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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

There are a significant number of children who live in poverty in the United States who do not have access to an adequate diet. These children are at greater risk for growth retardation, obesity, iron-deficiency anemia, dental disease and poor academic performance. For these children, public food assistance programs are of critical importance. Studies have shown that these food assistance programs are associated with improvements in dietary intake and nutritional status. One such program is the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) (Albertson, Tobelmann, Engstrom, and Asp, 1992). Of particular concern are Hispanic children living in poverty who are currently participating in WIC, since they are the largest growing minority population currently in the United States (Aguirre-Molina, Ramirez, and Ramirez, 1993).

There have been studies and surveys that have reported low intake of essential nutrients such as iron, calcium, vitamin B6, zinc, and magnesium in the Hispanic and American population groups. These studies include the Nationwide Food Consumption Survey, The National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES I, II and III and the HHANES). NHANES III is currently being conducted. Nutritional results of these studies are based on the Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA'S) set forth by the Food and Nutrition Board (Alberston, et al., 1992). A report by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Healthy People 2000, states that iron deficiency anemia continues to be of special concern for poverty stricken children. A goal of this report is to reduce iron deficiency anemia in low income children ages three and four to less than three
percent by the year 2000. Healthy People also states that there is a need for a continuous nationwide nutritional monitoring system. Therefore, it supports the need to study children from minority groups such as Hispanics (US Department of Health and Human Services [US DHHS], 1994).

The Surgeon General's Report on Nutrition and Health states that children should consume foods that are good sources of iron such as lean meats, fish, certain beans, iron rich cereals and whole grain products. The report also states that, because of the serious consequences of iron deficiency, a continual monitoring of individuals at high risk is vital (Public Health Service (PHS), 1986). There has been little research on dietary intake and low iron levels in the blood of three and four year old Hispanic children participating in the WIC program. Since many of these children depend on the food provided by WIC as a main source of iron, it is important to identify certain trends to ensure that they are receiving the maximum benefits of this program.

## Purpose and Objectives of the Study

The purpose of this study was to determine dietary intake and iron status of three and four year old Hispanic, Black and White children participating in the WIC program in Clark County, Las Vegas, NV.

Specific objectives were:

1. To determine if selected personal/health variables were associated with the iron status of children ages three and four participating in the WIC program. Personal/health variables include gender, age, ethnicity, weight, height, and vitamin and mineral supplementation.
2. To determine if selected personal/health variables were associated with the dietary intakes of children ages three and four participating in the WIC program. Personal/health variables include gender, age, ethnicity, weight, height, and hematocrit.
3. To determine if selected personal/health variables were associated with dietary intake and the Nevada Food Guide Pyramid for children ages 2 through 5 participating in the WIC program. Personal/health variables include gender, age, ethnicity, weight, height, and hematocrit.
4. To determine if there were associations between expected height, weight and actual height and weight based on gender, and expected height and weight and mean height and weight based on age.

## Hypotheses

H1o - There will be no significant association between iron status of children participating in the WIC program and selected personal/health variables. Selected personal/health variables were:

1. Gender
2. Age
3. Ethnicity
4. Weight
5. Height
6. Vitamin and mineral supplementation

H 2 o - There will be no significant association between dietary intake of children participating in The WIC program and selected personal/health variables. Selected personal/health variables were:

1. Gender
2. Age
3. Ethnicity
4. Weight
5. Height
6. Hematocrit

H3o - There will be no significant association between dietary intake and the Nevada Food Guide Pyramid of children ages 2 through 5 participating in the WIC program and selected personal/health variables as listed in H 2

H4o - There will be no significant association between expected height, and weight and actual height and weight based on gender, and mean expected height and weight and actual height and weight based on gender.

## Assumptions and Limitations

The assumptions made regarding this study include:

1. The Competent Professional Authorities (CPA's) and the WIC clerks have adequate training in explaining to the participants' parent or guardian how to correctly complete the questionnaire.
2. The participant's guardian or parent will complete the questionnaire based on what is rather than what they perceive as ideal.

A limitation in this study was the fact that only data from records of 3 and 4 year old Hispanic, Black, and White children participating in WIC during the year 1994 were analyzed from one clinic out of five, which was predominately Hispanic. Results from this study can therefore only be generalized to this group of participants.

## Definitions

CPA: Certified Professional Authority; physician nutritionist, registered nurse, registered dietitian, or any person who passes the examination to become a CPA.

Nevada Food Guide for Children 2 to 5 years: Outline for children ages two through five of what to eat each day and the range of servings from each food group. Taken from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Guide Pyramid,
which Nevada has adapted to make age appropriate for serving sizes and number. (Appendix A)

Participants: Those who participate in WIC, who receive nutritional and dietary assessment, education and vouchers.

Black: Relating to a group or race characterized by dark pigmentation.

White: Relating to a group or race of people characterized by light pigmentation.

Hispanic: Relating to the people of or culture of Mexico, Puerto Rico. Cuba, and Latin America.

Healthy People 2000: Administered by the Public Health Service, a broad based initiative aimed at improving the health of the American people over the next decade.

NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (I, II, III, and HHANES), source of periodic information on the dietary, nutrition and health status of the US population.

National Food Consumption Survey: Conducted by the U.S. Department of Agriculture as part of the Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals. It is conducted on llow-income women and children to determine nutrient intake.

National Nutrition Monitoring System: Composed of federal and state surveys and surveillance systems and other monitoring activities. These provide information about the dietary and nutritional status of the U.S. population and certain conditions which affect the health of individuals.

Surgeon General's Report on Nutrition and Health: Reviews scientific evidence and recommends dietary changes that help improve the health of the American people.

Food Guide Pyramid: A graphical representation of what to eat each day, allowing one to chose a healthful diet that fits a particular lifestyle.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This chapter will include a review of the following major topics: overview of WIC, nutritional studies on children, nutritional studies on the Hispanic population, iron studies and various nutrition studies and surveys. Results of selected research will also be discussed in this chapter.

Overview of the WIC Program

The WIC program is an outgrowth of the 1969 White House Conference on Food, Nutrition and Health. During this conference, in the sections referring to pregnant and nursing women and infants it was stated:
"Hunger and malnutrition constitute a national emergency which requires an immediacy of response fully commensurate with the scope and severity. Delays are intolerable either in initiating relief, or in developing and funding long term programs for remedy and intervention." (Strategies for Expanding, 1988, pg. 2)

Congress also created the Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP) in 1969. This program purchased surplus commodities from the agriculture sector and distributes the surplus to low-income women, infants, and children under the age of six (Strategies, 1988). Consequently in 1972, Congress passed Public Law 92-433 which created the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women Infants and Children (WIC) (Child Nutrition Act of 1966,1972 ). This law provided cash grants to states for the purpose of providing funds to local agencies to carry out the WIC program. This bill was originally a two year pilot program, created to provide supplemental food to pregnant or lactating women and infants up to age four who were low-income and determined to be at nutritional
risk by a competent professional (Child Nutrition Act of 1966, 1972). The program has been reauthorized five times and in 1974, Congress expanded the WIC program to include the nursing mothers up to one year, post-partum non-nursing mothers up to six months and children up to age five (Budgetary Examination of Investment Potential, 1991).

In 1975, Congress enacted a number of amendments which included income eligibility, nutrition risk criteria, and nutrition education. The income standards were to met the standards for free and reduced price meals under Section A of the National School Lunch Act. Competent Professional Authorities (CPA's) were to determine the nutritional risk of the client. These professionals were defined as physicians, nutritionists, registered nurses or dietitians. In addition to determining nutritional risk, they were to prescribe the appropriate food package (Child Nutrition Act of 1966, 1978). The Congress also required nutrition education for participants and no less than one-sixth of 1978 administration funds be used for this activity (Strategies, 1988). In 1981, the Budget Reconciliation Act lowered the maximum income eligibility level for reduced price meals from $195 \%$ of poverty to $185 \%$ of poverty, thereby reducing the eligibility level. In 1989, WIC was again reauthorized through fiscal year 1995, with a $\$ 150$ increase over the current services. This increase added approximately 300,000 new participants (Child Nutrition and WIC Amendment, 1989).

## Population Served

The WIC program serves pregnant women, post-partum women who are breastfeeding for up to one year, post-partum women non-breastfeeding for up to six month, infants and children up to age five. These participants must be low-income, less than $185 \%$ of poverty, and be at nutritional risk. In 1974, the program served approximately 88,000 participants at a cost of $\$ 10.4$ million per year (Rush, 1988). By 1980, WIC was serving about 1.9 million participants per year with an annual cost of $\$ 736$ million. In 1987, the numbers increased to 3.4 million participants and $\$ 1.7$ billion per
year (Issues Affecting the WIC program, 1987). As of 1990, the authorization level had increased to 2.2 billion and at least 300,000 new participants (Reauthorization of the WIC Program, 1989). Almost half of the participants are White, while $30 \%$ are Black. The remaining $17 \%$ are Hispanic, Asians and others. Over half of those being served are children ( $51 \%$ ) while infants and women are both one-fourth of the participants (Strategies, 1988).

## Priorities and Nutritional Risk

The fact that WIC is an appropriated program means that there are limited resources for each state, thus a priority system was created. There are six priorities which help determine the eligibility criteria for those considered at highest risk.

Priority I: Prenatal and breastfeeding women demonstrating biomedical, anthropometric or physical/medical risk criteria and infants who are being breastfed by a woman who qualifies for Priority I.

Priority II: Women who are breastfeeding. Infants under six months who were born to program participants, and infants whose mothers were at biomedical, anthropometric or physical/medical risk during pregnancy but did not participate in WIC.

Priority III: Children who are at biomedical, anthropometric or physical/medical risk. Post-partum, non-breastfeeding who meet the same indicators.

Priority IV: Prenatal women who are at dietary risk. Breastfeeding women who meet dietary risk but do not meet any of the indicators in Priority I. Infants who meet dietary risk but do not meet any of the other risk factors.

Priority V: Children who are at dietary risk but do not meet any of the other risk indicators.

Priority VI: Postpartum-nonbreastfeeding women who meet dietary risk but do not meet any of the other risk factors (Special Supplemental Food, 1991).

Nutritional risk is defined in PL 95-627 as: a) detrimental or abnormal nutritional conditions detectable by biomedical or anthropometric measurements; b) other documented nutritionally related medical conditions; c) dietary deficiencies that impair or endanger health or; d) conditions that predispose persons to inadequate nutritional patterns or nutritionally related medical conditions including, but not limited to, alcoholism and drug addiction (Child Nutrition Act of 1966, 1972).

## Program Benefits

## Food Package

Each food package in WIC is designed to provide a nutrient rich diet. The foods allowed on WIC target five essential nutrients: vitamin A, vitamin C, iron, calcium, and protein (Rush, 1988). The average food package contains milk and/or cheese, fruit and vegetables juices, iron-fortified cereal, eggs and beans and/or peanut butter. These packages may be tailored by a health professionals at the time of certification. There are currently three methods of delivery; warehouse distribution, home delivery and vouchers, with vouchers being the most common. Vouchers are redeemable only for the food specified rather than allowing a dollar amount for foods.

## Nutrition Education

Nutrition education was not mandated until 1975. It is required that no less than one-sixth of administration funds be spent on nutrition education. Each participant is required a minimum of two sessions of nutrition education during each six months certification period (Strategies, 1988). The type of education the parent or guardian or the participant receives varies depending on what type of nutritional risk the participant is at. Most often individual counseling is conducted if the participant is at high risk (biomedical, anthropometric, and/or physical/medical), and group counseling if the participant is lowrisk (dietary). Written materials are often used in conjunction with counseling. Dietitians
and nutritionists are most often responsible for nutrition education, but physicians, nurses, aides and clerks may also serve as counselors (Strategies, 1988).

## Nutritional Studies of Children

Childhood offers an opportunity to influence eating patterns and habits. As a result of an increasing interest in preventative health, children have become the focus in many nutrition issues. In 1986, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) published recommendations for children regarding fat and cholesterol. It was found in the Bogalusa Heart study and other observations that fatty streaks are present in a large number of children by the age of 10 regardless of age, sex or environment (Prudent Life-Style for Children, 1986). The National Institute of Health, in contrast, states that there is no direct evidence that a decrease in fat and cholesterol for children during the first 20 years help in decreasing cholesterol over the life span. The NIH also stated, "If dietary intervention in the general population is to be effective, the eating habits of the entire family must by changed. Thus, the recommended diet should be available to all family members except those younger than two years." (Harper, 1978, pg.316). It has been suggested that because of children's special nutritional needs and concerns, such as iron deficiency anemia, that changes in current dietary patterns not be recommended for the first two decades of life without first assessing the effects on growth development and measures of nutritional adequacy as iron status (Prudent Life-Style, 1986)

More recently, it has been recommended that the primary goal of the diet in childhood should be to achieve normal growth and development. No single food should be considered bad, in particular where food supply is limited and children are at greater risk for malnutrition. No restriction should be placed on children under two years of age and after that the change to a lower fat diet should be considered a transition time when children gradually decrease to the recommended amounts (Statement on Cholesterol, 1992).

Although these recommendations have been made, evidence suggests that overweight is more prevalent among children than underweight (National Research Council, 1989). Data, however, revealed that energy intakes have remained constant over the last decade (Albertson, et al., 1992). This is reflected in the results of the 1977-78 and the 1987-88 NFCS; children are consuming no more fat today than they were 10 years ago (Consumer Nutrition Center, 1982). The NHANES III study further reflects these trends. Mean energy intakes in NHANES III were similar to those reported in NHANES II for children under 12. Fat intake was lower in NHANES III, as well as percentage of fat from calories. It fell from $36 \%$ fat from calories to $34 \%$ (Alaimo, McDowell, Briefel, Bischof, Caughmann, Loria, and Johnson, 1994). There are a number of factors which could contribute to the lower consumption of fat including, lower fat foods available on the market, an increase in consumption of high carbohydrate foods, and methodological differences between studies (McPherson, Montgomery, and Nickman, 1995).

Overnutrition may be the most prevalent health problem for children today, however, there are still a few who suffer from undernutrition. There is also a problem of underconsumption of some healthy foods such as fruit and vegetables. Energy restriction in children is most often limited to certain population groups such as low-income, minority subgroups, homeless children and children with disabilities. In 1976, a study done by Cook, Davis, Radake, and Thornbuy, showed that the dietary pattern and foods consumed varied between socioeconomic groups. The children from the lower socioeconomic groups consumed a smaller variety of foods and fewer snacks. Growth retardation in a population is defined as five percent below the fifth percentile of height for age, compared to the NCHS charts. In 1988, the prevalence for growth retardation exceeded five percent for certain population groups; low-income Hispanics, low-income Black and low-income Asian, Pacific Islanders. Data from 1992 indicates that there is a decrease in underweight children in these sub-minority groups (McPherson, et al., 1994). Iron deficiency is also a
result of undernutrition, and it has been shown to be associated with low-birth weight and retardation of growth and weight gain (Lozoff, Jimenez, and Wolf, 1991).

There has been an increased awareness of the consumption of fruit and vegetables, due to their prevention in cancer in certain populations groups. Dittus, Hillers and Beerman (1995), found that although consumption of fruit and vegetables has increased $17 \%$ since 1970, overall intake remains less than the recommended five a day. It was also shown that families from lower-income and less education tend to consume fewer fruit and vegetables. Higher cost of fruit and vegetables, as well as limited availability may hinder consumption of these foods even when the benefits are known. A study by Kirby, Baranowski, Reynolds, Taylor, and Binkley (1995), also reported that children from lowsocioeconomic families had fewer fruits and vegetables available in their home. This study provided no evidence related to ethnicity. It did, however, show that children liked the taste of fruits over vegetables and that parents forced them to eat vegetables.

There are a number of factors which influence the consumption patterns of children including, parents and the education of parents, attendance at child care centers, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, locality of residence and food availability. Parents are the primary influencers of the eating behaviors of three to five year olds. Parents decide when their children will eat as well as what they eat (Schlicker, Borra, Regan, 1994). In addition, a study done in 1992 reported that children where parents consumed high amounts of saturated fat were 5.5 times as likely to also consume high amounts of fat compared to children whose parents did not consume a lot of fat. A statistical significant difference was found in all nutrients except potassium (Oliveria, Ellison, Moore, Gillman, Garrahie, and Singer, 1992). Parents working patterns also have an influence on what the child consumes. Children whose parents work and are attending child care may be lacking in some nutrients. A study done by Drake (1991), found that children in child care settings were more likely to be consuming less iron and folic acid. In addition, Pond-Smith, Richarz, and Gonzalez (1992) also found that non foodservice staff in child care centers
may lack knowledge and commitment to food service, which may affect nutritional quality of food served. Parental income and education contribute to the eating patterns of their children. Families with lower income spend more on food per dollar than those with higher incomes. Single mothers who are head of households are also more likely to buy more preprepared foods, fast foods, and convince foods (Crockett and Sims, 1995). In addition, those who are less educated have been shown to be the most confused over many dietary recommendations and felt that the expense was a barrier to making change (Cotugna, Subar, Heimendinger, and Kahle, 1992).

Ethnicity has an impact in consumption patterns of children. Hispanic and Blacks are the largest minority populations in the USA; together they make up $20 \%$ of the population. Both groups carry diverse backgrounds in consumption patterns. It has been shown that Black children have lower vitamin A intakes and higher total fat, cholesterol and sodium intakes (Johnson, Guthrie, Smicklas-Wright, and Wary, 1994). Hispanics, however, showed the lowest fat intake (McDowell, et al., 1994), but still had low fruit and vegetable consumption (Basch, Zybert, Shea, 1994). Food availability and locality of residence are an issue for many low-income families. Those children from rural areas were less compliant with the dietary guidelines than those in urban areas (Johnson, et al., 1994). Those households with low-income have decreased their consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables by 22 \% between 1977-78 and 1987-88 (Crockett and Sims, 1995).

## Nutritional Studies on the Hispanic Population

The Hispanic population is the fastest growing ethnic group in the United States, currently they make up $8.2 \%$ of the population. If current trends continue, in 10 years, they will represent the largest minority population. Hispanic children traditionally come from low-income families and present a number of nutritional problems associated with low-income and low education levels. It has been found that Hispanic children have an increased incidence of growth impairment, anemia, and vitamin deticiencies (Dewey,

Metallinos, Strode, All, Fitch, Holguin, Kraus, McNicholas, 1984). Although interventions have been made in all these areas for the rest of the population, Hispanics remain inadequately represented among groups which have benefited (Aguirre-Molina, et al., 1993). The Hispanics, while having a variety of significant needs, have a variety of strengths. Traditionally, the Hispanic diet consists of maize, beans, and squash (Diabetes Care and Education Dietetic Practice Group, 1989). It is when they become more acculturated that their health status worsens (Hispanic Health in the US, 1991).

A typical Hispanic meal consists of tortillas, beans and rice, meat or sausage and perhaps a vegetable. Meat consumption most often depends on the families finances. A variety of fresh vegetables are consumed, but not as separate dishes. Fresh fruits such a papaya, mango, guava, and pineapple are also popular when available and when they can afford it (Diabetes Care, 1989). The diet patterns for many Hispanics has changed in recent years. Research has shown that many Hispanics are consuming more meats, breakfast cereals, and peanut butter (Dewey, et al., 1994). Many also consume greater quantities of milk in the United States. Basch, et al. (1992), found that children's greatest source of saturated fat was from whole milk, and that as the children grow older their milk consumption decreases. With regard to fruit and vegetable intake, these children consumed fewer than the recommended five a day. Even after the serving sizes were halved, thereby doubling the number of servings, less than half of the children meet the recommendations. It was also found that on days where consumption of fruit drinks or punch was high, consumption of fruit juice was low. Murphy, Castillo, Martorell and Mendoza (1990), also found fruit and vegetable intake less than half $(33 \%-47 \%)$ of the recommended four servings a day used in their study. They also found bread intake was approximately one serving short of the recommended four a day. Many persons in this population have adapted the habit of snacking. They consumed moderate to high amounts of candy, cookies, ice cream and soda (Dewey, et al., 1984). Although Hispanics have made their dietary patterns more closely resembling the American diet, their fat intake was lower than
non-white Hispanics or Blacks, and their percentage of fat calories was also lower (Mc,Dowell, et. al, 1994). Despite their changes to a more "American" diet, many feel that their diet and the diets of their of children have improved after coming to the United States (Dewey, et al., 1984). Their knowledge of a healthy diet is, however, lower than AngloAmericans, and their perception of a health is very different than Anglo-American. (Haffiner, Knapp, Hazuda, and Young, 1985).

## Studies on Iron

In 1978, Congress mandated an evaluation of the impact of the WIC program on the nutritional status of women infants and children. This study found discrepancies in previous studies between rates of anemia and participation in the WIC program. A study done in Maryland found no association between hematocrit or hemoglobin levels and participation in WIC, whereas a study by Vazquez-Seoane, Windam, and Pearson (1985), found a near disappearance in anemia after the implementation of WIC. The Center for Disease Control found that there was an increase in hematocrit and/or hemoglobin levels of children who had participated in WIC for six months or longer (Rush, 1988). Oski (1993), found that there has been a decline in the prevalence of anemia for low-income children in the past 15 years. He states, "The overall prevalence of anemia declined from $7.8 \%$ in 1975 to $2.9 \%$ in 1985. (pg. 192)" He attributes much of this decline to programs such as WIC, which provides iron fortified foods for infants and children. Yip, Binkin, Fleshblood and Trowbridge (1987) also found an association between low-income children who participated in public nutrition programs and a decrease in iron-deficiency anemia. They found that among children seen at the initial visits and then seen at the follow-up visits after enrollment in the program, the rate of anemia had declined more than 50 percent. In this study, Hispanic children were grouped with white children because there was no distinction between Hispanic and non-Hispanic white children in race reporting, thus, making it impossible to distinguish between the two groups.

With all the evidence that there has been a substantial decrease in the prevalence of iron deficiency, one has to wonder if enough has been done. The majority of the studies have been done on WIC participants with little distinction between population groups. In addition, Stockman (1991), points out that, "We should recognize that the specific prevalence data probable underestimate the magnitude of the problem.(pg. 1647)" In a time when we know what causes this and what the effects of it are, we are still seeing irondeficiency anemia. Oski (1993), states that while severe iron deficiency has been virtually eradicated, mild anemia still persists. Because of the long-term consequences of anemia, it should be prevented in every child.

Other Nutrition Surveys

## Healthy People 2000

Healthy People 2000, which is administered by the Public Health Service is a broad based initiative aimed at improving the health of the American people over the next decade. It provides a framework for preventing death and disease, improving quality of life, and reducing poor health status of certain at risk population groups. Three goals of Healthy People 2000 are:

1. Increase the span of healthy life for Americans.
2. Decrease health disparities among Americans.
3. Achieve access to preventive services for all Americans.
(Healthy People 2000, 1991)
It is divided into 22 priorities areas, two of which are related to nutrition and are relevant to this research. These areas are nutrition and surveillance and data systems. Subobjectives for minorities and other population groups, such as low-income, were established to meet the needs and health problems of these unique groups. The subobjectives for nutrition are as follows:
2.4: Decrease growth retardation among low-income children aged 5 years and younger to less than $10 \%$ (Baseline: up to $16 \%$ among low-income children in 1988, depending on age and race.
2.10: Decrease iron-deficiency to less than $3 \%$ among children ages 1 to 4 and among women of child bearing age. (Baseline: $9 \%$ for children aged 1 through 2 years and $4 \%$ for children ages 3 through 4 years, and $5 \%$ for women ages 20 through 44 years in 1976 through 1980) (US DHHS, 1994).

The sub-objectives for the surveillance and data systems are as follows:
22.2: Identify and increase where necessary, National data sources to measure progress toward each of the year 2000 National Health Objectives.
22.2a: Identify and increase where necessary, state-level data for at least twothirds of the objectives in at least 35 states.
22.7: Achieve timely release of national surveillance and survey data needed by health professional and agencies to measure progress toward the National Health Objectives.
(US DHHS, 1994)

## National Food Consumption Survey

The National Food Consumption Survey was conducted by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), as part of the Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by individuals (CFSII). It has been conducted since 1935 on low-income women 18 through 50 years and children ages one through five years, the most recent being April 1986 through March 1987. The data were collected over a four day, non-consecutive period using the 24 -hour recall method. The first day was conducted by a personal interview, with the following days being a telephone interview (National Food Consumption Survey, 1989).

## The National Nutrition Monitoring System

The National Nutrition Monitoring System is composed of federal and state surveys and surveillance systems and other monitoring activities. These systems and activities provide information about the dietary and nutritional status of the US population, and certain conditions which affect the health of individuals. They also provide information about relationships between diet and health. This program was passed in 1990 and as a result of the passage, in 1993 the National nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act was sent to Congress. The goals of this Act are:

1. Collect quality data that are continuous, coordinated, timely, and reliable.
2. Use comparable methods for collecting data and reporting results.
3. Conduct related research.
4. Efficiently and effectively disseminate and exchange information data users. (US DHHS, Nutrition Monitoring , 1993)

## The Surgeon Generals Report on Nutrition and Health

The Surgeon Generals Report on Nutrition and Health was created in response to an increased interest of scientist, health professionals, and the American people in the role of diet and health promotion. It reviews scientific evidence and recommends dietary changes that help improve the health of the American people. In addition, it summarizes research on the diet in health promotion and disease prevention. The findings of the report indicate the importance between diet and health. "They demonstrate that changes in the present dietary practices of America could produce substantial gains in the health of the population." (PHS, 1986, pg. 12).

## Food Guide Pyramid

The Food Guide Pyramid is based on the Dietary Guideline for Americans (Appendix A). The pyramid is a graphical representation, developed in 1980, which has been revised twice. The current Guidelines were issued in 1990 (Appendix B). It is a general outline of what to eat each day, which lets one chose a healthful diet that fits a particular lifestyle. The Guidelines are:
*Eat a variety of foods
*Maintain a healthy weight
*Chose a diet low in fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol
*Chose a diet with plenty of vegetables, fruits and grain products
*Use sugars only in moderation
*Use salt and sodium only in moderation, and
*If you drink alcoholic beverages, do so in moderation.
In developing the Food Guide Pyramid five steps were employed which include: 1) establishment of nutritional goals; 2) definition of food groups; 3)assignments of serving sizes; 4) determination of nutrient profiles; and 5) determination of the number of servings. The Food Guide Pyramid was first presented to consumers in 1984 through an American National Red Cross course developed by the USDA.

The Food Guide Pyramid is an outline of what to eat each day and shows a range of servings from each food group. The serving size appropriate for each person will depend on a number of factors such as age, gender, size, and activity level. Preschool children need the same variety as adults so the Food Guide Pyramid pertains to them as well. Their caloric intake will be less however, so their serving sizes will be decreased accordingly.

## National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

NHANES I, II, III, and HHANES are conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and Centers for Disease Control (CDC). It is a component of the


#### Abstract

National Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Program. These surveys are an important source of information on dietary, nutritional, and health status of the US population. These surveys play a role in nutrition monitoring and epidemiological research, they combine personal interview with standardized health examinations. These are conducted in two 3-year nationally representative phases. These surveys are designed using the 24-hour recall method to estimate nutrient intake for persons two months of age and over, and for three ethnic groups: non-Hispanic white, nom-Hispanic black, and Mexican Americans (Alaimo, et al., 1994).


## Summary

Nutrition intervention programs such as the WIC program, aimed at improving the diets and nutritional status of special population groups, depends on the knowledge of what the participants consume. There have been numerous studies which have documented a concrete link between food and health, as well as describing the impact between disease prevention and health promotion (Alaimo, et al., 1994). Several studies have concluded that low-income is a factor of poor dietary intake and those at high risk in this group are children. It has also been pointed out that studies on intervention programs often disregard an examination of dietary intake (Brown, and Tieman, 1986).

The WIC program was created in response to the 1968 "Hunger in America" and the "Hunger USA" report, both of which showed how many in the rural south were living in poverty. Several nutrition surveys completed after these reports revealed that lowincome was associated with malnutrition (Graham, 1989). Nutrients which were consumed in small amounts were targeted for WIC to improve dietary intake. One of the nutrients which was found to be low in this population group was iron. Research has indicated that low-income children who did not participate in WIC were more likely to have lower iron intake than those children who were participants. This has also been found to be true of energy consumption. It has been stated that participation in WIC by young
children is associated with higher hematrocrit and hemoglobin levels (Brown and Tieman, 1986).

Due to changes in the demographics of the United States, it is important to consider the dietary intake of nutrient consumption of the Hispanic population. This is the fastest growing population group in the United States and they remain understudied. Zive, Howard, Broyles, Frank-Shohrer, and Nadar (1995), found that there were significant differences in iron intake between Hispanic children and Anglo-American children. Although their energy intakes were lower, their nutrient intake per 1000 kcal was higher. This could possibly mean that Hispanic children are either making wiser food choices or that the Hispanic diets include more protein than their counterparts.

Children have been targeted as an important target group for nutrition intervention. Johnson, Guthrie, Smicklas-Wright, and Wary (1994), point out that health professional benefit from information about the diet of diverse population groups. It has been identified by the 1987-1988 NFCS that low-income children have low nutrient intakes and that race was a factor in several nutrient intakes, however, the Hispanic group was not considered, therefore, they were not represented. It was also found that those receiving public assistance such as WIC and food stamps had higher nutrient intake. Data from NHANES I show that eight percent of White children consumed fruits and vegetables less than once a day, while the HHANES II showed that $25 \%$ of Hispanic children consumed fruit and vegetables less than once a day. Low-intakes of these foods may place Hispanic children at risk for nutrients found in these foods (Murphy, et al., 1990). In a study done by Rush (1988), on the impact of the WIC program, it was found that WIC benefits are improving the quality of nutrients, but not increasing the total energy intake. It was found also. that on a given day, a large number of children were still consuming less than $77 \%$ of the RDA for many nutrients. Thus, it can be assumed that the WIC program is having its greatest impact on those who are at greatest need, low-income children who are at nutritional risk.

## CHAPTER III

## METHODOLOGY

This study was designed to assess the dietary intake and iron status of Hispanic, Black, and White children enrolled in the WIC program in Clark County, Las Vegas, NV, in 1994, and was approved by the Director of the WIC program and by the Oklahoma State University Institutional Review Board (Appendix E). The population was taken from one of five WIC clinics, which was largely Hispanic, and had 5000 participants, 875 of them being children. This chapter includes the research design; population and sample; data collection, which includes instrumentation and procedures; and data analyses.

## Research Design

The research method used in this study was retrospective descriptive research. The purpose of this design is to describe, analyze, and interpret conditions on trends that exist. It involves comparing and contrasting in an attempt to discover relationships between variables (Monsen, 1991).

The survey method was employed in this research. A survey is "designed to describe and quantify characteristics of a defined population." (Monsen, 1991) The purpose of a survey is to obtain a statistical profile of the population. A survey can establish associations among two or more variables and can provide clues for further study (Mosen, 1991).

## Sample and Population

The population used in this study was comprised of 875 three and four year old children participating in the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children in Clark County, Las Vegas, NV in 1994. All children who participated in WIC from January 1 through December 31, 1994 were included in the study.

## Data Collection

## Planning and Development

The planning of this study began in the Spring of 1994. The development of the procedures evolved form a class assignment in NSCI 5123, Research Development in nutritional sciences (NSCI) at Oklahoma State University. Data analysis techniques were also selected in the Spring of 1993 as well as the fall of 1995. Data was collected by the researcher in the summer of 1995, at Economic Opportunity Board, WIC program, in Las Vegas, NV, where the researcher was employed.

The survey instrument was taken from the Nevada WIC programs children's questionnaire which is used to determine if a child has a nutritional risk. The food frequency questionnaire was also taken directly from the WIC program. The questionnaire for children was modified to make it appropriate for this study. The questionnaire for children consisted of three personal variables: date of birth, gender and ethnicity, and three health variables: height, weight, and hematocrit. Four other statements were taken from the WIC questionnaire which were used to describe the eating patterns of the population: 1. How many meals and snacks a day does the child have. 2. Who prepares the meal. 3 . Is the child on a special diet. 4. Is the child taking a vitamin or mineral supplement. The food frequency questionnaire consisted of 203 foods and the number of servings of each food group per week. The questionnaire was examined by the graduate committee of the researcher for content, validity, clarity and format. The approved proposals (Appendix D)
were then sent to the Institutional Review Board, Oklahoma State University, for further approval.

## Procedures

Using the aforementioned instruments, the data were collected by the researcher from clients data records from the Economic Opportunity Board Clark County WIC clinic in Las Vegas, NV. Only 1994 records were analyzed

## Data Analyses

Data collected were coded and transcribed into the computer using the P.C. File Software Program. Standard Statistical Procedures were used to analyze data (SAS, 1979). Frequencies and percentages were used to describe subjects as well as dietary intake. Analyses of variances, Duncan's Multiple Range Test, t-test, and Chi-Squares were used to test the hypotheses in this study.

## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to determine dietary intake and iron status of three and four year old Hispanic, Black, and White children participating in the WIC program in Clark County, Las Vegas, NV during 1994. Data were obtained using the research instrument described in Chapter III, "Methods and Procedures". Data from 875 questionnaires were used and analyzed.

## Characteristics of Survey Participants

## Age, Ethnicity, and Gender

Of the 875 children who were included in the study, $46.2 \%(n=403)$ were four years old (born in 1990), and $53.8 \%(\mathrm{n}=470)$ were three years old (born in 1991) (Figure 1). The children who were Hispanic comprised $70.9 \%(n=616)$. The remaining were Black ( $n=131,15.1 \%$ ) and White ( $n=122,14.0 \%$ ) (Figure 2). Fifty-one percent ( $n=442$ ), were female and $49 \%(n=433)$ were male (Figure 3).

## Hematocrit. Height, and Weight

Over $60 \%(n=546,62.5 \%)$ of the children had a hematocrit of $34.5 \%$ to $40 \%$, while the remaining children had hematocrits under $34 \%$ ( $n=207,23.7 \%$ ), and hematocrits over $40 \%(n=121,13.8 \%)$. A hematocrit under $34.5 \%$ is considered low nutrition risk and a hematocrit under $34 \%$ is considered high nutrition risk. in the WIC program in Nevada. Ninety-six percent $(\mathrm{n}=840)$ had heights between 34.75 inches and 45.38 inches. Three


Figure 1. Age of children.


Figure 2. Ethinic composition of children in survey.


Figure 3. Gender composition of children in survey.
percent ( $n=28$ ) were 34.5 inches and under, while less than one percent ( $n=7, .80 \%$ ) were 45.5 inches and over. Ninety-seven percent ( $\mathrm{n}=852$ ) weighed between 26 pounds and 51 pounds. Of the remaining, $1.4 \%$ were under 25.75 pounds and $13 \%$ were 51.5 pounds and over (Table 1). The height and weight numbers and percents were taken from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) charts using the 5th and 95th percentiles. This is in line with the study by McPherson et al. (1994), that indicated that there was a decrease in undernutrition in sub-minority groups.

TABLE 1
FREQUENCIES AND PERCENTAGE OF HEALTH VARIABLES OF WIC CHILDREN IN LAS VEGAS, NV

| Health Variables | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| HEMATOCRIT |  |  |
| $34 \%$ and under | 207 | 23.7 |
| $34.5 \%-40 \%$ | 546 | 62.5 |
| $40.5 \%$ and over | $\frac{121}{}$ | 13.8 |
| HEIGHT |  |  |
| 34.5 and under | 28 | 3.2 |
| $34.75-42.25$ | 840 | 96.0 |
| 45.5 and over | 875 | .8 |
| WEIGHT | 12 |  |
| 25.75 and under | 852 | 1.4 |
| $26-51$ | 11 | 97.3 |
| 51.5 and over | 875 | 13.0 |
|  |  |  |

## Responses to Descriptive Questions

## Meals and Snacks per Day. Who Prepares the Meals

Parents of the participants were asked how many meals and snacks per day the child consumes and who prepares the meals. Seventy-nine percent $(\mathrm{n}=690)$ consumed three meals per day and about $10 \%(n=87)$ consumed two (Figure 4). Forty-one percent
( $n=356$ ) consumed 2 snacks per day, while $20.9 \%(n=181)$ consumed 3 snacks. The remaining, consumed 4 to as many as 8 snacks per day ( $n=96,11.1 \%$ ) (Figure 5 ). Of the nine possible responses for who prepares the meals, mother was the most common food preparer ( $\mathrm{n}=782,89.4 \%$ ) (Figure 6).


Figure 4. Meals consumed per day.


Figure 5. Snacks consumed per day.


Figure 6. Who prepares the Meals.

## Special Diet and Vitamin and Mineral Supplementation

Respondents were asked on the survey instrument if their child was on a special diet and if the child was taking a vitamin and/or mineral supplement. Ninety-seven percent ( $\mathrm{n}=855$ ) of the children were on no a special diet, while only 20 of the children were on a special diet (Figure 7). Seventy-two percent $(\mathrm{n}=631)$ of the children were taking no vitamin and/or mineral supplement, while $23.7 \%(n=207)$ were taking a multivitamin and mineral supplement, while $4.2 \%(n=37)$ were taking another type of supplement as shown in Figure 8.

Figure 7. Special Diet.



Figure 8. Vitamin and Mineral Supplement.

> Responses to Food Frequency Checklist and Servings per day of the food groups

The food Freqency checklist consisted of 203 different types of foods clustered under nine sections with sub groupings. When filling out the checklist, the parent/ guardian of the child was told to circle each type of food the child consumed. This section will describe the foods typically eaten by this population group. The percentages for each particular food eaten was based on the total sample of 875 .

Frequency of Intake for Dairy

## Milk Products

The majority of the participants checked that their children consumed whole milk ( $n=702,80.2 \%$ ), followed by chocolate milk and yogurt. A small number consumed other milk products such as Mexican chocolate which is common in the Hispanic culture. Table 2 shows the frequencies of these foods. These results are in line with the study by Basch, et al. (1994) which found that Hispanics greatest source of saturated fat was from whole milk.

## Cheese

Over half of the children consumed hard cheese along with American cheese ( $n=493,56.3 \% ; n=487.55 .7 \%$ ), while only $96(11.0 \%)$, consumed cottage cheese (Table 2). The most likely explanation for over one half consuming both hard cheese and American cheese is that these food items are both allowed on the WIC program.

## Other Milk Products

Almost two-thirds of the children ( $n=528,60.3 \%$ ) consumed ice cream, while about $20 \%$ consumed frozen yogurt, pudding, and/or ice milk ( $\mathrm{n}=204,23.3 \%$; $\mathrm{n}=182,20.8 \% ; \mathrm{n}=171,19.5 \%$ ). Only a few consumed flan or custard, which are typically Hispanic desserts. (Table 2)

TABLE 2
FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR DAIRY PRODUCTS

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MILK PRODUCTS |  |  |
| Whole Milk | 702 | 80.2 |
| Chocolate Milk | 146 | 16.7 |
| Yogurt | 143 | 16.3 |
| 2\% Milk | 82 | 9.4 |
| Cottage Cheese | 38 | 4.3 |
| Mexican Chocolate | 34 | 3.9 |
| Cream Soup | 34 | 3.9 |
| Milk Shakes | 24 | 2.7 |
| 1\% Milk | 12 | 1.4 |
| Non-Fat Milk | 8 | 0.9 |
| Goats Milk | 7 | 0.8 |
| CHEESE |  |  |
| Hard Cheese | 493 | 56.3 |
| American Cheese | 487 | 55.7 |
| Cottage Cheese | 96 | 11.0 |
| OTHER DAIRY |  |  |
| Ice cream | 528 | 60.3 |
| Frozen Yogurt | 204 | 23.3 |
| Pudding | 182 | 20.8 |
| Ice Milk | 171 | 19.5 |
| Flan | 57 | 6.6 |
| Custard | 13 | 1.5 |

## Dairy Product Cosnumption by Number of Servings

The dairy products were divided into three categories. These categories were milk products, cheese and other dairy products.

## Total Dairy Products

The recommended amount of dairy products, according to the Food Guide for 2 to 5 year olds in the Nevada WIC program, for a child age three to four is four to six servings per day. Only $36 \%$ met this requirement. In contrast, about half of the children consumed less than four servings a day $(\mathrm{n}=476,54.4 \%)$ as shown in Table 3. From these results one can assume that milk products are the majority of the total dairy products.

## Milk Products

Almost half of the children consumed between one and two servings a day and over two servings a day ( $n=406,46.4 \% ; n=428,48.9 \%$ ). The remaining milk products are listed on Table 3, with the maximum being 12 .

## Cheese

Over $60 \%$ ( $n=548,62.2 \%$ ) consumed less than one serving a day of cheese, while $31.8 \%(n=278)$ consumed between one and two servings a day. The highest number of servings per day was five (Table 3).

## Other dairy Products

As shown in Table 3, 68.2\% $(\mathrm{n}=597)$ of the children consumed less than one serving a day of other milk products. About $15 \%$ consumed either zero serving a day or between one and two servings a day ( $n=139,15.9 \% ; n=133,15.2 \%$ ). Less than one percent consumed over two servings per day with the maximum being five.

TABLE 3
FREQUENCY OF DAIRY PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL DAIRY PRODUCTS |  |  |
| less than 4 | 476 | 54.4 |
| $4-6$ | 316 | 36.1 |
| over 6 | 83 | 9.5 |
| MILK PRODUCTS |  |  |
| 0 | 4 | .5 |
| less than 1 | 37 | 46.2 |
| $1-2$ | 406 | 48.9 |
| greater than 2 | 428 |  |
|  |  |  |
| CHEESE | 20 | 2.3 |
| 0 | 548 | 31.6 |
| less than 1 | 278 | 3.3 |
| $1-2$ | 29 |  |
| greater than 2 |  | 15.9 |
| OTHER DAIRY PRODUCTS | 139 | 68.2 |
| 0 | 597 | 15.2 |
| less than 1 | 133 | .7 |
| l - 2 | 6 |  |
| greater than 2 |  |  |

*n=875 for each group

Frequency of intake for Protein

## Eggs and Other Protein Foods

Almost all of the children consumed eggs ( $n=796,91.0 \%$ ), while over half consumed both peanut butter and beans, $(n=591,67.5 \% ; n=543,62.1 \%)$. Less than onefourth consumed the remaining protein foods listed on Table 4. Eggs may be consumed by such a large majority due to the fact that the allowance for them on The WIC program is four dozen a month per child or participant.

## Meat

Over three-fourths ( $n=689,78.7 \%$ ), of the participants checked that their children consumed chicken, while $70 \%(\mathrm{n}=619)$ consumed hamburger. Nearly half consumed both ham and beef ( $n=426,48.7 \%$; $n=378.43 .2 \%$ ), followed by fish, tuna and pork being consumed by at least $30 \%$ of the children. The remaining consumed less than one fourth of the other meats as listed in Table 4. Parents of the participants receive no vouchers for any type of meat..

## Processed Meats

Fifty-one percent ( $n=446$ ) of the participants consumed hot dogs, while close to $40 \%(n=384,43.9)$ consumed bologna. Just over one-fourth consumed bacon ( $\mathrm{n}=253$, $28.9 \%$ ) with the remaining foods being consumed by less than $20 \%$ of the children (Table 4).

TABLE 4
FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR PROTEIN PRODUCTS

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| OTHER PROTEINS |  |  |
| Eggs | 796 | 91.0 |
| Peanut Butter | 591 | 67.5 |
| Beans | 543 | 62.1 |
| Lentils | 116 | 13.3 |
| Nuts | 74 | 8.5 |
| Seeds | 45 | 5.1 |
| Tofu | 6 | .7 |
|  |  |  |
| MEATS | 689 | 78.7 |
| Chicken | 619 | 70.7 |
| Hamburger | 426 | 48.7 |
| Ham | 378 | 43.2 |
| Beef | 315 | 36.0 |
| Fish | 312 | 35.7 |
| Tuna | 266 | 30.4 |
| Pork | 159 | 18.2 |
| Turkey | 93 | 10.6 |
| Liver | 8 | .9 |
| Lamb | 7 | .8 |
| Game |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PROCESSED MEATS | 446 | 51.0 |
| Hot Dogs | 384 | 43.9 |
| Bologna | 253 | 28.9 |
| Bacon | 171 | 19.5 |
| Lunch Meat | 155 | 17.8 |
| Fried Fish | 73 | 16.6 |
| Cold Meat | 8.4 |  |
| Cold Cuts |  |  |

Protein Products Consumed by Number of Servings

Protein was divided into four categories. Protien in the form of eggs, protein type foods, meats and processed meats will be discussed in this section.

## Total Protein

About $30 \%$ of the children ( $n=315,36.1 \%$ ) consumed the recommended two to three servings per day, while $54 \%(n=476)$ consumed less than two servings. Only 83 chldren $(9.5 \%)$ consumed more than three servings per day (Table 5)

## Eggs

Almost three-fourths ( $n=631,72.1 \%$ ) of the children consumed less than one egg per day, followed by $24.8 \%(n=217)$ consuming one to two servings per day, with the remaining listed on Table 5. The largest number of eggs reported to be consumed by any child was five per day.

## Other Protein

Over $60 \%(n=530,60.6 \%)$ consumed less than one serving per day of other protein foods, while about $30 \%$ ( $n=319,36.4 \%$ ) consumed between one and two servings. The remaining are listed in Table 5, with the maximum being 5 .

## Meats

Over one half ( $\mathrm{n}=488,55.8 \%$ ) of the children consumed less than one serving of meat per day, while $41.2 \%(n=361)$ consumed between one and two servings per day. Thirteen of the children consumed either no meat or more than two servings per day as shown in Table 5. Five was the maximum servings of meat consumed per day.

## Processed Meats

Sixty-nine percent of the children $(\mathrm{n}=604)$ consumed less than one serving per day of processed meats, while about $15 \%$ consumed either no processed meats or over two servings per day ( $n=123,14.4 \% ; n-145,16.6 \%$ ). The maximum servings consumed per day were five. (Table 5)

TABLE 5

## PROTEIN PRODUCTS CONSUMPTION BY NUMBER OF SERVINGS

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| TOTAL PROTEIN |  |  |
| less than 2 | 476 | 54.4 |
| $2-3$ | 316 | 36.1 |
| over 3 | 83 | 9.5 |
| EGGS |  |  |
| 0 | 18 | 2.1 |
| less than 1 | 631 | 72.1 |
| $1-2$ | 217 | 24.8 |
| greater than 2 | 9 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  |
| OTHER PROTEIN | 13 | 1.5 |
| 0 | 530 | 60.6 |
| less than 1 | 319 | 36.4 |
| $1-2$ | 13 | 1.5 |
| greater than 2 |  |  |
| MEATS | 13 | 1.5 |
| 0 | 488 | 55.8 |
| less than 1 | 361 | 41.2 |
| $1-2$ | 13 | 1.5 |
| greater than 2 |  |  |
| PROCESSED MEATS | 123 | 14.4 |
| 0 | 604 | 69.0 |
| less than 1 | 145 | 16.6 |
| $1-2$ | 3 | .3 |
| greater than 2 |  |  |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{n}=875$ for each group

Frequency of Intake for Fruits

## Vitamin C Juices and Fruit

As shown in Table 6, nearly three-fourths of the children consumed orange juice ( $n=613,70.1 \%$ ). Over $60 \%$ consumed both oranges and WIC juices, the approved WIC juices consist of orange, grape, apple, grapefruit, and pineapple ( $n=607,69.4 \%$; $\mathrm{n}=549,62.7 \%$ ). Thirty-one percent consumed strawberries ( $\mathrm{n}=272$ ), while $29 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=257$ )
consumed pineapple juice. Oranges are available year round and are economical, which could account for the high number of children consuming oranges. Consumption is high because the WIC program offers a substantial amount of juice hence, over $60 \%$ consume it. These results are similar to the findings of Dittus, Hillers and Beerman (1995), which stated that the high cost and limited availability of fruits and vegetables may hinder the consumption of these foods even when the benefits are known.

## Vitamin A Fruit

Less than one-fourth of the children consumed any vitamin A fruits as shown in Table 6. A possible explanation for this could be that the vitamin A fruits listed on the food frequency are more expensive and less accessible than the other types of fruits. In addition the vitamin A fruits listed are not a voucher food.

## Other Fruits

Nearly three-fourths of the participants consumed apples and bananas ( $n=676$. $77.3 ; n=647,73.9 \%$ ), while almost $50 \%$ consumed grapes and raisins $(n=404,46.2 \%$; $n=385,44.0 \%$ ). Thirty-four percent ( $n=296$ ) consumed melons. The type of melon was not specified on the Food Frequency checklist form. Peaches, pears and watermelon were consumed by about one-fourth of the children $(n=260,29.7 \% ; n=201,23.0 \% ; n=184$, $21.0 \%$ ). The remaining were consumed by less than $20 \%$ of the children as shown in Table 6. Apples and bananas can be bought in large quantities and at a low price at both the farmers market and the grocery store which could account for the large number consumed. The fruits that were chosen less often are more tropical, more difficult to find, and also more expensive, which could account for their low consumption.

## Other Juices

Over half of the participants consumed apple juice ( $n=560,64.0 \%$ ), followed by grape juice which was consumed by about $36 \%$ of the children ( $n=317,36.2 \%$ ). Pineapple juice was consumed by one-fourth of the participant ( $n=223,25.5 \%$ ). The remaining juices were consumed by less than five percent as indicated on Table 6. The other juices are juices which are not good sources of vitamin C. Apple and grape juice are easy to find and are cheaper than the other juices such as pear and cherry juice. This could be the reason these juices were chosen more often.

TABLE 6
FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR FRUITS

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| VITAMIN C FRUITS AND JUICE |  |  |
| Orange Juice | 613 | 70.1 |
| Orange | 607 | 69.4 |
| WIC Juice | 549 | 62.7 |
| Strawberry | 272 | 31.1 |
| Pineapple Juice | 257 | 29.4 |
| Mango | 198 | 22.6 |
| Tangerine | 158 | 18.1 |
| Cantaloupe | 111 | 12.7 |
| Kiwi | 77 | 8.8 |
| Papaya | 76 | 8.7 |
| Grapefruit Juice | 60 | 6.9 |
| Grapefruit | 50 | 5.7 |
| VITAMIN A FRUIT |  |  |
| Peach Nectar | 189 | 21.6 |
| Apricots | 55 | 6.3 |
| Apricot Nectar | 4 | 1.3 |

## TABLE 6 Continued

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| OTHER FRUIT | 676 | 77.3 |
| Apples | 647 | 73.9 |
| Banana | 404 | 46.2 |
| Grapes | 385 | 44.0 |
| Raisins | 296 | 33.8 |
| Melon | 260 | 29.7 |
| Peaches | 201 | 23.0 |
| Pears | 184 | 21.0 |
| Watermelon | 174 | 19.9 |
| Applesauce | 149 | 17.0 |
| Pineapple | 133 | 15.3 |
| Combination Fruit | 80 | 9.1 |
| Plum | 75 | 8.6 |
| Nectarines | 66 | 7.5 |
| Cherries | 63 | 7.2 |
| Berries | 38 | 4.3 |
| Pomegranate | 19 | 2.2 |
| Figs | 13 | 1.5 |
| Persimmons | 7 | .8 |
| Dates | 5 | .6 |
| Loquates |  |  |
| OTHER JUICE | 560 | 64.0 |
| Apple Juice | 317 | 36.2 |
| Grape Juice | 223 | 25.5 |
| Pineapple Juice | 39 | 4.5 |
| Cranberry Juice | 39 | 3.5 |
| Pear Juice | 29 | 3.2 |
| Cherry Juice | 28 |  |

## Fruit Consumed by Number of Servings

Fruit was divided into five categories. The first two being vitamin C juice and vitamin C fruit. The third was vitamin A fruit and the last two were other fruit and other juice without vitamin C.

## Total Fruit

The total fruit intake frequencies and percentages are shown in Table 7. Almost $60 \% \mathrm{n}=497,56.8 \%$ ) of the children consumed two to four servings a day, which is the recommended amount for children, three to four years old. Thirty percent $(n=264)$ consumed four to six servings a day with the maximum being 13. The study by Dittus, et al. (1995), found similar results, that the over all intake of fruit and vegetables remains below the recommended amount. The majority of the intake was vitamin C sources and other juice.

## Total Vitamin C

Half of the children in the study consumed between one and two servings of vitamin C rich foods per day ( $n=441,50.4 \%$ ), which is the recommended amount for this vitamin. Close to $40 \%(n=348,39.8 \%)$ consumed more than two servings a day, with the maximum being five. (Table 7)

## Vitamin C Juice

Over half of the children ( $\mathrm{n}=518,59.2 \%$ ) consumed between one and two servings a day of vitamin $C$ juice, followed by $24.4 \%(n=214)$ consuming less than one serving per day. The remaining $15 \%$ consumed more than 2 servings as shown in Table 7, with the maximum being five.

## Vitamin C Fruit

Over $60 \%$ ( $n=535,61.2 \%$ ) of the participants consumed less than one serving per day. Almost one-fourth ( $n=193,22.0 \%$ ) consumed between one and two servings per day. The most number of servings of vitamin $C$ fruit consumed per day was four (Table 7).

## Vitamin A Fruit

About three-fourth ( $\mathrm{n}=657,75.1 \%$ ) of the children consumed no vitamin A fruit, while $22.8 \%(\mathrm{n}=200)$ consumed less than one serving per day. The remaining are listed in Table 7 with the large number of servings per day being three.

## Other Fruit

The range of other fruit consumption was zero to four servings per day. Almost half of the participants ( $n=533,60.9 \%$ ) consumed less than one serving per day, while $33.8 \%(\mathrm{n}=296)$ consumed between one and two per day. The remaining are listed on Table 7.

## Other Juice Without Vitamin C

Almost half of the children ( $\mathrm{n}=381,43.5 \%$ ) consumed less than one serving per day of other juices, which are not good sources of vitamin C. About one-fourth consumed no other juice ( $\mathrm{n}=200,22.9 \%$ ) or consumed between one and two servings per pay ( $\mathrm{n}=260,29.7 \%$ ). The maximum servings per day was six. (Table 7)

TABLE 7
FRUIT CONSUMPTION BY NUMBER OF SERVINGS

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL FRUIT |  |  |
| less than 2 | 114 | 13.0 |
| 2-4 | 497 | 56.8 |
| greater than 4 | 264 | 30.2 |
| TOTAL VITAMIN C FRUIT |  |  |
| 0 | 5 | . 6 |
| less than 1 | 81 | 9.2 |
| 1-2 | 441 | 50.4 |
| greater than 2 | 348 | 39.8 |
| VITAMIN C JUICE |  |  |
| 0 | 12 | 1.4 |
| less than 1 | 214 | 24.4 |
| 1-2 | 518 | 59.2 |
| greater than 2 | 131 | 15.0 |
| VITAMIN C FRUIT 13.7 |  |  |
| 0 less than 1 | 120 | 61.2 |
| less than 1 $1-2$ | 193 | 22.0 |
| greater than 2 | 27 | 3.1 |
| VITAMIN A FRUIT 75.1 |  |  |
| 0 | 657 | 75.1 22.8 |
| less than 1 | 200 16 | 22.8 1.9 |
| $1-2$ <br> greater than 2 | 16 2 | 1.9 .2 |
| OTHER FRUIT 23 |  |  |
| 0 | 20 533 | 2.3 60.9 |
| less than 1 $1-2$ | 533 296 | 33.8 |
| greater than 2 | 26 | 3.0 |
| OTHER JUICE 22.9 |  |  |
| 0 | 200 | 43.5 |
| less than 1 | 381 260 | 29.7 |
| greater than 2 | + 34 | 3.9 |

[^0]
## Frequency of Intake for Vegetables

## Vitamin C Vegetables

Less than one-half of the participants consumed any type of vitamin $C$ vegetables, with tomatoes and broccoli being the most common ( $n=414,43.7 \% ; n=356,40.7 \%$ ). The frequency of consumption of the remaining vitamin $C$ vegetables are listed on Table 8. Many of the Hispanics eat raw tomatoes as well as cooking with them and using them in sauces, these could account for the higher consumption of tomatoes than the other vitamin $C$ vegetables.

## Vitamin A Vegetables

Contrary to the vitamin $C$ vegetables almost three-fourths of the children consumed carrots ( $n=636,72.7 \%$ ), followed by $32.8 \%(n=287)$ consuming yams. Less than onefourth indicated that their children consumed the other vitamin A vegetable shown in Table 8. Carrots can be bought in many forms such as frozen, canned, and fresh. They can also be bought year round and are easy to prepare hence, were consumed more often than other vitamin A vegetables.

## Other Vegetables

The frequencies and percentages of consumption of other vegetables are shown in Table 8. Green beans were consumed by just over three-fourths of the children ( $n=663$, $75.9 \%$ ), followed by potatoes ( $\mathrm{n}=607,69.5 \%$ ) and corn ( $\mathrm{n}=525,60.1 \%$ ). About $33 \%$ consumed both lettuce and chickpeas ( $n=293,33.5 \% ; n=288,33.0 \%$ ). Cucumbers were consumed by $26 \%(\mathrm{n}=227)$ of the children, while the remaining vegetables were consumed by less than $25 \%$ of the children. Green beans and corn are commonly bought canned, fresh, or frozen, which makes them cheaper to buy and available year round which could be why they are two of the more commonly eaten vegetables. Potatoes, which is also on
the more frequently consumed vegetables, are also available year round and at an affordable price. This could account for the large number of potatoes consumed by children.

TABLE 8

## FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR VEGETABLES

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| VITAMIN C VEGETABLES |  |  |
| Tomato | 414 | 47.3 |
| Broccoli | 356 | 40.7 |
| Cabbage | 260 | 29.7 |
| Cauliflower | 243 | 27.8 |
| Green Pepper | 110 | 12.6 |
| Peas | 100 | 11.4 |
| Tomato Juice | 74 | 8.5 |
| Brussel Sprouts | 51 | 5.8 |
| Vegetable Juice | 49 | 5.6 |
| Salsa | 49 | 5.6 |
| Green Chilies | 17 | 1.9 |
| Chilies | 15 | 1.7 |
| VITAMIN A VEGETABLES |  |  |
| Carrots | 636 |  |
| Yams | 287 | 72.7 |
| Winter Squash | 209 | 32.8 |
| Spinach | 187 | 23.9 |
| Green Onion | 149 | 21.4 |
| Greens | 120 | 17.0 |
| Turnip greens | 58 | 13.7 |
| Mustard Greens | 40 | 6.6 |
| Bok Choy | 15 | 4.6 |
| Beet Greens | 11 | 1.7 |
| Nabos Greens | 11 | 1.3 |
| Cabbage | 9 | 1.3 |
| Dandelion Greens | 5 | 1.0 |
| Escarole | 5 | .6 |
| Red Greens | 2 | .6 |
|  |  | .2 |
| OTHER VEGETABLES | 663 |  |
| Green Beans | 607 |  |
| Potato | 525 | 75.9 |
| Corn | 293 | 69.5 |
| Lettuce | 288 | 60.1 |
| Chick Peas | 189 | 33.5 |
| Cucumber | 129 | 26.0 |
| Avocado | 24.6 |  |
| Combination Vegetable | 18.4 |  |
| Onion | 14.8 |  |
| Celery | 14.2 |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 8 Continued
FEQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR VEGETABLES

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| OTHER VEGETABLES continued |  |  |
| Zucchini | 114 | 13.0 |
| Chayote | 94 | 10.8 |
| Radish | 92 | 10.6 |
| Summer Squash | 81 | 9.3 |
| Mushroom | 79 | 9.0 |
| Turnip | 29 | 3.3 |
| Okra | 25 | 2.9 |
| Eggplant | 24 | 2.7 |
| Artichoke | 24 | 2.7 |
| Beets | 21 | 2.4 |
| Asparagus | 18 | 2.1 |
| Parsnips | 7 | .8 |
|  |  |  |

## Vegetables Consumed by Number of Servings

Vegetables in the survey instrument consisted of three sections. There were vitamin C vegetables, vitamin A vegetables and other vegetables discussed in this section.

## Total Vegetables

Only $32.8 \%(\mathrm{n}=287)$ consumed the recommended two to four servings a day of total vegetables. In contrast more than half ( $n=546,62.4 \%$ ) of the children consumed less than two servings of total vegetables a day. The most number of servings a day was nine (Table 9). These results are in line with the results of total fruit consumption, which was below the recommended amount.

## Vitamin C Vegetables

About $60 \%(\mathrm{n}=576,65.8 \%)$ of the children consumed less than one serving per day, followed by $19.1 \%(n=167)$ consuming between one and two servings of vitamin $C$
vegetables per day. The maximum number of servings per day was four as shown (Table $9)$.

## Vitamin A Vegetables

Over $60 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=583,66.6 \%$ ) of the children in this study consumed less than one serving of vitamin A vegetables a day, while $21.9 \%$ ( $n=192$ ) consumed between one and two servings per day. The maximum number of servings per day was three. (Table 9

## Other Vegetables

Over $60 \%$ of the children ( $n=587,67.1 \%$ ) consumed less than one serving per day of other vegetables, while $25.9 \%$ ( $n=227$ ) consumed between one and two servings a day with the maximum being five (Table 9).

TABLE 9
VEGETABLE CONSUMPTION BY NUMBER OF SERVINGS

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL VEGETABLE |  |  |
| less than 2 | 546 | 62.4 |
| $2-4$ | 287 | 32.8 |
| greater than 4 | 42 | 4.8 |
| VITAMIN C VEGETABLE |  |  |
| 0 | 116 | 13.3 |
| less than 1 | 1676 | 65.8 |
| $1-2$ | 16 | 19.1 |
| greater than 2 |  | 1.8 |
| VITAMIN A VEGETABLE | 88 |  |
| 0 | 193 | 10.1 |
| less than 1 | 12 | 66.6 |
| $1-2$ | 21.9 |  |
| greater than 2 | 1.4 |  |
|  |  |  |
| OTHER VEGETABLE | 40 | 4.6 |
| 0 | 587 | 67.1 |
| less than 1 | 227 | 25.9 |
| $1-2$ | 21 | 2.4 |
| greater than 2 |  |  |

## Frequency of Intake for Grains and Starch

The majority of the children consumed rice ( $n=696,79.5 \%$ ), followed by bread ( $\mathrm{n}=646,73.8 \%$ ). Crackers, tortillas and dry oats were all consumed by about $60 \%$ of the participants ( $n=562,64.2 \% ; n=539,61.6 \% ; n=528,60.3 \%$ ). Fifty-six percent ( $n=487$ ) of the children consumed pancakes, while cooked oats and macaroni were consumed by more than $40 \%$ of the children ( $n=381,43.5 \%$; $n=374,42.7 \%$ ). The remaining of the grains were consumed by less than $40 \%$ of the children as shown in Table 10. Rice is a common staple in the Hispanic culture, which may be why the consumption of rice was high, along with tortillas. Many of the participants in WIC in this region of the country may not be familiar with bagels and pita, hence their consumption was extremely low.

TABLE 10
FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR GRAINS AND STARCHES

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rice | 696 |  |
| Bread | 646 | 79.5 |
| Crackers | 562 | 73.8 |
| Tortilla | 539 | 64.2 |
| Dry Oats | 528 | 6.6 |
| Pancake | 487 | 60.3 |
| Cooked Oats | 381 | 56.6 |
| Macaroni | 374 | 43.5 |
| Cereal | 307 | 42.7 |
| Pasta | 256 | 35.1 |
| Noodles | 216 | 29.3 |
| Ramen Noodles | 207 | 24.7 |
| Waffles | 181 | 23.7 |
| Cornbread | 161 | 20.7 |
| Biscuits | 131 | 18.4 |
| Roll | 101 | 15.0 |
| Muffins | 73 | 11.5 |
| Bagel | 31 | 8.3 |
| Pita | 5 | 3.5 |
|  |  | .6 |

## Grains and Starches Consumed by Number of Servings

The grains are divided into four different groups. The first being oatmeal's, which consists of dry oats and liquid cereal form, followed by other grains and starches, bread and bread and bread products, and pancakes and waffles.

## Total Grains and Starches

The total number of grains, and the frequencies and percentages are shown in Table 11. Only $18.5 \%(n=162)$ consumed the recommended four to six servings a day of carbohydrates (grains and starches). In contrast $61.3 \%$, $(\mathrm{n}=536)$ consumed between two and four servings of grains per day, while only $16.9 \%$ consumed less than two servings a day. The maximum was 13 .

## Oatmeal's

Almost half of the children ( $\mathrm{n}=377,43.0 \%$ ) consumed between one and two servings per day of oatmeal, followed by $39.6 \%(n=346)$ consuming less than one serving per day. The range was zero to seven (Table 11).

## Other Grains and Starches

Close to one-half of the participants consumed either less than one serving a day ( $n=425,48.6 \%$ ) or between one and two servings a day of other grains and starches ( $\mathrm{n}=400,45.7 \%$ ). The maximum number of servings per day was three. (Table 11)

## Bread and Bread Products

Half of the children ( $n=437,50.0$ ) consumed between one and two servings of
 serving per day. As shown in Table 11 less than $10 \%$ consumed zero servings a day or more than two, with the maximum being six.

## Pancakes and Waffles

About half ( $\mathrm{n}=505,57.8 \%$ ) of the children consumed less than one servings per day of either pancakes or waffles, while $32.3 \%(n=283)$ consumed no pancakes or waffles. Less than $10 \%$ consumed more than one serving per day, with a maximum of six (Table 11).

TABLE 11

## GRAINS AND STARCHES CONSUMPTION BY NUMBER OF SERVINGS

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL GRAINS |  |  |
| less than 2 | 148 | 16.9 |
| 2-4 | 536 | 61.3 |
| 4.25-6 | 162 | 18.5 |
| greater than 6 | 29 | 3.3 |
| OATMEAL |  |  |
| 0 | 141 | 16.1 |
| less than 1 | 346 | 39.6 |
| 1-2 | 377 | 43.0 |
| greater than 2 | 11 | 4.8 |
| OTHER GRAINS AND STARCHES |  |  |
| 0 | 24 | 2.7 |
| less than 1 | 425 | 48.6 |
| $1-2$ | 400 | 45.7 3.0 |
| greater than 2 | 26 | 3.0 |
| BREADS AND BREAD PRODUCTS |  |  |
| 0 | 27 331 | 3.1 37.8 |
| less than 1 | 437 | 50.0 |
| greater than 2 | 80 | 9.1 |
| PANCAKES AND WAFFLES 32.3 |  |  |
| 0 | 283 505 | 57.8 |
| less than 1 $1-2$ | 81 | 9.2 |
| greater than 2 | 6 | . 7 |

*n=875 for each group

## Frequency of Intake for Sweetened Beverages and Other foods

Fewer than $50 \%$ of the participants indicated that their children consumed any type of sweetened any type of sweetened beverages. Koolaid was the most common ( $n=389$, $44.5 \%$ ), followed by Sunny Delight ( $\mathrm{n}=271,31.0 \%$ ) (Table 12). As with sweetened beverages, fewer than $50 \%$ of the participants indicated that their children consumed the different types of other foods. Cookies, popsicles and gelatin were consumed by about $40 \%$ of the children $(\mathrm{n}=408,46.6 \% ; \mathrm{n}=346,39.5 \% ; \mathrm{n}=337,38.5 \%)$. The remaining of the other foods were consumed by less than one-fourth of the children as shown in Table 13. The foods listed under other foods are foods which are considered "bad", and many of the parents or guardians filling the questionnaire out may have underreported the number servings of these foods consumed by their children.

TABLE 12
FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR SWEETENED BEVERAGES

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Koolaid | 389 |  |
| Sunny Delight | 271 | 44.5 |
| Fruit Juice | 240 | 31.0 |
| Soda | 206 | 27.4 |
| Gatorade | 125 | 23.5 |
| Hi-C | 74 | 14.3 |
| Tang | 72 | 8.5 |
| Cranberry Cocktail | 62 | 8.2 |
| Orange Drink | 50 | 7.1 |
| Rice Milk | 42 | 5.7 |
|  |  | 4.8 |

## TABLE 13

## FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR OTHER FOODS

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cookies |  |  |
| Popsicles | 408 | 46.6 |
| Gelatin | 346 | 39.5 |
| French Fries | 337 | 38.5 |
| Chips | 296 | 33.8 |
| Pie | 206 | 23.5 |
| Candy | 153 | 17.5 |
| Snack Crackers | 144 | 16.5 |
| Chocolate | 122 | 13.9 |
| Pastries | 118 | 13.5 |
| Granola Bar | 64 | 7.3 |
| Cracker Jacks | 61 | 7.0 |
| Sugar Candy | 45 | 5.1 |
| Cornuts | 33 | 3.8 |
| Caramel | 19 | 2.2 |

Sweetened Beverages and Other Foods Consumed by Number of Servings

Sweetened beverages and other foods were in two separate categories. Both categories contain food with little nutrient value.

## Total Sweetened Beverages and Other Food

The frequencies and percentages of total sweetened beverages and other foods are listed in Table 14. The recommended amount for this category is to use in moderation thus, about half of the children met this by consuming less than one serving a day of combined sweetened beverages and other foods ( $\mathrm{n}=413,47.2 \%$ ). Thirty-seven percent ( $n=324$ ) consumed between one and two servings a day, while $11.7 \% \quad(n=102)$ consumed greater than two servings a day. The range was between zero and six. These results were similar to the results of a study by Cook, et al (1976).

## Sweetened Beverages

About $60 \%$ ( $n=526,60.1 \%$ ) of the participants consumed less than one serving per day of sweetened beverages, while $21.8 \%(n=191)$ consumed between one and two servings a day. The remaining are listed in Table 14, with the maximum being five.

## Other Foods

A large percentage of the children ( $n=620,70.9 \%$ ) consumed less than one serving a day of other food, followed by $16.1 \%(n=141)$ consuming between one and two servings a day as shown in Table 14. The most number of servings per day was four.

TABLE 14
SWEETENED BEVERAGES AND
OTHER FOODS CONSUMPTION BY NUMBER OF SERVINGS

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL SWEETENED BEVERAGES AND OTHER FOODS |  |  |
| 0 | 36 | 4.1 |
| less than 1 | 413 | 47.2 |
| $1-2$ | 324 | 37.0 |
| greater than 2 | 102 | 11.7 |
| SWEETENED BEVERAGES |  |  |
| 0 | 126 | 14.4 |
| less than 1 | 526 | 60.1 |
| $1-2$ | 191 | 21.8 |
| greater than 2 | 32 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  |
| OTHER FOODS | 100 | 11.4 |
| 0 | 620 | 70.9 |
| less than 1 | 141 | 16.1 |
| $1-2$ | 14 | 1.6 |
| greater than 2 |  |  |

[^1]
## Frequency of Intake for Combination Foods

More than $40 \%$ of the children consumed spaghetti ( $n=378,43.2 \%$ ), followed by tacos being consumed by $39 \%(n=342)$, Pizza and macaroni and cheese were consumed by about $35 \%$ of the children ( $n=311,35.5 \% ; n=297,33.9 \%$ ). Less than one-fourth of the participants indicated that their children consumed the remaining combination foods listed in Table 15. The combination foods chosen most often are easy for children ages three to four to eat as well as more popular for that age, contrary to the foods chosen less often.

TABLE 15
FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR COMBINATION FOODS

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Spaghetti | 378 |  |
| Taco | 342 | 43.2 |
| Pizza | 311 | 39.1 |
| Macaroni and Cheese | 297 | 35.5 |
| Enchiladas | 213 | 33.9 |
| Lasagna | 123 | 24.4 |
| Stew | 71 | 14.1 |
| Pot Pie | 69 | 8.1 |
| Spaghetti O's | 40 | 7.9 |
| Mince Meat | 26 | 4.6 |
|  |  | 3.0 |

Combination Foods Consumed by Number of Servings

There was only one category for combination foods. The frequencies and percentages for these foods are shown in Table 16. Over three-fourths of the children ( $n=664,75.9 \%$ ) consumed less than one serving a day, followed by $13.8 \%(n=121)$ consuming no combination foods with the maximum being four.

TABLE 16

## COMBINATION FOODS CONSUMPTION BY NUMBER OF SERVINGS

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 121 | 13.8 |
| less than 1 | 664 | 75.9 |
| $1-2$ | 81 | 9.3 |
| greater than 2 | 9 | 1.0 |
| ${ }^{n}=875$ for each group |  |  |

## Frequency of Intake for Drinks

Almost all of the children consumed some amount of water ( $n=780,89.9 \%$ ), while tea and diet soda were consumed by about $10 \%(n=94,10.7 \% ; n=91,10.4 \%)$. Coffee was consumed by only $19(2.2 \%)$ children Table 17 . Tea is commonly used as a remedy for colds in the Hispanic culture which could be why it is consumed by some children. Children may also take sips of their parents coffee, which could account for the few who marked coffee.

TABLE 17
FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR DRINKS

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Water | 780 | 89.9 |
| Tea | 94 | 10.7 |
| Diet Soda | 91 | 10.4 |
| Coffee | 19 | 2.2 |

Drinks Consumed by Number of Servings

The drinks were divided into two categories, caffeine drinks and water.
Participants were however, not asked to indicate a combined amount consumed.

## Caffeine Drinks

The majority of the children consumed no caffeine drinks ( $n=676,77.3 \%$ ) followed by $18.8 \%$ ( $n=165$ ) consuming less than one serving a day. Only 34 of the children consumed one or more caffeine drink a day with a maximum of two servings a day (Table 18).

## Water

Almost half of the children consumed one to two servings per day ( $n=371,42.2 \%$ ) of water, while $35.4 \% ~(~ n=310) ~ c o n s u m e d ~ m o r e ~ t h a n ~ t w o ~ s e r v i n g s ~ a ~ d a y . ~ T h e ~ r e m a i n i n g ~$ consumed less than one serving per day, with a range of none to 10 (Table 18).

TABLE 18
DRINKS CONSUMPTION BY NUMBER OF SERVINGS

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CAFFEINE DRINKS |  |  |
| 0 | 676 | 77.3 |
| less than 1 | 165 | 18.8 |
| $1-2$ | 34 | 3.9 |
| greater than 2 | 0 | 00.0 |
|  |  |  |
| WATER | 44 | 5.0 |
| 0 | 150 | 17.2 |
| less than 1 | 371 | 42.4 |
| $1-2$ | 310 | 35.4 |
| greater than 2 |  |  |

*n=875 for each grouop

Frequency of Intake for Fats

Cooking oil was the most common type of fat consumed, however, fewer than half of the children in this study consumed this product ( $n=402,45.9 \%$ ). About $30 \%$ of the participants consumed butter and/or margarine ( $n=342,39.1 \% ; n=296,33.8 \%$ ). Fewer than $15 \%$ consumed any other type of fat. The type of fats consumption may be
underestimated due to the inability or misunderstanding of the consumption of fats in foods and what is used in cooking and preparation of food. (Table19)

TABLE 19
FREQUENCY OF INTAKE FOR FAT

| Variable | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cooking Oil | 402 |  |
| Butter | 342 | 45.9 |
| Margarine | 296 | 39.1 |
| Salad Dressing | 124 | 33.8 |
| Sour Cream | 101 | 14.2 |
| Homemade Gravy | 81 | 11.6 |
| Lard | 44 | 9.3 |
| Whipping Cream | 42 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 4.8 |

Fats Consumed by Number of Servings

There was only one group for fats. About $60 \%$ of the children consumed less than one-half serving of fat a day ( $n=529,60.5 \%$ ), followed by about $18 \%$ consuming none fat a day or between one and two servings ( $n=157,17.9 \% ; n=164,18.7 \%$ ). Twenty-five ( $2.9 \%$ ) of the children consumed more than two servings a day as shown in Table 20. The serving amounts for fats may be underreported due to many hidden fats in foods and cooking practices. These findings are contrary to the results of NHANES III, which showed that children are consuming more than the recommended amount of fat which is $30 \%$ of kcals (Alaimo, et al. 1994).

TABLE 20
FATS CONSUMPTION BY NUMBER OF SERVINGS

| Servings per day | Frequency* | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 157 | 17.9 |
| less than 1 | 529 | 60.5 |
| $1-2$ | 164 | 18.7 |
| greater than 2 | 25 | 2.9 |
| ${ }^{*} \mathrm{n}=875$ for each group |  |  |

Statistical Analyses

## Testing of Hypothesis One

Hol: There will be no significance association between iron status of children participating in the WIC program in Clark County Las Vegas, NV and selected personal/health variables: gender, age, ethnicity, weight, height, and hematocrit. The researcher found no significant associations between iron status and children's personal/health variables. Based on these results the researcher failed to reject Hol.

## Testing of Hypothesis Two

There will be no significant association between dietary intake of children participating in the WIC program n Clark County Las Vegas, NV and selected personal/health variables: gender, age, ethnicity, weight, height, and hematocrit. Children's analysis of variance (ANOVA), Duncan's Multiple Range Test and t-test were used to determine the relationship between the children's characteristics and their dietary intake.

## Consumption Patterns by Ethnicity

There was a significant association between ethnicity and milk product consumption (Tables 21 and 22). Black children consumed significantly ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ) less milk products than White or Hispanic children. Perhaps Black children are lactose intolerant, which could lead to them drinking less milk and milk products.

TABLE 21
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR MILK PRODUCTS AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P} *$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Race | 2 | 947.58 | 10.31 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 91.92 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

TABLE 22
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR MILK PRODUCTS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 122 | 19.36 |  |
| Hispanic | 616 | 19.32 | A |
| Black | 131 | 15.20 | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures were used to determine significant associations between ethnicity and cheese consumption. Hispanic children consumed significantly less $(P=0.0040)$ cheese than White or Black children (Tables 23 and 24). Hispanics may be more likely to consume "queso fresco", a Mexican type cheese, which was not listed on the food frequency questionnaire. This could be why Hispanics seem to eat less cheese.

TABLE 23
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR CHEESE AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Race | 2 | 115.78 | 5.56 | 0.0040 |
| Error | 866 | 20.84 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at p $\leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 24
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR CHEESE AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 6.58 |  |
| White | 122 | 6.39 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 5.36 | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

Significant associations ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0422$ ) existed between the Black, Hispanic and White children with regard to other dairy products. Black and Hispanic children consumed more other dairy products than White children (Tables 25 and 26).

TABLE 25
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR OTHER DAIRY PRODUCTS AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Race | 2 | 34.94 | 3.18 | 0.0422 |
| Error | 866 | 11.00 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

TABLE 26
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR OTHER DAIRY PRODUCTS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 6.58 |  |
| Hispanic | 616 | 6.69 | A |
| White | 122 | 2.69 | B |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq 05$ |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

There was a significant association between ethnicity and total dairy products ( $\mathrm{P} \leq$ 0.0514 ) (Tables 27 and 28). Black children consumed significantly less total milk products than White or Hispanic children. Although Whites and Hispanics consumed different amounts of total milk products, the amount was not significant. Lactose intolerance is more common in the Black population which could account for fewer Blacks consuming total dairy products.

TABLE 27
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR TOTAL MILK PRODUCTS AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Race | 2 | 403.13 | 2.98 | 0.0514 |
| Error | 866 | 135.34 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at p $\leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 28
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR TOTAL MILK PRODUCTS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 122 | 28.45 |  |
| Hispanic | 616 | 28.10 | A |
| Black | 131 | 25.48 | A |
|  |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures were used to determine associations between ethnicity and meat consumption. Blacks and Whites consumed significantly ( $\mathrm{P} \leq$ 0.0001 ) more meats than Hispanics (Tables 29 and 30). Meat consumption is not as common in Mexico, which is where the majority of this Hispanic population is from, which may be why this group consumes less meat. Meat is also more costly and for many it could be unaffordable.

TABLE 29

| ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR MEATS AND ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ |
| Race | 2 | 120.87 | 10.21 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 11.83 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 30
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR MEATS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 |  |  |
| White | 122 | 6.79 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 6.32 | A |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  | B |

Significant association ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ) existed between Blacks, Whites, and Hispanics with regard to processed meats. Black children consumed more processed meats than Whites and Hispanics, while more Whites consumed significantly more processed meats than Hispanics (Tables 31 and 32).

TABLE 31

| ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR PROCESSED MEATS AND ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ |
| Race | 2 | 322.41 | 36.35 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 8.87 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 32
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR PROCESSED MEATS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 5.20 |  |
| White | 122 | 4.03 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 2.88 | C |

[^2]There was a significant association between race and total protein consumption (Tables 33 and 34). Black children consumed significantly ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ) more total protein than Whites or Hispanics. Blacks may consider meat and protein products the most important part of a meal or they may have a stronger liking for these types of foods.

TABLE 33
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR TOTAL PROTEIN AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 902.00 | 10.38 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 86.92 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 34
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR TOTAL PROTEIN AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 22.64 |  |
| White | 122 | 19.79 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 18.58 | B |

## *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures were used to determine significant associations between ethnicity and vitamin C fruit consumption. Black children consumed significantly $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0225)$ more vitamin C fruits than Whites. Although Hispanics consumed different amounts of vitamin C , their fruit consumption was not significantly different from the amount consumed by Blacks and Whites. (Tables 35 and 36)

TABLE 35
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR VITAMIN C FRUIT AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 63.24 | 3.81 | 0.0225 |
| Error | 866 | 16.59 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 36
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR VITAMIN C FRUIT AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 131 | 5.24 | A |
| White | 616 | 4.40 | AB |
|  | 122 | 3.86 | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$
Significant association ( $P \leq 0.0068$ ) existed between the Blacks and Hispanics with regard to other fruits consumption (Tables 37 and 38). Blacks consumed significantly more of the other fruits than Hispanics. Although Whites consumed different amounts of other fruits than Blacks or Hispanics, the difference was not statistically significantly.

TABLE 37
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR OTHER FRUIT AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Race | 2 | 72.65 | 5.02 | 0.0068 |
| Error | 866 | 14.46 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 38
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR OTHER FRUIT AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* $^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 |  |  |
| White | 122 | 6.56 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 6.04 | AB |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

There was a significant association between race and other juice (Tables 39 and 40). Black participants consumed significantly ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0021$ ) more other juice than Hispanics or Whites.

TABLE 39
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR OTHER JUICE AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 154.34 | 6.20 | 0.0021 |
| Error | 866 | 24.87 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 40
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR OTHER JUICE AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 6.31 |  |
| Hispanic | 616 | 4.73 | A |
| White | 122 | 4.40 | B |

[^3]Analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures were used to determine significant associations between ethnicityvitamin C fruit consumption. Black children consumed significantly ( $\mathrm{P} \leq .0991$ ) more total vitamin C fruit than White children. Although Hispanics consumed different amounts than Blacks or Whites, the difference was not statistically significant (Tables 41 and 42 ).

TABLE 41

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR TOTAL VITAMIN C FRUIT AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P} *$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 162.13 | 2.40 | 0.0911 |
| Error | 866 | 67.49 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq 05$ |  |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 42
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR TOTAL VITAMIN C FRUIT AND
ETHINCITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 15.26 |  |
| Hispanic | 616 | 14.70 | A |
| White | 122 | 13.13 | B |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

The variable ethnicity was significantly associated with the total consumption of fruit ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0194$ ) (Table 43). Black children's consumption of total fruit was significantly different than that of Hispanics or Whites. The Black children consumed more total fruit than did the other two groups (Table 44). In the desert, fresh fruit was more expensive to
buy and harder to find year round. Perhaps the Blacks prefer more canned fruit thus making their consumption greater than the other groups.

TABLE 43
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR TOTAL FRUIT AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 750.88 | 3.96 | 0.0194 |
| Error | 866 | 89.50 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 44
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR TOTAL FRUIT AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 |  |  |
| Hispanic | 616 | 28.74 | A |
| White | 122 | 25.68 | B |
|  |  |  | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

Significant associations ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0013$ ) existed between ethnicity and vitamin C vegetable consumption (Table 45). The Duncan's Multiple Range Test indicated that Black children consumed significantly more vitamin C vegetables than White or Hispanic children (Table 46). The reason could be that blacks tend to consume more greens.

TABLE 45
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR VITAMIN C VEGETABLE AND
ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 86.84 | 6.71 | 0.0013 |
| Error | 866 | 12.95 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 46
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR VITAMIN C VEGETABLE AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 |  |  |
| White | 122 | 3.06 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 3.96 | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures were used to determine significant associations between ethnicity and other vegetable consumption. Hispanic children consumed significantly $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001)$ less other vegetables than Black or White children (Tables 47 and 48). Hispanics are traditionally used to buying fresh vegetables and adding them to their foods. The expense to obtain them elsewhere since there is a lack of availability of some vegetables in the dessert may lead to fewer consumption. These findings go along with the findings of Basch et al. (1994) that Hispanics had low intakes of fruits and vegetables.

TABLE 47

| ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR OTHER VEGETABLE AND ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P} *$ |
| Race | 2 | 142.90 | 12.81 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 11.15 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 48
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR OTHER VEGETABLE AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 6.02 |  |
| White | 122 | 5.66 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 4.60 | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

The variable ethnicity was significantly associated with the total consumption of total vegetables ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0014$ ) (Tables 49 and 50). Black children's consumption of total vegetables was significantly higher than that of Hispanics. As with fruits, Blacks may prefer more canned vegetables to fresh, which are cheaper and easier to obtain them fresh, which may be prefered by Hispanics.

TABLE 49
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR TOTAL VEGETABLE AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $P^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 472.26 | 6.62 | 0.0014 |
| Error | 866 | 71.28 |  |  |

Total 868
*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 50
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR TOTAL VEGETABLE AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 15.53 |  |
| White | 122 | 13.94 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 12.67 | B |

*Significant level at p $\leq .05$

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) on the variable ethnicity and the consumption of carbohydrates (grains and starches) is as follows: oatmeal ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ), other grains ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ), bread and bread products $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001)$ and pancakes and waffles ( $\mathrm{P} \leq$ 0.0001 ) (Tables $51,53,55,59$ ). For oatmeal, Hispanics consumed significantly less than Blacks or Whites (Table 52). White children consumed significantly less other grains than did Hispanics or Blacks (Table 54). There was a significant difference between the consumption of breads and bread products for Hispanics (Table 56). They consumed more than Whites or Blacks. Pancakes and waffles were consumed significantly more by Blacks than by Whites or Hispanics (Table 58).

Oatmeal is not traditionally consumed in large amounts by Hispanics as it is by Blacks and Whites, whereas tortillas are much more common in the Hispanic community. This could be why they consumed significantly less oatmeal and significantly more breads.

Rice and rice dishes are much more common in the Hispanic and Black community, which may be why Whites consumed significantly less other grains.

TABLE 51
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR OATMEAL'S AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 324.86 | 21.52 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 15.09 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant |  |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 52

## DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR OATMEAL'S AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 6.79 |  |
| White | 122 | 6.13 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 4.61 | B |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

TABLE 53
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR OTHER GRAINS AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 185.29 | 10.84 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 17.09 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

TABLE 54
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR OTHER GRAINS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | 616 | 6.95 |  |
| Black | 131 | 6.16 | A |
| White | 122 | 5.11 | B |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq 05$ |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 55
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR BREADS AND BREAD PRODUCTS AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P} *$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 446.88 | 13.20 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 34.31 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

TABLE 56
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR BREAD AND BREAD PRODUCTS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | 616 | 8.91 |  |
| White | 122 | 6.87 | A |
| Black | 131 | 6.51 | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 57
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR PANCAKE AND WAFFLES AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P} *$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 122.48 | 14.39 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 8.51 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 58
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR PANCAKES AND WAFFLES AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 3.51 |  |
| White | 122 | 2.50 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 2.02 | B |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |

There was a significant associations between ethnicity and consumption of sweetened beverages ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ), junk food ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ) and total sweetened beverages and other foods ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ) (Tables $59,61,63$ ). For sweetened beverages, Black children consumed significantly more than White or Hispanic children (Table 60). Black children consumed significantly more other foods than Whites or Hispanics, however, Whites consumed significantly more other food than Hispanics (Table 62). Total sweetened beverages and other foods were consumed significantly more by Blacks than hy White or Hispanics. Whites, consumed significantly more total sweetened beverages and other foods than Hispanics (Table 64).

Hispanics may not be as familiar with sweetened drinks and other foods may not be as easy to find in the United States. The wording of some of the foods on the questionnaire may have been confused by some of the non-English speaking population.

TABLE 59
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR SWEETENED BEVERAGES AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 497.14 | 21.80 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 22.79 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant |  |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 60
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR SWEETENED BEVERAGES AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 6.93 |  |
| White | 122 | 4.89 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 3.93 | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 61
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR OTHER FOODS AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 145.72 | 12.98 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 11.22 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |

[^4]TABLE 62
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR OTHER FOODS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 4.88 |  |
| White | 122 | 4.09 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 3.32 | C |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$ |  |  |  |

TABLE 63
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR TOTAL SWEETENED BEVERAGES AND OTHER FOODS AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 1173.74 | 24.52 | 0.0001 |
| Error | 866 | 47.86 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at p $\leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 64
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR TOTAL SWEETENED BEVERAGES AND OTHER FOODS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 11.82 |  |
| White | 122 | 8.99 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 7.25 | C |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

The Analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures was used to determine significant associations between ethnicity and combination foods. Black children consumed significantly ( $\mathrm{P}=0.0026$ ) more combination foods than Whites or Hispanics (Tables 65, 66). Many of the foods listed were traditional Hispanic foods, however, the most common
type of food chose was spaghetti, which could account for the results of these findings. Spaghetti type products are available canned and are favorite foods by most children

TABLE 65

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR COMBINATION FOODS AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P* |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 48.73 | 6.01 | 0.0026 |
| Error | 866 | 8.10 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq 05$ |  |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 66

## DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR COMBINATION FOODS AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black | 131 | 3.56 |  |
| White | 122 | 2.80 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 2.61 | B |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

There was a significant association between the variable ethnicity and fat consumption (Table 67). Hispanic children consumed significantly ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0003$ ) less fat than Black or White children (Table 68). This section of the questionnaire may have been misinterpreted, thus the fat consumption may have been underestimated. Some of the fats listed may be used in cooking, therefore not counted as a serving. The fats which were most likely counted as a serving, whipping cream, salad dressing, sour cream and homemade gravy, are consumed less by Hispanics which may account for the difference in
consumption. These findings are in line with the findings by McDowell, et al. (1994), that Hispanics showed the lowest fat intake.

TABLE 67
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) FOR FAT AND ETHNICITY

| Source | df | Mean Square | F | P * |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | 2 | 143.18 | 8.30 | 0.0003 |
| Error | 866 | 17.25 |  |  |
| Total | 868 |  |  |  |
| *Significan |  |  |  |  |

*Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

TABLE 68
DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR FAT AND ETHNICITY

| Race | N | Mean | Grouping* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 122 | 4.94 |  |
| Black | 131 | 4.58 | A |
| Hispanic | 616 | 3.51 | A |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

Consumption of Foods by Gender

The female participants were most likely to consume vitamin A and fat, while males were most likely to consume oatmeal (Table 69). Females may have a taste preference for the vitamin A foods, apricots, apricot nectar, and peach nectar. The parents or guardians of the children may fix oatmeal for the males more often because it is believed to be filling. Females may consume more fats that are gravy, salad dressing, and sour cream, which are added as extras to foods.

TABLE 69

## T-TEST DETERMINATION OF FOOD CONSUMPTION BY GENDER

| Food Group | Male <br> Mean | $(\mathrm{N}=433)$ <br> SD | Female <br> Mean | $\mathrm{N}=442)$ <br> SD | P-Value* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Vitamin A | 0.5837 | 1.4718 | 0.8630 | 2.012 | 0.0349 |
| Oatmeal's | 5.4480 | 4.3373 | 4.8959 | 3.569 | 0.0403 |
| Fats | 3.5288 | 3.6138 | 4.2126 | 4.650 | 0.0152 |
| *Significant level at p $\leq .05$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Consumption of Foods by Age

Those children born in 1991 (three year olds), were most likely to consume vitamin A fruit, other fruit, other juices and total fruit. Those children born in 1990 (four year olds) were most likely to consume caffeine drinks (Table 70). It is possible that parents or guardians think that fruits, no matter what form it is in, is better for younger children, or perhaps the children's tastes change as they get older and they enjoy a wider variety of foods. As children's age increases, parents or guardian may become more lenient about their children consuming caffeinated drink.

TABLE 70

## T-TEST DETERMINATION OF FOOD CONSUMPTION BY AGE

| Food Group | Male <br> Mean | $(\mathrm{N}=433)$ <br> SD | Female <br> Mean | $\mathrm{N}=442)$ <br> SD | P-Value* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vitamin A | 0.5558 | 1.2473 | 0.8319 | 2.0945 | 0.0165 |
| Other Fruit | 5.4392 | 3.6384 | 5.9297 | 3.9573 | 0.0568 |
| Other Juice | 4.4044 | 4.9195 | 5.3914 | 5.1369 | 0.0039 |
| Total Fruit | 24.4813 | 12.7107 | 27.2446 | 14.6401 | 0.0029 |
| Caffeine Drinks | 1.0024 | 2.3120 | 0.5893 | 1.5159 | 0.0023 |

*Significant level at $p \leq .05$

Based on the results reported in Tables 22-70 indicating associations between dietary intake and the personal variables ethnicity, gender, and age, the researcher rejected Ho2. If health variables are considered; weight, height, and hematocrit the researcher failed to reject Ho 2 .

## Testing of Hypothesis Three

Ho3: There will be no significant association between dietary intake and the food guide pyramid of children participating in the WIC program in Clark County Las Vegas, NV on selected personal/health variables: gender, age, ethnicity, weight, height, and hematocrit. Chi-Square values were used to determine the association between dietary intake and the food guide pyramid.

## Consumption and Ethnicity

Ethnic differences are significant ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$ ) for vitamin C servings (Table 71). More Blacks and Whites consumed less than one serving a day of vitamin C. These findings agree with McDowell, et al. (1994), that Hispanics consumed more fruits than other population groups. A likely explanation for this is that the two population have fewer opportunities to consume vitamin C fruit which is in line with the study by Kirby, et al. (1995) that states, children from low-economic families had fewer fruits and vegetables in their home. Blacks were the only significant group in the consumption of dairy products. Significantly $(P=0.0001)$ fewer blacks met the recommended four to six servings per day of dairy products than expected. In addition, more Blacks than expected consumed less than four servings per day (Table 73). This was also found earlier in the study, which showed that Blacks consumed significantly less total diary products than Whites and Hispanics. Again a likely explanation for this would be that more Blacks are lactose intolerant than the other population groups.

TABLE 71

## CHI-SQUARE DETERMINATIONS INDICATING ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN CONSUMPTION AND ETHNICITY

| Food Consumption | Ethnicity |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Vitamin C Fruit }}{\mathrm{x} 2}$ |  |
| df | 14.088 |
| P | 2 |
| Dairy Product | .0001 |
| x 2 |  |
| df | 12.348 |
| P | 4.0015 |

Based on the results in Table 71 indicating an association between consumption patterns and ethnicity, the researcher rejected Ho3. If other personal/health variables such as gender, age, height, weight, and hematocrit are considered, then the researcher failed to reject Ho3.

## Testing of Hypothesis Four

Ho4: There will be no significant association between expected height and weight and actual height and weight based on gender, and on the means of heights and weights and actual heights and weights based on gender. Scatterplots were used to determine the associations between expected heights and weights and actual heights and weights and gender Appendix F.

## EXPECTED WEIGHT AND HEIGHT VS. ACTUAL WEIGHT AND HEIGHT IN FEMALES

The expected heights and weights of females were based on the NCHS growth chart for females ages 2 to 18 years. The majority of the females fell in between the 5 th and the 95 th percentiles of the NCHS charts for weight and age. Those below the 5th
seemed to stay consistently below the 5th. The majority of the female children in this study were also between the 5 th and the 95 th percentile for height. After 46.5 months those above the 95th seemed to eventually fall within normal range with a few exceptions. Those below the 5th seemed to stay consistently below the percentile even with time (Figures 9, and 10 ).

## EXPECTED WEIGHT AND HEIGHT VS.ACTUAL WEIGHT AND HEIGHT IN MALES

The majority of the male children fell within normal range of the NCHS chart for height (Figures 11 and 12). There were more males above the 95 th percentile than females, with fewer below the 5 th than females. Males both above and below the expected weights stayed consistent as they aged. Their height for age was also more consistent with increasing age. The majority were within normal range, and, as they grew older they seemed to continue the same pattern.

FIGURE 9


FIGURE 10


FIGURE 11


EXPECTED WEIGHT vs. ACTUAL WEIGHT MALES

FIGURE 12


EXPECTED HEIGHT vs. ACTUAL HEIGHT IN MALES

## MEAN HEIGHT AND WEIGHT FOR MALES AND FEMALES

All of the mean heights for both males and females fell within the expected range. The mean weights for females and males also fell with in the expected range. In addition all heights and weights followed an upward trend as the children grew older (Figures 13, 14,15 , and 16).

Based on the results of the scatterplots one through eight, indicating the majority of females and males fell with in their expected heights and weights, and the majority of them fell with in the mean, the researcher failed to reject Ho4.

FIGURE 13


FIGURE 14


FIGURE 15


MEAN WEIGHT FOR MALES

FIGURE 16


## CHAPTER V

## SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS

Summary

The purpose of this research was to determine the dietary intake and iron status of three and four year old children participating in the WIC program. Four hypotheses were postulated to determine if the children's iron status and dietary consumption were affected by personal and health variables.

The results of the data collected from the questionnaires completed by the researcher are presented in Chapter IV. The sample/population was selected from children participating in the WIC program in Las Vegas, Nevada, where the researcher was employed. Data obtained from the 875 questionnaires were analyzed using frequencies, percentages, ANOVA, Duncan's Multiple Range Test, Students t-test, Chi-Square, and scatterplots.

The majority ( $70.9 \%$ ) of the respondents were Hispanic. Gender and age were distributed almost equally (Figure 1). The majority of the children were within normal limits for hematocrit, height, and weight (Table 1). About three-fourths of the children consumed three meals per day and about $40 \%$ consumed 2 snacks per day. The mother was the food perparer for the majority of the children, and most of the children were not on a special diet. Nearly three-fourths took no vitamin and mineral supplements.

None of the children meet the recommended number of servings for all food groups using the Nevada Food Guide for 2 to 5 year olds. If fruit and vegetables were combined, however, the majority of the children would meet the recommended number of servings which was designed as four per day. They would not however meet the five-a-day
recommended by the National Cancer Institute. Black children consumed significantly fewer total dairy products, although they consumed significantly more total protein, total fruit, total vegetables, pancakes and waffles, total sweetened beverages and other foods and combination foods. Hispanics consumed fewer oatmeal's and fats while consuming more breads and bread products. Whites consumed fewer grains than the other two groups. Females consumed more vitamin A fruits and fats while males consumed more oatmeal's. The three year old children consumed more vitamin A fruit, other fruit, other juice and total fruit. The four year olds consumed more caffeine drinks. The majority of the children fell within normal range for expected heights and weights and mean heights and weights. (Table 1)

## Recommendations

The research instrument was lengthy and some of the foods listed in the frequency form were repetitious as well as difficult to translate. Future researchers may wish to simplify the questionnaire by devising their own questionnaire which had food items listed one time only. A questionnaire that more closely resembled the Food Guide Pyramid may make it easier or more accurate to interpret results.

Additional research needs to be conducted to determine how different attitudes of foods affect eating habits in differing cultures. A statewide study or nationwide study should be conducted to determine if differences in cultural food habits exist elsewhere. In addition, studies of other population groups currently immigrating to the United States need to be conducted to determine adequacy of dietary intake. Another recommendation for further study is to analyze dietary intake to determine percentages of RDA's met for calories and nutrients.

## Implications

Less than half of the children met the recommended number of servings for the food groups. Nutrition educators need to identify barriers contributing to the lack of the appropriate types of foods, and focus on the importance of nutrition and health in childhood. Although the children did not meet the recommendations for the number of servings per day according to the Nevada Food Guide for 2-5 year olds, almost all were within normal limits for height, weight, and hematocrit. Nutrition educators need to develop nutrition education material for parents and other caregivers which are appropriate to different population groups they are working with. This education needs to begin in infancy in the WIC and other programs in order to develop a habit for a lifetime. Nutrition education for caregivers of preschool children should focus on introducing a variety of fruit and vegetables and protein/iron rich foods to children in order to enable them to become familiar with the foods which contribute to nutritional adequacy.

In addition, nutrition educators need to lobby for additional legislation for the WIC program which would allow for the different cultures or ethnic groups to obtain food which is more acceptable to them. Health care providers need to continue to inform local, state, and national legislators of the crucial role of the WIC program in contributing to nutritional adequacy for iron, calcium, vitamin A , vitamin C and protein, thus supporting health promotion and disease and prevention.
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APPENDIX B
DIETARY GUIDELINES FOR AMERICANS


## APPENDIX C

FOOD GUIDE FOR CHILDREN 2 THRU 5

| FOOD GROUP | SERVINGS PER DAY | FOODS | SERVING <br> SIZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAIRY <br> (Protein, Calcium, Vitamin D) | 4-6 | Milk <br> Yogurt <br> Cheese <br> Tofu (soybean curd) | 1/2 cup 1/2 cup 3/4 ounce 1/2 ounce |
| MEAT OR <br> MEAT <br> SUBSTITUTE <br> (Protein and iron) | 2-3 | Meat, Poultry, Fish <br> Dry Beans, Lentils, Peas <br> Peanut Butter <br> Egg | 1 ounce 1/4 cup 2 tablespoons One whole |
| FRUITS AND VEGETABLES | 1 Vitamin C 1 Vitamin A 2 Any Kind 4 Total | Vitamin C sources: WIC juices, citrus fruit strawberries, broccoli, green pepper, cabbage tomatoes, melons, brussel sprouts <br> Vitamin A sources: <br> Carrots, squash, sweet potatoes, melons, apricots pumpkin, leafy green vegeta broccoli, nectarines <br> Other: Apple, corn, green beans, avocado, banana | 1/2 cup juice or 1/4-1/2 cup <br> 1/4-1/2 cup les <br> 1/4-1/2cup |
| BREADS AND <br> CEREALS <br> (Thiamin, Magnesium, iron) | 4-6 | Bread or tortillas <br> Hot cereal <br> Cold cereal <br> Rice or pasta <br> Saltine crackers <br> Graham crackers | 1/2-1 piece <br> 1/4-1/2 cup <br> 1/2-1 cup <br> 1/4-1/2 cup <br> 3-6 squares <br> 2-4 squares |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FATS AND } \\ & \text { OILS } \end{aligned}$ | aringly | Mayonnaise <br> Margarine <br> Butter <br> Oil <br> Lard <br> Salad dressing | 1 teaspoon |

APPENDIX D
RESEARCH INSTRUMENT

## Questionnaire for Children's Personal and Health Information

## Date of Birth

Height $\qquad$
Weight $\qquad$
Hematocrit $\qquad$
Gender $\qquad$
How many meals per day does the child have?
How many snacks per day does the child have?
Who prepares the meals?
Is the child on a special diet? $\qquad$
Is the child taking and vitamin or mineral supplements?

## CHILD'S FOOD FREQUENCY

Please circle the foods below that your child eats and how often they are eaten

| FOODS | How often docs your child eat these foods............................................... H | How many times per week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAIRY | Milk whole. $1 \%$. $2 \%$. skim (nonfat), cottage cheese. milkshakes. yogurt chocolate milk. Mexican chocolate, goat milk. cream soup (made with milk) |  |
|  | How many ounces of milk does she/he drink at each serving? |  |
|  | Hard cheese. American cheese. cotlage cheese |  |
|  | Ise cream. ice milk. frozen yogur, pudding. custard. flan | - - |
| PROTEIN | Eggs |  |
|  | Pcanut butter, nuts. seeds. dried beans. Ientils. tofu | - |
|  | Hamburger. chicken. turkey. tuna. ham. becf. pork. fish. liver. lamb. game |  |
|  | Hol dogs. bologna. bacon. lunchmeat. cold cuts. fish sticks |  |
| FRUITS <br> Vitamin C |  |  |
|  | Juices: Orange, grapefruit, pineapple. or WIC juices |  |
|  | Oranges. grapefruit. cantaloupe. strawberries. tangerincs. kiwi. mango. papaya |  |
| Vitamin A | Apricots. apricot nectar, peach nectar |  |
| Other | Raisins. apples. applesauce. grapes, melon. bananas. berries. peaches. pears. pincapplc. plums. watermelon. cherries. nectarines. persimmons. pomegranate loquates. dates. figs. combination fruit |  |
|  | Juices: apple. grape, pineapple. prune. pear. cranberry. and others without vitamin C |  |
| VEGETABLES |  |  |
| Vilamin C | Brussel sprouts. broccole. green pepper. peas. cauliflower. cabbage. salsa. chilies. green chilies. tomatoes. tomato juice. and vegetable juice |  |
| Vitamin A | Carrots, yams. winter squash, green onion, bok choy, spinach. greens (turnip greens. dandelion greens. red greens. beet greens, mustard greens. cabbage) |  |
| Other | Green beans. corn, potatoes. chayota, chick peas. artichoke. asparagus. beets. okra. combination vegetables. eggplant. parsnips. mushrooms. celery. onion. summer squash. zucchini. lettuce. turnips avocado. cucumber. radishes |  |
| GRAINS | Dry oats. cooked oats | - |

Rice. noodles. ramen noodles. macaroni. pasta. cereal
Bread. crackers. cornbread. nuffin. biscuits. tortilla. bagel pita
Waflles. pancakes
OTHER SWEET Koolaid. soda. Tang. Hi"C". Gatoradc. orange drink. cranberry cocktail. BEVERAGES Fruit Juice. Sunny Delight. rice milk

SNACK FOOD Popsicles. candy, granola bars. cookies, pastries. pies. gelatin. chips. chocolate. french fries. caramel. sugar candy. snack crackers. Cracker Jacks. cornnuts

COMBINATION Macaroni and cheese. spaghetti. spaghettios. lasagna. pizza. stew. tacos FOODS enchiladas. pot pic. mince meat

OTHER Coffce. tea. dict soda BEVERAGES

Water
FATS Butter, margarinc, lard, cooking oil, salad dressing. homemade gravy. sour cream. whipping cream

## FRECUENCIA CON QUE SU NINO COME ESTAS COMIDAS

Porque las comidas abajo anotadas que come su nino com mas frecuencia

COMIDAS Cada cuando come su nino estas comidas.................................................... Numero de | porciones |
| :--- |
| por semana |

LECHERIA Leche entera. $1 \% .2 \%$. desnatada. requeson. malteadas, yogurt. leche con chocolate. chocolate mexicano. leche de cabra. sopa de crema (hecha con leche)

Cuantas onzas de leche se toma el o clla cada vez? $\qquad$
Queso duro. queso americano. requeson
Nieve. leche helda, yogurl congelado. pudin. natillas. Пlan
PROTEINA Hucvos
Mantequilla de cacahaute. nueces, semillas. frijol seco, lentejas. tofu
Carne molida, gallina, pavo. atun, jamon, res. puerco, pescado. higado, borrego. de cacria $\qquad$
Perros calientes. balogna. tocino. carne de lonche, carne fria. varitas de pescado

FRUTAS
Vitamina C Jugos: naranja. toronja, pina y jugos de WIC
Naranja. toronjas, canteloupe. fresas. kiwi. mandarinas, mango. papaya

Vitamina A Albaricoques. nectar de albaricoques, nectar de duranzo
Otros Pasas, mansanas. compota de mansanas. uvas. melon, platanos. bayas, duraznos, peras. pina. ciruelas. sandia. cerezas, nectarinas. granda, persimmons. loquates. datiles. higos. combinacion de fruta

Jugos: manzana, uva. pina. ciruela. pera arandano. y otros jugos sin Vitamina C

## VERDURAS

Vitamina C

Vitamina A Zanaborias, calabaza de invierno. camotes, cebolla verde. bok choy. espinacas. verduras (chicoria. diente de leon. escarole. hoja roja. remolacha. nabos verde. mostaza verde. col)

Otros Elotes. frijol, maiz. papas. chayota. chicharos. alcahofa, esparagos.
remolacha, okra. verduras combinadas. planta de huevo. chirivia. hongos. apio. cebolla. calabaza de verano. calabaza verde. lechuga. nabos. aguacate, pepinos. rabanos

| GRANOS | Avena scca. avena cocida |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arroz. tallarin. top ramen tallarin, macarron, pasta. cercal |  |
|  | Pan. galletas. pan de maiz. mollete. bizcocho. panecillo. tortilla. bagel. pita |  |
|  | Waffels, panguecas |  |
| OTROS DULCES | Koolaid. soda. Tang. Hi " $\mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime}$. Gatorade, naranja en polvo, jugo de arando. jugo de fruta. Sunny Delight. orchatta |  |
| MERIENDAS | Helados. dulces. barras de granola, galletas. pastel. postres, gelatina. tositos. chocolate, galletas, papitas fritas. caramelo. esquite caramelado. galletas de soda. Cracker Jacks. Nueces de maiz |  |
| COMIDAS COMBINADO | Macarron con queso. espaguetti con salsa. spaghettios, pasta lasagna. pizza, guisados. tacos. enchiladas, pasta de carne, pasteles de carne. |  |
| OTROS BEBIDAS | Cafc. tc. soda de dieta Agua |  |
| GRASA | Mantequilla. margarina, manteca. aceite para guisar. condimento para ensalada. salsa becha en casa. crema batida. crema cortada | - |
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# OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD HUMAN SUBJECTS REVIEW 

Date: 07-13-95
RB\#: HE-96-004

Proposal Title: IRON STATUS OF HISPANIC CHILDREN PARTICIPATING IN WOMEN, INFANTS AND CHILDREN (WIC) PROGRAM

Principal Investigators): Lea Ebro, Jennifer Van Auken

Reviewed and Processed as: Exempt
Approval Status Recommended by Reviewers): Approved
ALL APPROVALS MAY BE SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY FULL INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD AT NEXT MEETING.
APPROVAL STATUS PERIOD VALID FOR ONE CALENDAR YEAR AFTER WHICH A CONTINUATION OR RENEWAL REQUEST IS REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED FOR BOARD APPROVAL.
ANY MODIFICATIONS TO APPROVED PROJECT MUST ALSO BE SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL.

Comments. Modifications/Conditions for Approval or Reasons for Deferral or Disapproval are as follows:

Signature:


## APPENDIX F

CHI-SQUARE FREQUENCY ANALYSIS TABLES
FOR THOSE ASSOCIATIONS WHICH WERE SIGNIFICANT

TABLE OF

| Frequency |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent | 0 | 1 | 2 | Total |
| 1 | 28 | 83 | 11 | 122 |
|  | 3.22 | 9.55 | 1.27 | 14.04 |
| 2 | 52 | 67 | 12 | 131 |
|  | 5.98 | 7.71 | 1.38 | 15.07 |
| 3 | 159 | 398 | 59 | 616 |
|  | 18.30 | 45.80 | 6.79 | 70.89 |
| Total | 239 | 548 | 82 | 869 |
|  | 27.50 | 63.06 | 9.44 | 100.00 |

Frequency Missing $=6$

STATISTICS FOR TABLE

| Statistic | STATISTICS FOR TABLE |  | Prob |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chi-Square | 4 | 12.348 |  |
| Likelihood Ratio Chii-Square | 4 | 11.747 | 0.019 |
| Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square | 1 | 0.253 | 0.615 |
| Plii Coeflicient |  | 0.119 |  |
| Contingency Coeflicient |  | 0.118 |  |
| Cramer's | 0.084 |  |  |

Eflective Sample Size $=869$
Frequency Missing $=6$

TABLE OF

| Frequency <br> Percent | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 28 | 2 | Total |
|  | 12.074 | 109.93 | 122 |
| 2 | 20 | 111 | 14.04 |
|  | 12.964 | 118.04 | 131 |
| 3 | 46 | 570 | 15.07 |
|  | 60.962 | 555.04 | 616 |
| Total | 86 | 783 | 70.89 |
|  | 9.90 | 90.10 | 869 |
|  |  |  | 100.00 |

Firequency Missing $=6$

STATISTICS FOR TABLE

| Statistic | DF | Value | Prol, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chi-Square | 2 | 14.088 | 0.001 |
| Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square | 2 | 13.035 | 0.001 |
| Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square | 1 | 12.836 | 0.000 |
| Phi Coeflicient |  | 0.127 |  |
| Contingency Coeflicient |  | 0.126 |  |
| Cramer's V |  | 0.127 |  |

Eflicent Sample Size $=869$
Frequency Missing $=6$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{*} n=875$ for each group

[^1]:    *n=875 for each group

[^2]:    *Significant level at $p \leq .05$

[^3]:    *Significant level at $p \leq .05$

[^4]:    *Significant level at $\mathrm{p} \leq .05$

