregnancy and were much more career oriented than were those who followed through on their decisions not to seek employment. Those who changed plans perceived infant distress as a personal affront and reported being more upset by their infants' fussiness than the unemployed mothers were. According to Hock (1980), from the baby's birth to three months of age, those who changed plans grew less positive in attitude toward the maternal role whereas the nonworkers tended to grow more positive. Those who changed plans perceived their babies as less dependent on their own unique care-giving characteristics.

Belsky's (1988) study showed that babies whose mothers worked full-time in their first year were disproportionately likely to be classified as insecurely attached at 12 months, compared with infants whose mothers did not work full-time (41% vs. 26%). Belsky focused on four studies: Barglow, Vaughn, and Molitor (1987), Belsky and Rovine (1988), Chase-Lansdale and Owen (1987), and Jacobson and Wille (1984). The difference was not significant for later-born children in the Barglow et al.'s (1987) study, and it was not significant at all in the Chase-Lansdale and Owen's (1987) study. Belsky (1988) included only studies using a standardized assessment procedure (Ainsworth's Strange Situation), and he combined subjects rather than significance

levels across studies. Belsky's (1988) findings were important and revealing, because researchers analyzing smaller data sets did not find difference between infants of employed and nonemployed mothers statistically significant.

Contrary to Belsky's study, day care appears to have no adverse effects on the child-mother attachment (Brookhart & Hock, 1976). Blehar (1974) reported divergent findings, suggesting that children who entered day care around two years of age were likely to avoid their mothers after a brief separation, whereas those who entered day care at three behaved angrily toward their mothers. However, Blanchard and Main (1979) suggested that Blehar (1974) had observed temporary adjustment difficulties right after enrollment rather than enduring effects. In all, the evidence suggests that day care generally does not have adverse effects on the development of young children, but these studies suggest the significance of maternal employment.

Greenberger and Goldberg (1989) found that employed mothers are more committed to their careers than are women who are not employed or who resume employment later. When predicting verbal intelligence, McCartney and Rosenthal (1990) found from the National Longitudinal Study of Youth that there were interactions between maternal employment and mother's education. Women who are employed and women who return to the work-force more quickly following the birth of their children are more likely to be black (as opposed to Hispanic or white) and to have higher family incomes (Garrett, Lubeck, & Wenk, 1991).

Comparing the mother-infant interactions involving employed and unemployed mothers of infants, Cohen (1978) reported no group differences during the first year of life. In the second year, however, she found that the nonworking mothers had more

positive interactions with their infants, who in turn vocalized more and had higher developmental quotients. However, it is not clear that the group differences can be attributed to maternal employment status. The study of maternal employment is further complicated because its effects may depend on the child's age when the mother becomes employed. Belsky (1988) has argued that maternal employment during infancy and early childhood is a more powerful influence on children's later development than subsequent maternal employment.

Lamb (1982) described that employed mothers with infants valued work more and parenthood less than did nonemployed mothers. Working mothers also reported less support from family and friends for their child-care plans. They reported marginally more help in child care from their husbands, whereas the fathers themselves reported that they provided substantially more help than did the husbands of the unemployed mothers. Satisfaction with parenthood was positively correlated with the value of parenthood and was negatively correlated with both the perceived value of work and resentment of the infant's intrusion. When employed mothers valued both parenthood and work, their infants tended to develop secure attachments, indicating that maternal employment did not necessarily result in insecure mother infant attachments. Lamb (1982) stated it was the perceived importance of parenthood that was critical.

In a study of the effects, comparing employed and unemployed mothers with preschool-aged children, Goldberg (1977) reported that employed and unemployed middle- class mothers spent equivalent amounts of time in one to one interaction with their children. This finding suggested that maternal employment did not necessarily reduce the amount of parent-child interaction and made it even more important to

explore further the qualitative factors mediating parental influences on their children's development.

Hock and DeMeis (1990) identified significant psychological factors that mediated the relation between employment, motherhood, and the mental health status of mothers of infants. The results indicated that women who preferred employment but remained at home reported higher levels of depressive symptomatology. Also, this study showed that homemakers who preferred employment held conflicting sets of beliefs about the maternal role, separation from their infants, careers, and employment.

Temperament, parental attitudes and child-bearing practices play a major role in the child-environment interaction. The effect of the child's specific temperament on the parent can take many directions, depending on the parent's personality structure, goals and expectations for the child, and socio-economic opportunities and constraints. The effect of the parents' attitudes and practices on the child can also be varied depending on the specific parental style of response and adaptation. Since the child's behavior might have been shaped in part by the mother's behavior, child's behavior cannot be assumed to be independent of care-giver behavior. Therefore, the correlations between that measure of temperament and maternal behavior would be open to the interpretation that the mother's behavior is influential rather than consequential in relations to child temperament.

There is substantial evidence that mothers who are satisfied with their lives, whether or not they are employed, have well adjusted children. However, there remains a substantial need for research designed to determine how maternal employment affects parental perceptions of young children.

#### CHAPTER III

#### **HYPOTHESES**

The current study seeks to examine maternal perception of preschool children's temperament and its relationship to the mothers' employment status.

There is considerable difficulty in trying to ascertain the effects of maternal employment on children. Mothers who are employed may differ a priori from mothers who are not employed. The evidence suggests that employed mothers have less traditional views about child rearing (McCartney, 1984), are less anxious about separating from their children (Hock, DeMeis, & McBride, 1988), and are more committed to their careers (Greenberger & Goldberg, 1989) than are women who are not employed or who resume employment later.

Belsky (1988) and others (Bogenschneider, 1990; Heyns & Catsambis, 1986; Vandell & Corasanti, 1990) argued that maternal employment during infancy and early childhood has a more powerful influence on children's later development than subsequent maternal employment.

Two conflicting hypotheses concerning the effects of maternal employment on the perception of children's temperament can be proposed. One is that employment contributes to cumulative stress on families, thereby resulting in negative perceptions of children's temperament when mothers are employed. An alternative hypothesis is that the financial and emotional benefits associated with maternal employment are so substantial that children whose mothers are employed demonstrate better developmental progress than children whose mothers are not employed. Based on the literature review the following hypotheses were tested:

- Child's age would significantly predict five constellations of child's temperament.
- Child's gender would significantly predict five constellations of child's temperament.
- 3. Maternal employment status and mother's educational attainment would significantly predict five constellations of child's temperament.
- 4. Maternal employment status and educational attainment would significantly predict the nine categories on the Temperament Scale.
- Age at entry would significantly predict the five constellations on the Temperament Scale.
- 6. Age at entry would significantly predict the nine categories on the Temperament Scale.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### **METHODOLOGY**

#### Subjects

Subjects for this study were 67 parents with their children (32 boys, 35 girls) aged 28 to 65 month olds (mean age, 49 months) from the Presbyterian Preschool, Child Development Lab. at Oklahoma State University, Rainbow Preschool, First Christian Preschool, and Will Rogers Preschool in Stillwater, Oklahoma. The original sample included 68 families who agreed to participate in the study. One family was excluded in the coding because the father completed the forms.

#### **Procedures**

A researcher explained the research project to the mother and obtained her written consent to participate. In addition to demographic information, the mother was asked to complete the BSQ (Behavioral Style Questionnaire, McDevitt & Carey, 1978) or TTS (Toddler Temperament Scale, Fullard, McDevitt, & Carey, 1984). The mean time for completion was about 20 minutes. The data for the standardization sample was scored and resulted in a category score for each temperament dimension. The scores were plotted on the profile sheet which had instructions on how to place the

child into one of the diagnostic categories described.

#### Measures

#### Temperament Questionnaires

The Behavioral Style Questionnaire (McDevitt & Carey, 1978) for three to five year old children and the Toddler Temperament Scale(Fullard, McDevitt, & Carey, 1978) for two year old children were used to measure child's basic personality-behavioral dimensions (temperaments) of children. The questionnaires are based on the conceptualization of Thomas et al. (1963) and standardized on 350 children in a pediatric practice. Test-retest reliability and alpha reliability are both satisfactory, 0.89 and 0.84, respectively.

Variables on BSQ and TTS are activity level, rhythmicity of body functions, approach, adaptability, intensity, mood, persistence (attention span), distractibility, and sensory threshold as described by Thomas et al. (1963). Thomas et al. (1968) described three constellations, easy, difficult, and slow-to warm-up, of temperament characteristics derived from combinations of the nine temperament variables. Four of these variables(approach, adaptability, intensity, and mood) were shown to be related by factor analysis. Rhythmicity was added on clinical grounds.

The three constellations are as follows. First, the easy child is rhythmic, high in initial approach and adaptability, mild in intensity, and positive in mood (33.1 % of the original NYLS sample). Second, the difficult child is arrhythmic, low in approach and adaptability, intense, and negative in mood (18.6% of the NYLS sample). Third, the slow-to-warm-up child is low in activity, approach, and adaptability, negative in

mood, variable in rhythmicity, and mild in intensity (16% of the NYLS sample). To fill out the remaining 32.3% of individuals who do not fall clearly into one of the above categories, Carey (1970) has designated the remaining subjects as intermediate high and intermediate low, based on the number of category scores falling on the difficult side of the mean. For this study, the questionnaires developed by Carey and his colleagues were selected because of their wide use, availability, norms, and the extensive research and clinical applications for which they had been used. At 36 to 60 months, the appropriate version was the Behavioral Style Questionnaire (BSQ) (McDevitt & Carey, 1978), and at 12 to 36 months, the appropriate version was the Toddler Temperament Scale (TTS, Fullard, McDevitt, & Carey, 1984). The Behavioral Style Questionnaire (BSQ) and the Toddler Temperament Scale (TTS) are included in Appendices A and B.

#### Demographic Parents' Questionnaire Regarding Background Information.

The purpose of the background information was to investigate the ecology of maternal employment. The main variables were maternal employment status, mother's education level, child's age at entry into child care, child's age and gender. The questionnaire is included in Appendix C.

#### CHAPTER V

#### **RESULTS**

The results are presented in the following order: preliminary analyses to examine the effect of age and gender on the perception of the child's temperament; primary analyses to examine employment status and mother's educational attainment on child's temperament.

Separate linear regression analyses were used to examine the predictability of temperament scores by age, gender, maternal employment status, the mother's education attainment, and age at entry. Since age did significantly predict several dependent variables, it was added into all other regression models. Gender was not included as a predictor, since it did not significantly predict the five constellations or the temperament dimensions.

Nine dimensions of temperament as specified by (McDevitt & Carey, 1978, and Fullard, McDevitt, & Carey, 1984) were used in the analyses: Activity, Rhythmicity, Approach/Withdrawal, Adaptability, Intensity, Mood, Persistence, Distractibility, and Threshold. The children's temperament scores were treated in two ways. First, the scores were treated as continuous in order to investigate the relations between this overall dimension of maternal perception of temperament and several variables. Dimensions of temperament were Activity, Rhythmicity,

Approach/Withdrawal, Adaptability, Intensity, and Mood. Second, the scores were categorized into five constellations: Easy, Intermediate Low, Slow to Warm Up, Intermediate High, and Difficult. According to scoring instructions from the profile sheet, definitions of diagnostic clusters used for individual scoring were as follows.

- (1) A child whose scores were greater than the mean in no more than two of the difficult/easy categories (rhythmicity, approach, adaptability, intensity, and mood) but not greater than one standard deviation from the mean was categorized as "Easy".
- (2) A child whose scores did not fall into these categories was categorized as "Intermediate-low".
- (3) A child whose four or five scores were greater than the mean in the difficult/easy categories was categorized as "Slow-to-warm-up". However if either withdrawal or slow adaptability was greater than one standard deviation, activity might vary up to 3.93 (4.42 in TTS) and mood might vary down to 2.97 (2.58 in TTS).
- (4) A child was categorized as "Intermediate-high" according to either of the following criteria: a) four or five scores were above the mean, and one score was greater than 1 standard deviation; b) two or three scores were above mean with two or three scores greater than one standard deviation in the easy/difficult categories.
- (5) A child whose four or five scores, including intensity, were greater than the mean in the difficult/easy categories was categorized as "difficult" if two scores were greater than one standard deviation.

The scoring profile sheets for BSQ and TTS are included in Appendices D and E. Table 1 lists the means and standard deviations for the variables.

TABLE I

MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR THE VARIABLES

Variables	Means	SD
Child Age (in months)	49.02	10.53
No of Employment Hours(per week)	20.62	17.41
No of Mother's Education (years)	16.04	2.09
Constellations of Temperament	2.73	1.47
Dimensions of Temperament	16.48	2.44
Each Category of Temperament		
Activity	3.65	0.58
Rhythmicity	3.11	0.76
Approach	3.13	0.89
Adaptability	2.78	0.72
Intensity	4.24	0.71
Mood	3.23	0.63
Persistence	2.98	0.65
Distractibility	3.67	0.68
Threshold	3.71	0.57

#### Preliminary Analyses

Data were analyzed for (a) age differences in the five constellations of temperament, the sum of scores in the easy/difficult dimensions, and the nine categories and (b) gender differences in the five constellations of temperament, the sum of scores in the easy/difficult dimensions, and the nine categories. Separate linear regression analyses were run for age and gender.

#### Age Differences

Age was treated as a continuous variable and did significantly predict the five constellations (approximate F=4.11, p=0.05), diagnostic dimensions (easy/difficult categories) (approximate F=6.73, p=0.01), and categories of approach (approximate F=4.40, p=0.04) and intensity (approximate F=9.91, p=0.002) of temperament. The influence of age in predicting five constellations, easy/difficult dimensions, and the nine categories was analyzed by simple linear regressions. Age was found to predict differences in the five constellations of temperament (adjusted r-square=0.05), the dimension of temperament (easy/difficult categories)(adjusted r-square=0.09), and the categories of activity (adjusted r-square=0.02), approach (adjusted r-square=0.06), and intensity (adjusted r-square=0.13). The results are shown in Tables 2.

TABLE II

RESULTS OF LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSES PREDICTING
TEMPERAMENT BY AGE

Temperament	F	p>F	Adjusted r2
Constellations	4.11	0.05*	0.05
Dimensions	6.73	0.01**	0.09
Each Category of T	emperament		
Activity	2.36	0.13	0.02
Approach	4.40	0.04*	0.06
Intensity	9.91	0.003**	0.13
Mood	2.14	0.14	0.02

<sup>\*</sup> p  $\leq .05$ .

#### Gender Differences

As an initial check for gender differences, linear regression analysis was used. Child's gender did not significantly predict either easy/difficult dimensions as a group (adjusted r-square=-0.008, p=0.50) or the five constellations of temperament (adjusted r-square=-0.007, p=0.49). Though it was not included in the hypotheses, gender did significantly predict two of the nine dimensions, distractibility (adjusted r-square=0.06, p=0.03) and threshold (r=0.08, p=0.01). The means and standard deviations for gender differences are shown in Table 3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p ≤.01.

TABLE III

GENDER DIFFERENCES - MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

				<del></del>
	Воу	/S	Girl	Ls
<u>Variables</u>	Means	SD	Means	SD
Age	50.43	10.02	47.74	10.96
Age at Entry	18.96	15.40	26.72	13.68
Months at Day Care	24.53	14.35	18.03	14.00
Maternal Empl.	17.75	16.69	23.39	17.88
Educ. Attain.	15.93	2.35	16.14	1.85
Five Const. of Temp	2.59	1.43	2.86	1.52
Dimens. of Temp	16.70	2.67	16.28	2.23
Each Category of Tempe	erament			
Activity	3.68	0.55	3.62	0.62
Rhythmicity	3.08	0.76	3.13	0.76
Approach	3.16	0.99	3.10	0.81
Adaptability	2.82	0.84	2.75	0.60
Intensity	4.31	0.64	4.17	0.78
Mood	3.33	0.71	3.13	0.56
Persistence	3.05	0.67	2.91	0.63
Distractibility	3.48	0.58	3.85	0.73
Threshold	3.53	0.56	3.87	0.53

#### Primary Analyses

Since age did significantly predict multiple variables, it was added into all other regression models. Data were analyzed for (a) maternal employment status in conjunction with child's age as predictors of the five constellations of temperament, the sum of scores in the easy/difficult dimensions, and the nine scores of each category, (b) mother's educational attainment in conjunction with child's age as predictors of the five constellations of the temperament, the sum of scores in the easy/difficult dimensions, and the nine scores of each dimension, and (c) age at entry as a predictor of the five constellations of temperament, the sum of scores in the easy/difficult dimensions, and the nine scores of each dimension.

## Maternal Employment and Mother's Educational Attainment on the Five Constellations and Easy/Difficult Dimensions of Temperament

The number of hours per week each mother was employed as well as the number of years of school in conjunction with the age of the child were used to predict the 5 constellations and diagnostic dimensions of temperament. Non-significant predictability was found by the combined model, maternal employment (adjusted r-square=0.04), mother's educational attainment (adjusted r-square=0.04) of temperament. However, maternal employment status approached significant prediction for the threshold category (approximate F=2.74, p=0.10), and mother's educational attainment similarly approached prediction for activity (approximate F=3.68, p=0.06) and persistence (approximate F=3.52, p=0.07) dimensions of temperament. The results are shown in Table 4.

TABLE IV

RESULTS OF MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSES PREDICTING TEMPERAMENT

Maternal Employment	in Conjuncti	on with Age		
Outcome Variables	F	p>F	Adj.r2	
Activity	2.19	0.14	0.06	
Threshold	2.74	2.74 0.10+		
Mothers' Educational	Attainment	in Conjunction	with Age	
Outcome Variables	F	p>F	Adj.r2	
Activity	3.68	0.06+	0.04	
Persistence	3.52	0.07+	0.04	

+ P ≤.10

# Other Demographic Information and Five Constellations of Temperament and the Nine Categories

Age at entry did not significantly predict the five constellations of temperament (r=-.08, p>.5). In conjunction with age of child, there were also no significant findings regarding the nine categories. In specific variables, age of entry did not predict significantly.

A correlational matrix was generated to examine the relationship between temperament and child's gender, age, maternal employment status, mother's educational attainment, and age at entry. Correlations of children's temperament by

category with age yielded correlations which ranged from 0.04, the category of adaptability (r=0.04, N.S.) to 0.39, the category of intensity (r=0.39, p<.001). Threshold was significantly related to maternal employment (r=0.26, p=0.03), and activity (r=0.22, p=0.07) and persistence (r=0.29, p=0.02) were related to mother's educational attainment. The correlations are shown in Table 5.

TABLE V CORRELATIONS AMONG AGE, MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT STATUS, MOTHER'S EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, AND AGE AT ENTRY TO **TEMPERAMENT** 

	-0.21	-		_	0.39	-	-	-
		-	0.04*					
0.26				-	0.001*	**-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-
0.03*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	0.22	-	-	-	-	-	0.29	-
-	0.07+	_	-	-	-		0.02*	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-							

 $<sup>+</sup> p \le .10.$  $* p \le .05.$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup> $p \le .01$ .
\*\*\*  $p \le .001$ .

#### CHAPTER VI

#### **DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this study was to identify whether maternal employment status can predict child temperament. Gender and age at entry were not good predictors of temperament. It was also found that age did significantly predict temperament, as well as several of the individual temperament dimensions; maternal employment did significantly predict one of the temperament dimensions; that of threshold, and mother's educational attainment did significantly predict another of the dimensions; that of persistence.

Of the relationships tested, age best predicted the differences in the five constellations of temperament and the dimension of temperament (easy/difficult categories). This predictability was hypothesized in Hypothesis 1. Given the age range of the children, it should be expected that age would be important. Between the ages of two and five, many important changes occur. Age appeared to be the most important factor in predictions the 5 constellations of temperament for these children. Age significantly predicted activity, approach/withdrawal and intensity of the nine temperament variables. It may be that older children are more active and independent, and younger children were more likely to watch the environment. Fagot and Hagan (1991) argued that the second year of life is the time when children are learning many

new skills and when parents are still experimenting with parenting styles. Parents may well use stereotypical responses when unsure of themselves. They found significant effects of age upon parent reactions to child behavior. In both samples 18-month-old children received more negative reactions than both 12-month-olds and 5-year-olds. Their data suggest that 18 months is a critical age, for the behaviors of 18-month-olds are starting to be responded to with direction and instruction, undoubtedly as a function of their increasing language skills. The current study showed that parents varied the perception of child's temperament according to the age of the child. Bates, Maslin, and Frankel (1985) found that maternal ratings of anxious and acting-out behavior problems at 3 years of age were predicted by earlier perceptions of temperament and activity management problems. They suggested that early mother perceptions were generally better predictors than direct observations of mother-child interactions.

Hypothesis 2 tested whether child's gender would significantly predict the perception of temperament. Although gender did not predict temperament significantly, gender did significantly predict distractibility and threshold of temperament variables. Crockenberg & Litman (1991) found that boys with employed mothers were more likely than boys with nonemployed mothers and than girls with employed mothers to engage in defiant behavior during the laboratory clean-up task. Bronfenbrenner et al., (1984) found employed mothers viewed their three year old boys more negatively while employed mothers view their three year old girls more positively than did other groups of mothers. No main effects of child's sex or mother's education were found in relation to child compliance and defiance

(Crockenberg, & Litman, 1990). However, Lytton and Romney (in press) found few consistent differences in examining the socialization differences of boys and girls. The results of Lytton and Romney as well as Maccoby and Jacklin (1974) confirmed that to look for sex-differentiated socialization in all parent-child reactions will not help to understand sex-role development or differences in boys and girls. The Lytton and Romney study suggested that age was a crucial variable in order to measure parent reactions and child behaviors. The present study support Lytton and Romney's finding.

The primary analyses, to test hypotheses 3 through 6, included age since it was a significant predictor in the preliminary analysis. In this study, the numbers of working hours per week were used for maternal employment status, and the numbers of years of formal schooling were used for mother's educational attainment.

Maternal employment status and mother's educational attainment did not significantly predict the five constellations of child's temperament as hypothesized in Hypothesis #3. In the past research, Bronfenbrenner, Alvarez, and Henderson (1984) investigated the possibility that mothers' employment outside the home influenced parents' perceptions of their young children. Bronfenbrenner et al. found that, although employment status had a significant main effect on mothers' descriptions of their children, this effect was qualified by the interaction of employment status and gender of child. Crockenberg and Litman (1991) found maternal employment adversely affected maternal behavior when satisfaction with social support or with the work role was low, but only in the laboratory. They found positive effects of employment on maternal behavior in the home. The present study did not support

either Bronfenbrenner's or Crockenberg's finding. Mother's perception of a child's temperament was not differentiated because of her employment.

Maternal employment status in conjunction with age did significantly predict threshold; and mother's educational attainment with age predicted significantly activity and persistence categories on the Temperament Scale as hypothesized in Hypothesis #4. Goldberg (1977) reported that employed and unemployed middle-class mothers spent equivalent amounts of time in one-to-one interaction with their children and suggested that maternal employment did not necessarily reduce the amount of parent-child interaction. It may be that educated mothers are encouraging children to be more active and curious, so children are better able to remain in a variety of activities. As a result, a persistent child can engage in activities without moving from one thing to another, and thus receive significant results.

Unexpectedly in this study, there were no differences for age at entry on five constellations of child's temperament as hypothesized in Hypothesis #5, and the nine categories on the Temperament Scale in Hypothesis #6. Though previous research (Vandell & Corasanti, 1990) reported that more extensive child care and exclusive maternal care predicted that children would receive more negative ratings, poorer academic grades and standardized test scores, and more negative sociometric nominations from parents and teachers, age at entry in this study did not predict parent's perception. Rather, months at day care did significantly predict intensity of temperament

The measure of temperament may not be sensitive enough to be influenced by the maternal employment status. A child with a mother working full-time may have positive scores of rhythmicity, approach, adaptability and negative scores of intensity of easy/difficult dimensions on temperament. A child routinely exposed to motherchild separations as part of their child care experience may be more rhythmical and adaptable. As a result, behavior that actually reflects positive scores may be coded inappropriately as difficult categories (e.g. Rhythmicity, Approach, and Adaptability).

Measurement and the limited sample variability (highly educated mothers, mean=16.01 years) may have diminished the probability of finding relationships between specific temperament dimensions and maternal employment status. However, the categories of temperament and maternal employment status and educational attainment relationships found in this study may provide a basis for formulating further questions in this area. For instance, mothers' employment outside the home did not influence parents' perceptions of their young children. Rather, months at day care, the categories of activity, and intensity of temperament are predictive of difficultness of temperament. This present study is significant primarily because it focuses on the construct of temperament as a parent's perception in relationship to their maternal employment status. The argument that temperament serves to differentiate the parents' perception focused on their employment status has not previously been made.

### REFERENCES

- Ainsworth, M.D.S., Blehar, M., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). <u>Patterns of attachment</u>. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.
- Barglow, P., Vaughn, B. E., & Molitor, N. (1987). Effects of maternal absence due to employment on the quality of infant-mother attachment in a low-risk sample.

  Child Development, 58, 945-954.
- Bates, J. E., Maslin, C. A., & Frankel, K. A. (1985). Attachment security, mother-child interaction, and temperament as predictors of behavior-problem ratings at age three years. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child

  Development, 50 (1-2), 167-193.
- Belsky, J., & Steinberg, L. (1978). The effects of day-care: A critical review. Child Development, 49, 929-949.
- Belsky, J. (1988). The "effects" of infant day care reconsidered. Early Childhood

  Research Quarterly, 3, 235-272.
- Belsky, J., & Rovine, M. (1988). Nonmaternal care in the first infant-parent attachment security. Child Development, 59, 157-167.
- Birnbaum, J. A. (1975). Life patterns and self-esteem in gifted family oriented and career oriented women. In M.S. Mednick, S.S. Tangri, & L. W. Hoffman (Eds.), Women and achievement. Washington, D.C.: Hemisphere.
- Blanchard, M., & Main, M. (1979). Avoidance of the attachment figure and social

- emotional adjustment in day care infants. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, <u>15</u>, 445-446.
- Blehar, M. C. (1974). Anxious attachment and defensive reactions associated with day care. Child Development. 45, 683-692.
- Bretherton, I. (1985). Attachment Theory: Retrospect and Prospect. In I. Bretherton and E. Waters (Eds.). Growing Points of Attachment Theory and Research.

  Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 50, 3-38.
- Bowlby, J. (1973). Separation: Anxiety and anger. New York: Basic Books, 1973.
- Bronfenbrenner, U., Alvarez, W.F., & Henderson, C. R. (1984). Working and watching: Maternal employment status and parents' perceptions of their three-year-old children. Child Development, 55, 1362-1378.
- Brookhart, J., & Hock, E. (1976). The effects of experimental context and experimental background on infants' behavior toward their mothers and a stranger. Child Development, 47, 333-340.
- Buss, A. H., & Plomin, R. (1975). <u>A Temperament Theory of Personality</u>

  Development. New York: Wiley.
- Buss, A. H., & Plomin, R. (1984). <u>Temperament: Early Developing Personality</u>

  Traits. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Carey, W. B. (1970). A simplified method for measuring infant temperament.

  Journal of Pediatrics, 77, 188-194.
- Carey, W. B. & McDevitt, S. C. (1978). Revision of the Infant Temperament Questionnaire. <u>Pediatrics</u>, <u>61</u>, 735-739.
- Chase-Lansdale, P. L., & Owen, M. T. (1987). Maternal employment in a family

- context: Effects on infant-mother and infant-father attachments. Child Development, 58, 1505-1512.
- Clarke-Stewart K. A. (1988). "The 'Effects' of infant day care reconsidered"

  Reconsidered: Risks for Parents, children and researchers. <u>Early Childhood</u>

  <u>Research Quarterly</u>, <u>3</u>, 293-318.
- Cohen, S. E. (1978). Maternal employment and mother-child interaction. Merrill-Parlmer Quarterly, 24, 189-197.
- Crockenberg, S. (1981). Infant irritability, mother responsiveness, and social support influences on the security of infant-mother attachment. Child Development, 52, 857-865.
- Crockenberg, S., & Litman, C. (1990). Autonomy as competence in 2-year-olds:

  Maternal correlates of child defiance, compliance, and self-assertion.

  Developmental Psychology, 26, 961-971.
- Crockenberg, S., & Litman, C. (1991). Effects of maternal employment on maternal and two-year-old child behavior. Child Development, 62, 930-953.
- DeMeis, D. K., Hock, E. & McBride, S. L. (1986). The balance of employment and motherhood: Longitudinal study of mothers' feelings about separation from their first-born infants. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 22, 5, 627-632.
- Fagot, B. I., & Hagan, R. (1991). Observations of parent reactions to sex-stereotyped behaviors: Age and sex effects. Child Development, 62, 617-628.
- Farran, D. C., & Ramsey, C. T. (1977). Infant day care and attachment behavior toward mothers and teachers. Child Development, 48, 1112-1116.
- Fullard, W., McDevitt, S. C., & Carey, W. B. (1978). The Toddler Temperament

- Scale. Unpublished manuscript, Temple University.
- Garmezy, N. (1981). Children under stress: Perspectives on antecedents and correlates of vulnerability and resistance to psychopathology. In A. I. Cabin, J. Aronoff, A. M. Barclay, and R. A. Zucker (Eds.), Further explorations in personality. New York: Wiley, 1981.
- Garrett, P., Lubeck, S., & Wenk, D. (1991). Child-birth and maternal employment:

  Data from a national longitudinal survey. In J. S. Hyde & M.J. Essex (Eds.),

  Parental leave and child care. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Goldberg, R. J. (March, 1977). <u>Maternal time use and preschool performance</u>. Paper presented to the Society for Research in Child Development, New Orleans.
- Goldsmith, H. H., & Campos, J. J. (1982). Toward a theory of infant temperament.

  In R. N. Emde and R. J. Harmon (Eds.), The development of attachment and affiliative systems. New York: Plenum, 1982.
- Goldsmith, H. H. (1983). Genetic influences on personality from infancy to adulthood. Child Development, 54, 331-355.
- Greenberger, E., & Goldberg, W. A. (1989). Work, parenting, and the socialization of children. Developmental Psychology, 25, 22-35.
- Greenberger, E., & O'Neil, R. (1992). Maternal employment and perceptions of young children: Bronfenbrenner et al. Revisited. Child Development, 63, 431-448.
- Hertzig, M. E., Birch H. G., Thomas, A., & Mendez, O. A. (1968). Class and ethnic differences in the responsiveness of preschool children to cognitive demands.

  Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 33:1-69.

- Hock, E. (1978). Working and nonworking mothers with infants: Perceptions of their careers, their infant's needs, and satisfaction with mothering.Developmental Psychology, 14, 37-43.
- Hock, E. (1980). Working and nonworking mothers and their infants: A comparative study of maternal caregiving characteristics and infant social behavior. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 26, 79-101.
- Hock, E. (1984). The transition to day-care: Effects of maternal separation anxiety on infant adjustment. In R. C. Ainslie (Ed.), Quality variation in day-care:
   Implications for child development. pp.183-205. New York: Praeger.
- Hock, E., & DeMeis, D. (1990). Depression in mothers of infants: The role of maternal employment. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 26, 285-291.
- Hock, E., DeMeis, D.K., & McBride, S. L. (1988). Maternal separation anxiety: Its role in the balance of employment and motherhood in mothers of infants. In
  A. Gottfried & A.Gotfried (Eds.), Maternal employment and children's
  Development: Longitudinal research. 191-227. New York: Plenum Press.
- Hoffman, L. W. (1979). Maternal employment. American Psychologist, 32, 644-657.
- Howes, C., & Stewart, P. (1987). Child's Play with Adults, Toys and Peers: An Examination of Family and Child-Care Influence. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 23, 423-430.
- Jacobson, J. L., & Wille, D. E. (1984). Influence of attachment and separation experience on separation distress at 18 months. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 20, No. 3, 477-484.
- Keogh, B. K. (1982). Temperament: An individual difference of importance in

- intervention programs. Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 2, 25-31.
- Lamb, M. (1982). Maternal employment and child development: A review. In M.E.
  Lamb (Ed.), Nontraditional families: Parenting and child development. pp.4569. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Lerner, J.V., & Galambos, N. L. (1985). Maternal role satisfaction, mother-child interaction, and child temperament. <a href="Developmental Psychology">Developmental Psychology</a>, 21, 1157-1164.
- Lamb, M. E., Hwang C., Broberg, A., & Bookstein, F. L. (1988). The effects of out-of-home care on the development of social competence in Sweden: A longitudinal study. <u>Early Childhood Research Quarterly</u>, 3, 379-402.
- Lee, C. L., & Bates, J. E. (Oct., 1985). Mother-child interaction at age two years and perceived difficult temperament. Child Development, 56 (5) 1314-1325.
- Lerner, J. V., & Lerner, R. M. (1983). Temperament and adaptation across life:

  Theoretical and empirical issues. In P. B. Baltes and O. G. Brim, Jr. (Eds.),

  <u>Life span development and behavior</u>. Vol. 5. New York: Academic Press.
- Lytton, H., & Romney, D. M. (in press). Parents' sex-related differential socialization of boys and girls: A meta-analysis. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>.
- Main, M., Kaplan, N., & Cassidy, J. (1985). Security in infancy, childhood, and adulthood: A move to the level of representation. In I. Bretherton and E.
  Waters (eds.), Growing points of attachment theory and research. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, no. 50. (1-2. series; no. 209). Chicago: Society for Research in Child Development.
- Marcus, J., Thomas, A. & Chess, S. (1969). Behavioral individuality in Kibbutz

- children. The Israel Annals of Psychiatry and Related Disciplines, 7:1:43-54.
- McCartney, K. (1984). Effects of quality of day care environment on children's language development. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 20, 244-260.
- McCartney, K. & Galanopoulos, A. (1988). Child-care and attachment: A new frontier the second time around. <a href="Mainting American Journal of Orthopsychiatry">American Journal of Orthopsychiatry</a>, <a href="58">58</a>, <a href="58">16-24</a>.
- McCartney, K. & Rosenthal, S. R. (1990). Moderators of maternal employment effects on children's adjustment. Paper presented at the International Conference on Infancy Studies, Montreal, Canada, April 20, 1990.
- McDevitt, S. C., & Carey, W. B. (1978). The measurement of temperament in 3-7 year old children. Child Psychological Psychiatry, 19, 245-253.
- Pedhazur, E. J. (1982). <u>Multiple regression in behavioral research</u>. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Phillips, D., & Howes, C. (1987). Indicators of quality in child care: Review of research. In D. A. Phillips (Ed.), Quality in child care: What does research tell us? Research Monograph of the National Association for the Education of Young Children: Vol. 1. Washington, DC: NAEYC.
- Plomin, R. (1983). Developmental behavioral genetics. <u>Child Development</u>, <u>54</u>, 253-259.
- Pullis, M., & Cadwell, J. (1982). The influence of children's temperament characteristics on teachers' decision strategies. <u>American Educational</u>

  Research Journal, 19 (2), 165-181.
- Thomas, A., & Chess, S. (1957). An approach to the study of sources of individual

- Psychopathology and Quarterly Review of Psychiatry and Neurology, 18, 347-357.
- Thomas, A., & Chess, S. (1977). <u>Temperament and Development</u>. New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Thomas, A., & Chess, S. (1981). The role of temperament in the contribution of individuals to their development. In R. M. Lerner and N. A. Busch-Rossnagel (Eds.), <u>Individuals as producers of their development: A Life-Span perspective</u>, New York: Academic Press.
- Thomas, A., Chess, S., Birch, H. G. (1968). <u>Temperament and behavior disorders in children</u>. New York: New York University Press.
- Thomas, A., Chess, S., Sillan, J., & Mendez, O. (1974). Cross cultural study of behavior in children with special vulnerabilities to stress. In D. F. Ricks, A.
  Thomas, & M. Roff (Eds.), <u>Life history research in psychopathology</u>.
  Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Thompson, R. (1988). The effects of infant day Care through the prism of attachment theory: A critical appraisal. <u>Early Childhood Research Quarterly</u>, 3 (3), 273-282.
- U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (1988). <u>Special labor force reports</u>. Nos. 13, 130 and134. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.
- Vandell, D. L., & Corasaniti, M. A. (Fall, 1990). Child care and the family:

  Complex contributors to child development. New Directions for Child

  Development, 49, pp. 23-37. Jossey-Bass Inc.: Publishers.

- Vaughn, B. E., Taraldson, B., Crichton, L., and Egeland, B. (1980). Relationships between neonatal behavioral organization and infant behavior during the first year of life. Infant Behavior and Development, 3, 47-66.
- Vaughn, B., Gove, F.L., & Egeland, B. (1980). The relationship between out-of-home care and the quality of infant-mother attachment in an economically disadvantaged population. Child Development, 51, 971-975.
- Waters, E. & Deane, K. E. (1985). Defining and assessing individual differences in attachment relationships: Q-Methodology and organization of behavior in infancy and early childhood. In I. Bretherton and E. Waters (eds.), Growing points of attachment theory and research. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, Vol. 50, nos. 1-2 (serial 209). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Weinraub, M., Jaeger, E., & Hoffman, L. (1988). Predicting infant outcome in families of employed and non-employed mothers. <u>Early Childhood Research</u>

  <u>Quarterly</u>, 3, 361-378.
- Werner, E. E., & Smith, R. S. (1982). <u>Vulnerable but invincible: A longitudinal study of resilient children and youth</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Willis, A., & Ricciuti, H. N. (1974). <u>A good beginning for babies</u>. Ithaca, N. Y.: College of Human Ecology, Cornell University.

**APPENDIXES** 

## APPENDIX A

TODDLER TEMPERAMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

USING THE SCALE SHOWN BELOW, PLEASE MARK AN "X" IN THE SPACE THAT TELLS HOW OFTEN THE CHILD'S RECENT AND CURRENT BEHAVIOR HAS BEEN LIKE THE BEHAVIOR DESCRIBED BY EACH ITEM.

Almost never	Rarely	Usually does not	Usually does					nost Vays		
1	2	3	4	5			6		min vocan remove	TA SAMONAN STATE OF THE SAMONA
1. The child g time each eveni			ame	almost never	:	:	: 3		:	almost always
<ol><li>The child f (story telling,</li></ol>			ities	almost never	: 1	:	:_ 3	4 5	:	almost always
3. The child to expression of 1			n mild	almost never	:	:_	:_	: 4 5	:	almost always
	4. The child is pleasant (smiles, laughs) when first arriving in unfamiliar places.									almost always
5. A child's Indoctor is accep		on to seeing	the	almost never	:	:_	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> :	: <u> </u>	almost always
6. The child paperent for only			n	almost never	:	:_	:_	<del></del> :	:	almost always
<ol> <li>The child's ferent times fr difference).</li> </ol>				almost never	: 1	: :_	:_	: 4 5	÷6	almost always
8. The child is complains, crie	•	king up (frow	vns,	almost never	:	:_	::	<u>;                                    </u>	:	almost always
9. The child's sitter is rejecetc.)				almost never	1	:_	3 .	: 4 5	:	almost always
10. The child r			even	almost never	: 1	:_	3 -	: 4 5	;	almost always
11. The child a minutes) for de (snacks, treats	sired objects			almost never	: 1	:_	3:_	: 4 5	: <u></u> -	almost always
12. The child mowhen being dress		stays still)		almost never	: 1	:_	:	<u>.</u> :	:	almost always
13. The child co		-		almost never	: 1	:_	:_ 3	<u>-</u> :	:	almost always
14. The child stamps feet) to		eactions (cri	ies,	almost never	: 1	<del>:</del> _	:	<del></del> :	:	almost always
	15. The child plays continuously for more than 10 minutes at a time with a favorite toy.					_	_	: 4 5		almost always
16. The child ignores the temperature of food, whether hot or cold.				almost . never	: 1	:_	3 .	: 4 5	:	almost always
	17. The child varies from day to day in wanting a bottle or snack before bedtime at night.				: 1	:_	3 4	<del>:</del> :	:	almost always
18. The child s	or food.	almost j never	: 1	:_	3:_	: 1 5	6	almost always		

Almost never	Rarely	Usually does not	Usually does	Frequ	uent	1у		ilmos ilway			
1	2	3	4	5				6			
19. The child i		ited by prais	e	almost never		:	:	:	:	:	almos
(laughs, yells,	jumps).			never	1	2	3	4	2	6	alway
20. The child c	ries after a	fall or bump		almost never	1	:	:	:	:	:	almos alway
21. The child a unfamiliar pets		almost never		:	:		:	:	almos alway		
22. The child s a person walks	almost never	1	:	:	:	:	:	almos alway			
23. The child s taste of famili juices).		almost never	1	:	: 3	:	: 5	;	almos alway		
24. The child m explores new pl				almost never	1	:	:	:	:	:6	almos alway
25. The child f cleaned after b	om.	almost never	1	2	:	:	:	:	almos alway		
26. The child s familiar adults		layed with by	un-	almost never	1	::	3	:	:	: 6	almos alway
27. The child lenters the room		play when mo	ther	almost never	1	:: 2	3	:	: 5	:	almos alway
28. The child s book or looking			g a	almost never	: 1	2	3	:	: 5	:	almos alway
29. The child r	esponds inter	nsely (screams	s, yells)	almost never	: 1	==:	3	4	:	:	almos alway
30. The child easier makes			of	almost never	1	::	3	4	<del></del> 5	:	almos alway
31. The child reand waiting for			ry	almost never	1	::	3	:: 4	:	6	almos alway
32. The child a protest (squirm			ž.	almost never	1	::	3	4	: 5	:	almos alway
33. The amount of the second o	unpredictable	e from meal to		almost never	:	::	: 3	4	: 5	:	almos alway
34. The child practices physical activities (climbing, jumping, pushing objects) for under 5 minutes.				almost never	:	::	:	4	:	:	almos alway:
35. The child vigorously resists additional cood or milk when full (spits out, clamps mouth closed, bats at spoon, etc.)				almost never	:	:	:	:		-	almos!

Almost never 1	Rarely 2	Usually does not	Usually does 4	Freq 5	uently	Almost always 6		
36. The child p		/ (bangs, thr	ows,	almost never	::	:::	:_ 5 6	almost always
37. The child i a favorite toy.		almost never	<u> </u>	:::	: 5 6	almost always		
	38. The child approaches (moves toward) new visitors at home.						: 5 6	almost always
39. The child p days without se in temperature.	eming to not:			almost never	1::	:::	5 6	almost always
40. The child c children for un- goes elsewhere.		~		almost never	1:2	: <u> </u>	5 6	almost always
41. The child c book in spite o horns, doorbell	f distracting		ture	almost never	1 2	· <u> </u>	: 5 6	almost always
42. The child we each day (over			nt time	almost never	:: ::	:::	: 5 6	almost always
43. The child is down for map or		smiles) when p	put	almost never	1: 2	::::	: 5 6	almost always
44. The child to (show usual behavior parent (play	avior in) new	v situations	away	almost never	1:2	:::	5 6	almost always
45. The child sp to unfamiliar a		calizes) right	t away	almost never	1 2	:::	5 6	almost always
46. The child rewhen unable to			screams)	almost never	<u>     :                               </u>	:::	: 5 6	almost always
47. The child en			and	almost never	<u>     :                               </u>	:::	: 5 6	almost always
48. The child no be changed right		lothing, and	wants to	almost never	<u> </u>	:::	: <u>-</u>	almost always
49. The child is cold or an inte			ut a	almost never	<u>     :                               </u>	:::	: 5 6	almost always
50. The child is watching a favo:			l while	almost never	<u> </u>	:::	: 5 6	almost always
51. A child lose within an hour.	51. A child loses interest in a new toy or game within an hour.					:::	5 6	almost always
52. The child ruto go.	uns to get wh	nere he/she wa	ants	almost never	1 2	:::	5 6	almost always

Almost never	Rarely	Usually	Usually Usually does not does			Almo. alwa		
1	2	3	4	5		5 b	, s	
53. For the fir (store, home or wary (clings to	vacation pl	ace) the chil-		almost never	1 2	3 4	5 6	_ almost always
54. The child t times (over 1/2				almost never	<u>:</u>	: 3 4	::	_almost always
55. The child r when his/her pl				almost never	<u> </u>	::	::6	_ almost always
56. The child a without protest		dressed and	undressed	almost never	<u> </u>	::	: <u> </u>	_ almost always
57. The child i outside the hom		vith adult str	angers	almost never	<u> </u>	::	: <u> </u>	_ almost always
58. The child reparent.	uns ahead wh	en walking wi	th the	almost never	:: :	::	5 6	_almost always
59. The child's activity comes			cal	almost never	:: ::	::	::_6	_ almost always
60. The child cactivity.	an be coaxed	lout of a for	bidden	almost never	1::	::	::	_almost always
61. The child s someone walks by		d watches whe	n	almost never	<u> </u>	::	::	_almost always
62. The child gafter brief into				almost never	1::	::	::_	_almost always
63. The child lother children.	aughs or smi	les when meet	ing	almost never	1::	: :	: <u> </u>	_ almost always
64. The child s listening to mu		ile watching '	TV or	almost never	1:2	::	::	_ almost always
65. The child w				almost never	<u> </u>	::	::6	_ almost always
66. The child compite of sudden siren, etc.).	ontinues to noises from	play with a to outdoors (ca	oy in r horn,	almost never	1: 2	3 4	·- <u>-</u> -: <u>-</u>	_ almost always
67. The child i	gnores dirt	on himself/he	rself.	almost nev <b>e</b> r	<u> </u>	::	::_	_ almost always
68. The child's varies greatly to day.				almost n <b>e</b> ver			5 6	_ almost always
69. The child handhe/she is fussy	as moody or all day.	"off" days wh	en	almost never		::	::	_ almost always

Almost

never	Rately	does not	does	rrequ	uenc	ı y		lway			
1	2 .			5			6				
	ld reacts mildly		ile)	almost never		::	:	:	::	:	almos
when another	c child takes hi	s/her toy.		never	1	2	3	4	5	б	alway
71. The chil	ld stays with a	routine task	(dres-	almost		: :	:	:	: :		almos
	ng up toys) for			never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
72. The chi	almost						:	almos			
nears an uni	never	1	2	3	4	5	ő	almos alway			
3. The child sits still (moves little) during				almost		: :		: :	: :	:	almos
procedures :	never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway			
74. The child shows much bodily movement (stomps,				almost				:	: :	:	almos
writhes, swings arms) when upset or crying.				never	1	2	3	4	5	6	almos alway
75. The chil	ld is pleasant (	smiles, laugh	s) during	almost		:	:	::	:	:	almos
face washing	J -	_	_	never	1	2	3	4	5	Ó	alway
76. The chil	ld's initial rea	ction at home	to	almost		: :		:	:		almos
	strangers is ac			never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
77. The chil	ld is hungry at	dinner time.		almost		::	·	::	::	:	almos
				never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
78. The chil	ld continues to	get into forb	idden	almost		::	:	::	::	:	almos
areas or obj warnings.	jects in spite o	of parents' re	peated	never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
79. The chil	ld stops to exam	ine new objec	ts	almost		::		:	· :		almos
horoughly	(5 minutes or mo	ore).		never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
30. The chil	ld ignores odors	(cooking, sm	oke,	almost never	:	::		::	::	:	almos alway
perfume) whe	ether pleasant c	or not.		never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
31. The chil	ld looks up from	an activity	when	almost		2	:	::	::	:	almos
	s the sounds of			never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
32. The chil	ld falls asleep	at about the	same	almost never		:	:	:	:	:	almos
length of ti	me after being	put to bed.		never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
33. The chil	ld greets babysi	tter loudly w	ith much	almost		:	:	:	:	:	almos
expression on a segative.	of feeling wheth	er positive o	r	never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
34. The chil	ld is moody for	more than a fe	ew	almost		:	:	:	::		almos
minutes wher	corrected or d	lisciplined.		never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
	d sits still (l		ng) while	almost		::	:	::	:	:	almos
traveling in car or stroller.				never	1	2	3	4	5	6	alway
36. The chil	d watches TV fo	r under 10 min	nutes,	almost		::	:	:	::		almos alway

Almost Rarely Usually Usually Frequently

Almost never 1	Rarely 2	Usually does not 3	Usually does 4	Frequ	uently	Almost always 6		
87. The child i mother) on meet time.				almost never	<u> </u>	3 4 5	-: <u></u>	almost always
88. The child i minutes.	s still wary	of strangers	after 15	almost never	1:2:	3 4 5	- :	almost always
89. The child f a new task (dre				almost never	1:2:	3 4 5	_:	almost always
90. The child s	its quietly	in the bath.		almost never	<del>::</del>	3 4 5	_: <u></u> _	almost always
91. The child p piling, drawing			owing,	almost never	1 2:	3 4 5	_: <u></u>	almost always
92. The child i consistency of			te or	almost never	1:2:	3 4 5	_: <u></u>	almost always
93. The child s in new places f			akeful)	almost never	1:2:	3 4 5	_: <u></u>	almost always
94. The child f unfamiliar plac playpen) with p	e (supermarke	et cart, new :		almost never	1 2:	3 4 5	_: <u></u>	almost always
95. The child f play by self.	rowns or comp	olains when le	eft to	almost never	1:-2:	3 4 5	_: <u></u>	almost always
96. The child a at home, at eas play area).				almost never	1 2	3 <b>4</b> 5	-: <u>-</u> -6	almost always
97. The child l telephone or do			е	almost never	1:2:	3 4 5	-: <u></u> 6	almost always

### APPENDIX B

BEHAVIORAL STYLE QUESTIONNAIRE

Almost

USING THE SCALE SHOWN BELOW, PLEASE MARK AN "X" IN THE SPACE THAT TELLS HOW OFTEN THE CHILD'S RECENT AND CURRENT BEHAVIOR HAS BEEN LIKE THE BEHAVIOR DESCRIBED BY EACH ITEM.

Usually Frequently

Usually

Rarely

Almost

	ever	Rately	does not	does	r r eq.	dent	1,		lway			
	1	2	3	4	5			-	6	_		
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		s moody for m		ew .	almost	:	:	:	::	:	:	almost always
minutes	when co	orrected or di	sciplined.		never	1	2	3	4	5	6	always
		eems not to hactivity.	ear when invo	olved	almost never	1	:	:	:	: <u> </u>	:	almost always
3. The	child c	an be coaxed	out of a fork	oidden	almost	:	:	:	:	:	:	almost
activity					never	1	2	3	4	5	6	almost always
4. The parent.	child r	uns ahead whe	n walking wit	th the	almost never	1	:	:	:	: 5	:	almost always
5. The	child l	aughs or smil	es while play	ing.	almost never	1	:	:	:	:	:	almost always
6. The	child m	oves slowly w	hen working o	on a	almost							
project					never	1	2	3	4	5	6	almost always
7. The	child r	esponds inten	sely to disar	proval.	almost never		:	:	:	:	:	almost always
												-
		eed a period inges in schoo			never	1	2	3	4	: 5	:	almost always
		njoys games t	hat involves		almost	:	:	:	:	:	:	almost always
running	or jump	oing.										
10. The househol		s slow to adj	ust to ch <b>a</b> nge	es in	almost never	1	:	:	:	5	:	almost always
11. The same tir		nas bowel move day.	ments at abo	ut the	almost never	1	:	: <u> </u>	:	:	:	almost always
12. The	child i	s willing to	try new thing	gs.	almost		:	:	:	:	:	almost always
					never	1	2	3	4	5	6	always
13. The listenin		sits calmly wh usic.	ile watching	TV or	almost never	1	:	3	:	: 5	:	almost always
		eaves or want	s to leave th	he	almost		:	:	:	:	:	almost always
table du	_				never							
15. Char	nges in	plans bother	the child.		almost never	1	:	3	:	: 5	6	almost always
16. The dress of	child r appear	notices minor ances (clothi	changes in mo	other's e, etc.).	almost never	1	:	:	:	:	:	almost always
		loes not ackno		l to	almost		:	:	:	:	:	almost always
		olved in somet			never							-
18. The	child nent (a f	esponds to mi rown or shake	ld disapprove of the head	al by ).	almost never	1	:	:	:	:	:	almost always
19. The		ettles argume	nts with play	ymates	almost never	<del></del>	:	:	:	:	:	almost always
michini 6												
		shows strong r	eaction to t	hings,	almost	1	:	:	:	:	:	almost always
both pos	sitive a	and negative.			Hever	1	۷	ر	-1	,	U	armays

Almost never	never does not does			Frequ	lently	Almos alway		
1	2	4	5		6			
21. The child he the first three school.	nad trouble le e days when he	eaving the mo e/she entered	ther	almost never	1 2	:;	;;	_almost always
22. The child pties of parents meanings).	picks up the mal explanation	btle- implied	almost never	1 2	: :	::	_almost always	
23. The child figut to bed.	falls asleep a	as soon as he	/she is	almost never	1 2	::	· <u> </u>	_almost always
24. The child mexplores new pl		almost never	1:2	: :	: <u> </u>	_almost always		
25. The child I		almost never	1 2	3 4	;; 5 6	_ almost always		
26. The child s	sits quietly v	while waiting		almost never	:: :	::	: <u> </u>	_almost always
27. The child s book or looking			g a	almost never	1 2	::	:: 5 6	almost always
28. The child l		ngs <u>at his/h</u> e	er	almost never	1: 2	::_4	;; 5 6	_almost always
29. The child s		ghs when he/sh	ne	almost never	1::	:: 3 4	:: 5 6	almost always
30. The child i	s easily exci	ted by praise	€.	almost never	1:	::	5 6	almost always
31. The child i	s outgoing wi	th strangers.		almost never	1: 2	::_4	:: 5 6	almost always
32. The child fastay still.	idgets when h	e/she has to		almost never	1 2	::	·:	almost always
33. The child s his/her toys an		she is "bored'	" with	almost never	1 2	::	:: 5 6	almost always
34. The child i to comply with			play	almost never	1::	::	:: 5 6	almost always
35. The child p	ractices an a	ctivity until	l he/she			3 4		
36. The child e supper from day		same amount	at	almost never	1 2	:::	·: 5 6	almost always
37. Unusual noi interrupt the c			. )	almost never	1:	::	<u> </u>	almost always
38. The child c	omplains when	tired.		almost never	1::	:::	5 6	almost always
39. The child l		in a new toy	or or	almost never	<u> </u>	:::	<del>:</del> 6	almost always
40. The child besting activity	•			almost . never	1:	:::	: 5 6	almost always

Almost never	Rarely	Usually does not	Usually does	•	uently	Almos alway		
1	2	3 	4	5	·	6		
41. The child	cries intensely	when hurt.		almost never	<u> </u>	::;	::_	_ almost always
42. The child light-hearted	reacts strongly comments.	to kidding	or			:;		
43. The child that he/she d	approaches chil	.dren his/her	age			::		_
44. The child	plays quietly v			::				
45. The child emotions.	is outwardly ex	pressive of	his/her	almost never		::		_
	is enthusiastic		<del>.</del>	almost never		::		_
everyone. 47. The child	is sleepy at hi	s/her bed-ti	.me.					
						3 4		_
	stops an activi tches his/her at		ome-	almost never	1 2	::	5 6	_ almost always
49. The child	is hungry at di	nner time.		almost never	1: 2	::	:: 5 6	_ almost always
50. The child herself.	holds back unti	l sure of hi	mself/	almost never	<u> </u>	:;	::_6	_ almost always
51. The child the door-way.	looks up when s	omeone walks	; past	almost never	:	::_4	:; 5 6	_ almost always
	becomes upset i ision program.	f he/she mis	ses a	almost never	1:2	::	:; 5 6	_ almost always
	reacts strongly disappointment c		:om -	almost never	1::	:;	:;	_ almost always
54. The child two tries.	accepts new foc	ds within on	e or	almost never	1:2	::	:: <u>_</u> 5 6	_ almost always
55. The child new situations	has difficulty	getting used	l to	almost never	<u> </u>	::_4	:; 5 6	_ almost always
	will avoid mist ly once or twice			almost never	1:2	: :	:: 5 6	_ almost always
	is sensitive to ll) and looks up			almost never	1: 2	::_4	::_ 5 6	_ almost always
58. The child quiet play in:	prefers active side.	outdoor play	to	almost never	1::	:;	5 6	_ almost always
59. The child if not ice-co	dislikes milk c	r other drin	ks	almost never	1 2	:;;	::_ 5 6	_ almost always
	notices differe	nces or chan	ges	almost never	<u> </u>	::;	5 6	_ almost always
61. The child his/her routing	adjusts easily nes.	to changes i	n	almost never	::	::	<del>:</del> 5 6	_ almost always

Almost never	never does not does						al	lmost lways		
1	2	3	4	5				6		
62. The child e		e same amount	at	almost never	1	::	<del></del> ;	:_	<u> </u>	almost salways
63. The child s strides.	eems to take	setbacks in		almost never	1	::	:	:_ 4	5 ,	almost
64. The child o	ries or whine	es when frust	rated.	almost never	1	::	: .	;_ -4	<u> </u>	almost
65. The child r			he/she	almost never	1	::	:	: _	5 ,	almost always
66. The child l telephone rings		playing when	the	almost never		:;	: 3		5 ,	almost always
67. The child i	s willing to	try new foods	S.	almost never	1	::	:	<del></del> :-	5 (	almost 6 always
68. The child n will try new th		gement before	he/she	almost never	1	::	: 3	:_	5 -	almost always
69. The child cold or upset s		es when ill w	ith a	almost never	1	::	3:.	:_	5 .	almost always
70. The child r	uns to get wh	nere he/she wa	ants to	almost never	1	::	:	:_ 4	: 5 t	almost always
71. The child's when listening			lapses	almost never	1	::	:	:_	5 (	almost always
72. The child b playmates.	ecomes angry	with one of h	nis/her	almost never	:	::	:	:	5 (	almost always
73. The child i trying to do a			en	almost never	1	::	:. 3	:_	5 (	almost always
74. The child r		lapproval fro	om the	almost never	:	::	:. 3	;	5 (	almost always
75. The child r tween meals and			" be-	almost never	1	::	:. 3	:_ 4	: 5 (	almost
76. The child r greets loudly a				almost never	:	::	<del>:</del>	:_	:_ 5 (	almost always
77. The child 1 in the next roo	_	he/she hears	voices							almost always
78. The child p	rotests when	denied a requ	lest by	almost never	:	2	:.	:_	:_ 5 (	_ almost always
	9. The child ignores loud noises when reading r looking at pictures in a book.				:	::	:. 3	:_ 4	:_	_ almost always
0. The child dislikes a food that he/she had reviously seemed to accept.				almost never	: 1	2:	:	:	:_ 5	almost always
	1. The child stops what he/she is doing and ooks up when the parent enters the room.				:	<u> </u>	:_ 3	:_	:_ 5	_ almost always
82. The child c when hurt.	rie <b>s f</b> or more	than a few m	ninutes	almost never	<del></del> :	:	:_	:_	:_ 5	_ almost always

Almost never 1	Rarely 2	Usually does not	Usually does 4	Frequ	uently	Almost always 6		
83. The child w TV program with	atches a londout getting	g (1 hour or 1 up to do some	more) thing	almost never	1 2	3 4	: 5 6	almost always
84. The child susual time on w	pontaneously eekends and	wakes up at holidays.	the	almost never	1 2	::	5 6	almost always
85. The child runrelated to hi			es	almost never	:: 1 2	3:4:-	; 5 €	almost always
86. The child a	voids new gu	ests or visite	ors.	almost never	:: 1 2:	3::_	: 5 6	almost always
87. The child fread to him/her		a story is be	ing	almost never	::	:::	: 5 6	almost always
88. The child b		or cries ove	r minor	almost never	:: 1 2	3 4:-	: 5 6	almost always
89. The child i to conversation			listen	almost never	::	<del>::</del> :_	: 5 6	almost always
90. The child i activity that h			ay	almost never	1:2:	:::	: 5 6	almost always
91. The child i there is conver			ח	almost never	::	3:4:	5 6	almost always
92. The child be sented with a n			n pre-	almost never	: <u></u> :	<del>3</del> : <u>4</u> :-	5 6	almost always
93. The child p finish when the thing to him/he	parent tries			almost never	1:2:	3 4	: 5 6	almost
94. The child s times difficult			is some-	almost never	:: 1 2	3:4:	: 5 6	almost always
95. The child w			uring	almost never	1::	3:4:	5 6	almost always
96. The child c		events in scho	ool or	almost never	:: 1 2	<del>3</del> : <u>4</u> :	:_ 5 6	almost always
97. The child f by the parent.	rowns when a	sked to do a o	chore	almost never	<u> </u>	<del>3</del> : <del>4</del> :-	5 6	almost
98. The child t situations.	ends to hold	back in new		almost never	<u> </u>	3:4:-	: 5 6	almost always
99. The child l television cart				almost never	<del>::</del>	3 4:	5 6	almost always
100. The child moody or cranky		ys when he/she	e is	almost never	::	::_	: 5 6	almost always

# APPENDIX C

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

# Background Information

Child Name	ID (will be assigned)
1. Who currently lives in your	household? (Please list yourself on the first line.)
1) M F Myself	Birth date Occupation (Mo/Day/Yr)
2) M F 3) M F 4) M F	
4) M F	- The second sec
5) M F	
2. Is your child enrolled in a she/he been in other child care Name of Center Age of Er  1)	How long enrolled
	eare giver? When was the first outside of home care giver
How long?	are giver: When was the first outside of home date giver
Who When	How long How long
wiio wiieli	now rong
3. Your current household doll	ar income per month before taxes: _1000-1499
4. How long have you lived wit	h the child (please mark only one)
5. Race/Ethnicity:	
Asian Black His Other (specify	panicNative American (Tribe) White
6. What is the highest level of less than high school gradu	of education you have completed? Late (last grade completed?)
GED high school graduate attended vocational/technic attended college, did not g college graduate graduate education or profe	raduate
7 Marital status:	
Never married Married	Previously married
working, part-time working, full-time	ment status: (please check all that apply)  retired student k homemaker work other (Please specify) )
<ol> <li>Which do you prefer, working Working ( ) Staying at h If you are working, how many</li> </ol>	or staying at home? nome ( ) hours are you working a week?Hrs/wk
10. How satisfied are you with y	our job?
Very satisfied ( ) Somewhat	satisfied ( ) Not satisfied at all ( )
11. How satisfied are you with o	child care arrangements?
Very satisfied ( ) Somewhat	Satisfied ( ) Not satisfied at all ( )
Daily Once a week or less	en you spend time with your child
2 to 3 times a week ${5-15 \text{ min}}$ .	15-30 min. 30-60 min. over 1hr.

Reading		 	
Playing ball T.V.	<del>1</del>		
Singing Painting		 	
Teaching Talking	***************************************	 	

- 13. Please describe your child daily schedule.
- 14. How do you spend the weekend with your children?

### APPENDIX D

TODDLER TEMPERAMENT SCALE - PROFILE SHEET

Name of child	Date of rating
Age at rating: Yearsmonths	days. Sex
Category score from Scoring Sheet	
	MANUAL CONTRACTOR CONT

Profile: Place mark in appropriate box below:

	Activity	Rhythm	App/with	Adapt.	Intens.	Mood	Persist	Distrac	Thresh
ISD	high 4.85	агту 3.55	with 3.95	slow 3.83	inten 4.88	nega 3.55	low 3.57	high 4.93	low 5.30
М	3.99	2.78	2.91	3.04	4.06	2.90	2.82	4.20	4.43
-1SD	3.13	2.01	1.87	2.25	3.24	2.25	2.07	3.47	3.56
	low	very rhyth.	арр.	very adapt.	mild	positive	high per	non- distrac	high

### Diagnostic clusters:

Easy		rhythm.	арр.	adapt.	mild	positive		
Diff		arrythm.	withdr.	slowly adapt.	intense	negative		
STWU	low		withdr.	slowly adapt.	mild	negative		

Definition of diagnostic clusters used for individual scoring:

Easy - Scores greater than mean in no more than two of difficult/easy categories (rhythmicity, approach, adaptability, intensity, & mood) and neither greater than one standard deviation.

Difficult - 4 or 5 scores greater than mean in difficult/easy categories (rhythmicity, approach, adaptability, intensity, & mood). These must include intensity and two scores must be greater than 1 standard deviation)

Slow-to-warm-up - as defined above, but if either withdrawal or slow adaptability is greater than 1 standard deviation, activity may vary up to 4.42 and mood may vary down to 2.58.

Intermediate - all others. Intermediate high - 4 or 5 diff/easy categories above mean with one > 1 standard deviation, or 2 or 3 above mean with 2 or 3 > 1 standard deviation. Intermediate low - all other intermediates.

This child's diagnostic cluster		Date of	scoring	
Comments:	Scorer			

# APPENDIX E

BEHAVIORAL STYLE QUESTIONNAIRE - PROFILE SHEET

Name of	f child			Date	e of rating				
	Age at rat	ing:	Years	months _	days.	Sex			
	Category s	score from	Scoring St	neet					
	Profile: Pl	ace mark i	n appropria	ate box belo	 ow:		-		
	Activity	Rhythm	App/With	Adapt.	Intens.	Mood	Persist	Distract	Thresh
1SD	high 4.31	arryth. 3.43	withdr. 3.93	slowly 3.27	intense 5.17	negative 3.99	low 3.56	high 4.70	low 4.58
М	3.56	2.75	2.99	2.55	4.52	3.31	2.87	3.89	3.98
-1SD	2.81	2.07	2.05	1.83	3.87	2.63	2.18	3.08	3.38
1	low	very rhyth.	арр.	very adapt.	mild	positive	high persis	low distract	high
				Diagnost	ic clusters:				
Easy		rhytm.	app.	adapt.	mild	positive			
Diff		arrythm.	withdr.	slowly adapt.	intense	negative			
stwu	low		withdr.	slowly adapt.	mild	negative			
asy - S daptabil	cores great lity, intensi	er than me ty, & moo	ean in no m d) and neit	her greater	scoring: yo of difficu than one st	andard dev	riation.		approach
daptabil		_			le intensity	-	-		than 1
	-				ithdrawal o		•	s greater th	nan 1
tandard		or 2 or 3 a			diff/easy c 3 > 1 stand				

This child's diagnostic cluster \_\_\_\_\_ Date of scoring \_\_\_\_\_

Scorer \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

#### **VITA**

### Kyung-Ohk Hah Jhun

### Candidate for the Degree of

#### Master of Science

Thesis: MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILD TEMPERAMENT

Major Field: Family Relations and Child Development

### Biographical:

Personal Data: Born in Seoul, Korea, August 27, 1957, the daughter of Yoon and Joo Hah.

Education: Graduated from Dong-myung High School, Seoul, Korea in Feb., 1978; received Bachelor of Art in English Linguistics from Sang-myung University in Feb., 1982; completed requirements for the Master of Science degree at Oklahoma State University in May, 1993.

Professional Experience: Instructor, Oklahoma State University Child Development Laboratory, 1993; Lead Teacher, Oklahoma State University Child Development Laboratory, 1991-1992; Research Assistant, Oklahoma State University, 1990-1991; Marketing Assistant, Samsung Hewlett-Packard, 1985-1986; Advertising Executive, Tetra Pak, Korea, 1983-1985; Researcher, Korea Securities Exchanges Commissions, 1982-1983; Reporter, Sang-myung University, 1979-1982; Member of Kappa Omicron Nu Honor Society.